Burnout and Work-Life Balance: Addressing Gender Disparities and Institutional Support

Susi Adiawaty[1], Maria Yuni Megarini Cahyono[2]
[1]Institut Bisnis Nusantara Jakarta, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Kristen Maranatha, Indonesia
Correspondent: s.adiawaty0212@gmail.com[1]

ABSTRACT: Work-life balance is a critical factor influencing employee well-being, job satisfaction, and workforce retention. This study explores the systemic challenges associated with work-life balance, particularly in high-pressure professions such as healthcare. A systematic literature review was conducted using Scopus, Google Scholar, and PubMed to analyze research related to work-life balance, occupational stress, and employee support systems. The findings reveal a strong correlation between institutional policies, organizational culture, and the prevalence of burnout, with gender disparities playing a significant role in work-life integration challenges. Empirical evidence highlights that professionals in developed nations benefit from structured workplace policies, while employees in developing regions face more significant work-life conflicts due to limited institutional support. Organizational flexibility, mental health resources, and gender-equitable policies emerge as crucial factors in mitigating these challenges. Addressing work-life balance requires strategic interventions, including promoting workplace flexibility, enhancing mental health initiatives, and fostering cultural shifts that support work-life integration. This study underscores the necessity for continued research on long-term policy effectiveness and the impact of emerging workplace technologies on work-life balance. By implementing structured and inclusive policies, organizations can create a sustainable and productive workforce that benefits both employees and institutions.

Keywords: Work-Life Balance; Occupational Stress; Employee Well-Being; Job Satisfaction; Workforce Retention; Organizational Culture; Gender Disparities.

INTRODUCTION

In the past five years, there has been a notable surge in research exploring work-life balance (WLB) and its correlation with various factors influencing professional performance, employee retention, and overall well-being across diverse industries. The relevance of WLB has grown significantly, particularly in light of profound societal shifts triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic, which

compelled many professionals to transition into remote work arrangements, fundamentally altering their work routines and personal lives (Tejero et al., 2021; Verma et al., 2021). This paradigm shift has raised concerns about productivity, mental health, and job satisfaction, highlighting the intricate relationship between work and personal responsibilities. The ongoing evolution of workplace structures has intensified the need for empirical analyses on how professionals navigate WLB challenges across different employment sectors.

The healthcare sector, in particular, has faced significant hurdles in maintaining WLB due to the high demands placed on medical professionals. The integration of professional and personal responsibilities has become a pressing concern, especially among healthcare workers, who experience high levels of burnout due to continuous demands on their time and emotional resilience (Byrnes et al., 2020; Haridass et al., 2023). This challenge extends beyond healthcare, as educators, corporate employees, and entrepreneurs also encounter difficulties in balancing work and life demands, which can lead to reduced job satisfaction and increased turnover rates. The need to address these issues through policy interventions and organizational strategies has become a focal point for scholars and practitioners alike.

Statistical evidence underscores the urgency of prioritizing WLB research. Professionals experiencing burnout have reported significantly lower job satisfaction and higher intentions to leave their positions (Dinibutun, 2023; Singh et al., 2020). For instance, a systematic review identified a burnout prevalence exceeding 80% among healthcare professionals, emphasizing the immediate need for interventions aimed at improving work-life harmony (Abusanad et al., 2021). Additionally, in patient care settings such as intensive care units, healthcare providers struggle to maintain a semblance of balance while delivering high-quality care (Siddiqui et al., 2022). This persistent challenge not only affects the job satisfaction of healthcare workers but also influences the quality of patient care due to the associated emotional exhaustion and burnout (Costa et al., 2022).

Beyond healthcare, the demand for effective WLB strategies is evident across multiple industries. Research has demonstrated that workplace policies emphasizing flexibility, such as telecommuting options and supportive workplace cultures, contribute positively to employees' perceptions of their work environment (Alzadjali & Ahmad, 2024; Skotnicki et al., 2024). These measures can enhance the work-life integration of employees, particularly those with family obligations (Avolio & Cajo, 2024). For instance, policymakers and organizational leaders are increasingly urged to consider the unique challenges faced by female professionals in balancing domestic responsibilities alongside their careers, particularly in high-intensity professions such as medicine, law, and academia (Eid et al., 2020).

