Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation
E-ISSN: 2988-1587
Volume. 2, Issue 2, May 2024
Page No: 44-57

Navigating Carbon Pricing: The Economic and Strategic Implications for Industrial Enterprises

Damith Gangodawilage
Qasim Ibrahim School of Business, Maldives
Correspondent : Damithlk@gmail.com

Received : April 24, 2024
Accepted : May 26, 2024
Published : May 30, 2024

Citation: Gangodawilage. D, (2024). Navigating Carbon Pricing: The Economic and Strategic Implications for Industrial Enterprises. Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation, 1(3), 44-57.

ABSTRACT: Carbon taxation has emerged as a crucial policy tool for reducing industrial carbon emissions and promoting sustainability. This study examines the financial and strategic implications of carbon taxes on industrial firms, particularly in Indonesia, where the policy is gaining traction. Using a qualitative case study approach, data were collected through in-depth interviews with 12 key stakeholders, including corporate tax officers, policymakers, business owners, and representatives from small and medium enterprises (SMEs). The findings reveal that carbon taxes impose additional financial burdens on industries heavily reliant on fossil fuels, compelling firms to adopt adaptive strategies such as energy efficiency measures, investment in green technology, and participation in carbon credit markets. However, SMEs face greater challenges due to financial constraints and limited access to regulatory information. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the importance of regulatory stability, government incentives, and industry-specific support mechanisms in facilitating a smoother transition towards sustainable business practices. The results contribute to the growing discourse on environmental taxation by providing empirical evidence on corporate adaptation strategies and financial planning under carbon pricing schemes. These insights offer valuable implications for policymakers in designing effective tax policies that balance economic growth with environmental sustainability. Future research should examine the long-term impact of carbon taxation on industrial competitiveness and explore the role of digital innovations, such as blockchain-based carbon tracking, in enhancing tax compliance and corporate sustainability initiatives.

Keywords: Carbon Tax, Industrial Finance, Sustainability Strategy, Carbon Pricing Policy, Green Investment, Regulatory Compliance, Carbon Credit Market.

INTRODUCTION

Climate change has become one of the most pressing global challenges, necessitating comprehensive policy measures to mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Meulengracht & Hasanusi, 2024; Syahruddin et al., 2024). One of the widely adopted mechanisms to address this issue is the implementation of a carbon tax, which aims to internalize the external costs of carbon

emissions by imposing a fee on the carbon content of fossil fuels (Jaya & Esquivias, 2024; Leonard et al., 2024). Carbon taxation has been widely recognized as an effective economic tool for reducing emissions while encouraging firms to transition toward cleaner energy sources. Countries such as Sweden, Canada, and the United Kingdom have successfully implemented carbon tax policies, demonstrating that these mechanisms can drive environmental and economic benefits (Atmo et al., 2022; Hersaputri et al., 2024). However, the impact of carbon taxation on corporate financial strategies remains a subject of intense debate, particularly in emerging economies where industries are heavily reliant on fossil fuels (Arianto et al., 2022; Ding et al., 2017).

Indonesia, as one of the largest developing economies, introduced a carbon tax policy to support its commitment to achieving Net Zero Emissions by 2060. This policy is expected to reduce emissions and drive investments in renewable energy while ensuring economic growth. Nevertheless, concerns have emerged regarding the economic ramifications of this tax on industries, particularly in relation to operational costs, investment decisions, and financial planning (Hartono et al., 2023). The carbon tax may influence firms' cost structures and competitiveness, prompting them to reevaluate financial strategies, adopt sustainable technologies, or adjust their investment portfolios (Rendroyoko et al., 2023; Taheripour et al., 2019). While some research suggests that carbon taxes can drive innovation and efficiency, others highlight the potential risks of economic slowdown and increased production costs for businesses, particularly in energy-intensive industries (Kamil et al., 2023).

The primary challenge faced by industrial firms is balancing compliance with the carbon tax while maintaining financial stability. The increased operational costs associated with carbon taxation may necessitate changes in pricing strategies, cost-cutting measures, or shifts in supply chain operations (Wibert et al., 2020). Companies operating in sectors such as manufacturing, transportation, and energy production are particularly vulnerable, as they rely extensively on carbon-intensive energy sources. Additionally, uncertainties in tax rates, compliance mechanisms, and regulatory enforcement further complicate corporate financial planning (Nurhayati et al., 2024).

