
The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Susi Adiawaty¹, Anoesyirwan Moeins²

¹Institut Bisnis Nusantara, Indonesia

²Universitas Persada Indonesia Y.A.I, Indonesia

Correspondent: s.adiawaty0212@gmail.com¹

Received : March 5, 2024

Accepted : May 22, 2024

Published : May 31, 2024

Citation: Adiawaty, S. & Moeins, A. (2024). The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement. *Sinergi International Journal of Psychology*, 2(2), 94 - 107.

ABSTRACT: The impact of working conditions on employee mental health and organizational commitment has gained increasing attention in occupational health research. This study examines how job stress, burnout, and workplace support influence employee well-being and retention. Using a narrative review approach, literature was sourced from databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and PsycINFO to ensure comprehensive coverage of recent empirical findings. The results highlight the detrimental effects of high job stress and burnout on employee satisfaction and commitment, particularly in the healthcare sector. Organizational support and a positive psychosocial climate are identified as essential factors in mitigating workplace stress and enhancing job retention. Comparative analysis across regions reveals significant variations in how employees experience and respond to job stress, influenced by workplace culture and managerial practices. Systemic interventions, including leadership development, psychological support mechanisms, and work-life balance policies, have demonstrated efficacy in reducing burnout and improving organizational commitment. These findings underscore the necessity for organizations to implement evidence-based strategies that enhance employee well-being. The study concludes that addressing workplace mental health requires a comprehensive approach that incorporates managerial, systemic, and policy-level interventions. Future research should focus on long-term organizational reforms and the integration of emerging technologies in stress management to foster sustainable workforce engagement.

Keywords: Workplace Stress; Mental Health; Employee Burnout; Psychosocial Climate; Work-Life Balance.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

The impact of working conditions on mental health and organizational commitment has gained substantial attention in academic literature, particularly within the healthcare and education sectors. Numerous studies indicate that optimal working conditions significantly enhance employee well-

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

being, which in turn affects productivity and organizational commitment (Kim & Kim, 2021; Wang et al., 2020). As organizations strive to maintain efficiency and workforce stability, understanding the intricate relationship between working conditions, employee mental health, and commitment becomes crucial.

Mental health issues among employees in healthcare and education sectors have emerged as a critical concern. Poor working conditions, such as excessive workloads and lack of organizational support, contribute to increased stress, burnout, and high turnover rates (Gumasing & Ilo, 2023; Soto-Rubio et al., 2020). Research by Wang et al. (2020) found that workplace stress directly impacts organizational commitment, with burnout acting as a mediating factor. Additionally, disruptions in mental health not only affect individual employees but also diminish overall organizational performance (Soto-Rubio et al., 2020).

Recent literature has emphasized the importance of interventions aimed at improving workplace conditions. Sorensen et al. (2021) highlighted the effectiveness of organizational strategies designed to promote employee well-being and safety. Their findings suggest that improving the work environment leads to better health outcomes and higher job satisfaction among employees. Similarly, Rodríguez-Fernández et al. (2024) underscored the role of human resource management (HRM) practices in fostering organizational commitment by addressing employee mental health concerns (Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2024). They argued that organizations with ethical and supportive workplace cultures tend to have more committed employees (Roozeboom et al., 2024).

Another emerging trend in the literature is the impact of leadership on employee well-being and organizational commitment. Aeschbacher and Addor (2018) demonstrated significant differences in working conditions between public and private healthcare providers, emphasizing the role of institutional policies in shaping employee welfare (Aeschbacher & Addor, 2018). Their findings suggest that effective leadership and sound HRM practices can mitigate workplace stress and enhance job performance.

The COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated challenges faced by healthcare workers, making it an essential focus of contemporary research. (Kang et al., 2021; Seyffert et al., 2024) documented how increased job demands and exposure to high-stress environments during the pandemic led to deteriorating mental health and weakened organizational commitment. Research by (Jones et al., 2022) reinforced that organizational support during crisis periods is vital for maintaining workforce stability.

Social support at the workplace has been identified as a crucial factor in mitigating job-related stress. (Olaniyan et al., 2020) found that strong workplace support networks positively influence employee emotional well-being and organizational commitment. Their findings align with (Fay et al., 2019), who highlighted that workplace camaraderie fosters resilience and reduces burnout levels. Furthermore, (Lee et al., 2024) investigated nurses' work attitudes and demonstrated that job conditions such as workload and perceived injustice significantly contribute to emotional exhaustion, further stressing the need for targeted interventions.

