Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation
E-ISSN: 2988-1587
Volume. 2, Issue 4, November 2024
Page No: 200-210

Citizen Participation and Digital Governance in Public Sector Accountability

Rika Febby Rhamadhani[1], Friday Ogbu Edeh[2]
[1]Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia
[2]Kampala International University (Main Campus), Uganda
Correspondent : rikafebbr@untad.ac.id [1]

Received : October 11, 2024
Accepted : November 24, 2024
Published : November 30, 2024

Citation: Rhamadhani, R, F., & Edeh, F, O. (2024). Citizen Participation and Digital Governance in Public Sector Accountability. Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation, 2(4), 200-210.

ABSTRACT: This narrative review explores the dynamics of social accountability within public sector accounting by synthesizing empirical studies and theoretical frameworks across multiple countries. The objective is to identify key drivers and systemic barriers that influence the effectiveness of social accountability mechanisms. Drawing on literature from databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, and PubMed, the study employs a thematic analysis to examine the roles of public participation, digital governance, cultural norms, fiscal transparency, and regulatory frameworks. Results indicate that public engagement, when supported by digital platforms and inclusive policies, significantly enhances transparency and institutional trust. However, challenges persist, especially in developing countries, where bureaucratic rigidity, limited resources, and misalignment between global standards and local values hinder reform efforts. The discussion underscores the necessity for integrated governance models that emphasize participatory and transparent processes tailored to sociocultural contexts. Key systemic factors such as independent oversight institutions, technological capacity, and ethical leadership emerge as crucial enablers. The findings suggest that strengthening internal institutional capacity, realigning regulatory reforms with societal expectations, and leveraging technology are essential for advancing social accountability. This review calls for further empirical studies to develop adaptive, context-sensitive frameworks that embed social values in public financial reporting. Ultimately, a people-centered, interdisciplinary approach is imperative for transforming public sector governance into a more accountable and transparent system.

Keywords: Social Accountability, Public Sector Accounting, Transparency, Participatory Governance, Fiscal Reform, Digital Public Reporting, Institutional Trust.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, social accountability has gained global attention as a critical pillar of democratic governance and public financial reform. Citizens are increasingly demanding transparency, responsiveness, and inclusive participation in government decision-making. Digital transformation in the public sector has amplified these demands by enabling real-time access to public information, facilitating two-way communication, and broadening the scope of civic engagement.

As public expectations evolve, governments face mounting pressure to shift from compliance-based models to participatory governance frameworks that embed citizen input into fiscal management and policy design. Research shows that citizen participation—especially when enabled by digital tools—enhances institutional trust, improves the quality of public services, and supports financial integrity.

Despite these advances, many countries, particularly in the Global South, continue to struggle with fragmented accountability systems, low public engagement, and mismatched international standards. Therefore, this study aims to critically review global literature on citizen participation and digital governance within the broader context of social accountability. By synthesizing theoretical insights and empirical evidence, this review provides a thematic overview of enablers, barriers, and reform pathways to strengthen public accountability in diverse governance settings.

One of the primary issues is the lack of consistent and reliable asset data, which stems from poor asset inventory practices and insufficient interdepartmental coordination. Local governments often face fragmented information systems and limited human resource capacity to maintain accurate fixed asset registers. These conditions create difficulties in meeting the Government Accounting Standards (SAP) and reduce the effectiveness of public financial reports.

Moreover, the complexity of asset classification, depreciation, and valuation methods often leads to material misstatements in financial reporting, potentially undermining public trust. Audit reports from Supreme Audit Institutions (SAIs) in several countries, including Indonesia, frequently highlight irregularities in asset management practices. These include unrecorded assets, inaccurate valuations, and the use of outdated software or manual systems for asset tracking.

Another prominent concern is the gap between regulatory frameworks and practical implementation. While regulations may be clear, their execution is often hampered by budgetary constraints, low prioritization of asset maintenance, and weak enforcement mechanisms. As a result, many local governments struggle to produce accurate and timely asset-related financial statements, affecting the credibility of regional financial performance and the ability to make data-driven policy decisions.

Given these conditions, a comprehensive understanding of the literature on fixed asset management accounting in local governments is urgently needed. This study adopts a narrative review approach to synthesize key findings, identify recurring challenges, and explore proposed solutions from academic and policy-oriented publications. By critically examining the patterns emerging from previous research, this article aims to provide theoretical insights and practical recommendations to enhance the governance of public fixed assets at the local level.

