**Sinergi International Journal of Psychology**
E-ISSN: 3025-552X
Volume. 3, Issue 1, February 2025
Page No: 52 - 66

# Community Resilience and Suicide Prevention: A Review of Policy-Aligned Intervention Strategies

**Putri Ayu Lestari[1], Aidatul Fitriyah[2]**
**[1]Universitas Sahid, Indonesia**
**[2]Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia**
Correspondent: aidatul.fitriyah-2020@fib.unair.ac.id[1]

Received : November 1, 2024
Accepted : February 12, 2025
Published : February 28, 2025

Citation: Lestari, P.A. & Fitriyah, A. (2025). Community Resilience and Suicide Prevention: A Review of Policy-Aligned Intervention Strategies. Sinergi International Journal of Psychology, 3(1), 52 – 66.

**ABSTRACT:** Community-based suicide prevention programs are gaining recognition for their holistic, participatory, and context-sensitive approaches to reducing suicide rates. This narrative review aims to synthesize recent empirical findings on the effectiveness of such interventions, especially in integrating local engagement, educational and religious institutions, sociocultural strategies, and comparative international practices. Utilizing a structured literature search across Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar, relevant peer-reviewed articles were selected and analyzed thematically. The results demonstrate that community-driven programs effectively reduce suicide risks by enhancing early detection, emotional support, and crisis response capacities. Engagement of schools and religious leaders fosters mental health literacy, while cultural adaptation improves acceptance and inclusivity. International comparisons reveal that while developed countries benefit from advanced infrastructure and formal systems, developing nations often succeed through community innovation and resilience. Nevertheless, systemic challenges—such as policy gaps, mental health service disparities, and social stigma—persist across contexts. These findings reaffirm the need for a multisectoral, collaborative framework that integrates policy support, community empowerment, and sustainable funding. Strategic use of digital tools and long-term program evaluation is essential. This review contributes to the growing body of knowledge advocating for community-centric, culturally responsive, and structurally supported suicide prevention models..

**Keywords:** Community-Based Suicide Prevention; Mental Health Literacy; Participatory Interventions;



## INTRODUCTION

Suicide remains one of the most pressing global public health issues, with over 700,000 lives lost annually according to the World Health Organization. The persistence and complexity of suicide have necessitated a re-evaluation of traditional intervention models, particularly in communities

where socioeconomic, cultural, and historical variables interplay. Recent literature increasingly points to the inadequacy of conventional clinical or medical models alone in addressing this multifaceted issue. Scholars argue that a shift toward community-based suicide prevention strategies offers a more holistic and context-sensitive response to these challenges (Barlow et al., 2023; Caine et al., 2017).

Community-based interventions for suicide prevention recognize the value of involving local stakeholders, including healthcare providers, community leaders, survivors, and laypersons in crafting localized responses. This approach enables the creation of culturally relevant, sustainable solutions grounded in the lived realities of communities themselves (Powell et al., 2019; Wexler et al., 2016). Additionally, community-driven strategies are increasingly viewed as essential in light of mounting pressures on global mental health systems and the chronic shortage of mental health professionals, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (Ongeri et al., 2023; Caine et al., 2017). The literature affirms that the multidimensional nature of suicide risk demands interventions that are not only responsive to clinical symptoms but are also embedded in the social, cultural, and economic fabrics of communities (Trout et al., 2018).

Emerging research identifies specific populations—such as Indigenous communities, adolescents, and rural populations—as facing heightened vulnerabilities due to historical oppression, social isolation, and limited healthcare access (Chartier et al., 2022; Allen et al., 2021). Among these groups, suicide prevention must consider local cultural values and historical context, including the legacy of colonialism and systemic marginalization (Trout et al., 2018). For Indigenous populations in particular, community-led programs rooted in traditional knowledge and collective agency have been shown to mitigate health disparities and foster greater mental well-being (Allen et al., 2021; Wexler et al., 2016).

Statistical data highlights the increasing prevalence of suicide across various demographic groups, with especially alarming trends among adolescents and individuals living in rural and Indigenous areas (Kegler et al., 2017; Reccord et al., 2021). The geographical distribution of suicide cases reveals significant discrepancies between urban and rural settings, with rural residents often facing unique risk factors such as geographic isolation, lack of anonymity, and limited emergency response infrastructure (Mohatt et al., 2018). These disparities underscore the necessity of incorporating epidemiological data into the design and implementation of targeted, locally anchored prevention strategies (Grattidge et al., 2022).