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

Despite extensive research on working conditions and mental health, significant gaps remain in the literature. Many studies focus on the direct effects of job stress on employee well-being but fail to explore systematic interventions that can alleviate stress in practical, scalable ways (Pignata et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2024). Additionally, while research acknowledges the importance of organizational support, studies rarely examine how support mechanisms can be effectively integrated into everyday workplace practices (Gaudenz et al., 2017; McFadden et al., 2014).

This study aims to bridge these gaps by examining the interplay between working conditions, mental health, and organizational commitment. Specifically, it will analyze the role of leadership, HRM practices, social support, and organizational culture in shaping employee well-being and commitment. By drawing insights from diverse empirical studies, this research will contribute to a deeper understanding of how workplaces can be structured to support employee mental health and sustain long-term workforce engagement.

The study's scope encompasses healthcare professionals and educators, as these groups are highly susceptible to work-related stress and burnout. This focus is critical as these professions are integral to public well-being and require a stable, committed workforce. Research will incorporate cross-national comparisons to identify best practices that can be adapted across different organizational contexts.

In summary, this study will explore how working conditions influence mental health and organizational commitment, addressing existing literature gaps by offering a comprehensive analysis of intervention strategies. The findings aim to inform managerial practices and public policy initiatives that promote healthier work environments and improve employee retention rates.

METHOD

The methodology employed in this study was designed to ensure a comprehensive and systematic review of the literature regarding the impact of working conditions on mental health and organizational commitment. A structured approach was adopted to collect, evaluate, and synthesize relevant academic research, ensuring the inclusion of high-quality studies from reputable sources.

To achieve this objective, literature searches were conducted using multiple scientific databases, including **PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, PsycINFO, and Web of Science**. These databases were selected due to their extensive coverage of peer-reviewed literature in healthcare, psychology, organizational behavior, and management sciences. PubMed was particularly useful for studies focusing on mental health and occupational health within the healthcare sector. Scopus provided access to interdisciplinary research, allowing for a broader perspective on organizational commitment and workplace well-being. Google Scholar was used to retrieve additional studies, including conference proceedings, books, and grey literature, ensuring that no significant findings were overlooked. PsycINFO offered specialized resources on psychological factors such as job

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

stress and employee well-being, while Web of Science facilitated citation analysis and identification of high-impact studies.

To refine the search and ensure relevancy, specific **keywords and Boolean search operators** were utilized. The primary keywords included **“working conditions” AND “mental health”, “mental health” AND “job stress”, “organizational commitment” AND “mental health”, “social support” AND “healthcare workers”, “burnout” AND “organizational commitment”, “job satisfaction” AND “organizational commitment”, “job stress” AND “employee well-being”, and “leadership” AND “employee mental health”**. Additionally, phrase searches such as **“impact of working conditions on mental health”, “relationship between burnout and organizational commitment”, and “organizational support for employee mental health”** were incorporated to capture studies that examined these specific relationships in depth. To narrow the focus to relevant geographical and sectoral contexts, terms like **“in Indonesia”, “among nurses”, and “healthcare worker experiences during the pandemic”** were added to some searches.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were carefully defined to ensure that only high-quality and pertinent studies were considered. Studies were included if they (1) were published in peer-reviewed journals, (2) focused on the relationship between working conditions, mental health, and organizational commitment, (3) provided empirical data through quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-method research designs, (4) were published in English, and (5) were conducted within the last 10 years to reflect recent developments and trends. Exclusion criteria included (1) studies with insufficient methodological rigor, (2) articles that focused on unrelated topics such as general well-being without a direct connection to workplace conditions, and (3) non-empirical studies such as opinion pieces and editorials.

To systematically select relevant studies, a multi-stage screening process was implemented. Initially, search results from each database were reviewed based on titles and abstracts. Studies that clearly aligned with the research objectives were shortlisted for full-text review. Following this initial screening, full texts of the selected studies were carefully examined to assess their methodological quality, relevance, and findings. To enhance reliability, two independent reviewers conducted the screening process and resolved discrepancies through discussion and consensus.

