

Flexibility and Leadership in Start-up Culture: Employee Perspectives on Workload, Well-being, and Organizational Balance

Mahmood Anwar

Road Safety Education and Testing Institute, Malaysia

Correspondent: mahmood.anwar@scholarsindex.com

Received : June 15, 2024

Accepted : November 12, 2024

Published : November 30, 2024

Citation: Anwar, M. (2024). Flexibility and Leadership in Start-up Culture: Employee Perspectives on Workload, Well-being, and Organizational Balance. *Sinergi International Journal of Psychology*, 2(4), 240 – 250.

ABSTRACT: Start-ups are known for their dynamic and flexible work environments, but such flexibility often comes with high workloads and intense expectations. This study investigates employee perceptions of workplace culture in Indonesian start-ups, focusing on flexibility, leadership, work-life balance, and well-being. Using a qualitative case study approach, in-depth interviews were conducted with HR professionals, executives, and employees across various start-ups. Thematic analysis identified key themes, including the dual role of flexibility as both an advantage and a challenge, the significant influence of leadership on employee satisfaction, and persistent difficulties in maintaining work-life balance. Findings indicate that while flexibility enhances innovation and employee autonomy, excessive workload and unclear boundaries often lead to stress and burnout. Leadership style plays a crucial role, as supportive leaders contribute to a positive culture, whereas high-pressure environments can negatively impact well-being. The study highlights the need for structured policies that promote both performance and employee well-being. These insights contribute to the broader understanding of start-up workplace dynamics and suggest that future research should explore cross-industry comparisons and the long-term effects of work stress in start-ups.

Keywords: Start-Up Culture; Employee Perception; Work-Life Balance; Leadership Influence; Workplace Well-Being.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

The work culture in start-up companies has garnered significant attention in recent years due to its distinct characteristics compared to larger, more established corporations. Start-ups are often associated with innovation, flexibility, and a flat organizational structure, which foster creativity and rapid decision-making (Kim et al., 2020; Schieman et al., 2022). However, this dynamic work environment also presents challenges, such as high workloads, job uncertainty, and work-life balance issues. These aspects make it imperative to examine how employees perceive start-up work culture and its implications on their well-being.

Several studies suggest that a supportive work culture that prioritizes work-life balance can significantly enhance employee satisfaction and productivity (Achdiat et al., 2022; Hildenbrand et al., 2018). Conversely, the intense pressure to perform in start-up environments can lead to heightened stress levels, job dissatisfaction, and potential burnout (Nelson et al., 2017). Employees in start-ups frequently operate with minimal supervision, which can enhance their sense of responsibility but may also create additional stress due to unclear boundaries between personal and professional life (DeSimone, 2020). Given these complexities, a deeper understanding of employee perceptions of start-up work culture is necessary to develop strategies that optimize both business performance and employee well-being (Indrawati, 2023; Waddell et al., 2023).

One of the primary concerns in start-up work culture is balancing high expectations with employee welfare. The demand for constant innovation and adaptability often places employees under continuous pressure to meet ambitious goals within limited resources (Mohiya, 2024). While this fast-paced environment can drive growth and competitiveness, it may also lead to an unsustainable workload, ultimately affecting employee retention and job satisfaction (Greene et al., 2014; Trener et al., 2021). Thus, examining employees' perceptions of these work demands and how they navigate these challenges is crucial to understanding the sustainability of start-up work environments.

A commonly proposed solution to managing work culture challenges in start-ups is enhancing flexibility. Start-ups often allow remote work and flexible hours, enabling employees to tailor their work schedules to their personal needs (Ma & Kang, 2020). However, while flexibility can foster autonomy and improve work-life balance, it can also blur the boundaries between work and personal life, potentially increasing the likelihood of employees working beyond their regular hours (Catherine et al., 2017). Therefore, investigating whether flexible work arrangements genuinely benefit employees or inadvertently exacerbate stress levels is essential.