Governments and policymakers worldwide have implemented various strategies to mitigate the financial burden of carbon taxation, including tax rebates, subsidies for renewable energy adoption, and carbon credit trading mechanisms (Saudi et al., 2024). These policy instruments aim to encourage firms to transition towards cleaner energy sources while minimizing economic disruptions. However, the effectiveness of these incentives remains uncertain, and their applicability varies across different industrial sectors and economic contexts (Shidiq et al., 2024).

The literature highlights that firms have responded to carbon taxation in different ways, ranging from integrating carbon reduction strategies into their business models to leveraging carbon credit markets to offset tax liabilities (Dissanayake et al., 2020). Empirical evidence suggests that companies that proactively adopt sustainability initiatives and invest in green technologies gain a competitive advantage in the long run (Handayani et al., 2023). However, for small and medium enterprises (SMEs), the transition to low-carbon business models poses significant financial and technical challenges due to limited resources and access to financing (Andrianus et al., 2024).

Despite the growing body of research on carbon taxation, significant knowledge gaps remain concerning its direct financial implications for industrial firms in developing economies such as Indonesia. Previous studies have largely focused on macroeconomic impacts, regulatory

frameworks, and environmental benefits, with limited exploration of how carbon taxes influence corporate decision-making at the financial level (Wulandari & Semertzidis, 2024). The lack of empirical evidence on sector-specific financial adjustments, risk management strategies, and investment shifts limits the understanding of the long-term effects of carbon taxation on businesses.

This study aims to bridge this gap by examining the financial strategies adopted by industrial firms in response to the implementation of carbon taxation in Indonesia. The study seeks to analyze how businesses adjust their financial planning, investment decisions, and risk management approaches to comply with carbon tax regulations while maintaining profitability. By employing a qualitative approach, this research provides in-depth insights into corporate responses across various industries, including manufacturing, transportation, and energy sectors. The findings will contribute to the existing literature on carbon taxation and inform policymakers on potential areas for regulatory improvement and support mechanisms for businesses navigating the transition towards sustainability.

This research presents a novel contribution to the field by offering a firm-level perspective on carbon taxation's economic implications. While most existing studies focus on broader economic assessments, this study provides empirical insights into how businesses at different scales and industries strategically respond to carbon tax policies. The study's findings will inform regulatory frameworks, helping policymakers refine carbon tax mechanisms to enhance their effectiveness in reducing emissions while minimizing adverse financial impacts on firms. Furthermore, by identifying key factors influencing corporate adaptation, this research offers practical recommendations for businesses seeking to optimize financial performance while complying with evolving environmental regulations. The study underscores the importance of regulatory clarity, financial incentives, and technological support to facilitate a smoother transition towards a low-carbon economy.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore how carbon taxation influences corporate financial strategies in the industrial sector. A case study method was chosen to provide an in-depth understanding of financial decision-making within the context of regulatory compliance, corporate adaptation, and investment in sustainable initiatives. Qualitative research methods, particularly semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis, were utilized to capture the perspectives of various stakeholders, including corporate tax officers, business owners, and policymakers.

The case study approach is well-suited for this research as it allows for a detailed examination of how companies navigate regulatory challenges while maintaining financial stability (Sinitsyn, 2021). Given that corporate responses to carbon taxation involve complex financial considerations and strategic planning, case studies provide a structured yet flexible framework for analyzing organizational behavior in real-world contexts. The method enables researchers to uncover nuances in corporate adaptation strategies and the influence of external economic and policy factors (Jetashree et al., 2021).

Data were collected through in-depth, semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders involved in the implementation and compliance of carbon taxation. This included tax professionals from industrial companies, entrepreneurs in manufacturing, energy, and logistics sectors, government officials from the Directorate General of Taxes (DJP), and representatives from small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Semi-structured interviews were chosen to allow participants to elaborate on their experiences and perspectives while ensuring consistency in addressing key research questions (Sarpong et al., 2023). The interviews focused on three main aspects: the financial impact of carbon taxation, strategic responses by companies, and perceived effectiveness of the policy in promoting sustainability.