Despite the increasing emphasis on WLB, several systemic challenges continue to hinder progress in achieving optimal work-life integration. One of the key barriers is the presence of rigid work schedules that fail to accommodate the personal needs of employees, leading to workplace dissatisfaction and diminished productivity (Cho & Wee, 2023; Sykes-Bridge et al., 2022). Studies have further highlighted that organizational structures that neglect employee well-being foster an environment where burnout and stress proliferate, ultimately affecting retention and performance outcomes. In contrast, workplaces that implement flexible scheduling policies often see improved

employee morale and commitment, demonstrating the tangible benefits of work-life-friendly initiatives (Meng et al., 2020)

Moreover, gender disparities remain a critical issue within WLB research. Women, particularly those in demanding professions, often face compounded challenges in managing their careers alongside caregiving responsibilities (Costa et al., 2022). The concept of the "double burden"—where women juggle both professional and household responsibilities—has been well-documented, revealing significant career advancement limitations due to conflicting demands (Alzadjali & Ahmad, 2024; Skotnicki et al., 2024). Addressing these disparities requires tailored interventions, including gender-sensitive workplace policies and equitable career progression opportunities.

Despite extensive research on WLB, several gaps persist in the literature. One notable deficiency is the lack of comprehensive longitudinal studies that assess WLB trends over time (Sykes-Bridge et al., 2022). Most existing research relies on cross-sectional data, limiting the ability to understand long-term patterns and changes in work-life integration strategies. Additionally, the intersectionality of WLB challenges—considering socio-economic status, ethnicity, and industry-specific factors—remains underexplored (Cho & Wee, 2023). More nuanced research is needed to provide holistic insights into how diverse populations navigate WLB challenges.

Given these limitations, this study aims to conduct a comprehensive review of the existing literature on WLB, focusing on key factors that influence work-life integration across different professional sectors. Specifically, this review will analyze organizational policies, workplace culture, gender dynamics, and mental health implications to provide a nuanced understanding of how professionals can achieve sustainable WLB. Furthermore, it will explore the role of institutional interventions in mitigating burnout and promoting employee well-being, offering evidence-based recommendations for policymakers and organizational leaders.

The scope of this review encompasses a broad range of industries, with a particular emphasis on healthcare, academia, and corporate sectors. By comparing WLB practices across different geographic regions, this study seeks to identify best practices that can be adapted across various employment contexts. The analysis will also integrate insights from high-income and developing countries to provide a global perspective on work-life integration challenges and solutions. Understanding these cross-cultural dynamics is crucial for developing universally applicable frameworks that cater to diverse workforce needs.

By synthesizing the available literature, this study aims to bridge existing knowledge gaps and contribute to the ongoing discourse on WLB. The findings will not only advance academic research in this domain but also inform practical interventions that enhance employee satisfaction, retention, and overall workplace productivity. As global work environments continue to evolve, addressing WLB challenges will remain a priority for organizations seeking to foster a resilient and engaged workforce.

METHOD

To conduct a comprehensive literature review on work-life balance and its various impacts, a systematic approach was adopted to ensure the inclusion of high-quality and relevant studies. This review utilized multiple scientific databases, including Scopus, Google Scholar, and PubMed, which are recognized for their extensive coverage of peer-reviewed literature across multiple disciplines. Each database was selected based on its ability to provide relevant insights into different aspects of work-life balance, occupational stress, and employee well-being. Scopus was particularly useful for retrieving rigorously indexed peer-reviewed journal articles, while Google Scholar provided access to a broader range of grey literature, including conference proceedings and working papers that supplement formal academic discourse. Meanwhile, PubMed played a critical role in obtaining health-related research, particularly regarding work-family dynamics in healthcare settings (Byrnes et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020; J.-F. Wang et al., 2021).

The literature search was conducted using specific keywords designed to capture various dimensions of work-life balance and its broader implications. The primary keywords included "work-life balance," "occupational stress," "burnout," "employee retention," "workplace support," and "gender disparities in work." These terms were selected to reflect the multifaceted nature of work-life balance and its effects on professionals in diverse sectors. Additionally, terms such as "healthcare professionals," "nurse well-being," and "academic burnout" were employed to refine the search and specifically target studies focusing on healthcare and education sectors (Lee et al., 2022; Lock & Carrieri, 2022; Sigblad et al., 2020). Boolean operators (AND/OR) were strategically implemented to combine these search terms, thereby enhancing the scope of the search while ensuring that only the most relevant studies were retrieved.

