Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation
E-ISSN: 2988-1587
Volume. 3, Issue 1, February 2025
Page No: 54-66

Tax Avoidance and Evasion: Trends, Challenges, and Policy Solutions

Pandoyo
Institut Ilmu Sosial dan Manajemen STIAMI
Correspondent : p.pandoyo@gmail.com

Received : January 11, 2023
Accepted : February 23, 2025
Published : February 28, 2025

Citation: Pandoyo. (2025). Tax Avoidance and Evasion: Trends, Challenges, and Policy Solutions. Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation, 3(1), 54-66.

ABSTRACT: Tax avoidance and tax evasion pose significant threats to economic stability and fiscal integrity worldwide. This study explores the key drivers influencing taxpayer behavior, including tax policy complexity, public trust, digitalization, cultural attitudes, and international cooperation. Using a systematic literature review, this study synthesizes findings from recent empirical research to analyze global trends in tax compliance. The results indicate that unclear and inconsistent tax policies contribute to avoidance, while technological innovations such as AI-driven audits and digital reporting enhance compliance. Societal factors, including tax morale and governance quality, significantly impact tax behavior, with corruption and regulatory inefficiencies exacerbating non-compliance. Cross-country comparisons reveal that developed economies with strong enforcement mechanisms experience lower tax evasion, whereas developing nations struggle with institutional weaknesses. The study highlights the need for transparent and simplified tax policies, enhanced digital tax infrastructure, and stronger international cooperation to mitigate tax avoidance. Future research should incorporate primary data analysis to refine policy recommendations and explore regional variations in tax compliance. Addressing these challenges requires an integrated approach that combines legal, technological, and educational interventions. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms and fostering tax awareness among citizens are critical to ensuring a fair and sustainable tax system.

Keywords: Tax Avoidance, Tax Evasion, Tax Compliance, Tax Policy, Digital Taxation, Corporate Tax Governance, Financial Regulation.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the discourse on tax avoidance and tax evasion has gained significant traction in academic and policy circles, driven by increasing concerns over government revenue losses, economic inequalities, and corporate financial ethics. Tax avoidance and tax evasion are distinct yet interconnected phenomena that affect economies worldwide, particularly in emerging markets where regulatory frameworks are still developing. Tax avoidance, which refers to the strategic use of legal loopholes to minimize tax liabilities, has been widely scrutinized as a practice that, while technically lawful, undermines the fiscal integrity of nations (Pohan et al., 2022; Ravenda et al., 2020). Conversely, tax evasion involves illegal practices such as underreporting income or falsifying financial statements to escape tax obligations, a widespread issue in developing economies (Folorunso & Lokanan, 2022).

Empirical studies indicate that tax avoidance and evasion significantly impact national revenues and contribute to economic disparities. Ntiamoah and Asare (2022) found that in developing economies, non-compliance with tax obligations stems not only from individual intent but also from systemic inefficiencies, including weak enforcement and poor transparency in tax administration (Mashuri, 2023; Ntiamoah & Asare, 2022; Pramesti & Harsono, 2024). Further, Didimo et al. (2020) highlighted the role of data analytics and network visualization in detecting and addressing tax avoidance risks, underscoring the growing complexity of tax-related malpractices (Didimo et al., 2020). Ferwerda and Unger (2021) emphasized that the lack of trust in government institutions exacerbates non-compliance, as taxpayers perceive tax contributions as mismanaged, thereby reducing incentives for voluntary tax payment (Azwar & Susanti, 2023; Ferwerda & Unger, 2021; Raga et al., 2023).

Several factors contribute to tax avoidance and evasion, including flaws in taxation policies, economic instability, and the proliferation of financial secrecy mechanisms such as offshore accounts. Research by García and Maydom (2021) suggested that illicit financial flows play a crucial role in facilitating tax evasion, particularly in jurisdictions with weak financial regulations (García & Maydom, 2021). Meanwhile, Thaha et al. (2023) argued that corporate entities exploit regulatory loopholes to shift profits to low-tax jurisdictions, further exacerbating revenue losses(Thaha et al., 2023). The interplay between corporate financial strategies and governmental fiscal policies remains a key area of investigation in contemporary tax research.