A purposive sampling technique was used to select informants based on their expertise and experience with carbon taxation policies. A total of 12 informants were interviewed, ensuring diversity in perspectives from different industry sectors and regulatory institutions. The selection process aimed to capture a comprehensive view of how carbon taxation affects various economic actors, from large corporations with significant financial resources to SMEs that face greater financial constraints (Claudia et al., 2024).

Interviews were conducted in Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung, and Medan, key industrial hubs in Indonesia where businesses are directly affected by carbon taxation. Each interview lasted between 45 to 90 minutes and was recorded with the consent of participants. Transcriptions were then coded and analyzed thematically to identify recurring patterns and trends in corporate financial strategies (Beryll et al., 2023).

Thematic analysis, as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006), was employed to analyze qualitative data. This method was chosen for its ability to systematically identify and interpret themes within interview transcripts, allowing for an in-depth exploration of corporate strategies in response to environmental taxation. Thematic analysis involves multiple stages, including familiarization with data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, and refining findings into a coherent narrative.

Initial coding focused on identifying patterns related to financial adjustments, investment decisions, and compliance strategies. Key themes that emerged included cost management measures, investment in renewable energy, stakeholder engagement, and perceptions of regulatory uncertainty. This analytical approach enabled the study to uncover both common strategies and variations across different industry sectors, providing a holistic understanding of how businesses adapt to carbon tax policies (Putra et al., 2021; Ullah et al., 2020).

To enhance the validity of findings, data triangulation was employed by comparing interview responses with company reports, government policy documents, and secondary literature on carbon taxation. This approach helped to verify consistency in responses and mitigate potential biases in self-reported data (Dissanayake et al., 2020). Additionally, member-checking was conducted with selected participants to ensure the accuracy of data interpretation and analysis.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained before conducting fieldwork. All participants provided informed consent, and interviews were conducted following ethical guidelines for qualitative research. To maintain confidentiality, participants' identities were anonymized, and any

sensitive financial information was excluded from the analysis. The study adhered to ethical principles regarding voluntary participation, data protection, and respect for participants' perspectives (Wulandari & Semertzidis, 2024).

While qualitative research provides rich insights into corporate financial strategies, this study acknowledges several limitations. First, the sample size of 12 participants may not fully represent all industrial sectors affected by carbon taxation. Future research could expand the sample to include a broader range of companies and geographic locations. Second, the reliance on self-reported data presents potential biases; however, efforts were made to cross-check findings with secondary data sources. Lastly, the study focuses on Indonesia, limiting the generalizability of findings to other regulatory environments with different carbon tax structures and economic contexts.

This study employs a qualitative approach, utilizing case studies and thematic analysis to investigate corporate financial strategies in response to carbon taxation. By integrating insights from semi-structured interviews, policy documents, and company reports, the research provides an in-depth understanding of how businesses navigate regulatory compliance while maintaining financial resilience. The findings contribute to existing literature on environmental taxation and corporate adaptation strategies, offering practical implications for policymakers and industry leaders aiming to optimize carbon tax implementation and sustainability transitions.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of this study highlight the significant impact of carbon tax implementation on the financial strategies of industrial companies in Indonesia. The findings reveal that companies have adopted various strategies to mitigate the financial burden of carbon taxes, ranging from operational cost adjustments to investments in renewable energy. Moreover, responses differ across industries, with large corporations displaying greater adaptability compared to SMEs, which struggle with compliance and financial constraints. The interviews also illustrate the challenges associated with regulatory uncertainties and the need for clearer policy guidelines to enhance the effectiveness of carbon taxation.

1. Understanding and Implementation of Carbon Tax

The findings indicate that companies exhibit varying degrees of understanding regarding carbon tax policies. Large corporations with established financial management systems tend to have a more comprehensive grasp of the regulations, whereas SMEs and transportation businesses often struggle with the intricacies of compliance.

A tax officer from a large manufacturing company acknowledged the government's intent behind the policy: "We understand that the carbon tax is an instrument to reduce CO_2 emissions and encourage businesses to transition to cleaner energy sources. However, the implementation process remains uncertain, and adjustments are continuously being made to the taxation structure" (IR).