Despite growing recognition of the importance of community-based interventions, a number of structural, cultural, and logistical barriers continue to impede their widespread implementation. Key challenges include insufficient institutional support, lack of funding, and limited availability of culturally competent professionals (Ongeri et al., 2023; Rheinberger et al., 2021). Misalignment between community perceptions of suicide and professional paradigms can also hinder collaborative efforts, while bureaucratic rigidity within public health systems often obstructs the flexibility required for effective community engagement (Caine et al., 2017; Grattidge et al., 2022).

Another persistent challenge lies in the stigma surrounding suicide and mental illness, particularly in cultures where these topics are taboo (Ongeri et al., 2023). This stigma not only discourages individuals from seeking help but also hampers efforts to collect accurate data and implement community-based mental health literacy campaigns. Overcoming these barriers requires a deliberate, inclusive approach that involves trusted community leaders and integrates local norms and values (Trout et al., 2018; Rheinberger et al., 2021).

A critical gap in the existing literature lies in the lack of comprehensive evaluations of the long-term effectiveness and sustainability of community-based suicide prevention strategies. While many initiatives demonstrate short-term success, few studies offer rigorous longitudinal assessments or explore mechanisms for program scalability (Nakano et al., 2021; Powell et al., 2019). Furthermore, there is a dearth of research analyzing how these programs adapt to changing sociocultural dynamics or integrate feedback from community participants. These gaps hinder the formulation of robust, evidence-based policies and limit the transferability of successful models to other settings (Sjoblom et al., 2022).

This narrative review aims to critically examine and synthesize empirical evidence on the effectiveness, adaptability, and sustainability of community-based suicide prevention interventions. Specifically, the review seeks to identify key success factors and barriers to implementation, evaluate diverse stakeholder roles, and highlight policy and funding mechanisms that support long-term viability. In doing so, it will provide practical recommendations for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners seeking to design or enhance community-level strategies in various socio-cultural contexts (Trout et al., 2018; Powell et al., 2019).

The geographic and demographic scope of this review will focus on marginalized communities in both high-income and low-income settings, including Indigenous populations, adolescents, and rural residents. This scope is selected based on the demonstrated vulnerability of these groups and the documented effectiveness of culturally sensitive, community-driven approaches in such contexts (Mohatt et al., 2018; Nakano et al., 2021). The analysis will draw from peer-reviewed studies, policy reports, and program evaluations conducted in North America, Sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and Oceania, offering a comparative perspective that underscores contextual diversity and shared challenges.

By centering community agency, cultural relevance, and systemic integration, this review intends to contribute to the development of more equitable and impactful suicide prevention paradigms. Through a comprehensive examination of global practices and context-specific adaptations, it will highlight the transformative potential of community-based interventions in addressing one of the most urgent public health challenges of our time.

## METHOD

This narrative review adopted a systematic approach in identifying, selecting, and synthesizing literature related to community-based interventions in suicide prevention. The methodological foundation of this review centers on the recognition that a comprehensive literature search is vital for capturing the multidisciplinary perspectives necessary to evaluate community engagement in suicide prevention efforts. To achieve this, several prominent academic databases were utilized, including Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar, complemented by Web of Science and PsycINFO. These databases were selected for their extensive coverage of medical, psychological, sociological, and public health literature, which are crucial domains intersecting in community-driven suicide prevention strategies.

Scopus was prioritized due to its robust indexing of multidisciplinary literature and the ability to conduct citation analysis to identify leading studies and emerging trends. Its capacity to integrate health, psychology, and sociology perspectives made it a primary source for literature mapping. PubMed was essential in offering access to peer-reviewed medical and epidemiological studies, many of which included clinical-community linkages vital for validating the effectiveness of community-based interventions. Google Scholar complemented this process by capturing grey literature, policy reports, and conference proceedings that are often excluded from traditional academic databases. This expanded the scope and depth of this review by including non-indexed yet highly relevant sources.

To optimize the literature search, a comprehensive list of keywords was developed based on prior research and expert consensus. Keywords included terms such as "community-based intervention," "suicide prevention," "community participation," "peer support suicide prevention," "community mobilization," and "participatory action research." These were combined using Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT) to refine the searches and ensure the retrieval of highly relevant articles. Additional terms like "indigenous suicide prevention," "veteran community intervention," and "rural community suicide" were employed to capture niche populations and context-specific studies.

The literature search applied inclusion criteria that mandated the selection of peer-reviewed articles explicitly examining community involvement in suicide prevention interventions. Studies were included if they featured empirical data using qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods. Only studies published between 2010 and 2024 were considered to ensure relevance to contemporary community engagement models. Articles that lacked empirical data, such as opinion pieces or commentary, were excluded. Moreover, studies focused solely on clinical settings without a community engagement component were omitted to maintain the focus on community-based frameworks.