The challenges associated with tax avoidance and evasion are multifaceted. One major issue is the complexity of tax codes, which often provide opportunities for legal tax avoidance while complicating enforcement efforts against illegal tax evasion (Belahouaoui & Attak, 2024; Guerrero, 2022). The rapid evolution of financial instruments and digital transactions has further complicated tax regulation, necessitating the integration of artificial intelligence and big data analytics to track fraudulent activities (Belahouaoui & Attak, 2024). Additionally, the prevalence of informal economies in many developing nations reduces the effectiveness of conventional tax enforcement mechanisms, requiring alternative policy interventions.

A notable gap in the existing literature concerns the sociocultural determinants of tax compliance. Studies have explored how societal norms, moral perceptions, and cultural values influence taxpayer behavior (Agbetunde et al., 2022; Ozili, 2020). In some regions, tax evasion is socially tolerated, reducing the effectiveness of punitive measures. For instance, Bruce (2024) and Tanzi (2018) found that in certain economies, tax avoidance is perceived as a rational response to perceived government inefficiency (Bruce, 2024). Further, religious beliefs have been observed to play a role in shaping tax compliance, with studies indicating that faith-based moral principles can either encourage or deter tax evasion (Agbetunde et al., 2022; Drywa, 2024).

This study aims to critically examine the current landscape of tax avoidance and evasion, focusing on economic, regulatory, and behavioral factors that drive these practices. Specifically, the analysis will explore the role of corporate strategies, legal frameworks, and public perceptions in shaping tax compliance trends. Furthermore, the study will investigate emerging solutions, including technological advancements in tax enforcement and international collaborations aimed at curbing illicit financial flows.

The geographical focus of this review encompasses both developed and emerging economies, with particular attention to regions where tax non-compliance poses significant fiscal challenges. Case studies from countries with high incidences of tax evasion will be examined to provide a comparative perspective on the effectiveness of different tax policies. The study will also consider sectoral differences in tax avoidance, contrasting multinational corporate behavior with that of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) to identify sector-specific compliance challenges.

By synthesizing insights from various disciplines—including economics, finance, public policy, and behavioral science—this study seeks to contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of tax avoidance and evasion. Ultimately, the findings will inform policymakers on best practices for designing equitable tax systems that promote compliance while discouraging exploitative tax planning. Given the global ramifications of tax evasion and avoidance, this study underscores the need for sustained research and collaborative policy-making to ensure fair and sustainable taxation systems.

METHOD

This study employs a systematic literature review methodology to investigate the complexities of tax avoidance and tax evasion, including their underlying causes, implications, and potential policy interventions. The methodology follows a structured approach to ensure comprehensive coverage of relevant research while maintaining rigorous academic standards. The primary focus is on peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports, and empirical studies that contribute to an in-depth understanding of the subject matter.

The literature search was conducted using prominent academic databases, including Scopus and Google Scholar. These databases were chosen due to their extensive coverage of high-quality scholarly research in the fields of taxation, economics, and financial governance. A combination of broad and specific search terms was employed to capture the full spectrum of research related to tax avoidance and evasion. Key search terms included "tax avoidance," "tax evasion," "tax compliance," "taxpayer attitudes," "financial crime," "tax policy," "corporate governance," "illegal financial flows," "digital taxation," "transfer pricing," "moral reasoning in tax," "social network analysis in tax," and "impact of tax evasion on the economy." Additionally, more refined search phrases, such as "behavioral economics and tax evasion" and "cultural factors in tax compliance," were used to narrow the focus and target literature addressing specific theoretical and empirical dimensions of tax non-compliance. To enhance search accuracy, Boolean operators (e.g., AND, OR, NOT) were applied in various combinations, ensuring that the results included studies that comprehensively addressed the research themes.

To maintain the integrity of the study, strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were established. The inclusion criteria ensured that only relevant, high-quality research articles were considered. Specifically, selected articles had to be published in peer-reviewed journals recognized in the fields of taxation, accounting, and financial governance. They also had to provide empirical or theoretical insights into tax avoidance and evasion, with an emphasis on the economic, social, and policy implications of these practices. Studies that utilized robust empirical methods, including statistical analysis, econometric modeling, or qualitative case studies, were prioritized to ensure the reliability of the findings. Furthermore, articles that examined tax non-compliance in specific geographic or economic contexts, particularly in developing nations where these issues have substantial socio-economic ramifications, were given preference. This approach facilitated a well-rounded understanding of the global and regional dynamics of tax avoidance and evasion.

