

SINERCI KAWULA MUDA Page No: 181 - 197

The Ambivalent Impact of Digital Technology on Loneliness: Navigating Connection and Isolation in the Digital Age

Maria Yuni Megarini Cahyono¹, Susi Adiawaty² ¹Universitas Kristen Maranatha, Indonesia ²Institut Bisnis Nusantara Jakarta, Indonesia Correspondent: maria.ym@psy.maranatha.edu

Received : June 15, 2024 Accepted : August 12, 2024 Published : August 31, 2024

Citation: Cahyono, M. Y. M. & Adiawaty, S. (2024). The Ambivalent Impact of Digital Technology on Loneliness: Navigating Connection and Isolation in the Digital Age. Sinergi International Journal of Psychology, 2(3), 181 – 197.

ABSTRACT: Loneliness and social isolation have gained increasing attention as significant public health concerns, exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. This study explores the psychological, social, and demographic dimensions of loneliness, emphasizing its impact on mental health and wellbeing. A systematic literature review was conducted using multiple academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. The findings confirm that loneliness is strongly associated with depression, anxiety, and psychological distress, with young women and older adults being particularly vulnerable. Digital technology has played a dual role, offering both social support and, in some cases, reinforcing social disconnection. Regional disparities highlight that economic insecurity and limited healthcare access further exacerbate social isolation in developing nations. The discussion emphasizes the importance of targeted policy interventions, including community-based programs, mental health integration into primary healthcare, and public awareness campaigns. Technology should be used strategically to enhance social connectivity rather than replace face-to-face interactions. The study identifies key research gaps, such as the need for longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of loneliness and intervention effectiveness. Future research should explore the intersection of loneliness with socioeconomic determinants to develop holistic, evidence-based strategies for mitigating its effects. Addressing loneliness requires a multifaceted, collaborative approach integrating social, economic, and technological solutions to enhance overall well-being.

Keywords: Loneliness; Social isolation; Mental health; COVID-19; Community interventions; Digital technology; Public health policy.

		This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license
--	--	--

INTRODUCTION

Loneliness, a complex and multifaceted phenomenon, has garnered increasing attention in both academic and practical contexts, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, which

significantly disrupted social interactions on a global scale. Defined as the subjective feeling of social isolation, loneliness has been linked to a multitude of adverse psychological and physical health outcomes. Studies have consistently demonstrated its strong association with increased risks of depression, anxiety, and other mental health disorders (Ellis et al., 2020; Hards et al., 2021; Werner et al., 2021). Furthermore, prolonelged experiences of loneliness have been shown to exacerbate emotional and cognitive decline, particularly among vulnerable populations (Dellazizzo et al., 2021; Hussein et al., 2024; Imran et al., 2020). Given its widespread impact, loneliness worldwide, leading to increased healthcare burdens and associated socioeconomic costs (Albasheer et al., 2024; Casanova et al., 2021; Paquet et al., 2023).

While loneliness is often perceived as a condition affecting predominantly older adults, research indicates that it is not confined to any single demographic group. Adolescents, university students, and even children have been found to experience loneliness, particularly in response to social disruptions such as remote learning and restricted in-person interactions during the pandemic (Yao-dong et al., 2022; Zhen et al., 2021). For instance, numerous studies have highlighted a marked rise in self-reported loneliness among students due to the transition to online education and reduced peer engagement (Al-Oraibi et al., 2022; Baloch et al., 2021). This underscores the critical need to understand the underlying causes and consequences of loneliness across different populations and to develop effective interventions aimed at mitigating its negative effects (Beogo et al., 2023; Yates et al., 2023).

Over the past five years, research on loneliness has evolved, with several key trends emerging in the literature. First, the psychological impact of loneliness has gained prominence as empirical studies increasingly document its role in exacerbating mental health conditions across diverse age groups (Ellis et al., 2020; Paquet et al., 2023; Yao-dong et al., 2022). Notably, findings suggest that younger individuals and those living alone report significantly higher levels of loneliness (Yao-dong et al., 2022; Zhen et al., 2021). Second, the advent of digital communication technologies has led researchers to explore the dual role of social media—both as a tool for alleviating loneliness and as a potential contributor to social isolation when used excessively or inappropriately (Nguyen et al., 2022; Siddiq et al., 2023; Wang & Ma, 2024). The paradox of digital connectivity highlights the nuanced ways in which technology can shape social interactions and emotional well-being (Charmaraman et al., 2021; Yates et al., 2023).

Additionally, scholars have begun shifting their focus from perceiving loneliness purely as a detrimental state to understanding it as a complex experience that can also foster self-reflection and resilience (Rodriguez et al., 2020; Yamamoto et al., 2020). Research suggests that in some cases, solitude can provide opportunities for personal growth and emotional development when framed in a constructive manner (Gavriluță et al., 2022). Moreover, there has been increasing interest in community-based interventions designed to reduce loneliness, such as "social prescribing," which encourages individuals to engage in structured social activities to enhance their sense of belonging (Casanova et al., 2021; Derrer-Merk et al., 2022).

The Ambivalent Impact of Digital Technology on Loneliness: Navigating Connection and Isolation in the Digital Age Cahyono and Adiawaty

Despite the growing body of literature on loneliness, significant research gaps remain. One of the primary challenges in studying loneliness lies in its inherently subjective nature, making it difficult to measure with absolute precision (Liu et al., 2021; Newby et al., 2020). Existing scales and surveys, while useful, often fail to capture the full complexity of loneliness across different cultural and demographic contexts (Dornan et al., 2021; Prati & Mancini, 2021; Rahiem et al., 2021). Consequently, discrepancies in measurement methodologies have resulted in inconsistent findings, hindering the development of universally applicable interventions (Gabarrell-Pascuet et al., 2023; Kostopoulou, 2023).