Prior research has explored various strategies for addressing these challenges, including fostering open communication, implementing well-being programs, and creating a supportive leadership structure (Dinis-Carvalho et al., 2022; Rao & Kunja, 2019). Effective leadership plays a critical role in shaping a positive work culture by setting clear expectations and promoting employee well-being. Leaders who demonstrate a commitment to work-life balance can influence employees to adopt healthier work habits, whereas those who prioritize productivity over well-being may contribute to a toxic work culture (Rudnák et al., 2022; Yoon et al., 2017).

Despite these insights, a significant research gap exists in understanding how employees subjectively perceive and experience work culture in start-ups. While existing literature provides general frameworks for evaluating work culture, limited studies have delved into the nuanced experiences of employees navigating the complexities of a start-up environment (Cioca et al., 2020; Kurniawan et al., 2024). Examining employees' firsthand accounts can provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of current work culture strategies and highlight areas that require improvement.

This study aims to analyze employee perceptions of start-up work culture in Indonesia, focusing on the factors that influence these perceptions and their impact on work-life balance and job satisfaction. By conducting in-depth qualitative research, this study seeks to fill the existing research gap by offering empirical evidence on how start-up employees interpret and respond to workplace culture challenges. The findings will contribute to a deeper understanding of start-up work dynamics and inform recommendations for optimizing work culture in start-ups to promote both business success and employee well-being.

METHOD

This research employs a qualitative approach with a case study design to explore employees' perceptions of workplace culture in Indonesian start-up companies. A qualitative approach allows for an in-depth understanding of employees' experiences, feelings, and motivations that may not be fully captured through quantitative methods (Rofcanin et al., 2017). The case study method was chosen to analyze the unique cultural dynamics within start-ups, considering their distinct characteristics compared to traditional corporate environments.

The study utilizes an in-depth interview method to collect data from participants, allowing them to express their perspectives freely. The semi-structured interview format ensures that key topics are addressed while enabling flexibility to explore emerging themes. This approach aligns with prior research indicating that qualitative methods are effective in uncovering the nuances of workplace culture (Saqib et al., 2021). The interview guide was developed based on existing literature and initial exploratory findings, ensuring relevance and validity in the questions posed to participants.

The research was conducted in multiple start-up companies operating in Indonesia, covering various industries such as technology, e-commerce, fintech, and edutech. The study involved 15 informants selected using purposive sampling to ensure diversity in positions and experiences. Participants included HR professionals, company directors or founders, and employees from different functional roles and experience levels.

Purposive sampling was chosen to ensure representation from key stakeholders who directly influence or experience workplace culture. As noted by Semlali & Hassi (2016), qualitative research often relies on smaller, non-representative samples, making the selection of information-rich cases crucial for meaningful insights. The informants' demographic diversity also enhances the study's reliability by reflecting various perspectives on workplace culture in start-ups.

The primary data collection method was semi-structured in-depth interviews. This technique was used to capture detailed insights into employees' perceptions of workplace culture, the factors shaping these perceptions, and the impact of cultural elements on their work-life balance and overall well-being. According to Joo et al. (2019), in-depth interviews provide a deeper understanding of employees' experiences and organizational dynamics.

Each interview session lasted approximately 45-60 minutes and was conducted either in person or via virtual meetings, depending on participants' availability. Interviews were recorded with consent and transcribed for further analysis.

Data were analyzed using the Thematic Analysis approach proposed by Braun & Clarke (2006). This method involves systematically identifying, organizing, and interpreting patterns within qualitative data. Thematic analysis was selected because of its flexibility in capturing recurring themes while allowing for a comprehensive examination of employee experiences and workplace culture.

