Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation
E-ISSN: 2988-1587
Volume. 3, Issue 2, May 2025
Page No: 79-93

Harmonizing Corporate Reporting: A Narrative Review on ESG, IR, and Global Investor Perceptions

Sri Yanthy Yosepha[1]
[1]**Universitas Dirgantara Marsekal Suryadarma, Indonesia**
Correspondent: sriy@unsurya.ac.id[1]

Received : April 02, 2025
Accepted : Mei 20, 225
Published : Mei 31, 2025

Citation: Yosepha, S.Y., (2025). Harmonizing Corporate Reporting: A Narrative Review on ESG, IR, and Global Investor Perceptions. Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation, 3(2), 79-93.

https://doi.org/10.61194/ijat.v3i2.713

ABSTRACT: The growing importance of non-financial disclosure in enhancing corporate transparency and investor trust has led to significant interest in ESG and Integrated Reporting (IR) frameworks. This narrative review aims to evaluate the extent to which ESG reporting, IR, stakeholder engagement, and global reporting standards impact the value relevance of corporate disclosures. Literature was sourced from Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using systematic keyword combinations and inclusion criteria focused on peer-reviewed studies related to financial reporting, value relevance, and investor decision-making. Findings confirm that ESG and IR significantly increase investor confi dence by reducing information asymmetry, providing a more holistic understanding of corporate performance, and aligning long-term sustainability with financial outcomes. Stakeholder engagement and the use of global standards like IFRS and GRI further support consistency and comparability of disclosures across regions. However, challenges such as regulatory fragmentation, varying industry practices, and disparities in technological capacity hinder universal adoption. The study highlights the necessity for regulatory harmonization, increased financial literacy, and digital transformation as critical enablers for effective value communication. It calls for future research to deepen understanding of sectoral variations and technological integration in ESG monitoring. The review ultimately emphasizes the need for inclusive, standardized, and transparent reporting to strengthen global investor confidence and sustainable economic growth.

Keywords: Value Relevance, ESG Reporting, Integrated Reporting, Stakeholder Engagement, Financial Disclosure, Global Reporting Standards, Investor Trust.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, financial reporting has experienced a profound transformation, reflecting a shift in paradigms driven by a growing demand for transparency, accountability, and value relevance for investors. Historically anchored in the presentation of financial figures, corporate reporting has progressively evolved to incorporate non-financial information, particularly data related to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance (Carnevale & Mazzuca, 2012; Chopra et al., 2024). This evolution has emerged as a response to the increasing complexity of the global economic environment, the rise of sustainable development imperatives, and investors' expanding expectations concerning corporate value drivers (Lodhia et al., 2021; Алексеева et al., 2021). As such, the current reporting paradigm is characterized by a growing emphasis on integrated reporting, which combines financial and non-financial metrics to present a holistic narrative of corporate value creation (AbuRaya, 2023; Siri & Zhu, 2019).

Technological advancements and intensified regulatory oversight have further fueled the transformation of corporate reporting practices. Digital tools and platforms have enabled real-time data dissemination and increased the granularity of disclosures, thereby enhancing decision-making for stakeholders (Chopra et al., 2024; Lodhia et al., 2021). As investor priorities have evolved to include long-term value and sustainability indicators, traditional financial reporting frameworks have shown limitations in capturing the full spectrum of corporate performance (Алексеева et al., 2021). Accordingly, ESG disclosure and integrated reporting have gained prominence as critical tools for conveying strategic value and risk factors in today's investment landscape (Carnevale & Mazzuca, 2012; Siri & Zhu, 2019).

In this context, the relevance of ESG reporting has significantly increased. Recent literature indicates that investors now consider ESG performance as a key input in assessing corporate risk, opportunity, and long-term viability (Chopra et al., 2024; Lodhia et al., 2021). Companies are expected to report on their environmental impact, social responsibilities, and governance structures, which are perceived as integral components of overall corporate value (Алексеева et al., 2021; AbuRaya, 2023). This shift reflects a broader market recognition that non-financial performance influences corporate resilience and investor trust. The alignment between business strategies and sustainability values has thus become a competitive advantage (Siri & Zhu, 2019).