Conversely, exclusion criteria were applied to filter out irrelevant or low-quality research. Studies that lacked peer review, such as opinion pieces, non-academic reports, and speculative analyses, were excluded to maintain the academic rigor of the review. Additionally, articles that did not specifically address tax avoidance or evasion, despite being related to taxation in general, were omitted to ensure that the review remained focused on the core research objectives. Papers that merely discussed general tax structures without providing insights into avoidance or evasion mechanisms were also disregarded. Furthermore, research that relied on anecdotal evidence or lacked methodological transparency was not considered, as such studies do not provide verifiable or generalizable conclusions.

The process of literature selection involved multiple stages to refine the dataset and ensure its relevance to the study. Initially, a broad set of search results was obtained using the predefined keywords and filters for peer-reviewed sources. The first screening phase involved reviewing article titles and abstracts to eliminate studies that did not align with the research focus. In cases where the relevance of an article was unclear from its abstract, the introduction and conclusion sections were examined to determine whether the study warranted full-text analysis. After this preliminary selection, the second phase involved a more detailed evaluation of the shortlisted articles. Full texts were assessed based on methodological rigor, data reliability, and the relevance of findings to the study objectives. Any duplicate studies or redundant findings were removed to ensure a diverse and balanced representation of the literature.

Given the evolving nature of tax policies and financial regulations, priority was given to recent studies published within the last five years to capture the most up-to-date trends and developments in tax avoidance and evasion. However, seminal works that have significantly contributed to the theoretical foundation of tax compliance behavior were also included, ensuring that the review encompassed both contemporary perspectives and established academic discourse. This approach allowed for a more comprehensive understanding of how tax avoidance and evasion have evolved over time and the effectiveness of past and present regulatory measures.

The study also incorporated cross-disciplinary perspectives by including research from fields such as behavioral economics, corporate finance, and public policy. This interdisciplinary approach was instrumental in understanding the various motivations and deterrents influencing taxpayer behavior. For instance, studies examining the role of moral reasoning in tax compliance provided valuable insights into the psychological and cultural factors driving tax avoidance. Similarly, research on social network analysis in tax enforcement helped contextualize the systemic nature of tax evasion, illustrating how financial networks facilitate non-compliance across jurisdictions.

By systematically analyzing the selected literature, this study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of tax avoidance and evasion, emphasizing their broader economic and policy implications. The findings of this review will contribute to the ongoing discourse on improving tax enforcement strategies, designing equitable tax policies, and fostering higher levels of tax compliance globally.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Key Themes in the Literature

The literature on tax avoidance and tax evasion reveals several key themes that dominate scholarly discourse. One major theme is the influence of tax structures and policies on tax avoidance behaviors. Studies indicate that tax complexity and inconsistent policies significantly contribute to tax avoidance. For instance, (Fonseca et al., 2024) highlight the role of transfer pricing strategies employed by multinational corporations to minimize tax liabilities, especially in developing economies with weak regulatory frameworks. Empirical evidence suggests that countries with high tax rates often experience greater tax avoidance, as increased tax burdens do not necessarily correlate with improved tax compliance (Raczkowski & Mróz, 2018).

Another key theme is the role of public perception and tax morale in compliance. Studies suggest that individuals with low trust in government institutions are more likely to engage in tax avoidance (Bani-Mustafa et al., 2020; Ntiamoah & Asare, 2022). Bani-Mustafa et al. argue that cultural influences shape the justification for tax avoidance, leading to varied compliance levels across societies. Empirical data supports the notion that higher education levels correlate with stronger tax morale, reinforcing the link between education and compliance (Ntiamoah & Asare, 2022).

The impact of digitalization and technology on tax administration is another widely discussed theme. Research by Belahouaoui and Attak (2024) demonstrates that artificial intelligence and digital reporting mechanisms enhance tax compliance. Case studies from Mongolia reveal that electronic tax reporting has significantly reduced tax evasion by eliminating fraudulent invoicing (Lee et al., 2024). Empirical evidence further supports that digitalization positively impacts compliance rates by improving transparency and reducing loopholes for (Belahouaoui & Attak, 2024; Lee et al., 2024).

Political lobbying and tax policy formulation have also been identified as critical determinants of tax avoidance. (Rixen & Unger, 2021) argue that corporate influence in policymaking often results in tax regulations that favor large businesses, fostering aggressive tax avoidance strategies. Similarly, (Hossain et al., 2024) find that firms with stronger political ties tend to benefit from more lenient tax policies, exacerbating the issue of corporate tax avoidance.