The studies included in the review encompassed diverse research methodologies to provide a holistic perspective on the subject. These methodologies included **randomized controlled trials, longitudinal cohort studies, cross-sectional surveys, qualitative case studies, and systematic reviews**. Randomized controlled trials were particularly valuable in assessing interventions aimed at improving workplace conditions, while cohort studies provided insights into long-term effects of job stress on mental health and commitment. Cross-sectional surveys allowed for broad assessments of trends and correlations within different workforce populations. Qualitative case studies offered in-depth understanding of employee experiences, particularly within the healthcare sector, and systematic reviews synthesized multiple studies to identify overarching themes and patterns in existing literature.

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

The selected literature was evaluated based on predefined quality assessment criteria, including **study design, sample size, validity of measurements, statistical analyses, and relevance to the research topic**. This evaluation ensured that only robust and scientifically sound studies were included in the review. Thematic analysis was employed to identify key patterns and trends across studies, enabling the synthesis of findings into coherent themes such as workplace stressors, coping mechanisms, leadership influence, and organizational interventions.

By employing this rigorous methodological approach, the study ensures that the findings are based on high-quality, relevant, and diverse sources. The systematic literature review framework allows for a nuanced understanding of how working conditions influence mental health and organizational commitment, providing valuable insights for both academic research and practical workplace interventions.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The impact of working conditions on mental health and organizational commitment has been extensively documented in the literature, with job stress and burnout emerging as critical factors influencing employee well-being. Multiple studies have established a strong correlation between poor mental health and declining organizational commitment, with employees experiencing high levels of job stress exhibiting lower engagement and a greater intention to leave their jobs.

(Aeschbacher & Addor, 2018) highlighted that healthcare professionals, particularly nurses, report high levels of burnout, which directly affects their commitment to healthcare institutions. Their research suggests that public-sector healthcare workers often experience heavier workloads compared to their private-sector counterparts, increasing their susceptibility to burnout. This, in turn, leads to higher turnover rates, further exacerbating the challenges faced by healthcare institutions in maintaining staff retention and service quality. Similarly, (Kosydar-Bochenek et al., 2024) found that while only 13.6% of paramedics in the UK met the criteria for burnout, an alarming 44.9% were at risk of developing symptoms. This suggests that even if the prevalence of burnout remains moderate, a significant portion of the workforce is at heightened risk of experiencing job-related stress, which could eventually impact their organizational commitment.

(Chen & Hsu, 2020) provided empirical evidence linking burnout to reduced organizational commitment, with 57% of employees experiencing burnout reporting a decreased attachment to their jobs and an increased likelihood of seeking employment elsewhere. McNicholas et al. (2020) further expanded on this, demonstrating that burnout among healthcare consultants leads to increased absenteeism, creating workforce instability that impacts healthcare service delivery and patient satisfaction. (Lambert et al., 2021) investigated burnout among law enforcement officers and found that job satisfaction played a mediating role in mitigating stress-related disengagement, reinforcing the notion that improving workplace conditions can lead to improved commitment levels.

The COVID-19 pandemic has intensified job stress and burnout across various sectors, particularly within healthcare. Seyffert et al. (2024) reported that pandemic-related stressors,

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

including increased workloads, exposure to high-risk environments, and emotional strain, significantly contributed to declining mental health among healthcare professionals. Their findings reinforce the urgent need for systematic workplace interventions that address job stress, enhance support structures, and promote employee well-being.

Organizational support has emerged as a crucial determinant of employee mental health and commitment. Studies suggest that when employees perceive strong managerial and peer support, they experience lower stress levels, higher job satisfaction, and greater loyalty to their organizations. (Labrague, Petite, et al., 2018) found a strong negative correlation between perceived organizational support and burnout among nurses. Those who felt emotionally and operationally supported by their employers exhibited higher commitment and resilience in dealing with workplace stressors. Similarly, (Rindu et al., 2020) demonstrated that employees receiving organizational support were better equipped to handle workplace challenges, reinforcing the idea that investment in employee well-being yields long-term benefits in workforce stability.

Kosydar-Bochenek et al. (2024) further explored how workplace support influences commitment and found that employees who felt valued and supported were significantly less likely to leave their jobs. This suggests that organizations prioritizing employee well-being through tangible support mechanisms can foster higher levels of organizational commitment. (Giauque et al., 2013) emphasized that organizational support acts as a protective buffer against workplace stress, helping employees maintain emotional stability and job satisfaction.