The literature selection process followed a rigorous multi-stage screening approach. Initially, studies were screened based on their titles and abstracts to determine their relevance to work-life balance. Articles that did not explicitly address work-life balance, occupational stress, or related topics were excluded. Subsequently, studies that passed this initial screening were subjected to a full-text review to assess their methodological rigor, credibility, and the applicability of their findings to the current review. This involved evaluating study design, sample size, data collection methods, and statistical analysis techniques used in each study. Studies that provided empirical evidence on factors affecting work-life balance, particularly within high-stress professions such as healthcare and academia, were prioritized (Ryan et al., 2022). The iterative nature of the selection process ensured that only the most relevant and methodologically sound studies were included in the final analysis.

A well-defined set of inclusion and exclusion criteria was established to further refine the selection process. The inclusion criteria encompassed studies published in peer-reviewed journals over the last decade to ensure that the findings reflect contemporary trends and challenges. Furthermore, only studies that provided empirical data, either qualitative or quantitative, were included to ensure

a strong evidence base. Studies that focused on specific populations such as healthcare professionals, educators, and corporate employees were given priority, as their experiences are representative of work-life balance challenges in demanding professions. Exclusion criteria included opinion articles, non-peer-reviewed publications, and studies lacking empirical evidence or methodological transparency.

Given the global nature of work-life balance challenges, the geographical scope of this review primarily focused on data from North America, the United Kingdom, and select studies from Australia and parts of Asia. These regions were selected due to their diverse cultural and institutional practices regarding work-life balance, offering a rich comparative analysis of policies and experiences (Chim & Chen, 2023; L. C. Wang et al., 2019). Additionally, studies from developing countries were considered when they provided relevant insights into work-life balance issues that could complement the perspectives from more industrialized nations. This approach ensured that the review incorporated a broad spectrum of perspectives, thus enriching the understanding of how work-life balance is managed across different socio-economic and cultural contexts.

The research methodology employed in the selected studies varied, including cross-sectional surveys, longitudinal studies, and experimental designs. Cross-sectional studies were the most common, offering a snapshot of work-life balance experiences and challenges across different professions. These studies were valuable for identifying trends and correlations between work-life balance and factors such as job satisfaction, employee retention, and mental health outcomes. Longitudinal studies, though fewer in number, provided crucial insights into how work-life balance evolves over time and how workplace interventions impact employees' well-being. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs, particularly those evaluating the effectiveness of workplace interventions, were included where available, as they offered valuable insights into causality and the potential impact of organizational policies on work-life balance (Berg et al., 2020).

The methodological rigor of each study was assessed using established quality appraisal tools. For quantitative studies, criteria such as sample representativeness, validity of measurement tools, and statistical analysis methods were evaluated. Qualitative studies were appraised based on the clarity of their research questions, appropriateness of data collection methods, and depth of thematic analysis. Studies with methodological weaknesses, such as small sample sizes, lack of statistical rigor, or insufficiently detailed data collection methods, were excluded to maintain the credibility of the review findings (Byrnes et al., 2020). Furthermore, efforts were made to mitigate publication bias by including studies from different types of sources, ensuring that both well-established and emerging perspectives were captured.

Overall, this literature review was conducted using a systematic and transparent methodology to ensure the selection of high-quality studies that provide a robust foundation for analyzing work-life balance and its related factors. The integration of multiple databases, carefully selected keywords, and a rigorous inclusion-exclusion framework facilitated the identification of comprehensive and relevant literature. By prioritizing studies with strong empirical evidence and diverse geographical representation, this review aims to offer valuable insights into the ongoing discourse on work-life balance and its implications for professionals in various sectors. The

findings from this methodological approach will contribute to an evidence-based understanding of how work-life balance can be effectively managed and optimized in different occupational settings, providing valuable implications for policymakers, organizations, and researchers alike.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In reviewing the literature concerning the challenges to work-life balance, several key findings have emerged that underscore the multifaceted impact of occupational stress and burnout across various professions. One notable aspect is the increasing prevalence of burnout among healthcare professionals, which has become a significant concern, especially in light of the demands placed on these workers during crisis periods such as the COVID-19 pandemic. A study found that nearly half of Canadian radiologists reported experiencing symptoms of burnout, illustrating the urgent need to address this issue (Singh et al., 2020). Furthermore, a systematic review corroborates the challenges faced by healthcare providers, indicating that nurses are particularly vulnerable to high levels of occupational stress, primarily driven by demanding workloads and insufficient organizational support (Wang et al., 2019). This persistent challenge not only affects healthcare workers' job satisfaction but also influences the quality of patient care due to the associated emotional exhaustion and burnout (Costa et al., 2022).