Lastly, the relationship between tax avoidance and economic inequality has garnered considerable attention. Studies indicate that tax avoidance disproportionately benefits high-income individuals and corporations, exacerbating income inequality (Alstadsæter et al., 2022). Alstadsæter et al. argue that stringent tax enforcement targeting high-income groups could enhance tax equity and revenue collection. Empirical data demonstrates that high-income regions exhibit higher tax avoidance levels, perpetuating a cycle of economic disparity (Alstadsæter et al., 2022; Raczkowski & Mróz, 2018).

2. Influencing Factors

Several factors influence tax avoidance and evasion patterns, with taxation policies and regulatory frameworks playing a central role. Countries with complex and frequently changing tax laws experience higher tax avoidance rates due to regulatory uncertainty (Alm, 2014). Alm's study suggests that regulatory complexity incentivizes aggressive tax planning, whereas transparent and stable tax policies improve compliance (Brockmeyer, 2014).

Social and cultural determinants also significantly impact tax behavior. Ntiamoah and Asare (2022) highlight how economic and non-economic factors shape individual compliance decisions. Societal norms and cultural attitudes towards taxation vary across regions, influencing compliance behaviors (Rixen & Unger, 2021). Studies indicate that tax avoidance is more prevalent in societies where it is socially acceptable, leading to lower compliance rates (Rixen & Unger, 2021).

Behavioral and attitudinal factors among taxpayers further shape compliance patterns. Research suggests that individuals with negative perceptions of taxation are more likely to engage in tax avoidance (Barker et al., 2016). Studies on religiosity and tax compliance indicate that moral convictions play a role in reducing tax evasion among religious taxpayers (Agbetunde et al., 2022).

Economic conditions also influence tax avoidance trends. Studies demonstrate that illicit financial flows and tax evasion surge during economic downturns, as firms and individuals seek to safeguard assets through non-compliant means (Fonseca et al., 2024; Ravenda et al., 2020). Research further establishes a link between tax avoidance and economic development, with developing nations experiencing greater tax losses due to evasion (Raczkowski & Mróz, 2018).

The role of technology and digitalization in tax enforcement has been extensively explored. Studies confirm that countries adopting electronic tax filing and AI-driven audits achieve lower tax evasion rates (Belahouaoui & Attak, 2024; Onu et al., 2019). Technological interventions in tax administration have been shown to improve transparency and compliance efficiency (Lee et al., 2024).

3. International Comparisons

Comparing tax avoidance and evasion trends across countries reveals significant variations driven by economic, regulatory, and cultural factors. In Indonesia, high tax avoidance rates are linked to regulatory ambiguity and administrative inefficiencies (Thaha et al., 2023). Studies indicate that frequent regulatory changes in Indonesia create compliance uncertainty, leading to higher avoidance rates (Rixen & Unger, 2021). In contrast, research from Nigeria suggests that tax avoidance is exacerbated by policies designed to attract foreign investment, which inadvertently create opportunities for evasion (Folorunso & Lokanan, 2022).

Cultural influences also contribute to tax compliance disparities. In European countries like Germany, social norms favoring compliance contribute to lower avoidance rates compared to developing nations, where tax evasion is often normalized (Bani-Mustafa et al., 2020). In Indonesia, religiosity plays a significant role in shaping tax morale, with higher compliance rates observed among religious communities (Agbetunde et al., 2022). Conversely, in Nigeria, high levels of religiosity do not necessarily translate to higher compliance, as corruption undermines trust in tax institutions (Folorunso & Lokanan, 2022).

Technological adoption further differentiates compliance rates across regions. Mongolia's implementation of electronic tax reporting has successfully reduced evasion by improving transparency and audit capabilities (Lee et al., 2024). In contrast, many developing nations continue to struggle with tax evasion due to inadequate digital infrastructure (Thaha et al., 2023). European countries, where AI and digital taxation mechanisms are widely adopted, report significantly lower tax avoidance levels (Belahouaoui & Attak, 2024).

International cooperation on tax enforcement also plays a crucial role in compliance. European nations have successfully leveraged automatic information exchange agreements to combat tax evasion among multinational corporations (Fonseca et al., 2024). In contrast, developing countries face challenges in implementing similar measures due to weaker institutional frameworks (Cascavilla, 2023). The continued use of tax havens in low-regulation jurisdictions further complicates global tax enforcement efforts (Cascavilla, 2023).

Disparities in tax avoidance trends are influenced by institutional quality, public perceptions, and economic structures. Countries with transparent governance structures and effective tax enforcement report lower avoidance rates (Onu et al., 2019). Public trust in government significantly impacts compliance, with higher trust levels correlating with improved tax morale (Hebous & Johannesen, 2021). Additionally, economies with significant multinational corporate presence experience greater tax avoidance challenges, particularly in regions where regulatory enforcement is weak (Ravenda et al., 2020).