A double screening process was applied, whereby two independent reviewers initially screened titles and abstracts to assess eligibility based on the predefined inclusion criteria. Any disagreements were resolved through discussion or by consulting a third researcher. Full-text assessments were then conducted to evaluate methodological rigor, including study design, data collection procedures, and analysis techniques. Standardized appraisal tools, such as the Critical

Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) and Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) checklists, were used to ensure the reliability and quality of selected studies.

Reference management software such as Mendeley was employed to organize and de-duplicate search results across databases. This enabled efficient tracking, storage, and categorization of literature, supporting transparency and reproducibility. During this process, articles were coded and grouped by methodology, population, intervention type, and outcomes, allowing for thematic synthesis and pattern identification. Cross-referencing (backward searching) was also conducted to identify additional relevant studies cited in the reference lists of included articles.

The inclusion of diverse geographic settings—urban, rural, and indigenous communities—allowed for comparative analysis across socio-cultural contexts. This was essential to understanding the adaptability of interventions to different environments. Selected studies were evaluated for outcomes such as suicide rate reduction, increased help-seeking behavior, reduced stigma, and enhanced mental health literacy within communities.

To support data analysis, NVivo software facilitated the thematic coding and categorization of qualitative data. For mixed-methods studies, quantitative data were synthesized descriptively while qualitative findings were analyzed thematically to build a holistic understanding of intervention effectiveness. Integration of stakeholder perspectives, such as community leaders and health practitioners, was considered during data validation to enhance practical relevance and contextual accuracy.

Finally, the review followed the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure structured reporting and methodological transparency. All search terms, selection criteria, and analytical procedures were documented in detail, enabling replication and external verification. Through this methodological rigor, the review aims to present a credible and comprehensive synthesis of community-based suicide prevention strategies, providing evidence-based recommendations for policy makers and practitioners alike.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This section synthesizes the findings of community-based suicide prevention programs, focusing on their effectiveness and core thematic elements. The analysis is organized according to the emerging themes found within the literature: (A) the role of local communities, (B) the involvement of educational and religious institutions, (C) the influence of cultural and social strategies, and (D) international comparisons. The goal is to provide a comprehensive perspective on how these factors interplay to produce effective suicide prevention interventions.

## The Role of Local Communities

A substantial body of multidisciplinary research consistently supports the claim that active community involvement and participatory methods are pivotal to the success of suicide prevention initiatives. This stems from a paradigm shift in public health strategies, where top-down clinical models alone are deemed insufficient for addressing complex social determinants of mental health, such as isolation, stigma, and cultural disconnection. The James' Place model, for instance, exemplifies the integration of clinical intervention with community-based relational support—an approach rooted in the concept of co-production, where services are jointly developed by professionals and community members (Hanlon et al., 2022). Through this model, individuals in the community are trained and empowered to recognize emotional distress and act as first-line responders. This synergy enhances both early detection and trust, elements that are often absent in purely institutionalized interventions. Similarly, the PC CARES (Promoting Community Conversations About Research to End Suicide) program in rural Alaska demonstrates how localized participatory education fosters not only knowledge transmission but also a culture of collective agency (Wexler et al., 2019). Facilitators drawn from within the community lead structured dialogues on suicide, which significantly increase both awareness and proactive behaviors. Quantitative evaluations of PC CARES revealed a measurable decline in suicide rates, directly tied to elevated community engagement levels and behavioral change. These findings resonate with community psychology theories, particularly Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory, which posits that behavior is shaped by interactions across multiple layers of the social environment—including microsystems like families and mesosystems like peer networks.

Further analytical insight is provided by epidemiological and statistical studies such as those by Trout et al. (2018), which reveal that areas with robust local engagement structures experience shorter response times and higher sensitivity to risk indicators. This responsiveness arises from community-based training programs that elevate the skillsets of laypersons to recognize non-verbal cues, behavioral changes, and expressions of emotional distress. These networks operate as decentralized "alert systems," increasing the overall social surveillance capacity of a community. Moreover, integrating community-driven data surveillance systems—which include participatory workshops, training sessions, and open forums—fosters a sense of shared responsibility, strengthening the community's ability to act in a timely and culturally appropriate manner (Wexler et al., 2019). These participatory surveillance mechanisms also reflect the principles of community-based participatory research (CBPR), which emphasize collaborative inquiry and action, particularly in marginalized or underserved populations.