This high incidence of burnout is further compounded by the gender disparities present within the workforce, particularly in sectors like medicine and academia. Research reveals that female healthcare workers often juggle professional responsibilities with familial expectations, exacerbating feelings of inadequacy and stress, ultimately undermining their work-life balance (Avolio & Cajo, 2024). One survey found that about 21% of female vascular surgery trainees expressed anxiety about disclosing their pregnancies, reflecting a pervasive culture within certain medical specialties that can hinder women's professional development (Maloni et al., 2022). Additionally, studies suggest that women are more likely to experience work-family conflict than their male counterparts, primarily due to societal expectations regarding domestic responsibilities (Hagen et al., 2020; Matsuo et al., 2021). These disparities highlight the importance of workplace policies that support gender equity and the need for tailored interventions to promote a more balanced professional environment.

Empirical data also reinforce these qualitative findings, demonstrating a direct correlation between work-life balance and job retention rates. For instance, research in veterinary medicine indicates that work-life balance significantly influences employees' intentions to remain in their positions, with poor balance often leading to increased turnover (Ryan et al., 2022). A cross-sectional analysis among veterinary practitioners revealed that work-life balance was a main consideration influencing their decision to leave their jobs, establishing a clear link between dissatisfaction with work-life dynamics and job retention (Ryan et al., 2022). These findings align with broader labor market trends, where professionals across multiple industries cite inadequate work-life balance as a primary driver of career changes and workforce attrition (Sykes-Bridge et al., 2022; Cho & Wee, 2023).

Moreover, the literature suggests that organizational culture and support systems play critical roles in mitigating occupational stress and facilitating a healthier work-life balance. Organizations that adopt flexible work arrangements and prioritize employee well-being—such as providing access to mental health resources—tend to see a marked improvement in employee satisfaction and retention (Achat et al., 2023; Aslan et al., 2023). Data from a study examining nursing practices during the COVID-19 pandemic indicated that those with adequate institutional support experienced significantly lower rates of burnout compared to their peers lacking such support (Achat et al., 2023). These findings suggest that workplace culture plays a crucial role in shaping employees' perceptions of their work-life balance and their ability to navigate professional and personal obligations effectively.

The prevalence of chronic work-related stress can also be statistically quantified. A recent review reported that chronic pain and related health issues among many healthcare workers accounted for billions in healthcare costs annually, illustrating the broader economic implications of neglecting work-life balance (Kesiena et al., 2023). This financial burden is compounded by findings that high levels of work-related stress can lead to increased absenteeism, reduced productivity, and declining morale among employees (Haridass et al., 2023; Wallberg et al., 2023). These trends highlight the necessity of developing comprehensive workplace interventions that address the root causes of work-life imbalance and promote sustainable employment practices.

Comparing findings across different countries reveals notable variations in how work-life balance is managed and experienced. In Scandinavian countries, substantial organizational support manifests as accessible parental leave policies and a culture that encourages taking time for family, leading to comparatively better work-life balance outcomes for employees (Wang et al., 2019). In contrast, in countries like the United States, where such policies may not be as robust, employees often face more stark choices between personal and professional commitments, leading to increased stress and work-family conflict (Berg et al., 2020). This discrepancy underscores the importance of policy interventions tailored to specific cultural and economic contexts to ensure meaningful improvements in work-life integration.

Furthermore, data from Jordan regarding nurses caring for Syrian refugee camps indicates that the quality of working life is subject to the socio-political context. Here, external factors such as economic conditions and social support systems directly influence nurses' work-life balance, a nuance that illustrates how contextual variables can lead to varying experiences across different regions (Oweidat et al., 2024). These findings suggest that broader economic and political factors must be considered when evaluating work-life balance challenges and potential solutions.

In summary, the literature identifies several key factors that affect work-life balance: occupational stress, gender disparities, organizational culture, and socio-economic context. The results of studies from various countries underscore how these components interact differently depending on geographic and cultural contexts, affecting how individuals experience work-life balance. Addressing these challenges necessitates a concerted effort focusing on improving organizational support structures, promoting equitable work environments, and fostering a culture that acknowledges and mitigates the factors contributing to employee burnout and work-life imbalance.