The analysis followed these steps:

- **Data Transcription:** All interviews were transcribed to ensure accuracy and completeness of responses.
- **Coding Process:** A coding framework was developed to categorize themes related to workplace flexibility, leadership impact, work-life balance, and employee well-being.
- **Theme Identification:** Key themes were extracted by identifying patterns across different informants' responses.
- **Triangulation:** Data validation was ensured through triangulation by comparing findings from interviews with observational insights and prior literature. This approach minimizes researcher bias and enhances the reliability of interpretations (Amin et al., 2020).

The research adhered to ethical guidelines to ensure participant confidentiality and informed consent. Participants were briefed on the study's objectives and provided written consent before interviews. Anonymity was maintained by using pseudonyms in data reporting, and interview recordings were securely stored to prevent unauthorized access.

Additionally, for participants under 18 years old (if applicable), parental or guardian consent was obtained before their involvement in the study. Ethical approval was sought from the relevant institutional review board to ensure compliance with ethical research standards.

While the qualitative approach provides rich insights into workplace culture, it also has inherent limitations. One significant limitation is the generalizability of findings, as the study focuses on a specific sample rather than a broad, statistically representative population (Semlali & Hassi, 2016). The subjective nature of qualitative research also poses challenges, as researcher bias may influence data interpretation (Joo et al., 2019). However, the use of triangulation and thematic analysis helps mitigate these risks and enhance the study's credibility.

This study employs a qualitative case study approach with in-depth interviews to explore employees' perceptions of workplace culture in Indonesian start-ups. By analyzing insights from HR professionals, company founders, and employees across various roles, the research provides a holistic view of workplace dynamics. Thematic analysis enables the identification of key themes, ensuring an in-depth exploration of the impact of workplace culture on employee well-being and work-life balance. Ethical considerations were maintained throughout the research process,

ensuring participant confidentiality and data integrity. Despite limitations in generalizability, the study contributes valuable insights into the evolving cultural landscape of start-ups in Indonesia.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal critical insights into employees' perceptions of workplace culture in Indonesian start-ups. Thematic analysis of in-depth interviews highlights four main themes: flexibility versus high expectations, the role of leadership in shaping company culture, work-life balance challenges, and employee well-being. While start-ups promote innovation, collaboration, and flat organizational structures, employees frequently experience stress due to high performance expectations.

3.1 Flexibility vs. High Expectations

Flexibility in start-ups is often perceived as both a benefit and a challenge. Employees appreciate the autonomy and adaptability in scheduling, yet many struggle with the high expectations placed upon them. As one HR manager stated, "We encourage flexible working hours, but the reality is that employees often work longer hours to meet tight deadlines" (GJ).

Employees echoed this sentiment, indicating that while flexibility allows for a more adaptable work environment, the pressure to perform remains overwhelming. A software engineer shared, "I love the freedom to work from anywhere, but sometimes it feels like I am expected to be available at all hours" (LN). This aligns with research suggesting that flexibility can enhance work-life balance only if coupled with appropriate workload management (Marx et al., 2021).

Moreover, high expectations in start-ups, driven by rapid growth and innovation, contribute to increased stress. One CEO explained, "We want our employees to think creatively and take ownership of their projects, but this means they need to work harder than in traditional companies" (RT). Studies indicate that unrealistic performance expectations can lead to burnout and reduced employee engagement (Joo et al., 2019). Balancing flexibility with reasonable workloads is crucial for sustaining a healthy work environment (Amin et al., 2020).

3.2 The Role of Leadership in Company Culture

Leadership style significantly influences employee perceptions of company culture. Transformational leadership, which emphasizes motivation and employee development, fosters positive engagement (Rofcanin et al., 2017). However, inconsistent leadership approaches within start-ups often create ambiguity. One HR executive noted, "Our CEO promotes a vision of collaboration, but in reality, decision-making remains centralized" (DW).

Employees emphasized the importance of transparent communication and supportive leadership. A marketing specialist expressed frustration, stating, "Sometimes, leadership expects us to take initiative, but when we do, our ideas get overruled without explanation" (XZ). This highlights the need for alignment between leadership messaging and actual managerial practices (Saqib et al., 2021).