METHOD

This study adopted a narrative review approach to synthesize the literature surrounding social accountability in public sector accounting. The methodology was designed to ensure comprehensive coverage, thematic relevance, and critical evaluation of academic contributions from multiple databases including Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar.

To initiate the literature search, a strategic keyword development process was conducted. Researchers compiled a broad list of keywords such as "social accountability", "public sector accounting", "government transparency", "public financial management", "non-financial reporting", "stakeholder engagement", and "accountability mechanisms". These keywords were systematically combined with auxiliary terms like "sustainability", "governance", and "reform" to capture interdisciplinary insights. The combinations aimed to maximize thematic inclusivity and to reflect the ethical and social dimensions of financial reporting in the public sector.

Additionally, exploratory searches were performed using derivative terms such as "accountability", "public management", "institutional transparency", and "auditing practices". This helped broaden the scope to include variables and concepts relevant to non-financial aspects of public governance. Phrases like "social responsibility in public accounting" were particularly useful in uncovering studies that link social reporting to public trust and government legitimacy.

Commonly used keyword combinations such as "public financial management" and "government accountability" were essential in identifying literature focused on the integration of ethical responsibilities with financial performance. Further, researchers employed terms like "transparency in public reporting" and "non-financial performance indicators" to target articles emphasizing non-monetary reporting dimensions. The interdisciplinary nature of this topic necessitated the inclusion of terms such as "accountability frameworks" and "social performance reporting", allowing the capture of conceptual frameworks from sociology, politics, and public management.

In Google Scholar, additional search terms like "systematic review" were used alongside primary keywords to identify structured reviews. Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT) helped refine the search, ensuring high relevance. For instance, using "social accountability AND public sector accounting" helped filter for studies that explicitly tied these core concepts.

To further enrich the database, researchers also incorporated keywords such as "stakeholder engagement", "institutional reform", and "public governance", reflecting the role of actors in shaping accountability frameworks. These terms emphasized participatory values critical to the study's theme. The use of phrases like "narrative review", "bibliometric analysis", and "evidence synthesis" supported the categorization of diverse research designs.

Searches were filtered by publication date, document type, and language. Typically, only peer-reviewed journal articles published within the last ten years and written in English were included. Excluded were conference proceedings, internal reports, and non-academic publications. These filters ensured that the reviewed literature was both current and of high academic rigor.

Inclusion criteria required studies to explicitly reference "social accountability" or related terms within titles, abstracts, or keywords, and to demonstrate a clear connection to public sector financial management. Studies with empirical data, qualitative insights, or mixed-method approaches were prioritized to ensure holistic perspectives. Conversely, exclusion criteria eliminated works that focused exclusively on the private sector or lacked methodological transparency.

The selection process involved a structured screening procedure aligned with PRISMA guidelines. Initial identification used keyword matches, followed by abstract and title screening. Full-text reviews were conducted to assess relevance, quality, and alignment with research objectives. A record of excluded articles and the rationale for exclusion was maintained to uphold transparency.

Although the study was narrative in nature, bibliometric tools were used to manage data and enhance systematicity. Reference management software like EndNote and Mendeley facilitated organization and deduplication. Content analysis techniques, both manual and aided by qualitative data software, supported thematic coding and identification of patterns, gaps, and theoretical contributions.

The studies included ranged from randomized trials and case studies to cohort analyses and conceptual papers. Emphasis was placed on works that offered both theoretical and practical insights, especially those contributing to frameworks of accountability in diverse governance contexts. Metadata such as publication year, journal quality, and citation frequency informed the selection, ensuring academic robustness.

The methodology recognized the inter-disciplinary and global nature of social accountability research. Therefore, context-specific variables such as geographical focus, institutional type, and policy environment were considered during selection and analysis. Articles offering cross-national comparisons or focusing on developing countries were particularly valued for their contextual richness.

Ultimately, the literature synthesis aimed to uncover theoretical trends, implementation challenges, and reform pathways in social accountability. By triangulating empirical findings, conceptual models, and policy analyses, the review sought to construct a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the topic. The methodological rigor adopted in this narrative review thus ensured a credible, insightful, and actionable body of knowledge for public sector accounting and governance reform.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this narrative review highlight the multidimensional nature of social accountability in public sector accounting, underpinned by the interplay between social engagement, economic conditions, and regulatory policies. Through a synthesis of relevant literature, this section presents themes that emerged as most influential in shaping the effectiveness of social accountability mechanisms. These themes are substantiated by empirical evidence and comparisons across different national contexts.