The findings of this literature review concerning work-life balance reveal striking similarities and discrepancies when compared to previous studies in this domain. The emerging discourse around

work-life balance consistently underscores the correlation between institutional support, organizational culture, and individual experiences of burnout, stress, and overall job satisfaction. However, the nuances of these relationships vary significantly based on contextual factors such as geographical location, economic conditions, and gender dynamics.

One of the primary areas of alignment with previous literature is the identification of high levels of burnout among healthcare professionals. Studies conducted in various countries, including the United States and Canada, emphasize that emotional exhaustion remains a critical concern, impacting both individual well-being and the quality of care provided to patients (Wang et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2020). A systematic review by Singh et al. reinforces this finding, indicating that nurse academics experience significant workloads that contribute to high levels of occupational stress—consistent with the patterns seen across other sectors (Singh et al., 2020). This comparison highlights a continuing trend where professionals in demanding fields, particularly healthcare, are at elevated risk for burnout due to systemic factors, aligning with findings from diverse geographical contexts.

Moreover, the cultural context plays an essential role in how work-life balance is perceived and managed. Research has shown that in developed countries, there is a relatively higher implementation of supportive work policies that foster a positive environment for employees, thereby enhancing their work-life satisfaction. For example, organizational practices that prioritize employee mental health and well-being have been correlated with lower burnout rates (Sigblad et al., 2020). Conversely, developing countries often lack such institutional support structures, as highlighted by findings from research in Jordan, where nurses expressed significant challenges in balancing their professional responsibilities with domestic obligations, leading to heightened stress and reduced job satisfaction (Haridass et al., 2023). These results suggest that without institutional support, employees in lower-income regions may experience greater difficulties in achieving a balance between work and personal life.

The literature also corroborates the presence of gender disparities in perceptions of work-life balance. Female professionals consistently report a more challenging struggle to reconcile familial and professional responsibilities, often feeling pressured to conform to societal norms that dictate their roles (Wang et al., 2019). The experiences documented in various studies reflect a more pronounced impact of these disparities in developed countries, where women are still navigating professional advancement amid traditional expectations related to family care (Maloni et al., 2022; Lock & Carrieri, 2022). In contrast, in many developing nations, these challenges are compounded by more rigid societal structures that may limit women's opportunities in the workforce altogether, suggesting significant inequities that need to be addressed.

Empirical data fills in the gaps between these observations, providing statistical support to the qualitative findings. Studies indicate that healthcare professionals in various nations report burnout rates between 40% and 60%, with specific populations like nurses and junior doctors often reporting even higher levels (Ryan et al., 2022; Lee et al., 2022). Research from Canada echoes these findings, revealing that structural factors within healthcare settings significantly affect nurses' job performance and work-life balance, resonating with data from other developed regions (Sigblad et al., 2020). Conversely, in developing countries, while specific burnout rates may not be as heavily documented, existing literature suggests a drastically different landscape where informal

support structures become essential for maintaining a semblance of work-life balance among women and vulnerable populations (Kheiri et al., 2021).

The systemic factors contributing to work-life balance challenges extend beyond occupational settings, with economic disparities playing a substantial role in shaping work-life integration. Developed countries tend to allocate more resources to workplace well-being programs, which positively impact employees' ability to maintain a balance between work and personal life (Kheiri et al., 2021). Meanwhile, developing nations often experience financial constraints that hinder the implementation of supportive policies, placing a heavier burden on individuals to manage their responsibilities independently. A comparative study revealed that employees in economically stable regions report higher job satisfaction due to the presence of institutionalized well-being initiatives, whereas those in resource-constrained environments struggle with increased stress due to the absence of such mechanisms (Wang et al., 2019; Berg et al., 2020).

Another key contributor to work-life balance disparities is organizational culture. Organizations that cultivate a culture of flexibility, open communication, and employee support tend to observe lower burnout rates and higher retention levels. A study on veterinary professionals highlighted that those who perceived their workplaces as supportive were more likely to remain in their roles and report job satisfaction (Ryan et al., 2022). Conversely, hierarchical and rigid work environments have been found to exacerbate stress, particularly among employees in high-pressure fields such as medicine and academia (Lock & Carrieri, 2022). These findings reinforce the need for organizations to foster cultures that recognize the importance of work-life balance as a component of long-term productivity and employee well-being.