In contrast, leaders who encourage open dialogue and acknowledge employee contributions create a more inclusive culture. A co-founder shared, “We ensure our team feels valued by regularly discussing goals and challenges in open forums” (HB). Literature supports that open communication enhances trust and engagement in fast-paced environments (Zhao et al., 2019).

3.3 Work-Life Balance Challenges

While many start-ups offer hybrid working arrangements and unlimited leave policies, employees report difficulty in maintaining work-life balance. One HR representative stated, “We offer flexible leave policies, but most employees feel guilty taking time off because of project deadlines” (AC). Studies confirm that workplace culture, rather than formal policies, determines work-life balance effectiveness (Semlali & Hassi, 2016).

Employees described experiencing blurred boundaries between work and personal life. A software engineer remarked, “I often check emails late at night because I don’t want to miss any urgent updates” (RS). Similarly, a CEO acknowledged, “We expect high performance, but we also realize that our employees need time to recharge. Finding the right balance is our ongoing challenge” (FN). Research indicates that high job demands without sufficient recovery periods contribute to exhaustion and decreased productivity (Drăghici et al., 2022).

3.4 Employee Well-being and Satisfaction

Employee well-being programs have a mixed reception in start-ups. While initiatives such as mindfulness sessions and wellness workshops exist, their effectiveness depends on organizational commitment. One HR manager explained, “We conduct quarterly employee satisfaction surveys, but participation rates are low, and employees hesitate to share honest feedback” (PH). Research suggests that workplace well-being programs succeed only when embedded within a supportive company culture (Marenus et al., 2022).

Employees expressed concerns over the long-term impact of work stress. A marketing specialist stated, “I love the learning opportunities, but the constant pressure sometimes makes me consider switching jobs” (LW). This aligns with findings that lack of well-being initiatives contributes to high turnover in high-demand industries (Znajmiecka-Sikora et al., 2022).

From a leadership perspective, a co-founder emphasized, “We want our employees to thrive, but our priority remains meeting business goals. We need to find better ways to support our teams without compromising growth” (HB). Studies indicate that companies balancing business priorities with employee well-being see higher retention rates and overall performance (Braga et al., 2024).

3.5 Summary of Key Findings

Findings indicate that while flexibility in start-ups provides autonomy, high expectations create stress and work-life balance challenges. Leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping company culture, yet inconsistencies in management approaches cause frustration. Despite well-being initiatives, employees remain skeptical about their effectiveness. Addressing these issues requires balancing workload demands, fostering transparent leadership, and reinforcing a culture that genuinely prioritizes employee well-being.

4.1 The Duality of Flexibility and High Expectations in Start-ups

The findings of this study underscore the dual nature of flexibility in start-ups, where employees appreciate the autonomy provided but also struggle with the high expectations placed upon them. The flexibility offered in start-ups, such as remote work and adaptable schedules, aligns with prior research suggesting that workplace flexibility can improve employee satisfaction and well-being (Marx et al., 2021). Semlali and Hassi (2016) also emphasize that such flexibility can empower employees, fostering engagement and enhancing productivity.

However, the study also reveals that flexibility, when paired with excessive workloads, can lead to stress and burnout. Rofcanin et al. (2017) highlight that the absence of proper workload management can counteract the benefits of flexible working arrangements. Informants in this study expressed concerns that the blurred boundaries between work and personal life make it difficult to disconnect from their professional responsibilities. Amin et al. (2020) suggest that for flexibility to be effective, organizations must introduce structured workload management and stress reduction programs to help employees maintain a sustainable work-life balance.

