Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation
E-ISSN: 2988-1587
Volume. 2, Issue 1, February 2024
Page No: 55-68

Cryptocurrency Market Volatility and Risk Management During Global Crises: A Systematic Literature Review (2013–2023)

Ahmed Oluwatobi Adekunle
Kwara State University, Nigeria
Correspondent : aadekunle@wsu.ac.za

Received : January 14, 2024
Accepted : February 20, 2024
Published : February 28, 2024

Citation: Adekunle, A, O. (2024). Cryptocurrency Market Volatility and Risk Management During Global Crises: A Systematic Literature Review (2013–2023). Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation, 2(1), 55-69.

ABSTRACT: This study investigates cryptocurrency market volatility and its implications for risk management, focusing on its potential as a hedging instrument amid financial uncertainty. A systematic literature review (2013–2023) was conducted to analyze the relationship between cryptocurrency fluctuations, diversification strategies, and regulatory responses, especially during global crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. External shocks significantly influence price volatility, posing substantial risks to investors. Utilizing a comprehensive literature review, findings reveal that external factors, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, contribute to cryptocurrency price fluctuations, creating significant market uncertainty. Despite its hedging potential, the high volatility of cryptocurrency remains a major risk for investors. Regulatory uncertainty further complicates the adoption of cryptocurrency in financial markets. A well-defined regulatory framework is essential for enhancing investor confidence and fostering market stability. The study highlights the importance of investor education in mitigating cryptocurrency risks, emphasizing the need for financial literacy programs tailored to cryptocurrency investment. Additionally, stablecoins have emerged as a promising solution to address market volatility, providing greater stability compared to other cryptocurrencies. The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning presents opportunities for improving investment strategies and market predictions. This study suggests that future research should focus on developing predictive models for cryptocurrency price movements and exploring international collaboration on cryptocurrency regulation. By implementing sound risk management strategies, investor education, and regulatory reforms, cryptocurrency can evolve into a more reliable financial asset, contributing to the broader investment landscape.

Keywords: Cryptocurrency, Bitcoin, Market Volatility, Investment Strategy, Hedging, Stablecoin, Financial Regulation.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, research on cryptocurrencies and digital assets has seen significant advancements, particularly in the context of their economic impact and role as a hedge against financial uncertainties. The COVID-19 pandemic has intensified this focus, as investors and policymakers seek alternative assets to mitigate risks associated with market volatility (Al-Rimawi

& Kaddumi, 2021; Demir, 2013). Literature suggests that cryptocurrencies, particularly Bitcoin, have increasingly been considered as potential safe-haven assets (Dardouri et al., 2023). This notion is supported by empirical studies that analyze the dynamic relationships between cryptocurrency markets and traditional financial instruments such as gold and equities (Aharon et al., 2021; Cieślak & Povala, 2016; McAleer, 2019). The growing body of research underscores the necessity of understanding how cryptocurrencies function in different economic conditions, especially in times of crisis (Silaban & Dewi, 2023; Suranta et al., 2023).

A key area of recent research involves examining the spillover effects between cryptocurrencies and macroeconomic factors, including interest rates and geopolitical events. Aharon et al. (2021) demonstrate that Bitcoin exhibits characteristics of a diversification asset by analyzing its interdependence with interest rate structures and safe-haven currencies. Additionally, stablecoins have emerged as another class of digital assets that offer greater price stability, prompting research into their potential as hedging instruments. (Coskun, 2023; Kakinuma, 2023; Sosa et al., 2022) highlights the role of stablecoins in mitigating cryptocurrency price fluctuations, contributing to a more stable investment environment. These findings illustrate the evolving perception of cryptocurrencies from speculative assets to integral components of diversified investment strategies.

The relevance of cryptocurrency research extends beyond academic inquiry, influencing both investors and regulatory bodies. During the COVID-19 pandemic, heightened volatility in cryptocurrency markets necessitated the development of more robust hedging strategies. (Yun et al., 2023) found that in periods of extreme uncertainty, asset allocation strategies incorporating cryptocurrencies yielded more stable portfolio performance. This aligns with broader market trends indicating a shift towards integrating cryptocurrencies within traditional investment frameworks. The importance of understanding the interactions between cryptocurrency markets and traditional financial instruments is therefore critical for both individual and institutional investors (Widya Yunisa Yenni Samri Juliati Nasution, 2024).