Despite these insights, certain limitations in the existing research should be acknowledged. The majority of studies rely on cross-sectional data, which captures only a snapshot of work-life balance experiences rather than long-term trends. Longitudinal studies examining the evolution of work-life balance under different policy implementations are necessary to provide more comprehensive insights. Additionally, much of the literature focuses on Western and high-income regions, leaving gaps in the understanding of work-life balance in underrepresented populations and lower-income settings. Further research is needed to explore how cultural norms, economic stability, and governmental policies interact to shape work-life experiences in diverse global contexts.

Furthermore, while this review highlights significant findings, the methodological variations across studies present challenges in drawing definitive conclusions. Differences in sample sizes, industry-specific considerations, and survey methodologies create inconsistencies in reported outcomes. Addressing these methodological discrepancies through standardized research approaches will be crucial in refining the understanding of work-life balance across different professions and regions. Future studies should also incorporate intersectional perspectives, analyzing how race, socio-economic background, and disability status further influence work-life balance experiences.

Another crucial area for further research is the impact of emerging workplace technologies on work-life balance. With remote work becoming more prevalent, studies need to assess whether digital tools improve or hinder work-life integration. Preliminary research suggests that while remote work offers flexibility, it may also blur the boundaries between professional and personal life, leading to increased burnout (Byrnes et al., 2020). A deeper exploration of these technological

impacts can provide actionable insights into how digital transformations affect work-life balance dynamics across different industries.

In summary, the systemic factors contributing to work-life balance problems are multifaceted, intersecting across workload, organizational culture, economic conditions, demographic differences, and mental health considerations. Addressing these systemic issues is essential for improving work-life balance and employee well-being, requiring concerted efforts from organizations, policymakers, and researchers to implement effective strategies that support professional and personal life integration. This review enriches the existing literature by highlighting these systemic contributors while providing a foundation for future research aimed at exploring solutions tailored to varying contexts across the globe.

CONCLUSION

This study has highlighted the significant challenges associated with work-life balance, emphasizing the crucial role of institutional support, organizational culture, and socio-economic factors in shaping employees' experiences. The findings underscore the prevalence of burnout, particularly among healthcare professionals, and the gender disparities that further exacerbate work-life conflicts. While developed countries benefit from more comprehensive policies, developing nations face substantial barriers due to economic and cultural constraints.

To move from awareness to action, policymakers should prioritize the implementation of legally binding flexible work policies, mandatory mental health resource allocation in public institutions, and transparent systems for tracking gender equity in promotion and retention. Organizations should adopt evidence-based programs such as resilience training, workload redistribution models, and care leave equity initiatives. Educational and healthcare institutions, specifically, must address structural rigidities—like inflexible tenure systems or non-compensated overtime—that undermine well-being.

Researchers are encouraged to develop interdisciplinary frameworks that integrate cultural, economic, and psychological variables to better understand the complex nature of work-life integration. Intersectional longitudinal studies across healthcare and academia can illuminate how policy interventions evolve in practice. Additionally, future research should examine the effects of remote work technologies and AI integration on work-life boundaries, especially in post-pandemic academic and clinical environments.

Addressing these challenges with targeted, data-driven strategies will not only improve employee well-being and reduce turnover but also enhance institutional performance, making WLB not just a human resources priority—but a strategic imperative.

REFERENCE

Abusanad, A., Bensalem, A., Shash, E., Mula-Hussain, L., Benbrahim, Z., Khatib, S., Abdelhafiz, N., Ansari, J., Jradi, H., Alkattan, K., & Jazieh, A. R. (2021). Burnout in Oncology: Magnitude, Risk Factors and Screening Among Professionals From Middle East and North Africa (BOMENA Study). *Psycho-Oncology*, *30*(5), 736–746. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.5624

Achat, H. M., Stubbs, J. M., Mittal, R., Schindeler, S., & Gilroy, N. (2023). Protecting Workers' Well-Being In times of Crisis: The First Wave Of COVID-19 in an Australian Healthcare Setting in 2020. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, *16*(2/3), 157–172. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijwhm-04-2022-0071

Alzadjali, B., & Ahmad, S. Z. (2024). The Impacts of a High Commitment Work System on Well-Being: The Mediating Role of Organization Support and Employee Work-Life Balance. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, *56*(1), 53–77. https://doi.org/10.1108/ict-11-2022-0084