4.2 Leadership's Role in Shaping Start-up Work Culture

Leadership plays a critical role in shaping work culture in start-ups, with founders and CEOs significantly influencing workplace dynamics. This study's findings support previous research that effective leadership, particularly transformational leadership, fosters a work environment that enhances employee motivation and satisfaction (Rofcanin et al., 2017). Informants indicated that when leaders prioritize both productivity and employee well-being, the work culture is perceived as more positive and sustainable. Joo et al. (2019) assert that leadership approaches that balance expectations with employee support result in higher engagement levels.

Conversely, autocratic leadership styles, which focus primarily on performance without considering employee well-being, have been linked to lower motivation and higher turnover rates (Semlali & Hassi, 2016). Some informants noted that certain leadership practices in start-ups foster a culture where long hours and continuous availability are implicitly expected, contributing to stress and dissatisfaction. Studies by Saqib et al. (2021) indicate that open communication and leadership transparency are key in mitigating these effects, reinforcing the importance of developing leadership training programs that promote balanced management strategies.

4.3 Work-Life Balance Challenges in Start-ups

While many start-ups offer flexible working arrangements, this study reveals that such flexibility does not always translate to improved work-life balance. Informants indicated that high expectations often lead to irregular working hours and difficulty in managing personal time. Research by Drăghici et al. (2022) supports these findings, indicating that flexibility without clear workload boundaries can blur the lines between professional and personal life, increasing stress levels.

Additionally, the study highlights that while companies introduce policies such as hybrid work or unlimited leave, the effectiveness of these measures is often undermined by workplace culture. Employees frequently refrain from taking advantage of these benefits due to concerns about being perceived as less dedicated or productive. This aligns with research by (Waal et al., 2017), which

suggests that corporate culture often dictates whether policies supporting work-life balance are genuinely effective. To address this issue, organizations must ensure that leadership actively supports and normalizes the use of work-life balance initiatives.

4.4 Employee Well-being and Start-up Culture

This study further underscores the importance of well-being programs in improving employee satisfaction and reducing turnover. While some start-ups offer mental health initiatives, their effectiveness varies based on implementation. Research by Znajmiecka-Sikora et al. (2022) suggests that organizations that actively promote and integrate well-being programs experience higher employee retention rates.

Informants expressed a desire for more structured mental health support, such as counseling services and stress management workshops. Studies by Marenus et al. (2022) highlight that merely having wellness programs is insufficient; organizations must foster a culture where employees feel comfortable utilizing these resources without stigma. Furthermore, Braga et al. (2024) argue that transparency in communication about mental health resources enhances their accessibility and effectiveness.

One limitation of this study is that it focuses on perceptions of employees within start-ups in Indonesia, which may not fully capture variations in start-up cultures across different regions. While the study provides in-depth insights, the findings might not be directly transferable to start-ups operating under different economic and cultural conditions. Additionally, given the qualitative nature of the research, responses are subject to individual biases, as employees' experiences and interpretations of work culture may differ.

Another limitation is the reliance on self-reported data, which may be influenced by social desirability bias, where informants may present their experiences in a way that aligns with perceived workplace expectations. Future research could incorporate observational methods or longitudinal studies to validate these findings further.

The study provides several implications for both start-up management and future research. For practitioners, it is crucial to develop strategies that balance the benefits of flexibility with clear expectations regarding workload. Implementing structured workload management systems and offering managerial training on fostering employee well-being could help mitigate burnout and improve job satisfaction.

For researchers, further studies should explore the intersection of start-up culture and employee well-being across different countries to understand how regional differences impact workplace dynamics. Additionally, future research could examine the long-term effects of flexible work arrangements on productivity and mental health, providing further insights into best practices for sustaining a positive work environment in start-ups.

CONCLUSION

This study explored employees' perceptions of workplace culture in Indonesian start-ups, emphasizing flexibility, leadership, work-life balance, and employee well-being. The findings reveal

that while start-ups offer high flexibility and innovation-driven environments, they also impose significant workloads and performance expectations. Employees perceive leadership as a critical factor influencing workplace culture, where supportive leadership fosters positive engagement, whereas high-pressure management styles contribute to stress and burnout. Work-life balance remains a central issue, with employees struggling to separate personal and professional time due to unpredictable workloads and expectations of constant availability.