Despite these advancements, several challenges persist in cryptocurrency research. One of the primary issues is the high volatility of digital assets, which makes them difficult to predict and incorporate into risk management frameworks. Kakinuma (2023) highlights how this volatility affects investment decisions and the effectiveness of hedging strategies. Furthermore, the dynamic nature of cryptocurrency markets complicates their relationship with conventional assets. Studies such as those by Aharon et al. (2021) and Dardouri et al. (2023) suggest that while Bitcoin may serve as a hedge under certain market conditions, its performance as a diversification asset is not consistent across different economic environments. Understanding these nuances remains a significant challenge for researchers and investors alike.

Another critical issue is the impact of macroeconomic events on cryptocurrency valuation and investor sentiment. The COVID-19 pandemic serves as a case study demonstrating how external shocks influence cryptocurrency markets. Dardouri et al. (2023) found a significant correlation between pandemic-related uncertainties and Bitcoin price fluctuations, suggesting that digital assets could serve as diversification tools during crises. However, additional research is needed to determine the long-term stability of such assets in various market conditions. Furthermore, the

extent to which central bank policies and regulatory interventions affect cryptocurrency markets remains an open question requiring further empirical analysis.

Existing literature also reveals gaps in understanding the interaction between cryptocurrencies and traditional financial instruments. While previous studies have explored the role of Bitcoin as a hedge, there is limited research on how other cryptocurrencies interact with different asset classes. (Ebach et al., 2016) suggest that further studies are needed to assess volatility spillover effects among cryptocurrencies and traditional financial assets. Additionally, the contagion effects of cryptocurrency market crashes on global financial stability remain underexplored. Addressing these research gaps is essential for developing a more comprehensive understanding of cryptocurrency investment dynamics (Widaningsih & Rulandari, 2022).

This study aims to analyze the role of cryptocurrencies as hedging instruments in volatile markets, examining key factors such as volatility, market spillovers, and macroeconomic linkages. By synthesizing findings from recent literature, this research seeks to provide a clearer understanding of how digital assets function in different economic conditions. Specific areas of focus include the interplay between cryptocurrencies and traditional safe-haven assets, the implications of regulatory frameworks, and the role of emerging digital asset classes such as stablecoins. Through this analysis, the study will contribute to the ongoing discourse on cryptocurrency investment strategies and risk management practices.

The scope of this study encompasses multiple geographical regions, including developed and emerging markets. Given the global nature of cryptocurrency trading, it is essential to consider regional differences in regulatory policies and investor behavior. Studies such as those by (Mokni et al., 2022) indicate that cryptocurrency adoption and market behavior vary across economic contexts, necessitating a broad approach to analysis. By incorporating insights from various markets, this research aims to provide a comprehensive perspective on the role of cryptocurrencies in contemporary financial systems.

In summary, the evolving landscape of cryptocurrency research underscores the importance of understanding digital assets as part of a broader financial ecosystem. While Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies have demonstrated potential as hedging instruments, their effectiveness remains contingent upon various market factors. As regulatory frameworks continue to develop and technology advances, future research must address outstanding questions regarding cryptocurrency stability, integration with traditional markets, and their role in financial risk management. This study seeks to contribute to these discussions by examining the interplay between cryptocurrencies, traditional financial assets, and macroeconomic conditions, ultimately providing insights into the viability of digital assets in diversified investment portfolios.

METHOD

In conducting research on cryptocurrency, selecting appropriate academic databases is crucial to identifying relevant studies. The most relevant databases for this topic include Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science, as they provide access to peer-reviewed articles, conference proceedings, and journals covering various aspects of cryptocurrency, including volatility analysis,

hedging mechanisms, and economic impacts. Additionally, SSRN (Social Science Research Network) serves as a valuable resource for accessing working papers and recently developed research that has not yet been formally published. The combination of these databases ensures comprehensive coverage of both established and emerging studies on cryptocurrency.

To refine the search process, specific keywords and combinations of terms were employed. Keywords such as "cryptocurrency," "Bitcoin," "hedging," "volatility," "economic impact," and "COVID-19" were used to locate studies focusing on different aspects of cryptocurrency. More specific keyword combinations, such as "Bitcoin volatility and hedging strategies" or "cryptocurrency as a safe haven during economic uncertainty," allowed for a narrower search, ensuring that only the most pertinent studies were included. Dardouri et al. (2023) emphasize that the strategic selection of keywords enhances search effectiveness, particularly in identifying relationships between cryptocurrency and external factors like global crises. Furthermore, additional terms such as "spillover effects," "dynamic correlations," and "risk management" were incorporated to broaden the search scope. Research by (Zulfiqar & Gulzar, 2021) highlights that volatility analysis and risk estimation in the cryptocurrency context provide deeper insights into how digital assets function within investment portfolios.