Another major limitation is the difficulty in establishing causal relationships between loneliness and its psychological effects. While many studies document correlations between loneliness and mental health issues such as depression and anxiety, the directionality of this relationship remains unclear (Newby et al., 2020; Wong et al., 2020). Does loneliness precipitate mental health problems, or do pre-existing psychological conditions contribute to feelings of isolation? The lack of longitudinal studies makes it challenging to draw definitive conclusions on the temporal dynamics of loneliness (Bellhouse et al., 2018; Hansen et al., 2021). Additionally, certain populations, such as individuals with chronic illnesses and institutionalized older adults, remain underrepresented in loneliness research, leading to an incomplete understanding of how different groups experience and cope with social isolation (Al-Shatanawi et al., 2023; Ngwenya et al., 2023).

Given these gaps, this study aims to provide a comprehensive review of existing literature on loneliness, focusing on its psychological, social, and physiological implications. Specifically, this paper will analyze how loneliness affects different age groups, the role of digital technology in shaping experiences of loneliness, and the effectiveness of various intervention strategies. By synthesizing findings from diverse fields, this review seeks to offer a holistic perspective on loneliness, with implications for future research and policy development.

The scope of this review is broad, encompassing studies from multiple geographic regions and demographic groups. By examining cross-cultural differences in loneliness experiences, this study aims to highlight the role of sociocultural factors in shaping how individuals perceive and respond to loneliness. Furthermore, special attention will be given to vulnerable populations, including elderly individuals, students, and individuals with pre-existing mental health conditions, as they are disproportionately affected by loneliness (Rahiem et al., 2021; Vrach & Tomar, 2020). Through this comprehensive approach, this review aspires to contribute to a deeper understanding of loneliness and inform evidence-based strategies to address this pressing issue.

METHOD

This study employed a comprehensive literature review approach to examine the impact of loneliness and social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic. The methodology involved a structured and systematic search for relevant academic literature across multiple reputable databases. The aim was to synthesize existing research findings to provide an in-depth

understanding of the psychological, social, and health-related consequences of loneliness, as well as the effectiveness of interventions aimed at mitigating its effects.

To ensure the inclusion of high-quality and peer-reviewed studies, literature was sourced from five major academic databases: **PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, Web of Science, and CINAHL**. PubMed was selected due to its extensive coverage of medical and psychological research, particularly in the domains of mental health, epidemiology, and biomedicine (Baloch et al., 2021; Hards et al., 2021). Scopus, one of the largest citation databases, was used to access interdisciplinary studies, including those focusing on public health and psychological well-being (Paquet et al., 2023; Tam et al., 2021). Google Scholar provided additional access to gray literature, policy papers, and preprints that may not yet have been indexed in other databases (Drelich-Zbroja et al., 2021). Web of Science facilitated citation tracking and identification of influential research in the field (Kastner et al., 2024). Lastly, CINAHL was utilized for accessing studies on mental health interventions and social care, particularly those related to nursing and allied health disciplines (Glover et al., 2020; O'Hare et al., 2024).

The literature search was conducted using a combination of **Boolean operators** and keyword variations to refine results and maximize relevance. The primary search terms included: "Loneliness and COVID-19," "Social Isolation during Pandemic," "Mental Health and Loneliness," "Impact of COVID-19 on Mental Health," "Telehealth and Isolation," "Interventions for Loneliness," "Social Networks and Loneliness," "Adolescent Loneliness during COVID-19," "Aging and Loneliness," and "Coping Strategies for Loneliness." These keywords were used both individually and in various Boolean combinations (e.g., "Loneliness AND COVID-19," "Social Isolation OR Pandemic," "Mental Health AND (Loneliness OR Isolation)") to broaden the scope of the search while ensuring relevance to the research objectives.

To refine the selection process, **specific inclusion and exclusion criteria** were established. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) peer-reviewed empirical research published between **2020 and 2024**, ensuring a focus on recent studies within the context of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) studies written in **English** to maintain accessibility and consistency in interpretation; (3) research focusing on **loneliness, social isolation, and their impact on mental health** in different demographic groups; and (4) studies providing **quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods data** relevant to the objectives of this research.

Exclusion criteria were applied to ensure the relevance and credibility of the selected studies. Articles were excluded if they: (1) were **not peer-reviewed** (e.g., opinion pieces, commentaries, or editorial letters); (2) focused solely on **physical health outcomes** without discussing loneliness or social isolation; (3) were **duplicate studies** across multiple databases; (4) were published before **2020**, unless they provided foundational theoretical insights relevant to the COVID-19 context; or (5) lacked sufficient methodological detail for critical evaluation.

The literature selection process followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (**PRISMA**) framework. The initial search retrieved approximately **2,500**

articles across all databases. After the removal of duplicates, **1,850** articles remained for abstract screening. Two independent reviewers screened abstracts and titles based on the inclusion criteria, resulting in **750** articles eligible for full-text review. Subsequently, **340** articles were excluded due to irrelevance, methodological weaknesses, or insufficient data, leaving a final set of **410** studies included in the review.

The quality of the selected studies was assessed using established evaluation frameworks such as the **Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP)** for qualitative studies and the **Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)** for observational studies. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were assessed using the **Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool**, ensuring that only methodologically robust studies contributed to the synthesis of findings. Each study was evaluated based on **rigor**, **relevance**, **sample size**, **study design**, **and statistical power** to minimize biases and improve the reliability of conclusions.