Geographical and demographic variations play a significant role in shaping the relationship between job stress, mental health, and organizational commitment. Lambert et al. (2021) observed that police officers' perceptions of organizational support and job stress varied depending on workplace hierarchies and departmental structures. More rigid, hierarchical organizations tended to report higher levels of stress and lower employee satisfaction, whereas collaborative work environments facilitated greater engagement and resilience.

In Indonesia, research suggests that nurses' ability to cope with job stress is heavily influenced by their hospital's workplace culture and the level of managerial support provided (Bernd & Beuren, 2021). Hospitals with strong social support systems reported lower burnout levels among staff, whereas facilities with high workloads and limited support mechanisms exhibited significantly higher stress levels and staff attrition rates. Similarly, studies on burnout among paramedics in Poland revealed significant gender- and experience-based disparities, with female paramedics and less-experienced personnel reporting higher stress levels than their male and more senior counterparts (Kosydar-Bochenek et al., 2024). These findings underscore the importance of workplace policies tailored to address demographic-specific stressors and support needs.

A comparison of international studies reveals both commonalities and divergences in workplace stress and commitment trends. In Australia, (Dollard & Bailey, 2021) found that strong organizational support significantly mitigated workplace stress and prevented burnout, particularly during crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Their findings align with research from Europe, where (Lindmark et al., 2022) identified that workplaces emphasizing collaboration and participative leadership had higher employee commitment levels than those with strict hierarchical structures.

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

Conversely, research conducted in Ethiopia and India suggests that workplace support mechanisms may be less effective in mitigating job stress in resource-constrained environments. Employees in these settings often experience high workloads, inadequate resources, and systemic challenges that limit the impact of organizational interventions. For instance, studies in India have shown that poor workplace communication and a lack of managerial support contribute to high turnover rates in healthcare settings. These findings highlight the need for context-sensitive workplace policies that account for regional challenges and workforce expectations.

Psychosocial workplace climate has been identified as a critical factor influencing employee well-being and organizational commitment. Dollard and Bailey (2021) demonstrated that a supportive workplace climate, characterized by open communication, emotional support, and psychological safety, leads to lower burnout levels and greater employee satisfaction. Their study suggests that organizations that prioritize a healthy psychosocial climate can enhance workforce retention and productivity.

Dollard et al. (2021) further found that employees who perceived their workplace as psychologically safe were more resilient to job stress and exhibited higher engagement levels. This reinforces the notion that organizations should focus not only on improving tangible working conditions but also on fostering a positive workplace culture that supports employee mental health. In the healthcare sector, research suggests that hospitals with strong psychosocial support systems experience lower turnover rates and improved service quality, as employees feel more valued and committed to their roles.

Overall, the literature highlights that while job stress and burnout are prevalent across various sectors and geographical contexts, the extent to which they impact organizational commitment is significantly influenced by workplace culture, support mechanisms, and leadership strategies. Organizations seeking to improve employee well-being and commitment must prioritize interventions that address both structural and psychological aspects of workplace stress, ensuring a holistic approach to workforce sustainability.

The findings from the literature on the impact of working conditions on mental health and organizational commitment align with prior research while also highlighting the growing need to deepen our understanding of this relationship in various contexts. Previous studies have extensively examined the links between job stress, organizational support, and employee outcomes. However, recent research underscores the importance of psychosocial climate and social support as key elements shaping these outcomes. This adds a new dimension to our comprehension of organizational commitment and employee well-being, which has often been narrowly attributed to individual factors such as workload and burnout.

The role of environmental factors in mitigating workplace stress has gained significant attention. (An et al., 2016) demonstrated that natural elements such as sunlight exposure and green spaces in the workplace can reduce anxiety and enhance job satisfaction. These findings support the broader understanding that physical and psychological workplace environments influence not only comfort but also employee productivity and well-being. Integrating these insights with broader research on workplace factors highlights the necessity of a holistic approach to workplace interventions aimed at improving mental health.