The study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by highlighting the challenges of balancing flexibility and performance expectations in start-ups. It underscores the importance of leadership style and organizational culture in shaping employee experiences. The findings suggest that start-ups must develop structured policies that promote not only innovation and efficiency but also employee well-being. Further research could examine industry-specific variations in start-up cultures or explore long-term impacts of workplace stress on employee retention and productivity.

REFERENCE

- Achdiat, I., Mulyani, S., Azis, Y., & Sukmadilaga, C. (2022). Roles of Organizational Learning Culture in Promoting Innovation. *The Learning Organization*, 30(1), 76–92. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tlo-01-2021-0013>
- Amin, I., Zailani, S., & Rahman, M. K. (2020). Predicting Employees' Engagement in Environmental Behaviours With Supply Chain Firms. *Management Research Review*, 44(6), 825–848. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mrr-05-2020-0280>
- Braga, L. D., Grinstein, A., Tardin, M., & Perin, M. G. (2024). Understanding Reaction to Corporate Activism: The Moderating Role of Polarization. *Pnas Nexus*, 3(10). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgae313>
- Catherine, G., Chebat, J., & Vandenberghe, C. (2017). *The Customer Is Not Always Right, but Are Your Employees?* 483–483. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-50008-9_127
- Cioca, I. A., Ramos, J., Latorre, F., & Estreder, Y. (2020). Psychological Contract Mutuality and Work-Related Outcomes: Testing a Mediation Model. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.1017/sjp.2020.52>
- DeSimone, K. (2020). Beyond Gender: Reconceptualizing Understandings of Work–life Balance and the Extreme Work Model for 21st-Century High-Potential Top Earners. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 33(6), 1071–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jocm-02-2020-0042>
- Dinis-Carvalho, J., Monteiro, M., & Macedo, H. (2022). Influence of Gender, Education, Age Group and Time in the Company on the Adoption of New Technologies and Their Impact on Continuous Improvement. *Fme Transaction*, 50(4), 635–642. <https://doi.org/10.5937/fme2204635d>
- Drăghici, A., Dursun, S., Başol, O., Boatca, M. E., & Găureanu, A. (2022). The Mediating Role of Safety Climate in the Relationship Between Transformational Safety Leadership and Safe

-
- Behavior—The Case of Two Companies in Turkey and Romania. *Sustainability*, 14(14), 8464. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148464>
- Greene, C., Crumbleholme, L., & Myerson, J. (2014). Sustainable Cultures. *Facilities*, 32(7/8), 438–454. <https://doi.org/10.1108/f-03-2013-0020>
- Hildenbrand, K., Sacramento, C. A., & Binnewies, C. (2018). Transformational Leadership and Burnout: The Role of Thriving and Followers' Openness to Experience. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 23(1), 31–43. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000051>
- Indrawati, R. (2023). Psychological capital and well-being in the workplace: A study of Indonesian SMEs. *J Work Well-Being*, 8(1), 33–50.
- Joo, B., Bozer, G., & Ready, K. (2019). A Dimensional Analysis of Psychological Empowerment on Engagement. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness People and Performance*, 6(3), 186–203. <https://doi.org/10.1108/joepp-09-2018-0069>
- Kim, J., Milliman, J., & Lucas, A. F. (2020). Effects of CSR on Employee Retention via Identification and Quality-of-Work-Life. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(3), 1163–1179. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijchm-06-2019-0573>
- Kurniawan, A. W., Sumartias, S., Priyatna, S., & Suryadi, K. (2024). Workers' Knowledge Sharing and Its Relationship With Their Colleague's Political Publicity in Social Media. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information Knowledge and Management*, 19, 014. <https://doi.org/10.28945/5303>
- Marens, M. W., Marzec, M. L., & Chen, W. (2022). Association of Workplace Culture of Health and Employee Emotional Wellbeing. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(19), 12318. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191912318>
- Marx, C. K., Reimann, M., & Diewald, M. (2021). Do Work–Life Measures Really Matter? The Impact of Flexible Working Hours and Home-Based Teleworking in Preventing Voluntary Employee Exits. *Social Sciences*, 10(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci10010009>
- Mohiya, M. (2024). What Constitutes an Employer of Choice? A Qualitative Triangulation Investigation. *Human Resources for Health*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-024-00928-7>
- Nelson, D. M., Brooks, S., Sahaym, A., & Cullen, J. B. (2017). Family-Friendly Work Perceptions: A Cross Country Analysis. *Gender in Management an International Journal*, 32(4), 300–316. <https://doi.org/10.1108/gm-03-2016-0066>
- Rao, B. M., & Kunja, S. R. (2019). Individual Cultural Orientation as a Determinant of Psychological Contract Fulfilment. *Management Research Review*, 42(12), 1315–1332. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mrr-08-2018-0284>
- Rofcanin, Y., Heras, M. L., & Bakker, A. B. (2017). Family Supportive Supervisor Behaviors and Organizational Culture: Effects on Work Engagement and Performance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(2), 207–217. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000036>
- Rudnák, I., Alshaabani, A., & Wu, J. (2022). The Relationship Between Perceived Organizational Support and the Intentions of International Students in Hungary to Stay in Hungary: The