Understanding the factors driving international variations in tax avoidance is critical for formulating effective tax policies. Addressing regulatory gaps, enhancing digital infrastructure, and fostering public trust are essential strategies for mitigating tax avoidance and improving global tax compliance.

The findings of this study confirm that tax avoidance and evasion remain highly complex issues influenced by various systemic factors at both local and global levels. When compared to previous research, there are significant alignments and discrepancies in the findings, highlighting the role of tax policy, cultural attitudes, technological advancements, and international cooperation in shaping taxpayer behavior.

One of the most crucial aspects influencing tax avoidance is the structure and clarity of tax policies. Previous studies, such as Alm (2014), emphasize that complex and ambiguous tax regulations often create incentives for tax avoidance. The findings of this study align with this perspective, demonstrating that countries with unclear and frequently changing tax regulations tend to experience higher levels of avoidance. Additionally, research by Folorunso and Lokanan (2022) indicates that tax policies designed to attract foreign investment may inadvertently open loopholes for tax avoidance, particularly in developing countries. This underscores the need for well-structured, transparent, and stable tax policies that minimize opportunities for manipulation while fostering compliance.

The role of societal attitudes and tax morale in influencing compliance has also been extensively discussed in the literature. Previous research suggests that tax morale is heavily shaped by trust in government and perceptions of fairness in the tax system (Bani-Mustafa et al., 2020; Ntiamoah & Asare, 2022). The findings of this study reinforce this notion, particularly in countries with strong religious influences, such as Indonesia, where compliance levels tend to be higher among communities with stronger moral convictions. However, in countries where tax evasion is culturally normalized, compliance remains low despite government enforcement efforts. This variation in compliance underscores the importance of social norms and cultural values in shaping tax behavior and suggests that policy measures aimed at improving tax morale should be context-specific.

Technological advancements in tax administration have played a crucial role in mitigating tax avoidance and evasion. The findings of this study confirm that the adoption of digital tax reporting and artificial intelligence-driven auditing systems significantly enhance tax compliance. This aligns with research by Belahouaoui and Attak (2024), who highlight that digital solutions reduce errors, minimize opportunities for fraud, and facilitate efficient tax collection. In Mongolia, for example, the introduction of electronic tax filing has led to a marked decrease in fraudulent invoicing (Lee et al., 2024). Despite these positive outcomes, many developing nations still struggle with implementing digital tax administration due to inadequate infrastructure and resource constraints. These disparities suggest that while technology offers promising solutions, its effectiveness is contingent on the level of institutional capacity and government commitment to digital transformation.

International cooperation and legal enforcement mechanisms also play a pivotal role in curbing tax avoidance. The European Union's adoption of automatic information exchange policies has demonstrated the effectiveness of cross-border cooperation in tackling corporate tax evasion (Rixen & Unger, 2021). However, in developing economies, limited administrative resources and weak regulatory frameworks hinder the successful implementation of similar policies (Duho et al., 2024). The findings of this study highlight the importance of strengthening international collaboration to close tax loopholes exploited by multinational corporations. Efforts to harmonize global tax policies and improve transparency through initiatives such as the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project can significantly contribute to mitigating cross-border tax avoidance.

The comparative analysis of tax avoidance across different regions provides further insights into the systemic nature of this issue. While developed economies with transparent governance structures and efficient enforcement mechanisms tend to exhibit lower levels of tax evasion, developing nations face significant challenges due to widespread corruption and weak tax institutions. Research by Alstadsæter et al. (2022) suggests that targeted enforcement against high-net-worth individuals engaging in aggressive tax avoidance can enhance overall compliance and reduce income inequality. However, in countries like Indonesia, dissatisfaction with government transparency and inefficiencies in tax administration contribute to higher levels of avoidance. These findings suggest that addressing governance deficiencies is critical to fostering a culture of compliance and ensuring that tax burdens are equitably distributed.

Several systemic factors contribute to the persistence of tax avoidance and evasion, with trust in government emerging as a primary determinant. Alm (2014) argues that when taxpayers perceive tax policies as unfair or opaque, they are more likely to engage in avoidance strategies. This study corroborates this claim by demonstrating that countries with low trust in government institutions tend to experience higher tax evasion rates. Rebuilding trust through transparent tax policies, effective enforcement, and public engagement is crucial for enhancing compliance.