In addition, the creation of "communities of practice"—voluntary groups of individuals united by shared interests in suicide prevention—facilitates ongoing knowledge exchange, emotional support, and the development of locally adapted interventions. These informal networks are crucial in sustaining engagement and provide a platform for continuous learning. In such communities, dialogue builds trust, which is especially vital in environments where health systems are distrusted or culturally misaligned. Collaborative models, like gatekeeper training, reinforce this trust-building by bridging formal healthcare systems and community actors. When non-professionals are trained to identify and refer individuals at risk, the community becomes a proactive stakeholder in the continuum of care (Trout et al., 2018). The long-term effectiveness of

these interventions is further evidenced by longitudinal studies that track reductions in suicide rates over several years. These studies emphasize that peer-led, culturally sensitive, and locally grounded support systems are not only effective in the short term but also yield sustainable mental health outcomes when reinforced through policy support and consistent resourcing.

Technological innovations also amplify the impact of community-based interventions. The adoption of mobile apps and digital reporting tools allows community members to report concerning behaviors or mental health signals in real-time, significantly improving the speed and accuracy of response (Wexler et al., 2019). When combined with human-centric networks, these tools create a hybrid model that merges digital efficiency with emotional intelligence. Mixed-method research designs, which integrate quantitative data (e.g., suicide rates, referral patterns) with qualitative insights (e.g., interviews, focus groups), affirm that emotional support, belongingness, and community cohesion are foundational to successful suicide prevention. These relational aspects, often intangible and context-specific, are increasingly being recognized as key protective factors in suicide prevention frameworks.

Crucially, the effectiveness and sustainability of such community-based interventions hinge on the degree of local ownership. Programs that are initiated and led by community leaders—rather than imposed externally—are more likely to be perceived as legitimate, trustworthy, and contextually relevant. This aligns with the principle of cultural humility, which urges practitioners and policymakers to recognize and integrate local values, norms, and indigenous knowledge systems into program design. Regular and intensive training plays a decisive role here, as communities that receive ongoing capacity-building support demonstrate higher levels of self-sufficiency in managing mental health crises. The frequency and depth of training correlate with improvements in skills retention, confidence, and behavioral consistency among community actors, thereby institutionalizing resilience at the grassroots level.

In conclusion, suicide prevention efforts that prioritize community engagement, cultural sensitivity, local leadership, and technological integration are demonstrably more effective than those relying solely on clinical services. These approaches not only reduce suicide rates but also build stronger, more connected, and psychologically resilient communities. The empirical evidence strongly suggests that collective ownership, community trust, and participatory design are not merely desirable components but indispensable foundations for meaningful and lasting suicide prevention.

## Involvement of Educational and Religious Institutions

The central role of schools in suicide prevention is increasingly recognized, particularly through the structured implementation of Social Emotional Learning (SEL) frameworks. SEL is not merely a curriculum add-on; it represents a comprehensive approach to fostering emotional intelligence, self-awareness, stress management, empathy, and responsible decision-making among students. As highlighted by Robinson et al. (2018), these programs function as preventative mechanisms by equipping students with the psychological tools needed to cope with adversities before they escalate into crises. Through systematic SEL instruction, students learn to regulate their emotions,

build healthy relationships, and develop resilience—skills directly linked to lower levels of depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation.

In this context, teachers and school counselors assume a critical gatekeeping role. Their position allows them to observe behavioral changes and intervene early when signs of psychological distress or suicidal tendencies emerge. Professional development and training for educators in suicide risk identification are therefore crucial. Educators trained in mental health first aid or suicide prevention protocols demonstrate a significantly greater capacity to recognize early warning signs, engage in meaningful dialogue with at-risk students, and refer them to appropriate services. Research by Robinson et al. (2020), particularly in remote or underserved regions, affirms that the presence of SEL initiatives—combined with proactive teacher involvement—directly contributes to reductions in student stress, loneliness, and emotional detachment. These effects are amplified in a tiered intervention model, where educators operate at multiple levels: providing universal SEL instruction, offering targeted group support, and facilitating individualized interventions for high-risk students.

Beyond the educational sphere, religious institutions and leaders serve as influential cultural agents capable of shaping public perceptions of mental health. In many communities, especially where access to formal mental health services is limited or stigmatized, religious leaders often serve as trusted figures of authority and guidance. According to Willging et al. (2016), when religious leaders are engaged in mental health advocacy and suicide prevention efforts, they become powerful conduits for stigma reduction and help-seeking encouragement. These leaders, through sermons, counseling, and community engagement, can reshape narratives around mental illness from one of shame to one of support, compassion, and communal responsibility. Their involvement lends moral and cultural legitimacy to mental health interventions, thereby increasing their acceptance and effectiveness within religiously inclined populations.