The interviews further reveal that industries dependent on fossil fuels face the greatest challenges in adapting to the tax policy. A logistics business owner expressed concern: "For transportation companies like ours, fuel is a major cost component. The carbon tax significantly increases our operational expenses, making it difficult to maintain profitability" (DS).

2. Impact on Financial Planning and Investment

The study found that carbon taxation has led to increased financial strain on companies, particularly those in energy-intensive industries. Businesses have had to reallocate funds to cover additional taxation costs, often at the expense of investment in growth and expansion. Some organizations are exploring long-term investment in renewable energy technologies to reduce their carbon tax burden over time.

A corporate finance manager noted: "We have started to consider integrating renewable energy sources into our operations to reduce emissions. However, the initial investment required for solar panels and energy-efficient machinery is substantial, and we need clear incentives from the government to justify the expenditure" (HM).

Additionally, some firms attempt to offset costs by passing them on to consumers, resulting in potential price increases for goods and services. "Our profit margins are already tight, and absorbing the carbon tax would be unsustainable. We have had to adjust our pricing strategy, but this makes us less competitive in the market," explained a manufacturing executive (YN).

3. Responses and Adaptation Strategies

Different sectors exhibit varying responses to carbon tax implementation. Large corporations have started integrating energy efficiency measures and adopting carbon credit trading to mitigate financial impacts. In contrast, SMEs, particularly those with limited financial resources, struggle to implement such strategies effectively.

A tax consultant working with SMEs stated: "Smaller companies lack the financial flexibility to transition to greener technologies. Unlike large firms, they do not have the capital to invest in energy-efficient solutions, making compliance a bigger challenge" (IR).

Despite these hurdles, some businesses have taken proactive steps to reduce their emissions through innovative strategies. A transportation business owner mentioned: "We are optimizing our routes and investing in fuel-efficient vehicles to minimize our carbon tax liability. However, the lack of government incentives makes this transition financially burdensome" (DS).

4. Challenges in Policy Implementation

One of the primary challenges identified in the study is the uncertainty surrounding carbon tax regulations. Businesses require long-term stability in policy frameworks to plan effectively. However, frequent adjustments and lack of clarity in carbon tax mechanisms have created obstacles for companies trying to comply with regulations.

A government official acknowledged these challenges: "We are still refining the carbon tax policy to balance environmental goals with economic feasibility. Businesses have raised concerns about uncertainties in tax rates, and we are working on improving transparency in implementation" (HM).

Moreover, inconsistencies in tax application across industries have led to disparities in compliance levels. "Some industries receive more lenient tax structures, while others bear a disproportionate burden. This inconsistency affects fair competition in the market," noted an industry analyst (YN).

5. Government Incentives and Support Mechanisms

Many businesses have emphasized the need for stronger government support to facilitate compliance with carbon tax regulations. Industry leaders argue that without clear incentives, businesses lack the motivation to invest in sustainable practices.

A business owner operating in the textile sector shared his perspective: "We need subsidies for renewable energy technologies and tax credits for emissions reduction efforts. Without these, transitioning to sustainable operations is nearly impossible for SMEs" (YN).

The government has introduced carbon credit trading as an option to alleviate financial burdens, but its adoption remains limited due to lack of awareness and complexity in trading mechanisms. "Many businesses are unfamiliar with carbon credit markets and how they work. More education and training are required to make this an effective tool for companies," remarked a sustainability consultant (IR).

6. Industry-Specific Responses

The impact of carbon tax implementation varies across industries. Manufacturing firms report significant challenges due to their heavy reliance on fossil fuels, while the logistics sector experiences increased transportation costs. In contrast, companies in the energy sector have started exploring alternative fuel sources to comply with carbon tax regulations.

A manufacturing executive highlighted the sector's struggles: "Our production processes depend on high-energy inputs, and reducing emissions is not as simple as switching to a different fuel source. We need long-term planning and financial assistance to make meaningful changes" (DS).

Similarly, an executive in the logistics sector noted: "We are testing electric vehicles in our fleet, but the infrastructure for charging stations is still inadequate, making large-scale adoption difficult" (HM).