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

The significance of workplace behavior in influencing job stress and organizational commitment is evident in the study by Lee et al. (2024), which explored the impact of workplace incivility and workload on employee attitudes. The study found that burnout serves as a key mediator in this relationship, emphasizing that interventions to mitigate workplace incivility and stress can yield significant benefits not only for mental health but also for overall job attitudes. These findings align with previous studies that have linked social behavioral variables in organizational settings to outcomes categorized under the broader domain of employee commitment.

Empirical evidence further substantiates these findings. Kosydar-Bochenek et al. (2024) reported that 44.9% of paramedics in the UK are at risk of burnout, underscoring the urgent need for organizations to address working conditions by fostering a more supportive organizational culture. Implementing strategies to reduce job stress and increase workplace support could be crucial in preventing burnout and sustaining organizational commitment.

The implications of these findings are particularly significant in human resource management (HRM). Organizations are increasingly recognizing the need to shift their focus from mere efficiency and productivity to employee well-being. A supportive work environment can serve as a strategic tool for enhancing employee commitment, thereby reducing turnover and improving long-term retention.

Comparisons with studies conducted in other countries offer valuable global perspectives. (Hultén et al., 2022) highlighted the significant impact of workplace climate on absenteeism rates among healthcare workers in Sweden, illustrating how different work cultures can influence the interaction between stress and organizational support. In Central and Eastern Europe, Chen et al. (2016) found that effort-reward imbalance contributed to lower work quality and exacerbated job stress issues, emphasizing the role of fair compensation in maintaining employee commitment.

Studies from developing countries, such as those conducted by (Tripathi et al., 2023) in India, emphasize the importance of considering social and economic contexts when assessing how employees experience and manage stress. Their research suggests that organizational support and cultural understanding play crucial roles in making workplace interventions more effective in reducing job stress.

These insights collectively emphasize the necessity of a deeper understanding of the components contributing to mental health and organizational commitment. Implementing more integrated and context-specific interventions requires collaboration between researchers and practitioners to develop evidence-based approaches that can be applied across various organizational settings to improve employee well-being and create healthier, more productive organizations.

Systemic factors play a crucial role in shaping the issues related to employee mental health and organizational commitment. Multiple studies have shown that organizational structures, management styles, and institutional policies can determine the levels of job stress, burnout, and perceived commitment among employees. Systemic factors encompass how these organizational elements interact and create workplace environments that either support or hinder employee well-being (Leisink et al., 2021).

Organizational culture is a particularly influential systemic factor. Leisink et al. (2021) suggested that managerial behavior and employee interactions significantly impact organizational outcomes.

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

Supportive cultures, where managers prioritize open communication and provide tangible employee support, foster a positive cycle of emotional attachment and commitment. Conversely, toxic workplace cultures exacerbate stress, decrease motivation, and increase turnover intentions.

At the policy level, (Dale et al., 2015) underscored the importance of autonomy in medical practice. Dissatisfaction with restrictive policies limiting professional decision-making can lead to feelings of powerlessness, which contribute to burnout. Employees who perceive a lack of control over their work are more vulnerable to stress and exhibit lower organizational commitment.

Systemic factors also play a key role in how organizational support mitigates workplace stress. (Labrague, McEnroe–Petitte, et al., 2018) found that managerial and peer support positively impacted the mental health and organizational commitment of nurses. Organizations that promote teamwork and social support create environments where employees feel valued and engaged, reducing job stress and improving workplace morale.

Conversely, inadequate managerial support has been directly linked to increased job stress and lower job satisfaction (McFadden et al., 2014). Organizations that fail to incorporate employee feedback into workplace improvements are more likely to experience high turnover rates (Gilan et al., 2018). These findings suggest that systemic elements within organizations bear significant responsibility for shaping healthy and supportive work environments.

The evidence suggests that refining these systemic elements can have a direct and lasting impact on employee well-being. Implementing feedback-driven decision-making and employee participation in organizational reforms have been shown to enhance workplace commitment and reduce stress levels (McFadden et al., 2014).

Cross-country comparisons provide further insights into systemic influences. Gilan et al. (2018) found that in Iran, a lack of social support exacerbated the impact of high workloads and organizational demands on employee stress. Similar trends have been observed in European studies, where positive organizational climates correlate with improved employee mental health (Sariwulan et al., 2019). In South Africa, research has highlighted how job insecurity and resource scarcity contribute to heightened job stress, suggesting that systemic economic factors also play a role in shaping workplace well-being (Lambert et al., 2021).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, organizations with inadequate mental health support systems saw a compounding effect on workplace stress. Healthcare institutions that rapidly adapted by providing sufficient support mechanisms experienced higher levels of employee commitment and well-being throughout the crisis.