The integration of schools and religious organizations represents a promising, community-centered model for suicide prevention. When these institutions collaborate, they generate a more holistic support system that addresses both the emotional and socio-cultural dimensions of mental health. Evaluations of such joint initiatives have reported statistically significant shifts in mental health knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors. Students exposed to these integrated frameworks are more likely to seek counseling, talk openly about their emotional struggles, and encourage peers to access help. These outcomes stem not only from increased availability of services but also from the emotional safety created by environments that affirm their worth and foster community trust.

Additionally, extracurricular initiatives and student-led mental health clubs within schools provide informal yet impactful platforms for peer support. These spaces allow students to share experiences, develop leadership in emotional advocacy, and deconstruct stigma within their own peer groups. By encouraging student agency and peer-to-peer communication, these programs reduce isolation—a known risk factor for suicide—and promote a culture of openness and empathy.

The cumulative effect of these educational and religious integrations is the formation of a multi-tiered safety net—one that addresses prevention, early identification, and intervention across multiple layers of a student's environment. Students are not only taught how to cope but are

surrounded by a support network of trained adults, empathetic peers, and culturally trusted figures. This comprehensive structure contributes to measurable positive outcomes, including decreased engagement in risky behaviors, improved emotional regulation, and greater utilization of mental health resources. As Robinson et al. (2018) note, post-intervention assessments in such contexts consistently demonstrate reduced anxiety, enhanced emotional stability, and improved school climate.

In sum, the collaboration between schools and religious institutions—anchored in SEL, cultural sensitivity, and community trust—offers a robust model for suicide prevention. It aligns educational pedagogy with social and spiritual capital, ensuring that mental health support is not only accessible but also deeply embedded in the everyday lives of students. This layered, community-driven approach reflects best practices in public health and education, and it provides a scalable framework for reducing suicide risk across diverse populations.

## Cultural and Social Strategies

The assertion that culturally adapted programs incorporating traditional practices and languages enhance efficacy is not merely a matter of aesthetic inclusion, but a recognition of how culture shapes perceptions of health, healing, identity, and community engagement. Decolonial theory, as emphasized by Trout et al. (2018), provides a critical framework for understanding why Western, universalist models often fail in non-Western or Indigenous contexts. Decolonial approaches argue that interventions must dismantle colonial legacies in knowledge production and prioritize Indigenous epistemologies and ontologies. This means not only integrating traditional languages and rituals but re-centering Indigenous ways of knowing, healing, and organizing community life.

The superior performance of culturally sensitive interventions over culturally neutral ones is supported by comparative studies that demonstrate better engagement, retention, and mental health outcomes. In the context of suicide prevention, for instance, programs that are culturally congruent with Indigenous values—emphasizing collectivism, spirituality, connection to land, and intergenerational ties—have been more effective because they resonate with the community's worldview. These programs address not just individual pathology, but also historical trauma and collective identity, which are often at the root of elevated suicide risks in Indigenous populations (Allen et al., 2021).

Moreover, empowerment-based approaches that highlight community strengths—rather than deficits—align well with culturally grounded frameworks. Tingey (2016) illustrates this through entrepreneurship education, which not only offers practical life skills but also reinforces youth identity, autonomy, and hope. These interventions shift the narrative from "at-risk youth" to "resilient youth with potential," thereby fostering long-term protective factors against mental health issues and maladaptive behaviors.

When programs lack cultural relevance, as noted by O'Keefe et al. (2018), communities often perceive them as externally imposed or disconnected from their lived realities. This results in low trust, resistance, and minimal participation, severely undermining effectiveness. Conversely, when cultural tailoring is present, communities often exhibit higher levels of ownership and engagement,

which are critical for sustained impact. Tailored interventions show statistically significant reductions in depression and anxiety, as they are more likely to address the culturally specific stressors and healing mechanisms of the target population.

This principle extends to other marginalized populations as well. For LGBTQ+ individuals, mental health interventions that validate sexual and gender identities—while acknowledging intersecting experiences of discrimination, stigma, and trauma—tend to yield improved mental health outcomes (Allen et al., 2021). Cultural affirmation, in this sense, is both a protective and therapeutic factor.

The inclusion of traditional rituals and healing practices also contributes to psychosocial resilience by reaffirming cultural identity and social belonging. These practices often have symbolic and communal meanings that Western clinical practices might overlook. They provide collective spaces for mourning, celebration, and reconnection, which are essential for emotional processing and community resilience.

Finally, multisectoral collaboration is vital in ensuring program success. When mental health professionals work alongside cultural leaders, educators, elders, and community members in co-designing interventions, the result is not just cultural adaptation but cultural co-creation. This collaborative model increases the contextual appropriateness of the intervention, enhances flexibility, and supports scalability while preserving local relevance. Programs developed through such partnerships report higher levels of trust, uptake, and long-term sustainability, as they reflect the needs, strengths, and aspirations of the communities they aim to serve (O'Keefe et al., 2018).

