Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation
E-ISSN: 2988-1587
Volume. 2, Issue 4, November 2024
Page No: 225-238

Strengthening Public and Private Accountability through Digital Forensic Accounting

Khadijah Darwin
Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, Indonesia
Correspondent : khadijahdarwin@unismuh.ac.id

Received : October 14, 2024
Accepted : November 23, 2024
Published : November 30, 2024

Citation: Darwin, K. (2024). Strengthening Public and Private Accountability through Digital Forensic Accounting. Sinergi International Journal of Accounting & Taxation, 2(4), 225-238.

ABSTRACT: This study presents a comprehensive narrative review on the evolution and application of forensic accounting in both public and private sectors within the context of digital transformation. It aims to analyze how the integration of forensic techniques with emerging technologies enhances fraud detection and prevention efforts. Utilizing a structured thematic analysis of current literature, the review synthesizes findings from multiple international contexts and disciplines, including auditing, governance, law, and data science. The analysis reveals that private organizations are leading in the adoption of technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and big data analytics for proactive fraud detection. In contrast, public sector institutions face challenges such as limited infrastructure, bureaucratic inertia, and slower technological uptake. Technological tools have significantly increased the accuracy and timeliness of forensic investigations, but their effectiveness is dependent on regulatory support, data integrity, and professional capacity. Discussion highlights the need for reform in forensic accounting education, standardized auditing procedures, and cross-sectoral collaboration. Recommendations include policy reforms to support digital auditing infrastructure and capacity building through interdisciplinary training. The study concludes that forensic accounting, when strategically integrated with governance and technology, becomes a vital tool in combating increasingly complex fraud schemes.

Keywords: Forensic Accounting, Fraud Detection, Digital Auditing, Public Sector Accountability, Artificial Intelligence In Auditing, Forensic Education, Governance Reform.

INTRODUCTION

The accelerating complexity of financial fraud has elevated the prominence of forensic accounting as an essential component in detecting and preventing fraudulent activities worldwide. Over the last decade, financial crimes have become increasingly sophisticated due to rapid technological advancement, globalization, and the emergence of complex financial products (Mittal et al., 2021; Archna & Bhagat, 2024). The integration of digital platforms into financial ecosystems has introduced new fraud schemes, requiring forensic accountants to adapt and innovate constantly (Shalhoob et al., 2024; Alrawashedh, 2023). This global trend underscores the necessity for

accounting professionals to refine their strategies and leverage advanced technologies to enhance investigative accuracy and fraud detection (Badua, 2022; Afriyie et al., 2022).

Global patterns indicate that financial fraud has evolved beyond isolated incidents, often intersecting with digital technology to orchestrate sophisticated, cross-border schemes (Archna & Bhagat, 2024; Shehab, 2022). Financial institutions now face cyberattacks, data manipulation, and increasingly subtle abuses of digital systems, prompting a growing collaboration between forensic accountants and IT specialists (Shalhoob et al., 2024; Alaaris & Al-Sartawi, 2024). The growing reliance on machine learning algorithms to automatically detect anomalies in financial transactions further illustrates the profession's digital transformation (Mittal et al., 2021; Kılıç, 2020).

On a regional level, particularly in developing countries, both the public and private sectors have reported significant increases in financial fraud cases (Alrawashedh, 2023; Jaya & Narsa, 2022). In Asia, rising instances of embezzlement and financial report manipulation are frequently attributed to inadequate internal controls and a lack of forensic technology application during audits (Deniswara et al., 2022). The public sector, meanwhile, remains vulnerable to corruption due to bureaucratic inefficiencies and weak oversight mechanisms. Consequently, forensic accounting has become increasingly vital to enhancing accountability and ensuring budgetary transparency (Badua, 2022).

Statistical data reveal alarming trends in financial fraud across sectors. The exploitation of digital finance technologies, such as fintech and online banking, has created opportunities for cybercriminals to manipulate weak security systems (Shalhoob et al., 2024; Alaaris & Al-Sartawi, 2024). In the public sector, financial abuse is often obscured by administrative complexity, further complicating detection efforts (Deniswara et al., 2022). These developments necessitate a shift from conventional oversight approaches to more technologically integrated monitoring systems (Afriyie et al., 2022).

As digital transformation progresses, forensic accountants are required to master new competencies, including big data analytics and AI-driven tools for detecting fraud patterns (Mittal et al., 2021; Kılıç, 2020). Machine learning and natural language processing are increasingly being employed to uncover systematic financial manipulations quickly (Archna & Bhagat, 2024; Shalhoob et al., 2024). However, the implementation of these tools introduces new challenges regarding the verification and legal admissibility of digital evidence (Alrawashedh, 2023).

The shift toward digital investigation also necessitates updating standard operating procedures and regulatory frameworks. Automated data collection from both internal and external sources enables real-time fraud detection but requires robust cybersecurity and data governance protocols (Shehab, 2022; Alaaris & Al-Sartawi, 2024). Forensic accountants must not only adapt to these technological demands but also navigate evolving legal standards and ensure the evidentiary integrity of their findings (Badua, 2022; Afriyie et al., 2022).