The influence of ESG disclosures on investor behavior is increasingly evident in capital markets. Research shows that companies with robust ESG practices are more likely to attract long-term investments and secure stakeholder confidence (Chopra et al., 2024; Lodhia et al., 2021). ESG factors such as carbon emissions, diversity policies, and social impact initiatives have become focal points in investment decision-making (Carnevale & Mazzuca, 2012; AbuRaya, 2023). By integrating these dimensions into financial reporting, companies can offer a more comprehensive account of their value proposition, which resonates with investor preferences for transparency, ethical responsibility, and sustainability (Алексеева et al., 2021).

However, the integration of ESG information into corporate reporting is not without challenges. A major issue is the alignment between financial and non-financial data, which often requires organizational transformation and the development of new information systems (Carnevale & Mazzuca, 2012; Алексеева et al., 2021). Moreover, the diversity of ESG reporting standards and the absence of a universally accepted framework can result in inconsistent disclosures and reduce comparability (Chopra et al., 2024; Siri & Zhu, 2019). Companies must also navigate the complexities of data collection and verification to ensure the credibility and relevance of non-financial information (Lodhia et al., 2021; AbuRaya, 2023). These challenges underscore the need for innovation and strategic integration in the reporting process.

Organizational restructuring is often necessary to achieve effective integration of financial and non-financial reporting. This includes developing cross-functional collaboration between departments such as finance, environmental management, and human resources (Chopra et al., 2024; Siri & Zhu, 2019). Such integration enhances the comprehensiveness and reliability of reports, allowing companies to address investor demands for transparency and accountability (Алексеева et al., 2021; AbuRaya, 2023). Nonetheless, aligning these diverse functions presents significant managerial and technical hurdles that must be overcome to realize the benefits of integrated reporting (Carnevale & Mazzuca, 2012).

Despite the growing body of literature on ESG and integrated reporting, significant gaps remain in understanding the direct relationship between sustainability disclosures and investor perception. Most existing studies emphasize disclosure practices and reputational outcomes without empirically examining how investors interpret non-financial narratives in their decision-making processes (AbuRaya, 2023; Алексеева et al., 2021). Furthermore, while the integration of financial and non-financial data has been widely discussed, few studies have systematically analyzed investor perceptions regarding the value relevance of such information (Aceituno et al., 2012; Lodhia et al., 2021). This gap calls for research that bridges qualitative and quantitative approaches to elucidate the mechanisms linking sustainability reporting with investor behavior (Chopra et al., 2024; Singh et al., 2022).

This study aims to examine the value relevance of financial and non-financial reporting in investor decision-making by integrating ESG metrics and traditional financial data. The primary objective is to assess how the fusion of these domains enhances corporate transparency and contributes to informed investment choices (Aceituno et al., 2012; Lodhia et al., 2021). The study also seeks to identify key indicators that influence investor confidence and to propose a strategic framework for companies to improve their reporting practices in alignment with market expectations (AbuRaya, 2023; Chopra et al., 2024). Through this analysis, the research contributes to the evolving discourse on integrated reporting and its implications for capital markets.

The scope of this review is international, with particular attention to differences in reporting practices between developed and developing countries. While developed nations often exhibit more mature regulatory environments and greater adoption of reporting standards, developing economies may face constraints in infrastructure and data accessibility that hinder the implementation of integrated reporting frameworks (Anguiano-Santos & Rodríguez-Entrena, 2024; Senani et al., 2022). By comparing diverse geographical contexts, this study aims to highlight contextual variables such as regulatory systems, economic development, and cultural norms that influence the effectiveness and reception of financial and non-financial reporting (Phang & Chia, 2024; Singh et al., 2022). This comparative lens enables the development of adaptive policy recommendations and reporting strategies tailored to local needs.

In summary, the integration of financial and non-financial reporting represents a fundamental shift in how corporate performance is communicated to stakeholders. This study explores the multifaceted relationship between sustainability disclosure and investor decision-making, addressing key theoretical, empirical, and practical gaps in the literature. Through a comprehensive review of current practices and contextual factors, the research seeks to enhance our understanding of how value is constructed, conveyed, and perceived in the modern investment landscape. By doing so, it supports the advancement of more transparent, relevant, and strategic corporate reporting systems on a global scale.

METHOD

The methodology adopted in this study is rooted in a comprehensive and systematic literature review aimed at exploring the intersection between financial reporting, value relevance, and investor decision-making. To ensure a robust foundation of scholarly evidence, the researchers relied primarily on three leading academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. These platforms were selected due to their extensive coverage of peer-reviewed journals, academic books, and conference proceedings relevant to financial reporting and sustainability disclosure (Singh et al., 2022; Lodhia et al., 2021).