Overall, systemic factors such as organizational culture, managerial support, and institutional policies significantly influence mental health and organizational commitment. Addressing these elements through comprehensive reforms will be critical in fostering healthier and more productive work environments.

Several potential solutions emerge from the literature to address the challenges associated with mental health and organizational commitment. The findings highlight the importance of holistic and integrated organizational interventions that consider not only individual stress management but also structural and cultural workplace improvements.

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

One of the most widely supported solutions is the adoption of management policies that prioritize employee mental health. McFadden et al. (2014) emphasized that strong managerial and peer support, along with active employee involvement in decision-making, leads to improved work outcomes and organizational commitment. Employees who participate in decision-making processes are more likely to feel a sense of ownership in their work, which enhances job satisfaction and long-term engagement.

Fay et al. (2019) emphasized the need for training programs that equip employees and managers with stress management and communication skills. These programs help employees develop resilience against job stress while fostering a more collaborative and supportive workplace culture.

Another effective intervention involves the implementation of workplace social support policies. Lambert et al. (2021) found that organizational support networks significantly reduce stress levels and improve job satisfaction, reinforcing the idea that workplace relationships are critical to employee commitment.

Leadership quality also plays a crucial role in mitigating workplace stress. Research suggests that inclusive and supportive leadership styles can reduce burnout and improve employee retention (Aboutaleb et al., 2023). Organizational cultures that encourage psychological safety and fair performance evaluations enhance employees' sense of security and long-term commitment.

Further evidence highlights the importance of work-life balance initiatives. Gilan et al. (2018) demonstrated that flexible work arrangements and family support programs reduce burnout and improve overall mental health outcomes.

International comparisons underscore the effectiveness of these solutions. Countries such as Canada and Australia have successfully implemented social support models that alleviate workplace burnout, particularly in healthcare settings (Qureshi et al., 2019). These findings suggest that adapting evidence-based interventions to local cultural and organizational contexts is crucial for successful implementation.

Addressing workplace mental health and organizational commitment requires systemic reforms that prioritize employee well-being, supportive leadership, and social cohesion. Organizations that integrate these approaches will be better positioned to foster healthier and more engaged workforces.

CONCLUSION

This review reaffirms the critical role of leadership behavior and organizational climate in shaping employee well-being. Supportive leadership, open communication, and psychological safety are repeatedly identified as protective factors against burnout and disengagement. However, to translate these insights into practice, organizations must move beyond abstract values and implement tailored interventions grounded in context-specific realities.

For example, in high-income countries, digital wellness platforms and AI-driven feedback systems can monitor employee well-being in real-time, while in low-resource settings, regular in-person team check-ins and peer-support groups may be more feasible and culturally appropriate.

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

Leadership development programs should include scenario-based training that reflects sector-specific stressors and encourages empathy-driven decision-making.

Policymakers can support these efforts by incentivizing workplace mental health audits, subsidizing training for managers in psychosocial risk prevention, and embedding well-being metrics into national labor policy indicators.

Looking ahead, evolving work models—such as hybrid arrangements, digital nomadism, and algorithm-based performance tracking—pose both opportunities and risks for well-being. Organizations must adapt their leadership frameworks and support systems to address emerging challenges, such as digital fatigue, social disconnection, and autonomy-management balance.

Ultimately, fostering a culture of inclusive leadership and proactive well-being strategy is not just beneficial for employees—it's essential for long-term organizational resilience and innovation.