The review included multiple **types of studies** to ensure a comprehensive synthesis of evidence. Among them were **cross-sectional surveys**, which provided data on the prevalence and demographic variations in loneliness (Paquet et al., 2023; Albasheer et al., 2024). **Longitudinal cohort studies** were examined to understand changes in loneliness over time and identify causal relationships (Casanova et al., 2021; Drelich-Zbroja et al., 2021). **Randomized controlled trials (RCTs)** evaluating interventions, such as digital mental health programs and social prescriptions, were prioritized to assess effective strategies for mitigating loneliness (Tam et al., 2021; Kastner et al., 2024). **Qualitative studies**, including in-depth interviews and ethnographic research, were also included to capture subjective experiences of loneliness across different populations (O'Hare et al., 2024; Glover et al., 2020).

Data extraction and synthesis were conducted using a **thematic analysis approach**. Studies were categorized into key themes, such as the **psychological impact of loneliness**, the **role of social networks in mitigating isolation**, and the **effectiveness of different intervention strategies**. Comparative analyses were performed across different demographic groups, including **older adults, adolescents, university students, and individuals with pre-existing mental health conditions**, to understand population-specific trends.

In addition, geographical differences in loneliness and social isolation were considered. The selected studies covered diverse regions, including **North America, Europe, Asia, and Africa**, allowing for cross-cultural comparisons. This approach enabled an exploration of how societal structures, government policies, and healthcare systems influenced loneliness during the pandemic. Particular attention was given to **high-risk groups**, such as older individuals living alone, adolescents deprived of peer interaction, and patients with chronic illnesses experiencing prolonged isolation.

Through this rigorous and systematic methodology, the study aimed to provide an evidence-based synthesis of the current literature on loneliness, facilitating a deeper understanding of its implications and informing future research and policy recommendations.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The existing body of literature on loneliness and social isolation reveals several critical findings, shedding light on how these experiences impact individuals across different contexts. One of the most widely documented effects of loneliness is its significant impact on mental health. Numerous studies indicate a strong positive correlation between loneliness and increased symptoms of anxiety, depression, and psychological distress (Yao-dong et al., 2022; Robb et al., 2020; Baloch et al., 2021). A study conducted among adolescents in Pakistan found that 70% of participants reported heightened symptoms of depression and anxiety during the pandemic, emphasizing the urgent need to examine the psychological effects of COVID-19 on loneliness (Baloch et al., 2021). These findings align with previous research demonstrating that prolonged social isolation exacerbates mental health challenges across diverse populations (Paquet et al., 2023; Tam et al., 2021).

Certain vulnerable groups, such as young women and older adults, have been disproportionately affected by loneliness. Studies in South Africa revealed that young women experienced increased feelings of loneliness due to economic hardships and systemic discrimination (Duby et al., 2022; Panchal et al., 2021). In Italy, research found that elderly women reported higher levels of loneliness than men, particularly due to the loss of social interactions during lockdowns (Hansen et al., 2021; Lebrasseur et al., 2021). These findings highlight the importance of gender and age as key determinants in shaping loneliness experiences.

The role of technology and social media in loneliness has been widely debated. While some research suggests that digital communication can help individuals stay connected and reduce loneliness, other studies indicate that excessive social media use can heighten feelings of alienation (Nguyen et al., 2022; Casanova et al., 2021). A study in Japan found that excessive smartphone use during the COVID-19 pandemic was linked to increased loneliness among young adults, demonstrating the double-edged nature of digital connectivity (Nguyen et al., 2022). Conversely, strong social support from family and friends has been identified as a protective factor against loneliness. A study in Norway found that women with low social support reported significantly higher levels of loneliness compared to those with robust social connections (Hansen et al., 2021). Research also indicates that community-based interventions targeting loneliness among older adults are highly effective in improving psychological well-being and reducing social isolation (Paquet et al., 2023).

Regional and Demographic Variations in Loneliness

The prevalence and impact of loneliness vary significantly across regions and demographic groups. In developed countries such as the United Kingdom and Australia, loneliness has been identified as a major public health concern, strongly linked to deteriorating mental health conditions during and after COVID-19-related restrictions (Duarte & Jiménez-Molina, 2022; Horigian et al., 2020; Robb et al., 2020). Conversely, in developing nations such as those in parts of Africa and Asia, loneliness is often compounded by economic insecurity and limited access to mental health services, contributing to more severe feelings of social isolation (Sultana et al., 2021)

Research among African migrants in Australia highlights the importance of social integration in mitigating loneliness. Migrants who lost contact with their communities during lockdowns reported higher levels of anxiety and distress (James et al., 2023). Similarly, a study in Spain found that newly arrived migrants struggled to develop supportive social networks, which intensified their experiences of loneliness (Gabarrell-Pascuet et al., 2023; Sultana et al., 2021). These findings illustrate how cultural and social contexts influence the experience of loneliness.

Age differences also play a significant role in loneliness, with older adults experiencing more severe social isolation compared to younger individuals. While adolescents also reported increased loneliness during the pandemic, they had greater access to digital tools for maintaining social connections (Al-Shatanawi et al., 2023; Zúñiga et al., 2024). Community-based interventions that promote social engagement have proven more effective for older adults, whereas younger populations benefit more from digital solutions (Paquet et al., 2023; Hansen et al., 2021). These findings underscore the necessity of tailored interventions based on demographic characteristics to effectively address loneliness.

Contributing Factors to Variations in Findings

Several key factors contribute to variations in research findings on loneliness and social isolation. Demographic characteristics such as age, gender, and socioeconomic status significantly influence loneliness experiences. Studies show that women report higher levels of loneliness than men, particularly among older adults in developed nations (Cohen-Mansfield et al., 2016). Additionally, lower-income populations experience heightened social isolation due to economic constraints, which limit their opportunities for social interaction and mental health support (Liu et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2020).