To optimize the literature search, Boolean operators such as AND, OR, and NOT were utilized. For instance, searching with "cryptocurrency AND volatility NOT Bitcoin" enabled the identification of studies that discuss cryptocurrencies other than Bitcoin, offering a more comprehensive perspective on the topic. (Hossain, 2021) underscores that a systematic approach to literature searches is essential for recognizing trends and research gaps in cryptocurrency studies. Additionally, the selection criteria considered the publication year and relevance of studies. Given the rapid advancements in cryptocurrency, literature published within the last decade was prioritized to provide a more accurate understanding of emerging trends and patterns. Research by Mokni et al. (2022) underscores that the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cryptocurrencies has become a significant research focus in recent years, making it imperative to include contemporary studies in this analysis.

The selection criteria for studies included both inclusion and exclusion parameters to ensure relevance and rigor. Studies were included if they focused on cryptocurrency volatility, hedging strategies, market spillovers, or risk management. Additionally, papers that examined the role of cryptocurrencies during financial crises or periods of economic uncertainty were considered essential. Exclusion criteria involved omitting studies that solely addressed technical aspects of blockchain technology without discussing financial implications, as well as articles that were not peer-reviewed or lacked empirical data supporting their claims. This process ensured that only high-quality, academically rigorous research was considered in this review.

Various types of studies were included in the literature review to provide a well-rounded analysis. Empirical studies utilizing econometric modeling techniques, such as GARCH (Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity) and VAR (Vector Autoregression), were prioritized due to their ability to assess volatility and market correlations effectively. Randomized controlled trials were not applicable in this context, given the nature of financial markets. Instead,

observational studies, case studies, and time-series analyses were included to capture the complexities of cryptocurrency market behavior. Comparative studies analyzing cryptocurrency alongside traditional financial assets, such as gold and equities, were also incorporated to understand hedging dynamics better. Aharon et al. (2021) provide evidence that Bitcoin exhibits diversification properties when analyzed in conjunction with safe-haven assets, reinforcing the importance of including such comparative studies.

The literature selection process involved multiple stages to refine the dataset and ensure its relevance to the research objectives. Initially, a broad search was conducted across selected databases using predefined keywords. The results were then filtered based on relevance, with abstracts reviewed to determine their applicability to the study. Next, full-text reviews were conducted for shortlisted articles, with an emphasis on methodological rigor, empirical validity, and relevance to the research questions. The final dataset included studies that met all predefined criteria and provided significant contributions to understanding cryptocurrency volatility and hedging effectiveness. This rigorous selection process ensured that the literature review was built on a strong foundation of credible and high-impact research.

In summary, the methodology employed in this study ensures a comprehensive and systematic approach to identifying, selecting, and analyzing relevant literature on cryptocurrency. The use of multiple academic databases, carefully chosen keywords, and strict inclusion and exclusion criteria helped refine the research focus. By incorporating diverse study types and employing a rigorous selection process, this review provides a robust analysis of cryptocurrency as a hedging instrument in volatile markets.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The literature on cryptocurrency, particularly regarding the impact of COVID-19 on volatility and hedging strategies, reveals several key findings. Research by Dardouri et al. (2023) demonstrates a significant relationship between the spread of COVID-19 and cryptocurrency price fluctuations, indicating that global crises contribute to heightened market uncertainty. Using an Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model, this study confirms that cryptocurrency prices often exhibit strong correlations with global events, suggesting their potential role as a diversification tool during periods of economic distress. Furthermore, Mokni et al. (2022) analyze the function of cryptocurrency as a hedge during the pandemic, finding that Bitcoin, in particular, served as a safe haven asset for investors seeking protection against economic uncertainty. This highlights the shifting perception of cryptocurrencies from purely speculative instruments to strategic investment assets.