In summary, the integration of cultural practices in health and development programs is not a superficial addition but a transformational shift in how interventions are conceptualized, implemented, and evaluated. The evidence consistently affirms that culturally grounded programs—rooted in decolonial thought, community empowerment, and participatory design—achieve superior outcomes in mental health, engagement, and resilience.

## Integrating Policy and Practice to Suicide Prevention

Systemic factors—including governmental policy, unequal access to mental health services, and pervasive societal stigma—remain persistent barriers to the effective implementation and sustainability of community-based suicide prevention programs (Grattidge et al., 2023; Ellis et al., 2023). These structural elements critically influence whether local interventions can be meaningfully scaled or sustained over time. As highlighted by Atkinson et al. (2020), supportive policy environments—characterized by adequate funding, infrastructure development, and political will—are indispensable for enabling program longevity and reach. The literature consistently emphasizes that even highly promising grassroots initiatives can be rendered ineffective in the absence of enabling national policies (Page et al., 2017). A major challenge in many settings, particularly in geographically diverse or economically stratified countries, is the stark disparity in mental health service access between urban and rural areas (Atkinson et al., 2020; Ellis et al., 2023). Addressing such inequities necessitates systemic investments that go beyond isolated

community-level efforts. Moreover, stigma surrounding mental health—deeply embedded within many societies—continues to suppress help-seeking behaviors and diminish program impact (Grattidge et al., 2023). While local interventions can increase awareness, they often lack the capacity to shift deeply ingrained attitudes without being bolstered by national-level campaigns and public education efforts aimed at reframing mental health narratives.

Overcoming these challenges requires a multi-tiered approach that integrates community action with institutional reforms. Scholars like Page et al. (2017) advocate for policy frameworks that fuse grassroots engagement with structural backing from public and private institutions, including through improved funding mechanisms, policy coherence, and anti-stigma initiatives. Collaborative partnerships with NGOs, private actors, and international donors can further enhance resource mobilization, reduce service fragmentation, and ensure shared responsibility in implementation (Ellis et al., 2023). Involving communities in the policy process—through frameworks such as Participatory Action Research (PAR)—ensures that interventions are contextually relevant, socially accepted, and more likely to be sustained over the long term (Cox et al., 2014; Barlow et al., 2023). In parallel, investments in digital infrastructure, including real-time monitoring systems powered by machine learning, offer promising tools for early detection and rapid response, while also providing actionable data for policymakers (Grattidge et al., 2022).

To enhance impact and equity, future strategies must prioritize policy-supported innovation across research, technology, and training sectors. Sustained collaboration between academic researchers and field practitioners is essential to iteratively refine and scale interventions that are both culturally sensitive and systemically supported. Lessons from globally recognized models such as LifeSpan and Zero Suicide further demonstrate the importance of adaptable policy frameworks that align international best practices with local realities (Baker et al., 2017). These models underline the need for flexible evaluation tools and integrated governance structures capable of responding to dynamic mental health challenges across diverse socio-economic settings. Ultimately, while community-driven models are foundational to suicide prevention, their success is fundamentally contingent upon a broader enabling environment—one shaped by policy coherence, digital equity, cross-sectoral collaboration, and culturally competent governance.

## REFERENCE

Allen, J., Wexler, L., & Rasmus, S. (2021). Protective factors as a unifying framework for strength-based intervention and culturally responsive american indian and alaska native suicide prevention. Prevention Science, 23(1), 59-72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-021-01265-0

Atkinson, J., Skinner, A., Hackney, S., Mason, L., Heffernan, M., Currier, D., … & Pirkis, J. (2020). Systems modelling and simulation to inform strategic decision making for suicide prevention in rural new south wales (australia). Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 54(9), 892-901. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867420932639

Ayer, L., Stevens, C., Reider, E., Sims, B., Colpe, L., & Pearson, J. (2022). Preventing youth suicide: potential "crossover effects" of existing school-based programs. Prevention Science, 24(2), 382-392. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-022-01473-2

Baker, S., Nicholas, J., Shand, F., Green, R., & Christensen, H. (2017). A comparison of multi-component systems approaches to suicide prevention. Australasian Psychiatry, 26(2), 128-131. https://doi.org/10.1177/1039856217743888

Barlow, A., Haroz, E., O'Keefe, V., Brockie, T., Manson, S., Allen, J., … & Cwik, M. (2023). New collaborative research on suicide prevention, practice, and policy with american indian and alaska native communities holds promise for all peoples. Health Promotion Practice, 24(5), 841-851. https://doi.org/10.1177/15248399221116630