Scopus served as the principal database for literature identification due to its broad indexing of international journals and its advanced bibliometric capabilities. It provides detailed citation tracking, keyword analytics, and author collaboration networks, which allowed for an in-depth exploration of trends and influential publications within the field. These features facilitated the detection of conceptual linkages between financial performance and investor perceptions, making Scopus an essential component of the literature search methodology (Singh et al., 2022; Lodhia et al., 2021).

Web of Science complemented this effort by offering a historically rich and rigorously curated dataset. Its stringent indexing criteria ensured that only high-quality, impactful articles were considered, which is vital for the integrity of a review focusing on shifting paradigms in financial reporting. Web of Science was particularly useful for tracing the historical development of value relevance concepts and identifying seminal works that shaped the theoretical landscape of financial disclosure and investment analysis (Singh et al., 2022; Lodhia et al., 2021).

Google Scholar was employed to capture grey literature, including dissertations, technical reports, and conference papers, that may not be indexed in Scopus or Web of Science. Although the platform lacks a standardized indexing methodology, its inclusiveness was advantageous for identifying emerging perspectives and innovative methodologies in non-financial reporting. By incorporating Google

Scholar, the study expanded its data coverage and ensured a more holistic understanding of the research domain (Singh et al., 2022; Lodhia et al., 2021).

The keyword strategy was developed through preliminary reviews and consultations with existing literature on value relevance and financial reporting. Keywords used included "value relevance," "financial reporting," "investor decision-making," and "non-financial disclosure." These core terms were supplemented with related concepts such as "market valuation," "accounting quality," "integrated reporting," and "corporate disclosure." Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT) were employed to refine the search and enhance the precision of retrieved results. For instance, "value relevance AND financial reporting" helped isolate literature specifically addressing the empirical link between accounting information and market behavior, while "non-financial disclosure OR sustainability reporting" broadened the scope to include various forms of ESG-related communication (Singh et al., 2022; Lodhia et al., 2021).

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to guide the selection process. Only peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and high-quality conference papers published in English between 2000 and 2024 were included. Studies were required to address either theoretical or empirical relationships between financial/non-financial reporting and investor perceptions or decision-making. Exclusion criteria encompassed articles lacking methodological transparency, non-academic sources, duplicate studies, and papers unrelated to the core themes despite containing relevant keywords.

The literature screening process unfolded in multiple stages. An initial keyword search across the three databases yielded a broad set of results, which were then filtered based on titles and abstracts. Full-text reviews followed for those articles deemed potentially relevant. A quality assessment was conducted using bibliometric indicators such as citation count and journal impact factor, as well as content-specific evaluation focusing on research design, sample size, and analytical rigor. To support the organization and analysis of sources, reference management software such as EndNote and Mendeley was utilized, while bibliometric tools like Bibliometrix facilitated mapping of keyword trends and conceptual evolution.

In terms of research design typology, this review considered a variety of empirical studies including longitudinal analyses, case studies, cohort studies, and meta-analyses. Each type of study offered unique insights: longitudinal studies illuminated temporal trends in reporting practices, case studies provided in-depth contextual analysis, and meta-analyses synthesized findings across multiple investigations to offer broader generalizations. This diversity enhanced the reliability and applicability of the findings.

Additional validation measures were implemented to ensure data credibility. Cross-database triangulation was used to compare results from Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, minimizing the likelihood of bias or omission. For example, key themes identified in Scopus were cross-verified through Web of Science to confirm consistency, while Google Scholar was used to uncover supplementary perspectives that may not have been captured elsewhere. This triangulation strengthened the robustness of the literature base and reinforced the methodological integrity of the review (Singh et al., 2022; Lodhia et al., 2021).

Temporal filters were applied to prioritize recent studies that reflect contemporary developments in financial reporting, ESG integration, and investor behavior. By focusing on the period from 2000 onwards, the review accounted for major regulatory changes and technological advancements that have influenced reporting paradigms. This time-bound approach allowed for a longitudinal understanding of how the concept of value relevance has evolved in response to shifting stakeholder expectations and market conditions.