Aslan, T. K., BURUCU, R., & Akdoğan, Y. (2023). Factors Affecting Work-Life Balance and Psychological Resilience Levels of Nurses Working in Internal Clinics During COVID-19. *European Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, *21*(3), 506–512. https://doi.org/10.15584/ejcem.2023.3.12

Avolio, B., & Cajo, J. M. C. (2024). Identifying Factors Influencing Women Academics in STEM Careers: Evidence From a Latin American Country. *International Journal of Educational Management*, *38*(5), 1357–1374. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijem-02-2023-0082

Berg, M. J. van den, Signal, T. L., & Gander, P. H. (2020). Fatigue Risk Management for Cabin Crew: The Importance of Company Support and   Sufficient Rest for Work-Life Balance—a Qualitative Study. *Industrial Health*, *58*(1), 2–14. https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.2018-0233

Byrnes, C., Ganapathy, V. A., Lam, M., Mogensen, L., & Hu, W. (2020). Medical student perceptions of curricular influences on their wellbeing: A qualitative study. *BMC Medical Education*, *20*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02203-4

Chim, J. M. Y., & Chen, T. L. (2023). Prediction of Work From Home and Musculoskeletal Discomfort: An Investigation of Ergonomic Factors in Work Arrangements and Home Workstation Setups Using the COVID-19 Experience. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(4), 3050. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20043050

Cho, E. Y., & Wee, H. (2023). Factors Affecting Nurse Retention Intention: With a Focus on Shift Nurses in South Korea. *Healthcare*, *11*(8), 1167. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11081167

Costa, C., Teodoro, M., Vita, A. D., Giambò, F., Mento, C., Muscatello, M. R. A., Alibrandi, A., Italia, S., & Fenga, C. (2022). Factors Affecting Perceived Work Environment, Wellbeing, and Coping Styles: A Comparison Between Physicians and Nurses During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(17), 11104. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191711104

Dinibutun, S. R. (2023). Factors Affecting Burnout and Job Satisfaction of Physicians at Public and Private Hospitals: A Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Healthcare Leadership*, *Volume 15*, 387–401. https://doi.org/10.2147/jhl.s440021

Eid, N. A. A., Arnout, B. A., & Almoied, A. A. (2020). Leader's Spiritual Intelligence and Religiousness: Skills, Factors Affecting, and Their Effects on Performance (A Qualitative Study by Grounded Theory). *Journal of Public Affairs*, *21*(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2129

Hagen, J. R., Weller, R., MAIR, T. S., & Kinnison, T. (2020). Investigation of Factors Affecting Recruitment and Retention in the UK Veterinary Profession. *Veterinary Record*, *187*(9), 354–354. https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.106044

Haridass, S., Kalva, S. P., Yadav, B., & Keshava, S. N. (2023). A Survey on Factors Influencing the Work–Family–Health Balance of an Interventional Radiologist. *Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging*, *34*(01), 60–68. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1772694

Kesiena, O., Atarere, J., & Benden, M. (2023). The Impact of Multiple Regions of Pain and Work-Life Balance Among Healthcare Workers. *Work*, *75*(1), 357–362. https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-211239

Kheiri, M., Gholizadeh, L., Taghdisi, M. H., Asghari, E., Musavi, M., Mahdavi, N., & Ghaffari, S. (2021). Factors Affecting the Quality of Work-Life of Nurses: A Correlational Study. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, *26*(7), 618–629. https://doi.org/10.1177/17449871211023802

Lee, C. C., Lim, H. S., Seo, D., & Kwak, D.-H. (2022). Examining Employee Retention and Motivation: The Moderating Effect of Employee Generation. *Evidence-Based HRM a Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship*, *10*(4), 385–402. https://doi.org/10.1108/ebhrm-05-2021-0101

Lock, F. K., & Carrieri, D. (2022). Factors Affecting the UK Junior Doctor Workforce Retention Crisis: An Integrative Review. *BMJ Open*, *12*(3), e059397. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059397

Maloni, K., Calligaro, K. D., Reed, A. B., Vani, K., Dougherty, M. J., & Troutman, D. A. (2022). Attitudes Regarding Pregnancy Among Vascular Surgery Trainees and Program Directors Based on a Survey of Vascular Residents, Vascular Fellows, and the Association of Program Directors in Vascular Surgery. *Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*, *56*(6), 561–565. https://doi.org/10.1177/15385744221091384