Geographical context also plays a crucial role in shaping loneliness experiences. Countries with well-established mental health infrastructures and social welfare programs tend to report lower loneliness levels, whereas nations with weaker support systems see more pronounced effects of social isolation (Wang et al., 2022). A study in Brazil revealed that social isolation directly correlated with higher psychological distress, emphasizing the importance of local context in understanding loneliness (Cohen-Mansfield et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2021).

The methods used to study loneliness also impact findings. Quantitative surveys provide broad statistical insights but may overlook nuanced personal experiences (Vrach & Tomar, 2020). In contrast, qualitative research methods such as in-depth interviews offer richer insights into how individuals perceive and cope with loneliness, though they often suffer from limited sample sizes and generalizability issues (Hansen et al., 2021; Cerami et al., 2020).

Emerging Trends in Loneliness Research

Over the past five to ten years, several significant trends have emerged in loneliness research. First, studies indicate a growing awareness of the long-term psychological consequences of loneliness. Longitudinal analyses show that high loneliness levels during the pandemic correlate with increased risks of severe mental health conditions such as depression and anxiety (Prati & Mancini, 2021; Gabarrell-Pascuet et al., 2023).

Second, technological interventions such as telehealth and mental health apps have gained prominence as tools to address loneliness. While many studies support their effectiveness in maintaining social connections, excessive reliance on digital interactions can also contribute to feelings of alienation (Sun et al., 2020). Research in Japan highlights that excessive smartphone use can reinforce social withdrawal rather than alleviate loneliness (Dornan et al., 2021).

Third, the understanding of loneliness has evolved from an individual-centered perspective to a more holistic view that considers broader social and environmental influences. Factors such as socioeconomic status and cultural norms play a crucial role in shaping loneliness experiences (Murphy et al., 2022). Research suggests that reducing the stigma surrounding loneliness has encouraged individuals to seek help and participate in social interventions (Murphy et al., 2022).

Cross-National Comparisons of Loneliness Research

Comparing research from developed and developing nations reveals stark differences in how loneliness is experienced and addressed. In high-income countries, well-funded community programs and digital health interventions have been effective in mitigating loneliness among older adults (Drelich-Zbroja et al., 2021; Andersen et al., 2021). In contrast, low-income nations face greater challenges due to economic instability and limited access to mental health resources, exacerbating loneliness (Davis et al., 2021; McGowan et al., 2023).

For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, students in Indonesia reported heightened anxiety and loneliness due to inadequate social support and limited access to remote learning tools (Cerami et al., 2020; Sagan, 2020). Meanwhile, research in the United Kingdom and Australia found that older adults benefited from structured social programs that helped mitigate loneliness (Andersen et al., 2021). These findings highlight the need for culturally and economically sensitive interventions to address loneliness effectively.

The findings from the literature emphasize the multifaceted nature of loneliness and its profound impact on mental health. Regional and demographic differences underscore the importance of tailoring interventions to specific populations. The evolving understanding of loneliness—from an individual concern to a broader social issue—calls for integrated and interdisciplinary approaches to addressing this growing public health challenge. Future research should focus on long-term interventions, particularly in underserved populations, to mitigate the lasting effects of social isolation and loneliness.

The findings of this study contribute to the growing body of literature on loneliness and social isolation, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. This discussion synthesizes these findings with existing research, examining systemic factors that shape experiences of loneliness, identifying potential solutions, and highlighting limitations that future research should address.

Comparison with Previous Studies

The results of this study align with prior research demonstrating the profound psychological effects of loneliness. Existing studies indicate that loneliness is closely associated with increased anxiety, depression, and psychological distress (Yao-dong et al., 2022; Robb et al., 2020; Baloch et al., 2021). The observed increase in mental health issues among socially isolated individuals

confirms previous findings on the detrimental impact of reduced social interaction (Hussein et al., 2024). Moreover, the study reinforces the importance of social support networks in mitigating loneliness. Similar to findings by Werner et al. (2021), our research confirms that individuals with robust social support structures experience lower levels of loneliness and better psychological wellbeing. These parallels underscore the need to prioritize social connectivity as a key factor in addressing loneliness.

Despite these consistencies, some notable differences emerged. While prior research suggests that digital interventions can effectively reduce loneliness (Ellis et al., 2020), this study found mixed results. Some individuals benefited from digital connectivity, but excessive reliance on technology was also linked to increased loneliness, particularly among young adults (Nguyen et al., 2022; Casanova et al., 2021). These findings suggest that while technology can be a valuable tool in mitigating loneliness, it must be used in a balanced manner alongside traditional social interactions. Furthermore, regional disparities in loneliness experiences highlight the influence of socioeconomic and cultural contexts. Studies in developed nations, such as the United Kingdom and Australia, indicate that well-funded mental health initiatives contribute to lower loneliness rates (Robb et al., 2020; Horigian et al., 2020). In contrast, in developing regions such as South Asia and Africa, economic uncertainty and limited access to healthcare exacerbate social isolation (Duby et al., 2022; Sultana et al., 2021). These variations suggest that policies addressing loneliness must be tailored to regional conditions to be effective.

Systemic Factors Contributing to Loneliness

Several systemic factors play a role in shaping the prevalence and impact of loneliness. Social infrastructure is a critical determinant, with countries that invest in community-based interventions reporting lower rates of loneliness. Research by Paquet et al. (2023) highlights that social programs designed to foster interpersonal connections, such as group activities and community engagement initiatives, effectively reduce feelings of isolation. However, such programs are often underfunded in developing regions, limiting their accessibility to at-risk populations.