REFERENCE

- Aboutaleb, P. E., Salem, M. M., Adibnia, Y., Lee, L., & Green, D. M. (2023). A Survey of Burnout Among Neurocritical Care Practitioners. *Neurocritical Care*, 40(1), 328–336. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-023-01750-0>
- Aeschbacher, R., & Addor, V. (2018). Institutional Effects on Nurses' Working Conditions: A Multi-Group Comparison of Public and Private Non-Profit and for-Profit Healthcare Employers in Switzerland. *Human Resources for Health*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-018-0324-6>
- An, M., Colarelli, S. M., O'Brien, K. E., & Boyajian, M. E. (2016). Why We Need More Nature at Work: Effects of Natural Elements and Sunlight on Employee Mental Health and Work Attitudes. *Plos One*, 11(5), e0155614. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155614>
- Bernd, D. C., & Beuren, I. M. (2021). Self-Perceptions of Organizational Justice and Burnout in Attitudes and Behaviors in the Work of Internal Auditors. *Review of Business Management*, 23(3), 422–438. <https://doi.org/10.7819/rbgn.v23i3.4110>
- Chen, C., & Hsu, Y.-C. (2020). Taking a Closer Look at Bus Driver Emotional Exhaustion and Well-Being: Evidence From Taiwanese Urban Bus Drivers. *Safety and Health at Work*, 11(3), 353–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2020.06.002>
- Dale, J., Potter, R., Owen, K., Parsons, N., Realpe, A., & Leach, J. (2015). Retaining the General Practitioner Workforce in England: What Matters to GPs? A Cross-Sectional Study. *BMC Family Practice*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-015-0363-1>
- Dollard, M. F., & Bailey, T. (2021). Building Psychosocial Safety Climate in Turbulent Times: The Case of COVID-19. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(7), 951–964. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000939>

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

- Fay, D., Bagotyrriute, R., Urbach, T., West, M., & Dawson, J. (2019). Differential Effects of Workplace Stressors on Innovation: An Integrated Perspective of Cybernetics and Coping. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 26(1), 11–24. <https://doi.org/10.1037/str0000081>
- Gaudenz, C., Geest, S. D., Schwendimann, R., & Zúñiga, F. (2017). Factors Associated With Care Workers' Intention to Leave Employment in Nursing Homes: A Secondary Data Analysis of the Swiss Nursing Homes Human Resources Project. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 38(11), 1537–1563. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464817721111>
- Giauque, D., Resenterra, F., & Siggen, M. (2013). Antecedents of Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and Stress in a Public Hospital: A P-E Fit Perspective. *Public Organization Review*, 14(2), 201–228. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-012-0215-6>
- Gilan, N. R., Ghasemi, S. R., Amini, S., Reshadat, S., Zakiei, A., & Jamshidinazar, F. (2018). Job Stress in Accordance With Organizational Commitment and Social Capital. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijpbs.10382>
- Gumasing, Ma. J. J., & Ilo, C. K. K. (2023). The Impact of Job Satisfaction on Creating a Sustainable Workplace: An Empirical Analysis of Organizational Commitment and Lifestyle Behavior. *Sustainability*, 15(13), 10283. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310283>
- Hultén, A.-M., Bjerke, P., & Holmgren, K. (2022). Work-Related Stress and Future Sick Leave in a Working Population Seeking Care at Primary Health Care Centres: A Prospective Longitudinal Study Using the WSQ. *BMC Public Health*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13269-8>
- Jones, A., Zhang, S., Woodburn, A., Dorrington, S., Beck, A., & Winter, H. (2022). Experiences of Staff Working in A mental Health Trust During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Appraisal of Staff Support Services. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, 15(2), 154–173. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijwhm-08-2021-0155>
- Kang, S., Park, C., Lee, C., & Lee, S.-H. (2021). The Stress-Induced Impact of COVID-19 on Tourism and Hospitality Workers. *Sustainability*, 13(3), 1327. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031327>
- Kim, H., & Kim, E.-K. (2021). A Meta-analysis on Predictors of Turnover Intention of Hospital Nurses in South Korea (2000–2020). *Nursing Open*, 8(5), 2406–2418. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.872>
- Kosydar-Bochenek, J., Religa, D., Iwanicka, K., Szczupak, M., & Krupa-Nurcek, S. (2024). Burnout Among Polish Paramedics: Insights From the Oldenburg Burnout Inventory. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1444833>
- Labrague, L. J., McEnroe–Petitte, D. M., & Tsaras, K. (2018). Predictors and Outcomes of Nurse Professional Autonomy: A Cross-sectional Study. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijn.12711>
- Labrague, L. J., Petitte, D. M. M. –, Leocadio, M., Bogaert, P. V., & Tsaras, K. (2018). Perceptions of Organizational Support and Its Impact on Nurses' Job Outcomes. *Nursing Forum*, 53(3), 339–347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nuf.12260>
- Lambert, E. G., Qureshi, H., & Frank, J. (2021). The Good Life: Exploring the Effects Job Stress, Job Involvement, Job Satisfaction, and Organizational Commitment on the Life