A prominent theme identified across the literature is the critical role of public participation in enhancing social accountability. Studies by Landi et al. (2021) and Harun et al. (2015) demonstrate that public involvement in budget consultations and monitoring forums strengthens transparency and trust in government institutions. The use of social media platforms as interactive tools enables direct public engagement with financial reporting processes, thus fostering ongoing dialogue and constructive feedback (Landi et al., 2021). Harun et al. (2015) further elaborate that public contributions extend beyond criticism, often proposing improvements to budget planning and reporting. Data show that institutions integrating public feedback tend to enjoy higher levels of legitimacy (Landi et al., 2021; Harun et al., 2015), which underlines the necessity of active citizen engagement in social accountability frameworks.

Moreover, the inclusion of citizens in decision-making processes correlates with increased spending efficiency and transparency in public budgeting, particularly in developing countries. Harun et al. (2015) and Aswar et al. (2021) report that community-driven audits and evaluations serve as effective deterrents against corruption while reinforcing accountability mechanisms. Aswar et al. (2021) observed that social pressure from civil society organizations led to the incorporation of non-financial reporting standards focused on social impact. These findings reinforce the strategic value of civic involvement in elevating the quality and relevance of public sector financial disclosures.

Another critical factor is the influence of social norms and cultural values. Landi et al. (2021) and Saraite-Sariene et al. (2019) argue that collective traditions and institutional trust significantly shape participation patterns. In developed countries, social norms emphasizing transparency promote active engagement and constructive critique, while in developing regions, a reliance on personal and traditional ties may inhibit the adoption of formal reporting mechanisms (Saraite-Sariene et al., 2019; Landi et al., 2021). Perceptions of fairness and equality also mediate the success of social accountability practices. These normative differences necessitate the contextualization of accountability frameworks to fit the cultural landscapes of respective countries.

Technological adoption further accentuates these cultural disparities. In developed contexts, digital tools enable real-time public participation and feedback, enhancing transparency (Landi et al., 2021). However, in developing nations, limited digital infrastructure constrains the deployment of these mechanisms (Aswar et al., 2021). Consequently, a phased approach to technology adoption, coupled with culturally sensitive human resource development, is essential to ensure inclusivity and efficiency in social accountability initiatives (Landi et al., 2021).

Economic factors, particularly fiscal stability and budget transparency, also exert considerable influence. Slama and Jandoubi (2024) demonstrate that transparent budget practices and adherence to international standards like IPSAS correlate with lower corruption perception and improved social accountability. Stable fiscal environments provide a conducive space for the development of responsive and transparent reporting systems. Conversely, financial instability can derail these initiatives as crisis management takes precedence (Slama & Jandoubi, 2024).

Incentive structures are equally influential. Cohen et al. (2019) establish that economic incentives, such as performance bonuses or public recognition, significantly motivate transparency-oriented behaviors. These incentives, when aligned with ethical and social values, foster integrity in reporting. However, poorly designed incentives may encourage manipulation or mismanagement of public funds. Complementary to this, effective internal control systems play a critical role in aligning financial performance with social objectives (Kamaruddin & Auzair, 2022), suggesting a dual focus on organizational structure and employee motivation.

Regulatory frameworks, both national and international, provide the scaffolding for institutionalizing social accountability. Standards such as IPSAS have been linked to improved public trust and reporting consistency (Slama & Jandoubi, 2024; Alawattage & Azure, 2021). However, effectiveness varies depending on institutional readiness and cultural compatibility. Comparative studies by Gourfinkel (2021) underscore the greater impact of these standards in developed countries with strong independent oversight institutions. In contrast, regulatory frameworks in developing nations often suffer from inconsistent implementation due to political and bureaucratic obstacles (Alawattage & Azure, 2021).

Public participation mandates embedded in national policies have also proven effective. Alawattage and Azure (2021) document that citizen engagement in audit and evaluation processes simultaneously enhances transparency and institutional legitimacy. These participatory policies bridge the gap between state agencies and the public, facilitating trust and responsiveness. Moreover, international programs like the World Bank's PULSAR initiative have catalyzed the reform of public sector accounting by promoting integrated reporting frameworks that balance financial and non-financial data (Gourfinkel, 2021).