7. Compliance and Regulatory Adjustments

The research findings indicate that compliance with carbon tax policies remains a challenge due to administrative burdens and reporting requirements. Many businesses lack the necessary expertise to navigate complex tax structures and emissions reporting frameworks.

A finance director from a large corporation commented: "We have had to hire additional staff just to manage our carbon tax compliance. The regulatory framework is complex, and non-compliance penalties are severe" (IR).

On the other hand, some businesses are still struggling to fully integrate carbon tax considerations into their financial planning. "We understand the necessity of reducing emissions, but our primary focus remains on maintaining profitability. Without significant regulatory simplification, compliance remains a challenge for smaller enterprises," said a small business owner (YN).

8. Future Prospects and Business Expectations

Looking ahead, businesses hope for more structured government policies to facilitate the transition toward sustainable practices. Many industry players have called for stability in tax rates, clearer long-term policies, and increased financial incentives to drive adoption of green technologies.

A sustainability director expressed optimism: "If the government provides clear, consistent regulations and financial support for renewable energy investments, businesses will be more willing to transition to lower-carbon operations" (HM).

Conversely, some business owners remain skeptical about the effectiveness of current measures. "So far, the carbon tax feels more like a financial penalty than an environmental incentive. We need to see real benefits from compliance, not just additional costs," argued a logistics operator (DS).

The results underscore the need for more targeted policies to support businesses in adapting to carbon taxation. While some firms are already taking proactive measures, many require further guidance and financial assistance to navigate the complexities of compliance and transition toward sustainable business models.

Understanding and Implementing Carbon Taxation

The implementation of carbon taxation in Indonesia represents a significant policy shift aimed at reducing industrial carbon emissions while ensuring economic stability. The findings of this study indicate that companies within the industrial sector have varying degrees of understanding and adaptation strategies concerning carbon tax regulations. Some companies, particularly larger firms with established tax and regulatory departments, demonstrate a clear understanding of the policy's objectives and long-term implications. Conversely, smaller firms and those operating in sectors with high dependence on fossil fuels exhibit a more fragmented understanding of the regulation. This aligns with previous literature, which emphasizes that the successful implementation of carbon taxes requires clear and stable regulatory frameworks to ensure compliance and business adaptability (Sarpong et al., 2023).

From a regulatory standpoint, government representatives acknowledged that the policy remains in an early implementation phase, with ongoing adjustments needed to enhance its effectiveness. Similar challenges have been noted in other jurisdictions where carbon taxes have been

implemented, reinforcing the importance of a gradual approach that allows businesses to adjust operational and financial strategies accordingly (Navisa & Ashsyarofi, 2023).

Financial Impacts and Strategic Adjustments

The financial implications of carbon taxation on corporate strategy were evident in this study. Businesses reported increased operational costs as a direct result of carbon taxation, particularly in industries that rely heavily on fossil fuels. This is consistent with existing literature, which suggests that carbon taxation leads to an initial financial strain as companies adjust to new regulations (Hartono et al., 2023; Rosalie et al., 2024). Some firms are actively investing in greener technologies to offset carbon tax costs, a strategy supported by research demonstrating that long-term investments in energy efficiency can lead to operational cost reductions (Saudi et al., 2024).

The study also found that businesses in logistics and manufacturing sectors faced significant budgetary constraints due to carbon taxation. These constraints forced companies to explore alternative strategies, including passing on costs to consumers, optimizing energy use, and considering carbon credit trading. Prior research suggests that effective financial planning that integrates carbon pricing considerations allows firms to adapt more effectively to fluctuating operational costs (Kamil et al., 2023). The integration of carbon tax projections into financial models enables firms to strategically allocate capital and ensure business continuity (Rosalie et al., 2024).

Sector-Specific Responses to Carbon Tax

Different industrial sectors have displayed varying responses to carbon taxation, which is in line with previous studies suggesting that tax burdens and adaptation strategies differ by industry (Ullah et al., 2020). The logistics sector, for instance, reported difficulties in absorbing the additional costs associated with carbon taxation due to its dependence on fossil fuels. Informants from logistics firms emphasized their efforts to enhance route optimization and fuel efficiency as immediate measures, highlighting the pressing need for more government incentives to support fleet transitions to electric or hybrid vehicles.