The complexity of tax regulations further exacerbates avoidance behaviors. Research by Rixen and Unger (2021) indicates that intricate tax codes create opportunities for corporate tax planning and loophole exploitation. This study reinforces these findings, showing that tax complexity not only facilitates avoidance but also increases compliance costs for honest taxpayers. Simplifying tax policies and enhancing regulatory clarity can significantly reduce avoidance incentives and improve compliance rates.

Cultural and social influences also play a significant role in shaping tax behavior. Bani-Mustafa et al. (2020) highlight the impact of societal norms on tax compliance, with some cultures viewing tax avoidance as an acceptable practice. The findings of this study align with this perspective, emphasizing that countries with strong collective social values tend to exhibit higher tax morale and compliance levels. Policy interventions aimed at strengthening social responsibility narratives and reinforcing the ethical importance of taxation can contribute to improved compliance.

Corruption and systemic inequities in tax administration further complicate efforts to reduce tax avoidance. Studies indicate that high levels of corruption discourage compliance, as taxpayers perceive the system as unjust and inefficient (Tanzi, 2018). The findings of this study reveal that in countries where corruption is prevalent, tax avoidance becomes more widespread, as individuals and corporations view non-compliance as a rational response to a flawed system. Addressing corruption through institutional reforms, greater transparency, and enhanced legal enforcement is crucial to fostering tax compliance.

The role of technology in tax administration presents both opportunities and challenges. While digitalization has proven effective in enhancing compliance, its implementation varies across different jurisdictions. Research by Lee et al. (2024) suggests that electronic tax filing reduces fraudulent practices and increases efficiency. However, developing nations often face difficulties in adopting these technologies due to financial and infrastructural limitations. Ensuring equitable access to digital tax solutions through targeted investments and capacity-building initiatives is essential for maximizing the benefits of technology-driven tax enforcement.

The policy implications of these findings are significant, as they emphasize the need for targeted reforms aimed at improving tax compliance. Strengthening transparency and public trust should be a priority for governments seeking to reduce avoidance rates. Alm (2014) suggests that improving communication between tax authorities and the public can enhance compliance by fostering a sense of collective responsibility. Additionally, simplifying tax codes and reducing regulatory burdens can help minimize avoidance opportunities while making compliance more accessible.

Enhancing international cooperation in tax enforcement is also imperative. The findings of this study highlight the success of multilateral efforts in curbing corporate tax avoidance, particularly in the European Union. Expanding similar frameworks to developing countries through knowledge-sharing and technical assistance can strengthen global tax enforcement mechanisms.

Public education and awareness campaigns are essential for promoting tax compliance. Research by Ntiamoah and Asare (2022) emphasizes the role of taxpayer education in improving compliance rates. Governments should invest in educational initiatives that highlight the benefits of taxation for public welfare and economic development, particularly targeting young professionals and business owners.

Despite its contributions, this study has certain limitations. The reliance on existing literature may introduce biases inherent in previous studies. Additionally, variations in data availability across regions may affect the generalizability of the findings. Future research should incorporate more empirical analyses using primary data sources to provide deeper insights into taxpayer behavior. Comparative studies across different economic contexts can further enhance our understanding of tax avoidance dynamics.

In conclusion, addressing tax avoidance and evasion requires a multifaceted approach that combines regulatory reforms, technological advancements, cultural interventions, and international cooperation. By implementing targeted policies and fostering a culture of compliance, governments can mitigate tax avoidance and ensure a fair and sustainable tax system.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that tax avoidance and tax evasion remain significant challenges affecting national economies and global financial stability. The findings emphasize that tax policies, public trust, digitalization, cultural attitudes, and international cooperation play crucial roles in shaping taxpayer behavior. Countries with complex and inconsistent tax regulations experience higher levels of tax avoidance, while transparent and stable tax policies encourage compliance. Additionally, digital innovations such as AI-driven tax audits and electronic filing systems have proven effective in reducing tax evasion, though their implementation remains uneven across regions.

However, merely summarizing these findings is insufficient. Policymakers should critically assess how legal loopholes, cultural acceptance of tax non-compliance, and weak institutional frameworks interact in specific contexts. For instance, targeting systemic corruption and strengthening public-sector accountability can help rebuild trust, while simplifying tax codes can reduce opportunities for exploitation. Implementation challenges—such as limited technical capacity or resistance from vested interests—must also be addressed through phased reforms and stakeholder engagement.