Matsuo, M., Suzuki, E., Takayama, Y., Shibata, S., & Sato, K. (2021). Influence of Striving for Work–Life Balance and Sense of Coherence on Intention to Leave Among Nurses: A 6-Month Prospective Survey. *Inquiry the Journal of Health Care Organization Provision and Financing*, *58*. https://doi.org/10.1177/00469580211005192

Meng, A., Sundstrup, E., & Andersen, L. L. (2020). Factors Contributing to Retirement Decisions in Denmark: Comparing Employees Who Expect to Retire Before, At, and After the State Pension Age. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(9), 3338. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17093338

Oweidat, I., Omari, A., ALBashtawy, M., Omar, S. A., Alrahbeni, T., Al-Mugheed, K., & Alsheikh, A. (2024). Factors Affecting the Quality of Working Life Among Nurses Caring for Syrian Refugee Camps in Jordan. *Human Resources for Health*, *22*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-023-00884-8

Ryan, E. G., Beatty, S. H., Gray, E., Field, N., Liston, R., Rhodes, V., & Donlon, J. (2022). Factors Affecting Retention of Veterinary Practitioners in Ireland: A Cross-Sectional Study With a Focus on Clinical Practice. *Irish Veterinary Journal*, *75*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13620-022-00222-9

Siddiqui, S., Mohamed, E., Johansson, A., Rock, L. K., Hartog, C. S., & Subramaniam, B. (2022). Understanding the Intricacies of Delivering Compassionate Care in the Intensive Care Unit and What Hinders It: A Qualitative Study of Members of 2 Critical Care Societies. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, *137*(1), 162–168. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0000000000006295

Sigblad, F., Savela, M., & Okenwa-Emegwa, L. (2020). Managers' Perceptions of Factors Affecting Employees' Uptake of Workplace Health Promotion (WHP) Offers. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00145

Singh, C., Cross, W., Munro, I., & Jackson, D. (2020). Occupational Stress Facing Nurse Academics—A Mixed-methods Systematic Review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *29*(5–6), 720–735. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15150

Skotnicki, B. S., Wilson, P. M., Kazmerski, T. M., Prideaux, J., Manole, M. D., Kinnane, J. M., & Lunoe, M. M. (2024). Work-Life Integration for Women in Pediatric Emergency Medicine. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, *40*(1), 71–75. https://doi.org/10.1097/pec.0000000000003106

Sykes-Bridge, I., Bordia, P., Garcia, P., Amarnani, R., & Bordia, S. (2022). Factors Affecting Request &Amp; Receipt of I-Deals: An Investigation in the Context of Older Workers. *Group & Organization Management*, *48*(1), 80–124. https://doi.org/10.1177/10596011221115988

Tejero, L. M. S., Seva, R. R., & Fadrilan-Camacho, V. F. F. (2021). Factors Associated With Work-Life Balance and Productivity Before and During Work From Home. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, *63*(12), 1065–1072. https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000000002377

Verma, S. K., Kumar, B. D., Singh, N., Kumari, P., Ranjan, M., & Verma, A. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19-Induced Factors on "Work From Home" of Employees. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, *13*(Suppl 2), S1000–S1002. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_247_21

Wallberg, M., Ljungberg, H. T., Brämberg, E. B., Nybergh, L., Jensen, I., & Olsson, C. (2023). Hindering and Enabling Factors for Young Employees With Common Mental Disorder to Remain at or Return to Work Affected by the Covid-19 Pandemic – A Qualitative Interview Study With Young Employees and Managers. *Plos One*, *18*(6), e0286819. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0286819

Wang, J.-F., Wu, C., Tsai, Y., Weng, S.-J., & Hsu, Y.-C. (2021). The Effects of Safety Climate on Psychosocial Factors: An Empirical Study in Healthcare Workplaces. *Journal of Patient Safety*, *18*(2), e528–e533. https://doi.org/10.1097/pts.0000000000000856

Wang, L. C., Mittal, A. G., Puttmann, K., Janzen, N., Palmer, L. S., Yerkes, E. B., Ryan, S. L., Gonzales, E. T., Roth, D. R., & Koh, C. J. (2019). The Changing Gender Landscape of Pediatric Urology Fellowship: Results From a Survey of Fellows and Recent Graduates. *Journal of Pediatric Urology*, *15*(1), 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2018.09.001