Meanwhile, the manufacturing sector exhibited greater flexibility in adapting to carbon tax policies. Large-scale manufacturers were more proactive in implementing energy efficiency measures and considering renewable energy investments, reflecting findings from prior research that firms investing in sustainable practices can achieve long-term cost savings and market competitiveness (Beryll et al., 2023). Conversely, smaller enterprises and microbusinesses expressed significant concerns regarding their ability to comply with carbon taxation due to financial and informational constraints, reinforcing literature that underscores the need for targeted assistance programs for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) (Howell, 2014).

Barriers to Effective Carbon Tax Compliance

A major challenge faced by firms in complying with carbon tax policies is the uncertainty surrounding regulatory implementation. Several respondents highlighted the unpredictability of future tax rates and compliance requirements, which aligns with prior research indicating that

unstable policy frameworks can deter businesses from making long-term sustainability investments (Widarjono et al., 2023).

Additionally, respondents pointed to the administrative burden associated with carbon tax compliance, particularly regarding emissions reporting and documentation. Similar issues have been observed in other regulatory environments, where businesses must allocate significant resources to manage compliance obligations (Kamil et al., 2023). The study also found that the lack of adequate government incentives to offset carbon tax burdens remains a key barrier to broader business acceptance of the policy.

Limitation

This study faced several limitations, primarily related to the scope of informants and regulatory constraints. The perspectives captured in this research were primarily drawn from businesses operating within major industrial regions in Indonesia, which may not fully reflect the experiences of businesses in smaller or less-developed regions. Future studies should consider expanding the geographic scope of analysis to capture a more diverse range of business responses to carbon taxation. Another limitation relates to the regulatory landscape, as carbon taxation in Indonesia remains in an early phase, with potential policy changes likely to influence long-term business strategies. Longitudinal studies tracking corporate responses over time could provide deeper insights into the evolving impact of carbon taxation on financial and operational decision-making.

Implication

The findings of this study provide several important implications for policymakers and businesses. The lack of regulatory clarity underscores the need for policymakers to establish a stable and predictable carbon tax framework that allows businesses to plan and allocate resources effectively. Businesses, particularly SMEs, require targeted financial support and training programs to enhance their ability to comply with carbon tax requirements.

For future research, studies focusing on the role of carbon credit markets in mitigating tax burdens and fostering sustainable investments would be valuable. Additionally, comparative studies examining how different jurisdictions implement carbon taxation and the corresponding business responses could yield useful policy insights. Further exploration of sector-specific financial strategies for adapting to carbon taxation is also recommended, particularly in industries that exhibit high carbon dependency.

CONCLUSION

This study has illustrated that carbon taxation, while essential for curbing industrial emissions, imposes tangible financial and strategic challenges on industrial enterprises in Indonesia. Firms with high carbon footprints face immediate cost pressures, compelling them to explore operational efficiencies, reconfigure investment portfolios, and, in some instances, pass additional expenses

on to end consumers. Notably, SMEs often find themselves at a disadvantage due to limited capital and technical know-how, increasing their vulnerability to policy shifts.

However, these findings highlight more than just short-term burdens. When supported by clear regulations and thoughtful incentives, carbon taxation can become a catalyst for systemic change. Larger corporations demonstrate a capacity to adjust their financial strategies to incorporate green tech investments and carbon credit trading—indicating that well-designed policies can encourage meaningful innovation. SMEs, too, can benefit from accessible finance programs and targeted guidance to bridge capability gaps.

To enhance impact and reduce disruptions, the government could:

1. Establish stable, transparent tax structures that allow businesses to forecast costs and plan capital expenditures;

2. Offer tiered incentives or rebates for energy-efficient upgrades, helping SMEs and energy-intensive sectors adopt greener operations;

3. Facilitate knowledge-sharing platforms so firms can learn best practices and form collaborative networks for carbon reduction;

4. Strengthen carbon credit markets and promote digital solutions (e.g., blockchain-based carbon tracking) to boost transparency and compliance.

Further research should delve into the long-term competitiveness of industries under sustained carbon taxation, explore how digital technology can streamline emissions reporting, and evaluate how different funding mechanisms (e.g., green bonds, government grants) might ease the transition for smaller enterprises. By blending stable regulations, strategic incentives, and ongoing stakeholder dialogue, both policymakers and industry players can refine carbon taxation into an effective driver of economic and environmental resilience.