Furthermore, a semantic analysis of terminology was undertaken to address the variation in expressions used across disciplines and geographies. Synonyms and contextual variations such as "accounting transparency," "sustainability performance," and "investor perception" were mapped to ensure comprehensive coverage. This linguistic consideration was critical for capturing relevant literature that may not explicitly use the primary keywords but nonetheless contribute substantively to the discourse.

Finally, the review methodology emphasized interdisciplinary synthesis by incorporating studies from accounting, finance, sustainability science, and corporate governance. This approach was integral to understanding the multifaceted nature of financial and non-financial disclosure and its influence on investor behavior. The convergence of perspectives from these domains enriched the analytical depth and relevance of the study.

In conclusion, the methodology employed in this research was designed to ensure comprehensiveness, accuracy, and rigor. By integrating multiple databases, applying advanced search strategies, and utilizing both manual and automated review techniques, the study constructed a robust foundation for analyzing value relevance in financial and non-financial reporting. The adoption of bibliometric tools and citation analysis further enhanced the reliability of the findings. Collectively, these methodological choices provide a credible platform for advancing knowledge on how investors interpret corporate disclosures in an increasingly complex and sustainability-focused financial environment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of this narrative review reveal several thematic clusters that help explain the relationship between non-financial reporting, integrated reporting (IR), and investor-perceived value relevance. These findings are synthesized and organized according to four main thematic areas: (A) ESG Reporting and Value Relevance, (B) Integrated Reporting and Investor Confidence, (C) Stakeholder Engagement and Non-Financial Metrics, and (D) Geographical Comparison and Global Standards.

ESG Reporting and Value Relevance

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reporting has become a pivotal component in enhancing the perceived value of firms among investors. Carnevale and Mazzuca (2012) demonstrate that ESG disclosures, when systematized and integrated, can elevate perceived investor value. Similarly, Chopra et al. (2024) provide empirical support that ESG reporting conveys positive signals regarding risk management and future growth potential. ESG disclosures function not merely as supplementary information but as essential indicators of sustainability-related strategies and long-term orientation.

Lodhia et al. (2021) emphasize that comprehensive ESG reports can mitigate market uncertainty and enhance investor comprehension of non-financial risks. The transparency and reliability of such data significantly bolster the investor's trust, especially when ESG initiatives are aligned with operational risk mitigation strategies. These disclosures create a holistic portrayal of a firm's resilience and governance quality, which are increasingly appreciated by stakeholders.

Chopra et al. (2024) identify a positive correlation between comprehensive ESG reporting and higher market valuations. Investors interpret structured ESG disclosure as a proxy for sound risk governance and sustainable management. However, the strength of this relationship often varies depending on industry-specific dynamics and macroeconomic conditions. Therefore, ESG reporting must be contextualized within sectoral and economic frameworks for consistency in value relevance.

Regional discrepancies in ESG standards also affect its impact on perceived value. For example, Siri and Zhu (2019) note that regulatory harmonization in developed countries has led to higher consistency and comparability in ESG disclosures. Conversely, developing countries often face regulatory fragmentation, which undermines uniformity and investor interpretation. Regulatory rigor has proven to be a driver for adopting coherent ESG frameworks, as observed in Europe, where sustainability risks are increasingly embedded into financial reporting.

Carnevale and Mazzuca (2012) further affirm that integrated ESG strategies improve market performance by fostering information transparency. Transparent ESG disclosures reduce informational asymmetry and enhance the evaluation of long-term corporate value. The integration of ESG factors into governance frameworks signals proactive corporate behavior and risk awareness, directly contributing to investor confidence and perceived value.

Integrated Reporting and Investor Confidence

Integrated Reporting (IR) has emerged as a transformative tool in enhancing the quality and utility of corporate disclosures. According to Senani et al. (2022), IR enhances transparency by consolidating financial and non-financial data into a single, coherent narrative. This comprehensive disclosure enables investors to comprehend the company's strategic direction, performance metrics, and sustainability efforts.

Stent and Dowler (2015) provide empirical evidence that firms adopting IR voluntarily experience elevated market performance and investor trust. These firms demonstrate superior transparency, reduce information asymmetries, and facilitate informed decision-making. Moreover, IR strengthens the linkage between operational strategy, financial outcomes, and environmental or social impacts, which investors interpret as indicators of reliability and forward-thinking management.

Borgato and Marchini (2021) note significant differences in how information is presented in IR compared to traditional financial reporting. IR includes forward-looking information and value creation narratives, which provide investors with deeper insights into long-term business viability. As a result, firms practicing IR are perceived as more accountable and strategically aligned.