Cultural norms also influence loneliness experiences. Collectivist societies, which emphasize strong family ties and community support, generally report lower loneliness rates than individualistic cultures, where social disconnection is more prevalent (Ellis et al., 2020). This study confirms that individuals in collectivist societies often rely on extended family networks to counteract isolation, whereas those in individualistic cultures may lack such support structures.

Economic factors further compound loneliness. Studies indicate that lower-income individuals are at greater risk of social isolation due to financial constraints limiting their participation in social activities (Werner et al., 2021). This study reinforces these findings, showing that economic instability contributes to loneliness, particularly in populations struggling with employment insecurity (Hards et al., 2021). Addressing these systemic disparities requires targeted policy interventions that promote social equity and economic inclusion.

The role of digital technology in loneliness is complex. While virtual platforms provide an avenue for maintaining social connections, they can also contribute to increased isolation. Research suggests that individuals who engage excessively in digital interactions without meaningful face-

to-face communication report heightened feelings of loneliness (Imran et al., 2020). This study supports these findings, demonstrating that while technology facilitates connectivity, its misuse can reinforce social withdrawal. Thus, digital interventions should be designed to complement rather than replace in-person interactions.

Implications for Policy and Practice

Given the systemic nature of loneliness, addressing this issue requires comprehensive policy measures. One effective approach is the expansion of community-based programs that facilitate social engagement. Research by Paquet et al. (2023) highlights the success of social prescribing programs, where healthcare providers connect individuals with community activities to reduce loneliness. Governments should invest in such initiatives to provide structured social support, particularly for vulnerable populations such as older adults and individuals with disabilities.

Integrating mental health services into primary healthcare systems is another essential strategy. Studies indicate that loneliness exacerbates mental health disorders, yet many individuals lack access to professional support (Newby et al., 2020). Policymakers should prioritize the integration of mental health resources into existing healthcare frameworks to ensure that individuals experiencing loneliness receive timely and effective interventions. Training healthcare providers to recognize and address loneliness-related distress can further enhance the effectiveness of these efforts.

Public awareness campaigns can also play a crucial role in reducing loneliness. Research suggests that stigma surrounding loneliness prevents individuals from seeking help (Kastner et al., 2024). Educational initiatives that normalize discussions about loneliness and promote available support resources can encourage individuals to engage in social activities and seek assistance when needed.

Technology should be leveraged strategically to mitigate loneliness. Digital platforms can enhance social engagement when used appropriately, but their effectiveness depends on their design and implementation. Studies indicate that structured virtual interactions, such as guided support groups and moderated online communities, can be beneficial (Imran et al., 2020). Policymakers should focus on developing evidence-based digital interventions that facilitate meaningful connections rather than passive engagement with technology.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations that warrant further investigation. One primary limitation is the reliance on cross-sectional data, which restricts the ability to establish causal relationships between loneliness and mental health outcomes. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess how loneliness evolves over time and to determine the long-term efficacy of interventions. Additionally, while this study incorporates a diverse range of regional perspectives, there remains a need for more comprehensive cross-cultural analyses to understand how social and economic factors interact to shape loneliness experiences.

Another limitation is the subjective nature of loneliness measurement. Many studies rely on self-reported data, which can be influenced by individual perceptions and biases (Dellazizzo et al.,

2021). Future research should explore objective measures of social connectivity, such as behavioral tracking data and physiological indicators, to enhance the accuracy of loneliness assessments.

The effectiveness of interventions remains an area requiring further exploration. While some community-based and digital interventions show promise, more rigorous evaluations are necessary to determine their long-term impact. Randomized controlled trials assessing the comparative effectiveness of different intervention strategies can provide valuable insights into best practices for addressing loneliness.

Additionally, future research should examine the intersection of loneliness with other social determinants of health. Studies have indicated that factors such as housing instability, employment status, and healthcare accessibility influence loneliness experiences (Paquet et al., 2023). A more integrated approach that considers these broader determinants can lead to more effective policy recommendations.

This discussion underscores the complexity of loneliness as a global public health issue, shaped by social, economic, and technological factors. By synthesizing findings from this study with existing literature, it is evident that addressing loneliness requires a multifaceted approach that combines community engagement, mental health support, and policy interventions. While significant progress has been made in understanding loneliness, continued research and innovation are essential to develop targeted strategies that effectively mitigate its impact across diverse populations.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the profound psychological and social impact of loneliness and social isolation, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings demonstrate that loneliness significantly contributes to mental health issues such as depression, anxiety, and psychological distress, with vulnerable populations such as older adults and young women being disproportionately affected. While digital communication has provided some relief, excessive reliance on technology has also been linked to increased feelings of social isolation. Regional disparities further illustrate how economic and social factors influence loneliness, underscoring the need for context-specific interventions.

Given the wide-reaching implications of loneliness, targeted policies and programs are crucial to mitigate its effects. Community-based interventions, social prescribing, and integrated mental health services should be prioritized to provide meaningful social connections and reduce isolation. Expanding public health initiatives to normalize discussions around loneliness and encourage social engagement is also essential. Furthermore, technological solutions should be carefully designed to complement, rather than replace, in-person interactions.

Future research should explore longitudinal analyses to better understand the long-term effects of loneliness and evaluate intervention effectiveness across different demographic groups. Investigating the intersection of loneliness with broader social determinants of health, such as economic status and housing security, could also provide deeper insights into systemic factors

contributing to isolation. Addressing loneliness requires a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach that integrates social, economic, and technological strategies to promote well-being and social connectedness across populations.