REFERENCE

Andrianus, F., Handra, H., Ayu, P., Safitri, P. D., & Cahyadi, R. V. K. (2024). The Impact of Implementing a Carbon Tax on Welfare: Case Study of Indonesia and the Other ASEAN Member Countries. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, *14*(3), 647–657. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.15779

Arianto, D., Marpaung, E., Malisan, J., Humang, W. P., Puriningsih, F. S., Mutharuddin, M., Mardiana, T. S., Siahaan, W. J., Pairunan, T., & Kurniawan, A. (2022). Cost Efficiency and CO2 Emission Reduction in Short Sea Shipping: Evidence From Ciwandan Port–Panjang Port Routes, Indonesia. *Sustainability*, *14*(10), 6016. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14106016

Atmo, G. U., Ôtsuki, T., & Nurcahyanto, E. (2022). Modelling Low Carbon Electricity Generation of an Integrated ASEAN Power Grid. *Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, *997*(1), 012011. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/997/1/012011

Beryll, T. A., Inda, A. P., Mahendra, A. R., Mujahidin, I. A., Ramdhani, M. E., & Dzahabi, M. F. (2023). *Carbon Tax Regulation for Oil and Gas Industries: Impact and Macroeconomic Effect in Indonesia*. https://doi.org/10.2118/215202-ms

Claudia, S. A., Ramadhina, A. T., Nugraha, I. P. N. F. M., & Sarjana, S. (2024). Accelerating Battery Electric Vehicle Program Through Progressive Carbon Tax and Collection Scheme. *E3s Web of Conferences*, *576*, 02008. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202457602008

Ding, T., Ning, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2017). The Contribution of China's Outward Foreign Direct Investment (OFDI) to the Reduction of Global CO2 Emissions. *Sustainability*, *9*(5), 741. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9050741

Dissanayake, S., Mahadevan, R., & Asafu-Adjaye, J. (2020). Evaluating the Efficiency of Carbon Emissions Policies in a Large Emitting Developing Country. *Energy Policy*, *136*, 111080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.111080

Handayani, T., Purnamasari, B. D., Sakti, A., Septiani, M., Wiloso, E. I., & Sasongko, N. A. (2023). Exploration of Challenges and Readiness MSMEs Coffee Business in the Age of Global Trade Based on Carbon Footprint (Ecolabel). *Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, *1267*(1), 012022. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1267/1/012022

Hartono, D., Indriyani, W., Iryani, B. S., Komarulzaman, A., Nugroho, A., & Kurniawan, R. (2023). Carbon Tax, Energy Policy, and Sustainable Development in Indonesia. *Sustainable Development*, *31*(4), 2332–2346. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2511

Hersaputri, L. D., Yeganyan, R., Cannone, C., Plazas-Niño, F., Osei-Owusu, S., Kountouris, Y., & Howells, M. (2024). Reducing Fossil Fuel Dependence and Exploring Just Energy Transition Pathways in Indonesia Using OSeMOSYS (Open-Source Energy Modelling System). *Climate*, *12*(3), 37. https://doi.org/10.3390/cli12030037

Howell, S. (2014). 'No RIGHTS–No REDD': Some Implications of a Turn Towards Co-Benefits. *Forum for Development Studies*, *41*(2), 253–272. https://doi.org/10.1080/08039410.2014.901241

Jaya, I. M. L. M., & Esquivias, M. A. (2024). *Tax Carbon Policy*. 171–183. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1297-1.ch009

Jetashree, Zhong, Q., Zhou, H., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Li, J., & Liang, S. (2021). Role of Trade in India's Rising Atmospheric Mercury Emissions. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *56*(2), 790–803. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c06321

Kamil, A. S., Setyaningrum, L., Lesmana, A. C., Putri, M. S., Negara, S., Susiati, H., & Anggoro, Y. D. (2023). Regional Impact Analysis of Carbon Tax Implementation on Indonesia's Coal Power Plant With Interregional Input-Output Method. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, *13*(3), 149–157. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.14115