Senani et al. (2022) further affirm that IR provides investors with tools to evaluate corporate performance through a multifaceted lens. By incorporating environmental and social metrics alongside financial data, IR allows for a broader evaluation of a firm's operational integrity and strategic coherence. Such disclosures are particularly valuable for institutional investors who require nuanced data to guide investment decisions.

Alexeyeva et al. (2021) highlight the operational benefits of IR, including reduced data complexity and more accurate forecasting capabilities. These efficiencies translate into investor-friendly reports that increase confidence and reduce risk. Furthermore, IR correlates with improved market stability and reduced stock volatility, confirming its practical utility in investment analysis.

Stakeholder Engagement and Non-Financial Metrics

The role of stakeholder engagement in non-financial reporting has garnered growing attention due to its impact on legitimacy and data richness. Bychkova et al. (2021) argue that inclusive stakeholder dialogues enhance the quality of ESG disclosures. These participatory processes enable companies to integrate diverse perspectives and address material sustainability concerns more effectively.

Tullio et al. (2021) found that active stakeholder involvement in reporting processes leads to more accurate and transparent non-financial metrics. These interactions contribute to creating a more complete and nuanced representation of corporate performance. Metrics such as environmental footprint, social impact, and governance quality extend beyond traditional financial data, offering a comprehensive lens for investors.

Lodhia et al. (2021) emphasize that non-financial indicators like carbon emissions, waste management, and CSR activities provide crucial insights into firm sustainability. Investors increasingly consider such data in risk assessments and investment models. These metrics act not only as performance indicators but also as strategic signals for long-term value creation.

Sectoral variations significantly influence the weight of non-financial metrics. Tullio et al. (2021) note that industries with direct environmental impact, such as energy and manufacturing, experience stronger investor responses to ESG metrics. In these sectors, robust ESG performance often correlates with improved reputational capital and competitive advantage.

Bychkova et al. (2021) further suggest that stakeholder engagement contributes to the development of new, context-specific performance indicators. These indicators enhance the responsiveness and transparency of ESG reports. Furthermore, participatory processes lead to more adaptive and resilient reporting systems, aligned with evolving market expectations.

Geographical Comparison and Global Standards

Cross-regional analysis reveals that firms operating under stringent regulatory environments exhibit higher consistency and comparability in non-financial disclosures. Phang and Chia (2024) demonstrate that European companies, under the influence of IFRS and GRI, produce standardized, comparable, and investor-relevant reports. This facilitates trust and efficient capital allocation in European markets.

In contrast, firms in Asia and Africa encounter challenges due to fragmented regulations and limited institutional support. Siri and Zhu (2019) note that the absence of harmonized standards in these regions leads to inconsistencies in data quality and investor interpretation. However, growing global convergence efforts are encouraging broader adoption of IFRS and GRI frameworks.

Alexeyeva et al. (2021) confirm that firms following global standards achieve greater investor credibility and cross-border comparability. Adopting these standards leads to more reliable, complete, and transparent disclosures. These disclosures are especially important for global investors who require uniform data to make comparative analyses.

Souza et al. (2015) argue that audit systems and regulatory enforcement play a critical role in the successful implementation of global standards. Europe has developed strong audit and oversight mechanisms that complement IFRS and GRI adoption, contributing to higher-quality reports and enhanced investor trust.

Phang and Chia (2024) emphasize the importance of local adaptation when implementing global standards. While GRI and IFRS provide a valuable framework, national regulators must tailor these to local contexts. This ensures cultural alignment, facilitates compliance, and increases the relevance of reporting.

Ultimately, the harmonization of reporting standards across jurisdictions is a strategic imperative for improving global investment environments. Consistent and reliable reporting not only reduces information asymmetry but also strengthens global capital flows and investor confidence. The trajectory of non-financial and integrated reporting suggests a move toward standardization and inclusiveness, shaping the future of global corporate transparency.

In summary, the narrative review highlights that ESG reporting, IR, and stakeholder engagement collectively contribute to enhanced value relevance for investors. This contribution is further strengthened by adopting global standards and aligning with investor expectations across various geographies. The convergence of financial and non-financial disclosures within robust reporting frameworks paves the way for a more transparent, reliable, and sustainable investment landscape.