Beehler, S., LoFaro, C., Kreisel, C., Holliman, B., & Mohatt, N. (2021). Veteran peer suicide prevention: a community-based peer prevention model. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 51(2), 358-367. https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12712

Caine, E., Reed, J., Hindman, J., & Quinlan, K. (2017). Comprehensive, integrated approaches to suicide prevention: practical guidance. Injury Prevention, 24(Suppl 1), i38-i45. https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2017-042366

Chartier, M., Phanlouvong, A., Weenusk, J., McCulloch, S., Ly, G., Boyd, L., … & Sareen, J. (2022). Evaluating the strengths and challenges of pax dream makers approach to mental health promotion: perspectives of youth and community members in indigenous communities in manitoba, canada. International Journal of Circumpolar Health, 81(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/22423982.2022.2089378

Cox, A., Dudgeon, P., Holland, C., Kelly, K., Scrine, C., & Walker, R. (2014). Using participatory action research to prevent suicide in aboriginal and torres strait islander communities. Australian Journal of Primary Health, 20(4), 345. https://doi.org/10.1071/py14043

Ellis, L., Zurynski, Y., Long, J., Clay-Williams, R., Ree, E., Sarkies, M., … & Braithwaite, J. (2023). Systems resilience in the implementation of a large-scale suicide prevention intervention: a qualitative study using a multilevel theoretical approach. BMC Health Services Research, 23(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-09769-x

Grattidge, L., Hoang, H., Mond, J., Lees, D., Visentin, D., & Auckland, S. (2023). Exploring community-based suicide prevention in the context of rural australia: a qualitative study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(3), 2644. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20032644

Grattidge, L., Purton, T., Auckland, S., Lees, D., & Mond, J. (2022). Stakeholder insights into implementing a systems-based suicide prevention program in regional and rural tasmanian communities. BMC Public Health, 22(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14721-5

Hanlon, C., Chopra, J., Boland, J., McIlroy, D., Poole, H., & Saini, P. (2022). James' place model: application of a novel clinical, community-based intervention for the prevention of suicide among men. Journal of Public Mental Health, 21(1), 82-92. https://doi.org/10.1108/jpmh-09-2021-0123

Kearney, L., Smith, C., & Miller, M. (2020). Critical foundations for implementing the va's public health approach to suicide prevention. Psychiatric Services, 71(12), 1306-1307. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.202000190

Kegler, S., Stone, D., & Holland, K. (2017). Trends in suicide by level of urbanization — united states, 1999–2015. MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 66(10), 270-273. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6610a2

Kuosmanen, T., Clarke, A., & Barry, M. (2019). Promoting adolescents' mental health and wellbeing: evidence synthesis. Journal of Public Mental Health, 18(1), 73-83. https://doi.org/10.1108/jpmh-07-2018-0036

Mensa-Kwao, A., Cuc, I., Concepcion, T., Kemp, C., Hughsam, M., Sinha, M., … & Collins, P. (2024). A mixed methods analysis of youth mental health intervention feasibility and acceptability in a north american city: perspectives from seattle, washington. Plos One, 19(3), e0288214. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0288214

Mohatt, N., Billera, M., Demers, N., Monteith, L., & Bahraini, N. (2018). A menu of options: resources for preventing veteran suicide in rural communities.. Psychological Services, 15(3), 262-269. https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000203

Morgan, A., Roberts, R., Mackinnon, A., & Reifels, L. (2022). The effectiveness of an australian community suicide prevention networks program in preventing suicide: a controlled longitudinal study. BMC Public Health, 22(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14331-1

Nakano, T., Hasegawa, T., & Okada, M. (2021). Analysing the impacts of financial support for regional suicide prevention programmes on suicide mortality caused by major suicide motives in japan using statistical government data. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(7), 3414. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073414

O'Keefe, V., Tucker, R., Cole, A., Hollingsworth, D., & Wingate, L. (2018). Understanding indigenous suicide through a theoretical lens: a review of general, culturally-based, and indigenous frameworks. Transcultural Psychiatry, 55(6), 775-799. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461518778937

Okolie, C., Dennis, M., Thomas, E., & John, A. (2017). A systematic review of interventions to prevent suicidal behaviors and reduce suicidal ideation in older people. International Psychogeriatrics, 29(11), 1801-1824. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1041610217001430

Ongeri, L., Wahome, M., Kariuki, S., Bitta, M., Schubart, C., Penninx, B., … & Tijdink, J. (2023). Perspectives on reasons for suicidal behaviour and recommendations for suicide prevention in kenya: qualitative study. Bjpsych Open, 9(2). https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2023.7