Leonard, T., Heriyanti, H., Pakpahan, E. F., & Fenitra, R. M. (2024). The Influence of Green Tax Regulations on New Renewable Energy Funding in Indonesia. *Bestuur*, *11*(2), 384. https://doi.org/10.20961/bestuur.v11i2.82506

Meulengracht, C. S., & Hasanusi, D. (2024). *The Economics of CCUS Projects in Conjunction With Large Offshore Gas Projects*. https://doi.org/10.2118/219309-ms

Navisa, F. D., & Ashsyarofi, H. L. (2023). Analysis of the Importance of Environmental Taxes for Environmental Sustainability in Indonesia. *Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, *1270*(1), 012020. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1270/1/012020

Nurhayati, Y., Ifrani, I., Said, M. Y., & Yanova, M. H. (2024). Carbon Pricing Policy to Support Net Zero Emission: A Comparative Study of Indonesia, Finland and Sweden. *Environmental Policy and Law*, *54*(1), 53–63. https://doi.org/10.3233/epl-230047

Putra, J. J. H., Nabilla, N., & Jabanto, F. Y. (2021). Comparing "Carbon Tax" and "Cap and Trade" as Mechanism to Reduce Emission in Indonesia. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, *11*(5), 106–111. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.11375

Rendroyoko, I., Sinisuka, N. I., Debusschere, V., Koesrindartoto, D. P., & Yasirroni, M. (2023). Integration of Solar Photovoltaic Plant in the Eastern Sumba Microgrid Using Unit Commitment Optimization. *Sustainability*, *16*(1), 336. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16010336

Rosalie, T., Riani, Y., & Meiryani, M. (2024). The Urgency of Implementing Carbon Tax on Jakarta's Air Quality (Tax Incentives as Moderation Variable). *E3s Web of Conferences*, *559*, 04025. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202455904025

Sarpong, K. A., Xu, W., Gyamfi, B. A., & Ofori, E. K. (2023). Can Environmental Taxes and Green-Energy Offer Carbon-Free E7 Economies? An Empirical Analysis in the Framework of COP-26. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *30*(18), 51726–51739. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-25904-x

Saudi, N. D. S., Reviane, I. T. A., Paddu, A. H., Agustin, G., Djam'an, F., & Sabbar, S. D. (2024). Carbon Neutrality and Sustainable Development: An Empirical Study of Indonesia's Renewable Energy Adoption. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, *14*(4), 526–537. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.15953

Shidiq, M., Htet, H., Rakhiemah, A. N., Abdullah, A., Pradnyaswari, I., & Suryadi, B. (2024). How Effective Is the Carbon Pricing Implementation in ASEAN Member States to Reduce Regional Emissions. *Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, *1395*(1), 012032. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1395/1/012032

Syahruddin, E., Saputra, R., Cardenas, A., & Ali, A. (2024). Carbon Trading as a New Paradigm for Indonesia's Polluter Pays Principle. *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, *5*(1), 27–52. https://doi.org/10.15294/jllr.vol5i1.2090

Taheripour, F., Hertel, T. W., & Ramankutty, N. (2019). Market-Mediated Responses Confound Policies to Limit Deforestation From Oil Palm Expansion in Malaysia and Indonesia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(38), 19193–19199. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1903476116

Ullah, S., Majeed, M. T., & Chishti, M. Z. (2020). Examining the Asymmetric Effects of Fiscal Policy Instruments on Environmental Quality in Asian Economies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*(30), 38287–38299. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09859-x

Wibert, H., Hasudungan, H. W. V, & Sabaruddinb, S. S. (2020). Incentive-Based Policy to Promote the Production of Geothermal Power From Carbon Tax Scheme: A Case of Indonesian CGE Model. *Rivista Di Studi Sulla Sostenibilita*, *1*, 105–127. https://doi.org/10.3280/riss2020-001007

Widarjono, A., Afin, R., Kusnadi, G., Firdaus, M., & Herlinda, O. (2023). Taxing Sugar Sweetened Beverages in Indonesia: Projections of Demand Change and Fiscal Revenue. *Plos One*, *18*(12), e0293913. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0293913

Wulandari, F., & Semertzidis, T. (2024). Investigating Consumer's Attitudes Toward New Carbon Tax Regulation in Indonesia. *Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, *1395*(1), 012031. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1395/1/012031