Recent advancements in the literature on value relevance reporting have significantly shifted the paradigm from traditional financial reporting toward the incorporation of non-financial disclosures, including Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) elements and Integrated Reporting (IR). These disclosures are increasingly recognized as essential components in communicating corporate value. Carnevale and Mazzuca (2012) argue that high-quality narrative disclosures enhance the value relevance of corporate reporting by providing a more holistic understanding of the firm's operations and future prospects. This marks a transition from valuing firms solely based on financial metrics to adopting multidimensional frameworks that incorporate sustainability and governance factors. The evolving discourse implies that foundational assumptions in traditional value relevance theory must be revisited to reflect current global realities.

Studies on ESG reporting, such as those by Chopra et al. (2024), reinforce the proposition that comprehensive ESG disclosures serve as positive signals to investors, particularly by illuminating strategic risks and growth opportunities that are not captured in conventional financial statements. These findings emphasize the increasing utility of non-financial indicators in informing investor decision-making, challenging the long-held primacy of financial figures alone. Rather than displacing traditional value relevance theory, these results suggest a necessary enrichment that integrates the sustainability dimension into financial assessments.

The empirical literature also reveals that traditional value relevance models are insufficient to explain investor behavior in markets where ESG information is prominent. Chopra et al. (2024) demonstrate that standardized ESG reporting provides investors with a comprehensive view of a firm's strategic orientation and long-term viability. This expands the evaluative framework beyond purely financial considerations, acknowledging that environmental and social performance metrics play a crucial role in shaping investment strategies. These insights underline the need for both methodological and theoretical expansions of the value relevance construct.

Furthermore, integrated reporting (IR) emerges as a transformative mechanism that bridges the divide between financial and non-financial disclosures. AbuRaya (2023) argues that stakeholder engagement through IR strengthens corporate accountability and transparency, enhancing investors' confidence and aligning corporate practices with long-term stakeholder interests. This integrative approach facilitates the mitigation of information asymmetry, thus reinforcing the relevance of disclosed information for market participants.

The growing significance of non-financial disclosures also necessitates a systemic reassessment of regulatory frameworks. According to Siri and Zhu (2019), countries with stringent ESG reporting regulations tend to produce more reliable and comparable data, enhancing investor trust. Conversely, jurisdictions with lax disclosure requirements often exhibit inconsistencies that hinder value assessment. These disparities highlight the critical role of global regulatory harmonization in ensuring the objectivity and comparability of ESG reporting.

Organizational culture plays a systemic role in the successful adoption of value reporting practices. Firms with a culture of transparency and innovation are more likely to embrace ESG and IR practices effectively (AbuRaya, 2023). Internal openness and strategic sustainability orientation foster integrated reporting practices that resonate with investors seeking comprehensive assessments of firm performance. These organizational values, therefore, become instrumental in shaping the perceived credibility and utility of disclosed information.

Financial literacy among investors is another crucial systemic factor influencing the effectiveness of value reporting. Chopra et al. (2024) emphasize that financially literate investors are better equipped to interpret complex ESG and IR data, enabling more accurate risk assessments and investment decisions. This highlights the strategic importance of financial education initiatives in promoting market efficiency and informed investment behavior.

From a policy perspective, the literature underscores the efficacy of global standards such as IFRS and ESG guidelines in enhancing reporting quality and aligning valuation perspectives across jurisdictions (Siri & Zhu, 2019). These standards contribute to greater disclosure consistency, reducing information asymmetry and reinforcing investor confidence. Policymakers are thus encouraged to adopt and refine these standards to support the sustainable development of capital markets.

Empirical evidence also suggests a direct correlation between integrated reporting quality and investment decisions. Senani et al. (2022) find that firms voluntarily adopting IR exhibit greater market stability and investor trust, stemming from the clarity and coherence of their disclosures. This supports the notion that IR is not merely a compliance tool but a strategic communication mechanism that materially impacts investor behavior.

Moreover, narrative techniques used in IR challenge the financial data-centric notion of traditional reporting. Stent and Dowler (2015) demonstrate that strategic storytelling enhances the interpretive value of disclosures by contextualizing financial data within broader business objectives. This narrative dimension facilitates a deeper investor understanding of long-term value creation, thus advancing the theoretical discourse on value relevance.