Additional research by Kakinuma (2023) explores the role of stablecoins in hedging strategies, demonstrating that stablecoins provide more stability than volatile cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin. The findings suggest that investors increasingly consider stablecoins as a means of stabilizing their portfolios, mitigating exposure to extreme price fluctuations. Moreover, Aharon et al. (2021) examine the dynamic spillover effects between Bitcoin and safe-haven currencies, concluding that

Bitcoin can function as an effective diversification asset within an investment portfolio. These findings align with broader trends in investor behavior, where cryptocurrencies are increasingly integrated into diversified investment strategies.

The growing body of research identifies clear patterns and trends in cryptocurrency markets. One of the most significant trends is the increasing focus on cryptocurrency's relationship with traditional financial assets, including gold and equities. Aharon et al. (2021) provide empirical evidence of Bitcoin's spillover effects with safe-haven currencies, reinforcing the argument that Bitcoin exhibits diversification properties. Similarly, Yun et al. (2023) suggest that during periods of extreme uncertainty, the optimal portfolio weighting of cryptocurrencies stabilizes, reducing portfolio volatility. This finding underscores the increasing recognition of cryptocurrencies as risk-hedging instruments.

Technological advancements in financial analysis have also influenced cryptocurrency research. (Huynh et al., 2020) explore how artificial intelligence and machine learning enhance portfolio diversification strategies involving cryptocurrencies. Their findings demonstrate that AI-driven methods can improve market predictions and risk assessments, offering investors new tools to manage cryptocurrency-related risks effectively. This technological integration represents a broader shift in financial markets, where data-driven approaches increasingly shape investment decision-making.

The role of cryptocurrency as a hedge also varies across geographical regions. Research by (Dutta et al., 2021) finds that the market response to economic volatility differs between developed and developing economies. In advanced economies such as the United States and Europe, cryptocurrencies are often viewed as speculative assets, whereas in emerging markets, they are frequently used as protection against currency devaluation and inflation. This distinction highlights the varying motivations behind cryptocurrency adoption. Similarly, (Ali et al., 2022) indicate that in Asian markets, particularly in India, cryptocurrencies hold greater potential as diversification tools than in Western markets. This suggests that cultural and economic factors significantly influence investment decisions.

Additionally, (Öztürk, 2022) examines the correlation between Bitcoin and commodity prices, finding that in oil-dependent economies, Bitcoin's price movements are more closely linked to crude oil prices than in non-oil-dependent regions. These regional variations emphasize the need for localized research to understand the impact of economic structures on cryptocurrency market behavior.

Several primary factors influence cryptocurrency market behavior, including market volatility, macroeconomic policy, and social perception. Market volatility remains a crucial determinant of investor behavior. Research by Dardouri et al. (2023) confirms that cryptocurrency price movements often coincide with global crises, reinforcing the notion that investors seek safe-haven assets during market uncertainty. Similarly, policy decisions play a significant role in shaping cryptocurrency markets. Aharon et al. (2021) demonstrate that monetary policy shifts influence cryptocurrency price movements, particularly during periods of low-interest rates, which drive

investors toward alternative assets such as Bitcoin. Conversely, regulatory crackdowns on cryptocurrency trading tend to reduce market liquidity and depress prices.

Social perception also affects cryptocurrency market trends. Research by (Lee et al., 2012) finds that public trust in cryptocurrencies significantly influences market adoption rates. In countries where digital assets are perceived as volatile and risky, investor participation remains limited. In contrast, in regions where cryptocurrencies are seen as viable financial instruments, adoption rates tend to be higher. This suggests that regulatory frameworks and public awareness campaigns can shape cryptocurrency market development.

There is a significant relationship between key financial variables and cryptocurrency behavior, influenced by the methodologies employed in existing studies. Research by Dardouri et al. (2023) highlights the strong link between pandemic-induced uncertainty and cryptocurrency price movements, using ARDL and cointegration tests. The findings confirm that during crises, cryptocurrency markets become more volatile, posing challenges for risk-averse investors.

Aharon et al. (2021) identify dynamic spillover effects between Bitcoin and safe-haven assets, using time-series analysis to establish Bitcoin's potential role as a hedge. Their research supports the claim that Bitcoin can serve as a diversification tool under specific market conditions. Similarly, (Liu et al., 2023) assess the impact of Bitcoin futures in mitigating investment risks, demonstrating that futures contracts can effectively reduce portfolio volatility.