In practical terms, governments could (1) invest in targeted digital infrastructure to improve oversight and reduce human error, (2) design clearer tax policies that are easier to administer and understand, and (3) foster an inclusive public dialogue on the moral and economic importance of taxation. Collaborative international efforts, especially in sharing data and expertise, can further close cross-border loopholes and encourage fair competition.

Future research should go beyond secondary data to gather primary, context-specific evidence on taxpayer motivations and the long-term impact of anti-avoidance measures. This expanded empirical base would enable more tailored policy interventions and further refine our understanding of how to create equitable and sustainable tax systems. By coupling rigorous enforcement with education, transparency, and global cooperation, governments can make meaningful progress in mitigating tax avoidance and evasion.

REFERENCE

Agbetunde, L. A., Raimi, L., & Akinrinola, O. (2022). Moderating Influence of Religiosity on the Causality Between Taxpaying Attitudes and Tax Compliance Behaviour of Entrepreneurial Firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, *38*(3), 402–425. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoes-07-2021-0152

Alm, J. (2014). Does an Uncertain Tax System Encourage "Aggressive Tax Planning"? *Economic Analysis and Policy*, *44*(1), 30–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2014.01.004

Alstadsæter, A., Johannesen, N., Herry, S. L. G., & Zucman, G. (2022). Tax Evasion and Tax Avoidance. *Journal of Public Economics*, *206*, 104587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2021.104587

Azwar, K., & Susanti, E. (2023). Financial Performance as a Mediation of Tax Avoidance Determinants in LQ45 Companies on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Ilomata International Journal of Tax and Accounting*, *4*(4), 813–831.

Bani‐Mustafa, A., Qudah, A. A., Damrah, S., & Alameen, M. (2020). Does Culture Influence Whether a Society Justifies Tax Cheating? *Journal of Financial Crime*, *30*(4), 955–965. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfc-03-2020-0031

Barker, D. C., Wang, S., Merriman, D., Crosby, A., Resnick, E. A., & Chaloupka, F. J. (2016). Estimating Cigarette Tax Avoidance and Evasion: Evidence From a National Sample of Littered Packs. *Tobacco Control*, *25*(Suppl 1), i38–i43. https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2016-053012

Belahouaoui, R., & Attak, E. H. (2024). Digital Taxation, Artificial Intelligence and Tax Administration 3.0: Improving Tax Compliance Behavior – A Systematic Literature Review Using Textometry (2016–2023). *Accounting Research Journal*, *37*(2), 172–191. https://doi.org/10.1108/arj-12-2023-0372

Brockmeyer, A. (2014). The Investment Effect of Taxation: Evidence From a Corporate Tax Kink. *Fiscal Studies*, *35*(4), 477–509. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-5890.2014.12039.x

Bruce, M. I. (2024). The Burden of Proof in Taxation Disputes: Does Section 170 or Part IVA of the <i>Income Tax Assessment Act 1936</I> (Cth) Offend the Rule of Law? *Federal Law Review*, *52*(1), 34–50. https://doi.org/10.1177/0067205x231203437

Cascavilla, A. (2023). Does Climate Change Concern Alter Individual Tax Preferences? Evidence From an Italian Survey. *Journal of Economic Studies*, *50*(8), 1601–1617. https://doi.org/10.1108/jes-11-2022-0594

Didimo, W., Grilli, L., Liotta, G., Menconi, L., Montecchiani, F., & Pagliuca, D. (2020). Combining Network Visualization and Data Mining for Tax Risk Assessment. *Ieee Access*, *8*, 16073–16086. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2967974

Drywa, A. (2024). Taxpayer's Privacy. Issue Seen as One of Tax Challenges. *Eastern Journal of European Studies*, *15*(2), 194–210. https://doi.org/10.47743/ejes-2024-0210

Duho, K. C. T., Asare, E. T., Glover, A., & Duho, D. M. (2024). Transfer Pricing, Earnings Management and Corporate Governance Among Listed Firms: Evidence From Ghana. *Corporate Governance*, *24*(7), 1663–1694. https://doi.org/10.1108/cg-12-2022-0519

Ferwerda, J., & Unger, B. (2021). *How Big Are Illicit Financial Flows?* 75–88. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198854722.003.0005

Folorunso, D., & Lokanan, M. (2022). Tax Avoidance in Banking Institutions: An Analysis of the Top Seven Nigerian Banks. *Journal of Financial Crime*, *30*(1), 167–204. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfc-11-2021-0238