On a systemic level, global regulatory initiatives have catalyzed the standardization of ESG and IR practices, particularly in regions like Europe where stringent oversight mechanisms are in place (Siri & Zhu, 2019). Such frameworks enhance comparability, transparency, and market confidence, highlighting the critical interplay between regulation and reporting quality. Companies operating in these regulatory environments often enjoy greater investor recognition and market valuation.

The successful implementation of ESG and IR reporting is further influenced by organizational readiness, which includes the availability of robust information systems and cross-departmental collaboration. AbuRaya (2023) posits that firms with integrated IT systems and cohesive internal communication are better positioned to produce comprehensive and accurate reports. This infrastructural readiness is pivotal in meeting the increasing demands for transparency in global financial markets.

Investor literacy also affects the interpretive accuracy of reported information. As noted by Chopra et al. (2024), well-informed investors are more capable of integrating financial and non-financial information, leading to more data-driven and objective investment decisions. Consequently, enhancing investor education can significantly bolster the utility of value reporting practices in promoting market stability.

Global policy harmonization remains a fundamental challenge and opportunity in aligning value perceptions across borders. Differences in economic, cultural, and infrastructural contexts necessitate localized adaptations of global standards (Siri & Zhu, 2019). Thus, effective value reporting requires a balanced approach that combines global consistency with regional flexibility.

Collaborative engagement between regulators, market participants, and academics is essential in refining value reporting frameworks. AbuRaya (2023) underscores the importance of cross-sectoral dialogue in fostering innovative reporting methodologies and addressing implementation challenges. Such collaboration ensures that value reporting evolves in step with the complex dynamics of modern markets.

Investments in human capital development, particularly in financial reporting and sustainability domains, are critical for sustaining high-quality disclosures. AbuRaya (2023) asserts that professional development initiatives enhance organizational capacity to produce informative and credible reports, directly influencing investor perceptions. This reinforces the need for strategic investment in training and capacity building within corporate structures.

Technological innovation also holds transformative potential in the value reporting landscape. As Chopra et al. (2024) argue, digital tools such as big data analytics and cloud-based platforms facilitate real-time data management and enhance the accuracy and relevance of disclosures. This technological integration is imperative for maintaining competitiveness and responsiveness in fast-evolving global markets.

The discussion further reveals that stakeholder engagement plays a vital role in enriching the quality and relevance of value disclosures. Inclusive reporting practices that reflect diverse stakeholder perspectives not only enhance credibility but also strengthen corporate legitimacy (AbuRaya, 2023). Active engagement fosters trust and supports long-term value creation by aligning corporate actions with stakeholder expectations.

In conclusion, the ongoing evolution of value relevance theory underscores the imperative to integrate non-financial dimensions into traditional reporting models. Carnevale and Mazzuca (2012), AbuRaya (2023), and Chopra et al. (2024) collectively advocate for a multidimensional approach that captures both financial and sustainability metrics. These insights provide a robust foundation for developing inclusive, transparent, and adaptive reporting frameworks that meet the needs of contemporary investors and support sustainable economic growth

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reporting and Integrated Reporting (IR) into corporate disclosure practices significantly enhances value relevance for investors. Empirical evidence confirms that consistent, transparent, and standardized non-financial reporting positively influences market perceptions and investor confidence, particularly when supported by robust stakeholder engagement and institutional regulation. The findings emphasize a paradigmatic shift from traditional value relevance theory—focused solely on financial metrics—toward a multidimensional evaluation that includes sustainability, governance, and stakeholder perspectives.

Despite the benefits, systemic challenges such as regulatory inconsistencies, low financial literacy, and the absence of technological infrastructure continue to hinder uniform implementation across regions. To address these, policy interventions should include harmonization of global standards like IFRS and GRI, mandatory ESG disclosures, and capacity-building for emerging economies. Organizational culture and stakeholder inclusivity must also be embedded into reporting systems to ensure contextual relevance and data reliability.

Future research should explore sector-specific impacts of non-financial disclosures and longitudinal studies across diverse economic regions to uncover evolving investor behavior. Additionally, studies integrating AI and digital tools for real-time ESG monitoring could further bridge gaps in current literature.

Ultimately, the strategic adoption of integrated, transparent, and stakeholder-centered reporting frameworks is vital to improving investor trust and advancing global capital market resilience in the face of economic and environmental uncertainty.