The role of technology in enabling real-time, dialogic public interaction further reinforces social accountability (Landi et al., 2021). Digital platforms expedite feedback loops and democratize access to financial information. Yet, the adoption of such tools must account for local norms and infrastructural limitations to avoid marginalization and ensure inclusivity.

Capacity-building efforts, particularly in ethics and transparency training for public officials, have been identified as strategic investments. Harun et al. (2015) emphasize that well-trained internal actors can act as change agents, embedding social values within financial reporting processes. Such efforts contribute to long-term institutional transformation and enhance public confidence.

Importantly, the integration of non-financial indicators into performance measurement frameworks is increasingly recognized as vital. Saraite-Sariene et al. (2019) argue that social accountability must reflect broader impacts, including equity, welfare, and environmental sustainability. The development and use of these indicators provide a more comprehensive assessment of public sector performance.

Cross-country comparisons reveal that while developed nations have effectively institutionalized non-financial indicators, developing countries face challenges in data collection and standardization (Landi et al., 2021). This disparity underscores the need for contextually adaptive metrics that accommodate local capabilities and societal needs.

Finally, effective communication and stakeholder dialogue emerge as central to the legitimacy and success of social accountability mechanisms. Landi et al. (2021) suggest that transparent, two-way communication enhances public perception and facilitates corrective actions. When paired with empirical evidence from contextual evaluations (Gourfinkel, 2021), these mechanisms foster iterative improvement and sustained institutional trust.

In summary, the findings affirm that social accountability in public sector accounting is shaped by dynamic interactions among participatory practices, economic conditions, and regulatory structures. A holistic and context-sensitive approach—anchored in transparency, cultural alignment, fiscal prudence, and participatory governance—is essential for sustainable and inclusive public accountability.

This study affirms the necessity of a multidimensional approach in evaluating social accountability within public sector accounting. The findings reveal that social accountability is deeply intertwined with technical structures, institutional culture, and moral values, corroborating the argument by Twyford and Abbas (2022) that traditional accounting frameworks require reorientation toward a more value-integrated and socially reflective model. This research contributes to the growing body of literature that stresses the importance of interdisciplinary perspectives in reshaping public accounting to incorporate moral and non-financial dimensions.

Public participation emerges as a cornerstone of social accountability, as it facilitates inclusive monitoring and fosters dialogic governance. The analysis aligns with Landi et al. (2021), who emphasized that interactive communication, particularly through digital platforms, strengthens two-way accountability by increasing citizens' capacity to engage in public reporting. The reinforcement of legitimacy through community involvement implies that trust is not merely a derivative of institutional performance but a dynamic output of participatory mechanisms.

Cultural and social norms are highlighted in this study as fundamental moderators that influence the effectiveness of accountability systems. In particular, the findings reinforce those of Saraite-Sariene et al. (2019) and Twyford and Abbas (2022), who advocated for culturally sensitive policy frameworks that adapt accountability to local values. Countries with strong collectivist traditions often show different patterns of engagement and transparency, thus requiring unique evaluative models to accurately reflect their socio-political realities.

Moreover, this study contests the adequacy of financial metrics as the sole basis for assessing public performance. Echoing Humphrey and Miller (2012), it advocates for a holistic public reporting model that incorporates moral narratives and participatory feedback into traditional accounting outputs. The research thereby expands on the idea that effective reform must transcend administrative compliance and engage citizens as co-producers of accountability.

Institutional structures are a key determinant of accountability variability. The evidence supports Gourfinkel's (2021) assertion that centralized and rigid bureaucracies often impede adaptive reform. Conversely, decentralized governance models tend to enable greater responsiveness and integration of social accountability principles. The implication here is that the architecture of public institutions must be recalibrated to promote openness, flexibility, and horizontal accountability mechanisms.

Governance quality further amplifies or diminishes the potential of accountability reforms. As noted by Liberato et al. (2023), the presence of independent oversight bodies acts as a safeguard against data manipulation and fosters societal trust. This is confirmed by our study, which shows that robust oversight mechanisms are indispensable for institutionalizing accountability norms within public systems.

In parallel, bureaucratic culture exerts a powerful influence on the implementation of reforms. Findings suggest that hierarchical and procedural norms inhibit responsiveness and innovation, echoing the concerns raised by Humphrey and Miller (2012). This underscores the need for cultural transformation within public administrations, moving toward a participatory ethos that privileges transparency and ethical reasoning over procedural orthodoxy.