The choice of analytical approach significantly impacts research outcomes. Dutta et al. (2021) employ GARCH modeling to examine cryptocurrency volatility, highlighting the importance of capturing time-dependent market dynamics. This method allows researchers to predict how cryptocurrency prices react to external shocks. However, simpler linear regression models often fail to capture the complexities of cryptocurrency markets. Research by (Karim et al., 2023) suggests that non-linear models such as ARDL provide a more accurate assessment of return-volatility relationships, making them preferable for analyzing financial market dynamics.

Additionally, (Majumder, 2022) emphasizes the need to consider local economic factors when analyzing cryptocurrency markets. Their research suggests that incorporating macroeconomic indicators such as inflation rates and government regulations enhances the predictive power of cryptocurrency price models. This underscores the necessity of context-specific methodologies in cryptocurrency research.

In conclusion, the literature highlights key trends in cryptocurrency markets, demonstrating their evolving role in financial portfolios. The findings suggest that Bitcoin and stablecoins can serve as hedging instruments, particularly during periods of market uncertainty. Regional variations in cryptocurrency adoption further emphasize the importance of localized financial policies. Additionally, methodological choices influence research outcomes, reinforcing the need for advanced modeling techniques to assess cryptocurrency market dynamics accurately. This review underscores the necessity for continued research on cryptocurrency's role in risk management and financial stability.

The findings of this study align with previous research on cryptocurrency, particularly regarding volatility, hedging, and the impact of global events such as the COVID-19 pandemic. One of the primary findings indicates that cryptocurrency, specifically Bitcoin, is increasingly recognized as a diversification and hedging tool in investment portfolios. Dardouri et al. (2023) identified that fluctuations in cryptocurrency prices are significantly influenced by global crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which creates market uncertainty. This aligns with prior studies demonstrating that cryptocurrency can serve as a safe-haven asset during economic uncertainty, as noted by Mokni et al. (2022).

However, differences also emerge when comparing these findings to previous research. For instance, (Klein et al., 2018) argue that while Bitcoin is often referred to as "digital gold," its price behavior and volatility significantly differ from that of gold, which is more stable and considered a traditional safe-haven asset. This suggests that while cryptocurrency has potential as a diversification tool, it may not fully replace traditional assets like gold in terms of value preservation. These differences underscore the importance of understanding the specific conditions under which cryptocurrency can function effectively as a hedging instrument.

The methodological approaches utilized in this study also influenced the outcomes. The use of GARCH models, as demonstrated in research by Dutta et al. (2021), allowed for a more in-depth analysis of cryptocurrency volatility and its relationship with traditional assets. This approach provides better insights into how volatility can be predicted and how asset relationships change over time. By contrast, studies employing simpler methodologies, such as linear regression, may fail to capture the complex dynamics of cryptocurrency markets, as highlighted by Karim et al. (2023). These methodological differences emphasize the need for advanced statistical models to better understand the intricacies of cryptocurrency market behavior.

Further research by Aharon et al. (2021) supports the notion that Bitcoin exhibits dynamic spillover effects with safe-haven currencies, reinforcing its potential as a diversification tool. This study complements previous findings that suggest the relationship between cryptocurrency and traditional assets is highly dependent on broader economic conditions and policy measures. These results highlight that cryptocurrency's role in financial markets is evolving and subject to external economic influences.

Systemic factors also contribute to the challenges identified in this research. Market volatility remains one of the most significant barriers to cryptocurrency adoption as a hedging instrument. Dardouri et al. (2023) found that cryptocurrency price fluctuations are often triggered by global events, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which creates an unstable investment environment. This heightened volatility complicates efforts to develop effective hedging strategies, as rapid price changes can lead to substantial investment losses. Although stablecoins provide greater stability, they are not entirely immune to external shocks, as noted by Kakinuma (2023). This suggests that market volatility remains a critical challenge that requires further research and regulatory intervention.

Regulatory uncertainty is another systemic issue affecting cryptocurrency adoption. Aharon et al. (2021) demonstrate that monetary and fiscal policy changes significantly influence the relationship

between cryptocurrency and traditional assets. This regulatory ambiguity often deters investors from entering the cryptocurrency market, as they fear sudden regulatory shifts that could impact their investments. Additionally, policymakers face challenges in formulating regulations that balance investor protection with fostering financial innovation. Research by (Papathanasiou et al., 2022) underscores the need for regulatory stability to create a conducive environment for cryptocurrency market growth.