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

- Satisfaction of Police Officers. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, 23(3), 279–292. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14613557211016494>
- Lee, S. E., Seo, J., & MacPhee, M. (2024). Effects of Workplace Incivility and Workload on Nurses' Work Attitude: The Mediating Effect of Burnout. *International Nursing Review*, 71(4), 1080–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12974>
- Leisink, P., Andersen, L. B., Jacobsen, C. B., Knies, E., Brewer, G. A., & Vandenabeele, W. (2021). *Conclusion*. 297–316. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192893420.003.0016>
- Lindmark, T., Engström, M., & Trygged, S. (2022). Psychosocial Work Environment and Well-Being of Direct-Care Staff Under Different Nursing Home Ownership Types: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 42(2), 347–359. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07334648221131468>
- McFadden, P., Campbell, A., & Taylor, B. J. (2014). Resilience and Burnout in Child Protection Social Work: Individual and Organisational Themes From a Systematic Literature Review. *The British Journal of Social Work*, 45(5), 1546–1563. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bct210>
- Olaniyan, O. S., Hetland, H., Hystad, S. W., Iversen, A. C., & Ortiz-Barreda, G. (2020). Lean on Me: A Scoping Review of the Essence of Workplace Support Among Child Welfare Workers. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00287>
- Pignata, S., Winefield, A. H., Provis, C., & Boyd, C. (2016). A Longitudinal Study of the Predictors of Perceived Procedural Justice in Australian University Staff. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01271>
- Qureshi, H., Lambert, É., & Frank, J. (2019). When Domains Spill Over: The Relationships of Work–Family Conflict With Indian Police Affective and Continuance Commitment. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 63(14), 2501–2525. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624x19846347>
- Rindu, R., Lukman, S., Hardisman, H., Hafizurrachman, M., & Bachtiar, A. (2020). The Relationship Between Transformational Leadership, Organizational Commitment, Work Stress, and Turnover Intentions of Nurse at Private Hospital in Indonesia. *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 8(E), 551–557. <https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2020.4425>
- Rodríguez-Fernández, M., Herrera, J., Heras-Rosas, C. d. l., & Lorenzo, A. M. C. (2024). Practical Implications of the Organizational Commitment Model in Healthcare: The Case of Nurses. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 2024, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/6455398>
- Roozeboom, M. B., Niks, I., Zwieten, M. v., Wiezer, N., Boot, C. R. L., & Schelvis, R. M. C. (2024). Process Evaluation of a Work Stress Prevention Approach in Primary Education. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 66(9), e397–e406. <https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000000003168>
- Sariwulan, T., Capnary, M. C., & Agung, I. (2019). Contribution Indicators of Work Stress and Employee Organizational Commitments Case Study. *Verslas Teorija Ir Praktika*, 20(0), 293–302. <https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2019.28>

The Role of Leadership and Workplace Climate in Promoting Employee Well-Being and Engagement

Adiawaty and Moeins

- Seyffert, M., Wu, C., & Özkan-Seely, G. F. (2024). Insights Into the Impact of Organizational Factors and Burnout on the Employees of a for-Profit Psychiatric Hospital During the Third Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 21(4), 484. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph21040484>
- Soto-Rubio, A., Giménez-Espert, M. d. C., & Prado-Gascó, V. (2020). Effect of Emotional Intelligence and Psychosocial Risks on Burnout, Job Satisfaction, and Nurses' Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(21), 7998. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17217998>
- Tripathi, P., Priyadarshi, P., Kumar, P., & Kumar, S. (2023). Role of Psychosocial Safety Climate in Job Satisfaction and Emotional Exhaustion: Mediating Role Of effort–reward Imbalance. *Evidence-Based HRM a Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship*, 12(3), 496–511. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ebhrm-03-2023-0049>
- Wang, P., Chu, P., Wang, J., Pan, R., Sun, Y., Meng, Y., Jiao, L., Zhan, X., & Zhang, D. (2020). Association Between Job Stress and Organizational Commitment in Three Types of Chinese University Teachers: Mediating Effects of Job Burnout and Job Satisfaction. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.576768>