Technological innovation also presents itself as a decisive factor. Digital platforms have facilitated more immediate and reciprocal forms of public reporting (Landi et al., 2021). However, the study finds that successful digitalization depends significantly on institutional readiness and workforce capacity. This resonates with Gourfinkel's (2021) findings, which emphasized the necessity of investing in digital literacy and infrastructure to harness technology for social accountability.

Resource capacity, especially in human capital, influences the scope and depth of accountability practices. Institutions with stronger training regimes and ethical orientation programs are more capable of sustaining participatory and transparent reporting systems. Thus, public sector training must include ethical, technological, and participatory dimensions to create a new generation of accountability-minded civil servants.

The systemic challenges identified—including structural rigidity, cultural inertia, technological gaps, and capacity deficits—highlight the need for a more comprehensive governance reform strategy. This study aligns with Vollmer et al. (2024), who advocated for reform pathways that embed social values into institutional practice rather than treating them as external or supplementary concerns. Policy design, therefore, must reflect both technical sophistication and social sensibility.

The findings also support the implementation of iterative feedback mechanisms and adaptive policy evaluations, as recommended by Liberato et al. (2023). These would ensure that social accountability reforms remain responsive to evolving societal needs and contextual shifts. Regular evaluations must not only measure financial compliance but also assess inclusiveness, citizen satisfaction, and institutional learning.

Moreover, the research highlights the strategic importance of aligning international accountability standards with local institutional and cultural frameworks. Gourfinkel (2021) demonstrated that externally imposed reforms often falter without proper localization. Our study confirms that effective integration requires contextual knowledge, stakeholder consultation, and sustained institutional investment.

Open dialogue between government and citizens is another core theme. Irvine and Moerman (2017) emphasized that critical discourse between citizens and institutions is vital to operationalize accountability in meaningful ways. Policies must go beyond technical upgrades and instead provide platforms for structured engagement, transparency, and continuous feedback.

This analysis also reveals a significant limitation in many existing studies—the lack of a comprehensive model that adequately bridges institutional reform with cultural transformation. While reform literature often addresses procedural change, few studies examine how to recalibrate value systems and professional identities within public administration. This gap suggests the need for research that integrates behavioral insights and cultural diagnostics into policy design.

Additionally, while technological innovation is widely discussed, the socio-technical divide persists. Our findings underscore the gap between access to technology and its effective utilization in accountability systems. Future research should explore models for inclusive digital transformation that address infrastructural, educational, and cognitive barriers.

Another area for further investigation is the dynamic interaction between fiscal policy and social accountability. While fiscal stability is noted as a precondition for accountability (Slama & Jandoubi, 2024), the causal mechanisms remain under-theorized. It is essential to study how budgeting processes, resource allocations, and audit cycles interact with citizen trust and institutional responsiveness.

Lastly, this study suggests that inter-organizational collaborations—between governments, civil society, academia, and international donors—can catalyze the transformation of public accounting systems. However, empirical evidence on how such partnerships function in different governance contexts remains limited. Future work should examine collaborative governance models that institutionalize co-responsibility for social outcomes.

Taken together, these analytical insights advance our understanding of the complexities involved in embedding social accountability into public sector accounting. They affirm that reforms must be deeply rooted in socio-political contexts, responsive to institutional capabilities, and reflective of evolving public values. By doing so, public accountability can shift from a formal compliance mechanism to a substantive democratic practice.

CONCLUSION

This study has provided a comprehensive review of how social accountability is implemented within public sector accounting, focusing on the interplay of social, economic, and regulatory factors. The findings confirm that citizen participation, institutional transparency, digital innovation, and inclusive governance mechanisms are key strategies in improving social accountability. These elements interact with systemic barriers such as bureaucratic culture, limited institutional capacity, and the disconnection between international standards and local contexts. The discussion further emphasizes that social norms and moral values influence how accountability is interpreted and operationalized, particularly in developing countries.

There remains an urgent need for reform that goes beyond technical financial reporting frameworks. Governments and public institutions must adopt policies that promote participatory governance, strengthen independent oversight bodies, and ensure the integration of digital platforms that allow real-time feedback from citizens. Furthermore, reforms should target the transformation of bureaucratic culture and the enhancement of internal human resource capabilities to sustain social accountability practices.