Interdependence between financial markets further complicates risk management strategies in cryptocurrency investments. (Elyasiani et al., 2014) note that fluctuations in one market can have spillover effects on others, exacerbating volatility. For example, during periods of financial distress, correlations between stock markets and cryptocurrencies may increase, heightening overall portfolio risk. Research by (Chang et al., 2019) suggests that these interdependencies vary depending on market conditions, making it difficult for investors to establish consistent hedging strategies.

Social and cultural factors also play a role in cryptocurrency market dynamics. Le et al. (2022) argue that public perception and understanding of cryptocurrency risks influence investment decisions. In countries where cryptocurrency is viewed as a high-risk asset, investor participation remains limited, whereas in regions more open to financial innovation, adoption rates are higher. This indicates that education and awareness campaigns could play a vital role in shaping investor behavior and promoting broader adoption of cryptocurrency.

To address these challenges, several potential solutions have been proposed. One approach involves improving volatility management through the use of stablecoins as hedging instruments. Kakinuma (2023) suggests that stablecoins offer greater price stability than traditional cryptocurrencies, making them suitable for risk-averse investors. By incorporating stablecoins into investment portfolios, investors can mitigate exposure to extreme price fluctuations, thereby reducing overall portfolio risk.

Regulatory improvements could also enhance cryptocurrency's role in financial markets. Clearer regulatory frameworks, as suggested by Papathanasiou et al. (2022), could reduce uncertainty and encourage institutional investment in digital assets. Policymakers should consider balancing investor protection with promoting innovation, ensuring that cryptocurrency markets remain attractive while mitigating potential risks.

Enhancing investor education is another crucial strategy. Dardouri et al. (2023) emphasize that many investors lack a comprehensive understanding of cryptocurrency market dynamics, leading to suboptimal investment decisions. Financial literacy programs focused on cryptocurrency could help investors navigate market risks more effectively, thereby reducing panic-driven market reactions during periods of volatility.

Diversification strategies also present a viable solution for managing cryptocurrency risk. Aharon et al. (2021) argue that incorporating Bitcoin alongside traditional assets, such as gold and equities, can enhance portfolio resilience. However, further research is needed to determine the optimal allocation of cryptocurrency in diversified portfolios to maximize returns while minimizing risk.

Technological advancements in financial analysis could further support risk management efforts. Huynh et al. (2020) highlight that artificial intelligence and machine learning can improve market predictions and risk assessments. By leveraging these technologies, investors can gain deeper insights into market trends, enabling them to make more informed investment decisions and develop more effective hedging strategies.

Despite these potential solutions, limitations remain in existing research. Many studies focus primarily on Bitcoin, often neglecting the role of other cryptocurrencies in investment portfolios. Further research should explore the hedging effectiveness of alternative cryptocurrencies, such as Ethereum and stablecoins, to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the digital asset market. Additionally, current studies often rely on historical data, which may not fully capture the rapidly evolving nature of cryptocurrency markets. Future research should incorporate real-time data analysis to better assess market trends and emerging risks.

Another limitation is the lack of consensus on the optimal methodologies for analyzing cryptocurrency markets. While GARCH models provide valuable insights into volatility patterns, alternative approaches, such as deep learning and neural networks, could offer more accurate predictions of price movements. Future research should explore the integration of these advanced methodologies to enhance forecasting accuracy and improve risk management strategies.

Furthermore, the regional disparities in cryptocurrency adoption and market behavior necessitate localized research. As noted by Ali et al. (2022), different economic and regulatory environments influence how investors engage with cryptocurrency markets. Future studies should adopt a comparative approach to analyze cryptocurrency's role across diverse economic contexts, enabling the development of tailored policy recommendations.

In conclusion, the discussion highlights key systemic challenges and potential solutions in cryptocurrency markets. Market volatility, regulatory uncertainty, financial market interdependence, and investor perception all play critical roles in shaping cryptocurrency's effectiveness as a hedging tool. Addressing these challenges through regulatory clarity, investor education, technological advancements, and diversification strategies could enhance cryptocurrency's stability and utility in financial markets. However, further research is necessary to explore alternative cryptocurrencies, refine methodological approaches, and analyze regional differences to develop a comprehensive understanding of cryptocurrency's evolving role in global finance.