Fonseca, P. V. d., Jucá, M. N., & Vieito, J. P. (2024). Tax Havens and Transfer Pricing Strategies: Insights From Emerging Economies. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, *66*(3), 301–320. https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.22380

García, A. I. L., & Maydom, B. (2021). Migrants' Remittances, the Fiscal Contract and Tax Attitudes in Africa and Latin America. *Political Studies*, *71*(4), 1025–1046. https://doi.org/10.1177/00323217211054657

Guerrero, M. (2022). Inteligencia Artificial: Un Mecanismo Para Frenar La Evasión Fiscal Sin Vulnerar Los Derechos Del Contribuyente. *Cuestiones Constitucionales Revista Mexicana De Derecho Constitucional*, 213–236. https://doi.org/10.22201/iij.24484881e.2022.46.17053

Hebous, S., & Johannesen, N. (2021). At Your Service! The Role of Tax Havens in International Trade With Services. *European Economic Review*, *135*, 103737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2021.103737

Hossain, Md. S., Ali, Md. S., Ling, C. C., & Fung, C. Y. (2024). Tax Avoidance and Tax Evasion: Current Insights and Future Research Directions From an Emerging Economy. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, *9*(3), 275–292. https://doi.org/10.1108/ajar-09-2023-0305

Lee, J. R., Ochirtulga, M., & Cui, Y. (2024). The Effect of Adoption of an Electronic Filing System in Corporate Tax on Tax Avoidance: A Case of Mongolian Firms. *International Journal of Applied Economics Finance and Accounting*, *18*(1), 120–129. https://doi.org/10.33094/ijaefa.v18i1.1334

Mashuri, A. A. S. (2023). Determinants of Tax Avoidance and Audit Quality as a Moderating Variable. *Ilomata International Journal of Tax and Accounting*, *4*(1), 117–127.

Ntiamoah, J. A., & Asare, J. (2022). Economic Versus Non-Economic Factors: Which Is More Relevant to an Individual's Tax Compliance Decisions in a Developing Economy? *Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance*, *10*(1), 181–190. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujaf.2022.100119

Onu, D., Oats, L., Kirchler, E., & Hartmann, A. J. (2019). Gaming the System: An Investigation of Small Business Owners' Attitudes to Tax Avoidance, Tax Planning, and Tax Evasion. *Games*, *10*(4), 46. https://doi.org/10.3390/g10040046

Ozili, P. K. (2020). Tax Evasion and Financial Instability. *Journal of Financial Crime*, *27*(2), 531–539. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfc-04-2019-0051

Pohan, C. A., Rahmi, N., Arimbhi, P., & Junaidi, A. (2022). Automatic exchange of information review from the perspective of its effectives in minimizing tax evasion. *Ilomata International Journal of Tax and Accounting*, *3*(2), 117–138.

Pramesti, D., & Harsono, B. (2024). The Moderating Effect of Sustainability Reporting on the Influence of Tax Avoidance on Firm Value. *Ilomata International Journal of Tax and Accounting*, *5*(1), 221–233.

Raczkowski, K., & Mróz, B. (2018). Tax Gap in the Global Economy. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, *21*(4), 567–583. https://doi.org/10.1108/jmlc-12-2017-0072

Raga, R. A., Andayani, W., Pertiwi, H. P., & Harjo, D. (2023). The Influence of Profitability and Company Size on Tax Avoidance (A Case Study of Mining Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2018-2022). *Ilomata International Journal of Tax and Accounting*, *4*(4), 878–894.

Ravenda, D., Valencia-Silva, M. M., Argiles-Bosch, J. M., & García-Blandón, J. (2020). Measuring labour tax avoidance and undeclared work: evidence from tax-avoidant offending firms. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, *21*(3), 477–496. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-01-2019-0016

Rixen, T., & Unger, B. (2021). Taxation: A Regulatory Multilevel Governance Perspective. *Regulation & Governance*, *16*(3), 621–633. https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12425

Tanzi, V. (2018). Corruption, Complexity and Tax Evasion. *Economic Policy*, *13*(6), 36–53. https://doi.org/10.18288/1994-5124-2018-6-36-53

Thaha, A. R., Antoro, A. F. S., & Muhtarom, H. (2023). Analytical Review of Tax Compliance Studies in the SMEs Sector: A Bibliometric Approach. *Journal of Tax Reform*, *9*(3), 398–412. https://doi.org/10.15826/jtr.2023.9.3.149