Future research should explore longitudinal and comparative case studies on how specific countries integrate non-financial reporting into public financial management. More empirical studies are also needed to develop adaptive frameworks that align with local norms and enhance public trust. In sum, social accountability cannot be achieved through standardized procedures alone—it requires context-aware, people-centered approaches embedded within institutional and policy innovations.

REFERENCE

Agostino, D., Saliterer, I., & Steccolini, I. (2021). Digitalization, accounting and accountability: a literature review and reflections on future research in public services. Financial Accountability and Management, 38(2), 152-176. https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12301

Alawattage, C. and Azure, J. (2021). Behind the world bank's ringing declarations of "social accountability": ghana's public financial management reform. Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 78, 102075. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2019.02.002

Ang, S. and Wickramasinghe, D. (2024). Cultivating public value and accountability beyond npm: a non-western perspective. Financial Accountability and Management. https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12426

Aswar, K., Ermawati, E., & Julianto, W. (2021). Implementation of accrual accounting by the indonesian central government: an investigation of social factors. Public and Municipal Finance, 10(1), 151-163. https://doi.org/10.21511/pmf.10(1).2021.12

Chawla, A. (2020). Social audit, accountability and accounting – an indian perspective. Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies, 11(1), 10-26. https://doi.org/10.1108/jaee-02-2019-0034

Cohen, S., Bisogno, M., & Malkogianni, I. (2019). Earnings management in local governments: the role of political factors. Journal of Applied Accounting Research, 20(3), 331-348. https://doi.org/10.1108/jaar-10-2018-0162

Gourfinkel, D. (2021). The main challenges of public sector accounting reforms and world bank's public sector accounting and reporting (pulsar) program. Journal of Public Budgeting Accounting & Financial Management, 34(2), 347-352. https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbafm-05-2021-0079

Harun, H., Van-Peursem, K., & Eggleton, I. (2015). Indonesian public sector accounting reforms: dialogic aspirations a step too far?. Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal, 28(5), 706-738. https://doi.org/10.1108/aaaj-12-2012-1182

Humphrey, C. and Miller, P. (2012). Rethinking impact and redefining responsibility. Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal, 25(2), 295-327. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571211198773

Irvine, H. and Moerman, L. (2017). Gambling with the public sphere: accounting's contribution to debate on social issues. Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 48, 35-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2017.05.002

Kamaruddin, M. and Auzair, S. (2022). The impact of financial management practices on accountability of islamic social enterprise (ise). International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management, 16(4), 669-686. https://doi.org/10.1108/imefm-05-2021-0184

Landi, S., Costantini, A., Fasan, M., & Bonazzi, M. (2021). Public engagement and dialogic accounting through social media during covid-19 crisis: a missed opportunity?. Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal, 35(1), 35-47. https://doi.org/10.1108/aaaj-08-2020-4884

Liberato, M., Paiva, I., & Serrasqueiro, R. (2023). Constraints and stimuli in the implementation of public accounting reform: systematic literature review and future research agenda. Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change, 20(3), 560-592. https://doi.org/10.1108/jaoc-01-2022-0018

Pärl, Ü., Paemurru, E., Paemurru, K., & Kivisoo, H. (2020). Dialogical turn of accounting and accountability integrated reporting in non-profit and public-sector organisations. Journal of Public Budgeting Accounting & Financial Management, 34(1), 27-51. https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbafm-11-2019-0178

Saraite-Sariene, L., Alonso-Cañadas, J., Galán-Valdivieso, F., & Pérez, M. (2019). Non-financial information versus financial as a key to the stakeholder engagement: a higher education perspective. Sustainability, 12(1), 331. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010331

Slama, F. and Jandoubi, M. (2024). Ipsas adoption, public governance and corruption perception in mena and sub-saharan africa countries. Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-12-2023-0773

Twyford, E. (2022). Crisis accountability and aged “care” during covid-19. Meditari Accountancy Research, 31(1), 27-51. https://doi.org/10.1108/medar-05-2021-1296

Twyford, E. and Abbas, R. (2022). Broadening the boundaries of accounting: a call for interdisciplinarity in the calculative era. Meditari Accountancy Research, 31(1), 187-211. https://doi.org/10.1108/medar-06-2021-1338

Vollmer, H., Helden, J., & Steccolini, I. (2024). New development: keeping up with accounting in society—public sector challenges. Public Money & Management, 44(8), 733-736. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2024.2364451

Adhariani, D. (2024). Surplus Indonesia: Tackling food waste through app. *Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies*, 14(4), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1108/eemcs-04-2024-0190