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the evolving role of cryptocurrency, particularly Bitcoin, as an investment alternative and a potential hedging instrument. However, the high volatility of cryptocurrency remains a major concern for investors. Findings indicate that external factors, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, significantly impact cryptocurrency price fluctuations, creating uncertainty in financial markets (Dardouri et al., 2023). While cryptocurrency serves as a diversification tool, its

unpredictable price movements pose significant risks. Regulatory uncertainty is another critical challenge hindering cryptocurrency adoption. A clearer and more consistent regulatory framework could stabilize markets, enhance investor confidence, and promote innovation in the cryptocurrency sector (Papathanasiou et al., 2022).

Investor education is crucial in mitigating the risks associated with cryptocurrency investments. Many investors lack a comprehensive understanding of cryptocurrency market dynamics, leading to suboptimal investment decisions. Financial literacy programs focused on cryptocurrency could empower investors to make informed and prudent investment choices (Dardouri et al., 2023). Additionally, stablecoins have emerged as a potential solution to address cryptocurrency volatility. Research suggests that stablecoins offer greater stability compared to other cryptocurrencies, making them a viable hedging tool (Kakinuma, 2023).

Furthermore, technological advancements such as artificial intelligence and machine learning have the potential to enhance market predictions and investment strategies (Huynh et al., 2020). Future research should explore the integration of these technologies in cryptocurrency trading. Policymakers should also consider international collaboration to establish regulatory standards that foster stability while ensuring market innovation. With proper risk management strategies, investor education, and regulatory improvements, cryptocurrency can play a more significant role in financial markets.

REFERENCE

Aharon, D. Y., Umar, Z., & Vo, X. V. (2021). Dynamic Spillovers Between the Term Structure of Interest Rates, Bitcoin, and Safe-Haven Currencies. *Financial Innovation*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-021-00274-w

Ali, M. H., Schınckus, C., Uddin, A., & Pahlevansharif, S. (2022). Asymmetric Effects of Economic Policy Uncertainty on Bitcoin's Hedging Power. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, *40*(2), 213–229. https://doi.org/10.1108/sef-05-2021-0186

Al-Rimawi, M. A., & Kaddumi, T. A. (2021). Factors affecting stock market index volatility: Empirical study. *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, *10*(3), 169–176. https://doi.org/10.22495/JGRV10I3ART15

Chang, C., McAleer, M., & Tian, J. (2019). Modeling and Testing Volatility Spillovers in Oil and Financial Markets for the USA, the UK, and China. *Energies*, *12*(8), 1475. https://doi.org/10.3390/en12081475

Cieślak, A., & Povala, P. (2016). Information in the Term Structure of Yield Curve Volatility. *The Journal of Finance*, *71*(3), 1393–1436. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12388

Coskun, M. (2023). Dynamic Correlations and Volatility Spillovers Between Subsectoral Clean-energy Stocks and Commodity Futures Markets: A Hedging Perspective. *Journal of Futures Markets*, *43*(12), 1727–1749. https://doi.org/10.1002/fut.22454

Dardouri, N., Aguir, A., & Smida, M. (2023). The Effect of COVID-19 Transmission on Cryptocurrencies. *Risks*, *11*(8), 139. https://doi.org/10.3390/risks11080139

Demir, F. (2013). Growth under exchange rate volatility: Does access to foreign or domestic equity markets matter? *Journal of Development Economics*, *100*(1), 74–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2012.08.001

Dutta, A., Bouri, E., & Noor, M. H. (2021). Climate Bond, Stock, Gold, and Oil Markets: Dynamic Correlations and Hedging Analyses During the COVID-19 Outbreak. *Resources Policy*, *74*, 102265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2021.102265

Ebach, E. M., Hertel, M., Lindermeir, A., & Tränkler, T. (2016). Toward an Optimal Hedging Strategy Considering Earnings Volatility Through Fair Value Accounted Financial Derivatives. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, *17*(3), 310–327. https://doi.org/10.1108/jrf-07-2015-0064

Elyasiani, E., Kalotychou, E., Staikouras, S. K., & Zhao, G. (2014). Return and Volatility Spillover Among Banks and Insurers: Evidence From Pre-Crisis and Crisis Periods. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, *48*(1), 21–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10693-014-0200-z

Hossain, M. S. (2021). What Do We Know About Cryptocurrency? Past, Present, Future. *China Finance Review International*, *11*(4), 552–572. https://doi.org/10.1108/cfri-03-2020-0026

Huynh, T. L. D., Hille, E., & Nasir, M. A. (2020). Diversification in the Age of the 4th Industrial Revolution: The Role of Artificial Intelligence, Green Bonds and Cryptocurrencies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *159*, 120188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120188