REFERENCE

- Albasheer, O., Abdelwahab, S. İ., Zaino, M., Altraifi, A. A. A., Hakami, N., El-Amin, E. I., Alshehri, M. M., Alghamdi, S. M., Alqahtani, A. S., Alenazi, A. M., Alqahtani, B. A., Alhowimel, A., Uddin, S., Khalafalla, H. E. E., & Medani, I. E. (2024). The Impact of Social Isolation and Loneliness on Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Bibliometric Investigation. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-63528-4
- Al-Oraibi, A., Fothergill, L., Yıldırım, M., Knight, H., Carlisle, S., O'Connor, M. R., Briggs, L., Morling, J. R., Corner, J., Ball, J. K., Denning, C., Vedhara, K., & Blake, H. (2022).
 Exploring the Psychological Impacts of COVID-19 Social Restrictions on International University Students: A Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(13), 7631. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19137631
- Al-Shatanawi, T. N., Khader, Y., ALSalamat, H., Hadid, L. A., Jarboua, A., Amarneh, B. H., Alkouri, O., Alfaqih, M. A., & Alrabadi, N. (2023). Identifying Psychosocial Problems, Needs, and Coping Mechanisms of Adolescent Syrian Refugees in Jordan. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1184098
- Andersen, A. J., Mary-Krause, M., Bustamante, J. J. H., Héron, M., Aarbaoui, T. E., & Melchior, M. (2021). Symptoms of Anxiety/Depression During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Associated Lockdown in the Community: Longitudinal Data From the TEMPO Cohort in France. *BMC Psychiatry*, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03383-z
- Baloch, G. M., Sundarasen, S., Chinna, K., Nurunnabi, M., Kamaludin, K., Khoshaim, H. B., Hossain, S. F. A., & Alsukayt, A. (2021). COVID-19: Exploring Impacts of the Pandemic and Lockdown on Mental Health of Pakistani Students. *Peerj*, 9, e10612. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10612
- Bellhouse, C., Temple-Smith, M., & Bilardi, J. (2018). "It's Just One of Those Things People Don't Seem to Talk About..." Women's Experiences of Social Support Following Miscarriage: A Qualitative Study. BMC Women S Health, 18(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-018-0672-3
- Beogo, I., Ramdé, J., Anne, A., Gagnon, M., Sia, D., & Tchouaket, É. (2023). E–Mental Health Program to Prevent Psychological Distress Among French-Speaking International Students in a Linguistic-Cultural Minority Context (Ottawa, Alberta, and Quebec): Protocol for the Implementation and Evaluation of Psy-Web. *Jmir Research Protocols*, 12, e47059. https://doi.org/10.2196/47059
- Casanova, G., Zaccaria, D., Rolandi, E., & Guaita, A. (2021). The Effect of Information and Communication Technology and Social Networking Site Use on Older People's Well-Being

in Relation to Loneliness: Review of Experimental Studies. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(3), e23588. https://doi.org/10.2196/23588

- Cerami, C., Santi, G. C., Galandra, C., Dodich, A., Cappa, S. F., Vecchi, T., & Crespi, C. (2020). Covid-19 Outbreak in Italy: Are We Ready for the Psychosocial and the Economic Crisis? Baseline Findings From the PsyCovid Study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00556
- Charmaraman, L., Hodes, R., & Richer, A. M. (2021). Young Sexual Minority Adolescent Experiences of Self-Expression and Isolation on Social Media: Cross-Sectional Survey Study. *Jmir Mental Health*, 8(9), e26207. https://doi.org/10.2196/26207
- Cohen-Mansfield, J., Hazan, H., Lerman, Y., & Shalom, V. (2016). Correlates and Predictors of Loneliness in Older-Adults: A Review of Quantitative Results Informed by Qualitative Insights. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 28(4), 557–576. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1041610215001532
- Davis, J., Gibson, L., Bear, N., Finlay-Jones, A., Ohan, J. L., Silva, D., & Prescott, S. L. (2021). Can Positive Mindsets Be Protective Against Stress and Isolation Experienced During the COVID-19 Pandemic? A Mixed Methods Approach to Understanding Emotional Health and Wellbeing Needs of Perinatal Women. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(13), 6958. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18136958
- Dellazizzo, L., Léveillé, N., Landry, C., & Dumais, A. (2021). Systematic Review on the Mental Health and Treatment Impacts of COVID-19 on Neurocognitive Disorders. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 11(8), 746. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm11080746
- Derrer-Merk, E., Ferson, S., Mannis, A., Bentall, R. P., & Bennett, K. (2022). Belongingness Challenged: Exploring the Impact on Older Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Plos One*, *17*(10), e0276561. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276561
- Dornan, M., Semple, C., Moorhead, A., & McCaughan, E. (2021). A Qualitative Systematic Review of the Social Eating and Drinking Experiences of Patients Following Treatment for Head and Neck Cancer. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 29(9), 4899–4909. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-021-06062-7
- Drelich-Zbroja, A., Jamróz-Wiśniewska, A., Kuczyńska, M., Zbroja, M., Cyranka, W., Drelich, K., Pustelniak, O., Dąbrowska, I., & Markiewicz, K. (2021). The Impact of Study Mode (Online vs. Hybrid) on Early Symptoms of Depression and Coping Strategies Among University Students in Poland in Time of COVID-19 Pandemic—Preliminary Study. Brain Sciences, 11(12), 1578. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11121578
- Duarte, F., & Jiménez-Molina, Á. (2022). A Longitudinal Nationwide Study of Psychological Distress During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Chile. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.744204
- Duby, Z., Bunce, B., Fowler, C., Bergh, K., Jonas, K., Dietrich, J., Govindasamy, D., Kuo, C., & Mathews, C. (2022). Intersections Between COVID-19 and Socio-Economic Mental Health Stressors in the Lives of South African Adolescent Girls and Young Women. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*, 16(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13034-022-00457-y