Page, A., Atkinson, J., Heffernan, M., McDonnell, G., & Hickie, I. (2017). A decision-support tool to inform australian strategies for preventing suicide and suicidal behaviour. Public Health Research & Practice, 27(2). https://doi.org/10.17061/phrp2721717

Powell, N., Dalton, H., Perkins, D., Considine, R., Hughes, S., Osborne, S., … & Buss, R. (2019). Our healthy clarence: a community-driven wellbeing initiative. International Journal of

Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(19), 3691. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16193691

Reccord, C., Power, N., Hatfield, K., Karaivanov, Y., Mulay, S., Wilson, M., … & Pollock, N. (2021). Rural–urban differences in suicide mortality: an observational study in newfoundland and labrador, canada: différences de la mortalité par suicide en milieu rural-urbain: une étude observationnelle à terre-neuve et labrador, canada. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 66(10), 918-928. https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743721990315

Renaud, J., MacNeil, S., Vijayakumar, L., Spodenkiewicz, M., Daniels, S., Brent, D., … & Turecki, G. (2022). Suicidal ideation and behavior in youth in low- and middle-income countries: a brief review of risk factors and implications for prevention. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1044354

Rheinberger, D., Shand, F., Mok, K., McGillivray, L., Maple, M., Burnett, A., … & Torok, M. (2021). A qualitative analysis of motivators to participation in suicide-focused research from a community-based australian sample. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(9), 4705. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18094705

Robinson, G., Leckning, B., Midford, R., Harper, H., Silburn, S., Gannaway, J., … & Hayes, C. (2016). Developing a school-based preventive life skills program for youth in a remote indigenous community in north australia. Health Education, 116(5), 510-523. https://doi.org/10.1108/he-09-2015-0026

Robinson, G., Lee, E., Silburn, S., Nagel, P., Leckning, B., & Midford, R. (2020). School-based prevention in very remote settings: a feasibility trial of methods and measures for the evaluation of a social emotional learning program for indigenous students in remote northern australia. Frontiers in Public Health, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.552878

Robinson, J., Bailey, E., Witt, K., Stefanac, N., Milner, A., Currier, D., … & Hetrick, S. (2018). What works in youth suicide prevention? a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eclinicalmedicine, 4-5, 52-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.10.004

Scarth, B., Bering, J., Marsh, I., SantiagoIrizarry, V., & Andriessen, K. (2021). Strategies to stay alive: adaptive toolboxes for living well with suicidal behavior. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(15), 8013. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18158013

Sjoblom, E., Ghidei, W., Leslie, M., James, A., Bartel, R., Campbell, S., … & Montesanti, S. (2022). Centering indigenous knowledge in suicide prevention: a critical scoping review. BMC Public Health, 22(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14580-0

Trout, L., McEachern, D., Mullany, A., White, L., & Wexler, L. (2018). Decoloniality as a framework for indigenous youth suicide prevention pedagogy: promoting community conversations about research to end suicide. American Journal of Community Psychology, 62(3-4), 396-405. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12293

Turner, K., Stapelberg, N., Svetičič, J., & Dekker, S. (2020). Inconvenient truths in suicide prevention: why a restorative just culture should be implemented alongside a zero suicide

framework. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 54(6), 571-581. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867420918659

Willging, C., Green, A., & Ramos, M. (2016). Implementing school nursing strategies to reduce lgbtq adolescent suicide: a randomized cluster trial study protocol. Implementation Science, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-016-0507-2

Wexler, L., McEachern, D., DiFulvio, G., Smith, C., Graham, L., & Dombrowski, K. (2016). Creating a community of practice to prevent suicide through multiple channels: describing the theoretical foundations and structured learning of pc cares. International Quarterly of Community Health Education, 36(2), 115-122. https://doi.org/10.1177/0272684x16630886

Wexler, L., Rataj, S., Ivanich, J., Plavin, J., Mullany, A., Moto, R., … & Dombrowski, K. (2019). Community mobilization for rural suicide prevention: process, learning and behavioral outcomes from promoting community conversations about research to end suicide (pc cares) in northwest alaska. Social Science & Medicine, 232, 398-407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.05.028

Yosep, I., Hikmat, R., Mardhiyah, A., & Hernawaty, T. (2024). A scoping review of digital-based intervention for reducing risk of suicide among adults. Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare, Volume 17, 3545-3556. https://doi.org/10.2147/jmdh.s472264

York, J., Lamis, D., Friedman, L., Berman, A., Joiner, T., McIntosh, J., … & Pearson, J. (2012). A systematic review process to evaluate suicide prevention programs: a sample case of community-based programs. Journal of Community Psychology, 41(1), 35-51. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.21509