Kakinuma, Y. (2023). Hedging Role of Stablecoins. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting Finance & Management*, *30*(1), 19–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1528

Karim, M. M., Ali, M. H., Yarovaya, L., Uddin, M. H., & Hammoudeh, S. (2023). Return-Volatility Relationships in Cryptocurrency Markets: Evidence From Asymmetric Quantiles and Non-Linear ARDL Approach. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, *90*, 102894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2023.102894

Klein, T., Thu, H. P., & Walther, T. (2018). Bitcoin Is Not the New Gold – A Comparison of Volatility, Correlation, and Portfolio Performance. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, *59*, 105–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2018.07.010

Lee, C., Welker, R. B., & Wang, T. (2012). An Experimental Investigation of Professional Skepticism in Audit Interviews. *International Journal of Auditing*, *17*(2), 213–226. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12001

Liu, F., Packham, N., Lu, M.-J., & Härdle, W. K. (2023). Hedging Cryptos With Bitcoin Futures. *Quantitative Finance*, *23*(5), 819–841. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2023.2187316

Majumder, S. B. (2022). Searching for Hedging and Safe Haven Assets for Indian Equity Market – A Comparison Between Gold, Cryptocurrency and Commodities. *Indian Growth and Development Review*, *15*(1), 60–84. https://doi.org/10.1108/igdr-10-2021-0131

McAleer, M. (2019). What They Did Not Tell You About Algebraic (Non-) Existence, Mathematical (IR-)Regularity, and (Non-) Asymptotic Properties of the Dynamic Conditional Correlation (DCC) Model. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *12*(2), 61. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm12020061

Mokni, K., Youssef, M., & Ajmi, A. N. (2022). COVID-19 Pandemic and Economic Policy Uncertainty: The First Test on the Hedging and Safe Haven Properties of Cryptocurrencies. *Research in International Business and Finance*, *60*, 101573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2021.101573

Öztürk, H. (2022). Emergency order replacement of substandard products under economic production quantity model, including shortages and backordering. *International Journal of Manufacturing Research*, *17*(3), 237–267. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmr.2022.125831

Papathanasiou, S., Kenourgios, D., Koutsokostas, D., & Pergeris, G. (2022). Can Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities Safeguard Investors From Outward Risk Spillovers? A Portfolio Hedging Strategy Through the Prism of COVID-19. *Journal of Asset Management*, *24*(3), 198–211. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41260-022-00292-y

Silaban, D., & Dewi, R. R. (2023). The Effects of Green Innovation, Eco-Efficiency, Business Strategy, Technology Information Investment, and Profitability on Firm Value. *Ilomata International Journal of Tax and Accounting*, *4*(3), 470–490. https://doi.org/10.52728/IJTC.V4I3.791

Sosa, M., Ortiz, É., & Cabello, A. (2022). ESG Green Equity Finance Risk and Links in Mexico: Conditional Volatility and Markov Switching Vector Analyses. *Revista Mexicana De Economía Y Finanzas*, *17*(4), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.21919/remef.v17i4.788

Suranta, E., Satrio, M. A. B., & Midiastuty, P. P. (2023). Effect of Investment, Free Cash Flow, Earnings Management, Interest Coverage Ratio, Liquidity, and Leverage on Financial Distress. *Ilomata International Journal of Tax and Accounting*, *4*(2), 283–295.

Widaningsih, M. W., & Rulandari, N. (2022). Institutional Arrangement in the Investment Sector through Inter-Organizational Communication. *Ilomata International Journal of Management*, *3*(2), 151–165.

Widya Yunisa Yenni Samri Juliati Nasution, K. K. (2024). Analysis of Cash Flow Statements Using Sharia Accounting Principles as a Tool for Measuring Investment Decisions. *Ilomata International Journal of Management*, *Vol. 5 No. 4 (2024): October 2024*, https-doi.

Yun, S.-J., Choi, S., & Kim, Y. S. (2023). Examining the Hedge Performance of US Dollar, VIX, and Gold During the Coronavirus Pandemic: Is US Dollar a Better Hedge Asset? *Plos One*, *18*(10), e0291684. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0291684

Zulfiqar, N., & Gulzar, S. (2021). Implied Volatility Estimation of Bitcoin Options and the Stylized Facts of Option Pricing. *Financial Innovation*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-021-00280-y