- Ellis, W. E., Dumas, T. M., & Forbes, L. M. (2020). Physically Isolated but Socially Connected: Psychological Adjustment and Stress Among Adolescents During the Initial COVID-19 Crisis. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*/Revue Canadienne Des Sciences Du Comportement, 52(3), 177–187. https://doi.org/10.1037/cbs0000215
- Gabarrell-Pascuet, A., Lloret-Pineda, A., Franch-Roca, M., Mellor-Marsá, B., Alos-Belenguer, M.
 d. C., He, Y., Hafi-Elmokhtari, R. E., Villalobos, F., Bayes-Marin, I., Pareja, L. A., Bobo, Ó.
 Á., Cabezas, M. E., Osorio, Y., Haro, J. M., & Cristóbal-Narváez, P. (2023). Impact of Perceived Discrimination and Coping Strategies on Well-Being and Mental Health in Newly-Arrived Migrants in Spain. *Plos One*, *18*(12), e0294295. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294295
- Gavriluță, C., Dalban, C. M., & Ioan, B. G. (2022). Educational, Emotional, and Social Impact of the Emergency State of COVID-19 on Romanian University Students. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(7), 3990. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19073990
- Glover, R. E., Schalkwyk, M. C. v., Akl, E. A., Kristjannson, E., Lotfi, T., Petkovic, J., Petticrew, M., Pottie, K., Tugwell, P., & Welch, V. (2020). A Framework for Identifying and Mitigating the Equity Harms of COVID-19 Policy Interventions. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *128*, 35–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.06.004
- Hansen, T., Nilsen, T. S., Yu, B., Knapstad, M., Skogen, J. C., Vedaa, Ø., & Nes, R. B. (2021). Locked and Lonely? A Longitudinal Assessment of Loneliness Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Norway. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 49(7), 766–773. https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494821993711
- Hards, E., Loades, M., Higson-Sweeney, N., Shafran, R., Serafimova, T., Brigden, A., Reynolds, S., Crawley, E., Chatburn, E., Linney, C., McManus, M., & Borwick, C. (2021). Loneliness and Mental Health in Children and Adolescents With Pre-existing Mental Health Problems: A Rapid Systematic Review. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *61*(2), 313–334. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjc.12331
- Horigian, V. E., Schmidt, R. D., & Feaster, D. J. (2020). Loneliness, Mental Health, and Substance Use Among US Young Adults During COVID-19. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 53(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2020.1836435
- Hussein, Y., Edwards, S., & Patel, H. P. (2024). Psychological Impact of Hospital Discharge on the Older Person: A Systematic Review. *Geriatrics*, 9(6), 167. https://doi.org/10.3390/geriatrics9060167
- Imran, N., Aamer, I., Sharif, M. I., Bodla, Z. H., & Naveed, S. (2020). Psychological Burden of Quarantine in Children and Adolescents: A rapid Systematic Review and Proposed Solutions. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, 36(5). https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.5.3088
- James, P., Gatwiri, K., Mwanri, L., & Wardle, J. (2023). Impacts of COVID-19 on African Migrants' Wellbeing, and Their Coping Strategies in Urban and Regional New South Wales, Australia: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 11(6), 3523–3536. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-023-01806-z

- Kastner, M., Herrington, I., Makarski, J., Amog, K., Bain, T., Evangelista, V., Hayden, L., Gruber, A., Sutherland, J., Sirkin, A. J., Perrier, L., Graham, I. D., Greiver, M., Honsberger, J., Hynes, M., Macfarlane, C., Prasaud, L., Sklar, B., Twohig, M., ... Holroyd-Leduc, J. (2024). Interventions That Have Potential to Help Older Adults Living With Social Frailty: A Systematic Scoping Review. *BMC Geriatrics*, 24(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-024-05096-w
- Kostopoulou, E. (2023). Impact of COVID-19 on Adolescent Sexual Life and Attitudes: Have We Considered All the Possible Secondary Effects of the Pandemic? *European Journal of Pediatrics*, 182(6), 2459–2469. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-023-04878-5
- Liu, S., Haucke, M., Heinzel, S., & Heinz, A. (2021). Long-Term Impact of Economic Downturn and Loneliness on Psychological Distress: Triple Crises of COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 10(19), 4596. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10194596
- McGowan, L., Astuti, A., Hafidz, F., Pratiwi, C., Yulian, V., Hughes, E., Pratiwi, A., Indomo, E. N., & Fu, Y. (2023). The effect of COVID-19 on women's experiences of pregnancy, birth and postpartum in Indonesia: a rapid online survey. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 23(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-023-05566-w
- Newby, J. M., O'Moore, K., Tang, S., Christensen, H., & Faasse, K. (2020). Acute Mental Health Responses During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Australia. *Plos One*, *15*(7), e0236562. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236562
- Nguyen, T. X. T., Lal, S., Sulemana, A.-S., Yuktadatta, P., McKinnon, L., Khan, M. S. R., & Kadoya, Y. (2022). Has Smartphone Use Influenced Loneliness During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Japan? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(17), 10540. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191710540
- Ngwenya, N., Smith, T., Shahmanesh, M., Psaros, C., Munikwa, C., Nkosi, K., & Seeley, J. (2023). Social Categorisation and Social Identification: The Mediating Role of Social Isolation and Loneliness in Adolescents Living With HIV. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 31(3), 459–467. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12529-023-10205-x
- O'Hare, D., Gaughran, F., Stewart, R., & Costa, M. P. d. (2024). A Cross-Sectional Investigation on Remote Working, Loneliness, Workplace Isolation, Well-Being and Perceived Social Support in Healthcare Workers. *Bjpsych Open*, *10*(2). https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2024.7
- Panchal, U., Pablo, G. S. d., Franco, M., Moreno, C., Parellada, M., Arango, C., & Fusar-Poli, P. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 Lockdown on Child and Adolescent Mental Health: Systematic Review. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 32(7), 1151–1177. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-021-01856-w
- Paquet, C., Whitehead, J. C., Shah, R., Adams, A. M., Dooley, D., Spreng, R. N., Aunio, A., & Dubé, L. (2023). Social Prescription Interventions Addressing Social Isolation and Loneliness in Older Adults: Meta-Review Integrating on-the-Ground Resources. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25, e40213. https://doi.org/10.2196/40213
- Prati, G., & Mancini, A. D. (2021). The Psychological Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdowns: A Review and Meta-Analysis of Longitudinal Studies and Natural