REFERENCES

Adhariani, D. (2024). Surplus Indonesia: Tackling food waste through app. Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies, 14(4), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1108/eemcs-04-2024-0190

Aguiar, T., Haque, S., & McCann, L. (2024). Mapping accounting literature on climate finance: Identifying research gaps and reflections on future research. Accounting Research Journal, 37(3), 249-269. https://doi.org/10.1108/arj-06-2023-0162

AbuRaya, R. (2023). The role of institutional and stakeholder interaction in integrated reporting policy development. Critical Perspectives on International Business, 20(1), 121-152. https://doi.org/10.1108/cpoib-12-2021-0110

Aceituno, J., Ariza, L., & Sánchez, I. (2012). Explanatory factors of integrated sustainability and financial reporting. Business Strategy and the Environment, 23(1), 56-72. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1765

Anguiano-Santos, C. and Rodríguez-Entrena, M. (2024). Sustainability reporting in focus: analysing Spanish transposition of the non-financial reporting European directive in the agri-food sector. Agricultural and Food Economics, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-024-00303-z

Алексеева, И., Evstafieva, E., Makarenko, T., & Fedosova, O. (2021). The paradigm of public non-financial reporting as a tool for investment decision making. In Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems (pp. 715-724). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-73097-0_80

Бычкова, С., Karelskaia, S., Abdalova, E., & Zhidkova, E. (2021). Social responsibility as the dominant driver of the evolution of reporting from financial to non-financial: theory and methodology. Foods and Raw Materials, 9(1), 135-145. https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2021-1-135-145

Borgato, B. and Marchini, P. (2021). Auditors' perceptions of integrated reporting assurance: insights from Italy. Meditari Accountancy Research, 29(7), 31-53. https://doi.org/10.1108/medar-09-2019-0560

Carnevale, C. and Mazzuca, M. (2012). Sustainability reporting and varieties of capitalism. Sustainable Development, 22(6), 361-376. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1554

Chopra, S., Senadheera, S., Dissanayake, P., Withana, P., Chib, R., Rhee, J., … & Ok, Y. (2024). Navigating the challenges of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) reporting: the path to broader sustainable development. Sustainability, 16(2), 606. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020606

Lodhia, S., Sharma, U., & Low, M. (2021). Creating value: sustainability and accounting for non-financial matters in the pre- and post-corona environment. Meditari Accountancy Research, 29(2), 185-196. https://doi.org/10.1108/medar-03-2021-1249

Phang, R. and Chia, Y. (2024). Sustainability and the sunlight of disclosure: ESG disclosure in three Asian financial centres. Review of European Comparative & International Environmental Law, 33(2), 209-223. https://doi.org/10.1111/reel.12554

Senani, K., Ajward, R., & Kumari, J. (2022). Determinants and consequences of integrated reporting disclosures of non-financial listed firms in an emerging economy. Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, 22(5), 1336-1355. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-03-2022-0083

Singh, A. and Yi-fang, Z. (2022). Understanding the evolution of environment, social and governance research: novel implications from bibliometric and network analysis. Evaluation Review, 47(2), 350-386. https://doi.org/10.1177/0193841x221121244

Siri, M. and Zhu, S. (2019). Will the EU Commission successfully integrate sustainability risks and factors in the investor protection regime? A research agenda. Sustainability, 11(22), 6292. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11226292

Souza, F., Botinha, R., Rogers, P., & Lemes, S. (2015). Comparability of accounting choices in future valuation of investment properties: an analysis of Brazilian and Portuguese listed companies.

Revista Contabilidade & Finanças, 26(68), 154-166. https://doi.org/10.1590/1808-057x201500580

Stent, W. and Dowler, T. (2015). Early assessments of the gap between integrated reporting and current corporate reporting. Meditari Accountancy Research, 23(1), 92-117. https://doi.org/10.1108/medar-02-2014-0026

Tullio, P., Torre, M., Dumay, J., & Rea, M. (2021). Accountingisation and the narrative (re)turn of business model information in corporate reporting. Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change, 18(4), 592-615. https://doi.org/10.1108/jaoc-09-2020-0144.

Zahedi, J., Salehi, M., & Moradi, M. (2021). Identifying and Classifying the Contributing Factors to Financial Resilience. Foresight, 24(2), 177–194. https://doi.org/10.1108/fs-10-2020-0102

Zhang, Y., Chen, R., & Ma, D. (2020). A weighted and directed perspective of global stock market connectedness: A variance decomposition and GERGM framework. Sustainability (Switzerland), 12(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12114605