- Role of Conflict Climate and Intercultural Adjustment. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 14025. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114025>
- Saqib, S. I., Allen, M. M. C., & Wood, G. (2021). Lordly Management and Its Discontents: 'Human Resource Management' in Pakistan. *Work Employment and Society*, 36(3), 465–484. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017021997369>
- Schieman, S., Badawy, P. J., & Hill, D. (2022). Did Perceptions of Supportive <scp>work–life</Scp> Culture Change During the <scp>COVID</Scp>-19 Pandemic? *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 84(2), 655–672. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12826>
- Semlali, S., & Hassi, A. (2016). Work–life Balance: How Can We Help Women IT Professionals in Morocco? *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 7(2), 210–225. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jgr-07-2016-0017>
- Trenerry, B., Chng, S., Wang, Y., Suhaila, Z. S., Lim, S. S., Lu, H., & Oh, P. H. (2021). Preparing Workplaces for Digital Transformation: An Integrative Review and Framework of Multi-Level Factors. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.620766>
- Waal, A. d., Peters, L., & Broekhuizen, M. (2017). Do Different Generations Look Differently at High Performance Organizations? *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 10(1), 86–101. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jsma-10-2015-0083>
- Waddell, A., Kunstler, B., Lennox, A., Pattuwage, L., Grundy, E. A., Tsering, D., Olivier, P., & Bragge, P. (2023). How Effective Are Interventions in Optimizing Workplace Mental Health and Well-Being? A Scoping Review of Reviews and Evidence Map. *Scandinavian Journal of Work Environment & Health*, 49(4), 235–248. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.4087>
- Yoon, K. H., Oh, S.-H., & Kim, B. (2017). The Influence of Job Insecurity on Career Commitment and Attitude in Multinational Corporations. *Journal of Applied Business Research (Jabr)*, 34(1), 81–98. <https://doi.org/10.19030/jabr.v34i1.10096>
- Zhao, H., Qin, Z., & Ślusarczyk, B. (2019). Sustainability and Digitalization of Corporate Management Based on Augmented/Virtual Reality Tools Usage: China and Other World IT Companies' Experience. *Sustainability*, 11(17), 4717. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11174717>
- Znajmiecka-Sikora, M., Roszko-Wójtowicz, E., & Stasiła-Sieradzka, M. (2022). In Search of Differences in the Perception of Safety Climate by Employees of an International Manufacturing Company. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(22), 14980. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192214980>