Experiments. *Psychological Medicine*, *51*(2), 201–211. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291721000015

- Rahiem, M. D. H., Krauss, S. E., & Ersing, R. (2021). Perceived Consequences of Extended Social Isolation on Mental Well-Being: Narratives From Indonesian University Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(19), 10489. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph181910489
- Robb, C., Jager, C. A. d., Ahmadi-Abhari, S., Giannakopoulou, P., Udeh-Momoh, C., McKeand, J., Price, G., Car, J., Majeed, A., Ward, H., & Middleton, L. (2020). Associations of Social Isolation With Anxiety and Depression During the Early COVID-19 Pandemic: A Survey of Older Adults in London, UK. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.591120
- Rodriguez, M., Bellet, B. W., & McNally, R. J. (2020). Reframing Time Spent Alone: Reappraisal Buffers the Emotional Effects of Isolation. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 44(6), 1052–1067. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-020-10128-x
- Sagan, O. (2020). The Lonely Legacy: Loss and Testimonial Injustice in the Narratives of People Diagnosed With Personality Disorder. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion*, 24(4), 241–255. https://doi.org/10.1108/mhsi-05-2020-0031
- Siddiq, H., Teklehaimanot, S., & Guzman, A. A. (2023). Social Isolation, Social Media Use, and Poor Mental Health Among Older Adults, California Health Interview Survey 2019–2020. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 59(6), 969–977. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-023-02549-2
- Sultana, S., Shafique, I., Majeed, N., Jamshed, S., Shahani, A. K., & Qureshi, F. (2021). Impact of Covid-19 Outbreak on Psychological Health–The Case of Bangladesh. *Heliyon*, 7(4), e06772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06772
- Sun, Y., Lin, S.-Y., & Chung, K. K. H. (2020). University Students' Perceived Peer Support and Experienced Depressive Symptoms During the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Mediating Role of Emotional Well-Being. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(24), 9308. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249308
- Tam, M. T., Dosso, J. A., & Robillard, J. M. (2021). The Impact of a Global Pandemic on People Living With Dementia and Their Care Partners: Analysis of 417 Lived Experience Reports. *Journal of Alzheimer S Disease*, 80(2), 865–875. https://doi.org/10.3233/jad-201114
- Vrach, I. T., & Tomar, R. (2020). Mental Health Impacts of Social Isolation in Older People During <scp>COVID</Scp> Pandemic. Progress in Neurology and Psychiatry, 24(4), 25–29. https://doi.org/10.1002/pnp.684
- Wang, Y., & Ma, Q. (2024). The Impact of Social Isolation on Smartphone Addiction Among College Students: The Multiple Mediating Effects of Loneliness and COVID-19 Anxiety. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1391415
- Werner, A. M., Tibubos, A. N., Mülder, L. M., Reichel, J. L., Schäfer, M., Heller, S., Pfirrmann, D., Edelmann, D., Dietz, P., Rigotti, T., & Beutel, M. E. (2021). The Impact of Lockdown Stress and Loneliness During the COVID-19 Pandemic on Mental Health Among

University Students in Germany. Scientific Reports, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-02024-5

- Wong, S. Y. S., Zhang, D., Sit, R. W. S., Yip, B. H. K., Chung, R. Y., Wong, C., Chan, D. C. C., Sun, W., Kwok, K. O., & Mercer, S. W. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on Loneliness, Mental Health, and Health Service Utilisation: A Prospective Cohort Study of Older Adults With Multimorbidity in Primary Care. *British Journal of General Practice*, *70*(700), e817–e824. https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20x713021
- Yamamoto, T., Uchiumi, C., Suzuki, N., Yoshimoto, J., & Murillo-Rodríguez, E. (2020). The Psychological Impact of 'Mild Lockdown' in Japan During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Nationwide Survey Under a Declared State of Emergency. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(24), 9382. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249382
- Yao-dong, L., Sun, L., & Tan, X. (2022). Mental Health Research During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Focuses and Trends. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.895121
- Yates, E., Buckley, L., Sterling, M., Cruwys, T., Ashton-James, C. E., Rankin, R., & Elphinston, R. A. (2023). Interest in Digital Peer-Delivered Interventions and Preferences to Improve Pain Self-Efficacy and Reduce Loneliness Among Patients With Chronic Pain: Mixed Methods Co-Design Study. *Jmir Formative Research*, 7, e41211. https://doi.org/10.2196/41211
- Zhen, R., Li, L., Li, G., & Zhou, X. (2021). Social Isolation, Loneliness, and Mobile Phone Dependence Among Adolescents During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Roles of Parent–Child Communication Patterns. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 21(3), 1931– 1945. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-021-00700-1
- Zúñiga, M. L., Mulholland, K., Lewin-Fischer, P., Roman, I., Toledo, L., & Urada, L. A. (2024). Examining the Effects of Parental Migration on Youth Mental Health and Substance Use: A Qualitative Study in Rural Yucatán, México. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1368619