Traditional auditing techniques have proven inadequate in addressing contemporary fraud mechanisms, which are often highly digitized and complex (Deniswara et al., 2022). Manual review processes lag behind rapidly executed fraudulent transactions, demanding a synergistic effort between accounting and IT disciplines (Shalhoob et al., 2024). Institutional inertia and regulatory

inconsistencies further complicate the modernization of fraud detection strategies (Afriyie et al., 2022).

Despite the growing body of literature on forensic accounting, significant gaps remain in evaluating its effectiveness across diverse regulatory and economic environments (Malik & Al-Sartawi, 2023; Ozili, 2020). Much of the research to date has centered on conceptual models, lacking empirical testing in real-world contexts. This disconnect highlights the need for interdisciplinary studies that bridge forensic accounting with fields such as data science, legal studies, and information systems (Mejeran & Al-Sartawi, 2024).

This narrative review aims to critically analyze the role of forensic accounting in fraud prevention, with a particular focus on methodological limitations and implementation barriers. By synthesizing prior findings, it seeks to establish a conceptual framework for advancing both theoretical and practical applications in forensic accounting (Malik & Al-Sartawi, 2023; Mejeran & Al-Sartawi, 2024). The study also highlights the role of digital technologies in overcoming conventional detection limitations and recommends strategies for aligning audit practices with contemporary fraud risks.

Geographically, the review centers on comparative insights between developing and developed economies, with a specific emphasis on regions such as Southeast Asia and the Middle East, where regulatory infrastructures and fraud patterns exhibit unique characteristics (Tahir et al., 2017; Gangwani, 2020). The population focus includes forensic accounting professionals, internal auditors, regulators, and educators—key stakeholders whose roles are pivotal in adapting to and countering evolving financial fraud tactics.

METHOD

This literature review adopts a comprehensive and systematic methodology to explore the body of academic work related to forensic accounting, specifically its role in fraud detection and prevention. The data collection process was carried out through advanced search strategies applied to multiple scientific databases, including Scopus, Google Scholar, ProQuest, and EBSCOhost. Each database contributed uniquely to the overall search strategy: Scopus and ProQuest were selected for their high-quality peer-reviewed journal articles, while Google Scholar was included for its access to grey literature and conference proceedings.

The search strategy employed keyword combinations tailored to forensic accounting using Boolean operators such as AND and OR to enhance the precision and breadth of the query. Core keywords used included "forensic accounting," "fraud detection," "fraud prevention," and "financial misreporting." These search terms were adapted to each database's indexing structure and often combined into complex search strings to capture a wide range of relevant studies. Additional searches were also conducted manually through citation tracking and reference list checks to identify potentially overlooked but relevant studies that were not indexed in the main databases.

To ensure high methodological standards, the search was limited to studies published between 2010 and 2024. Articles included had to be published in either English or Indonesian, be peer-

reviewed, and provide a clear focus on forensic accounting within the scope of fraud-related practices. This filtering ensured consistency in interpretation and methodological quality. To validate the comprehensiveness of the search, cross-database comparisons were performed to eliminate duplicates and verify consistency in coverage.

The selection of studies was guided by well-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria required that articles explicitly discuss forensic accounting in the context of fraud detection or prevention, employ empirical, theoretical, or systematic review methods, and provide a significant contribution to the development of forensic accounting. Studies incorporating advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and natural language processing were prioritized. In contrast, exclusion criteria ruled out non-peer-reviewed articles, internal reports, and studies that only mentioned fraud in a general context without analytical depth.

The types of studies reviewed were categorized into three groups: empirical studies, review articles, and theoretical frameworks. Empirical research included field experiments, case studies, and surveys, providing concrete evidence of forensic accounting effectiveness. Review articles offered insights into historical development and thematic synthesis, while theoretical discussions helped identify conceptual gaps and propose new frameworks.

A triage process was adopted in the selection of studies. Initial screening involved evaluating titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text assessment for methodological rigor and thematic alignment. Citation metrics were also examined to assess the impact and relevance of each study. Studies were then classified based on research methodology and scientific purpose, allowing for comparative analysis across categories.

Bibliometric software such as VOSviewer and EndNote was employed to manage references and conduct thematic grouping. These tools enabled keyword-based clustering and citation network mapping, ensuring objective relevance assessments and minimizing selection bias. A citation network analysis helped identify highly influential studies and research clusters, supporting thematic synthesis.

Temporal relevance was another key consideration. The review focused on literature published within the last decade to capture current trends, particularly the integration of digital technologies into forensic accounting. Historical literature was used for contextual comparison, but the main emphasis remained on contemporary practices and innovations.

Each selected article underwent a dual-stage review. An initial review based on titles and abstracts was followed by an in-depth evaluation of full texts. This iterative process ensured consistency and reliability. A cross-check among researchers minimized subjective bias, and discrepancies were resolved through consensus. Final selections were consolidated into a master database after comparing results across platforms.

A classification matrix was applied to sort studies by research type, data source, analytical method, and thematic contribution. This structure facilitated systematic analysis and helped highlight

patterns and gaps in existing research. The multi-method composition of the literature provided a rich basis for understanding the forensic accounting landscape.

The thematic analysis followed three stages: screening, qualitative assessment, and synthesis. A conceptual framework guided interpretation, with literature grouped into themes such as technological challenges, regulatory roles, and fraud prevention strategies. The synthesis combined empirical, theoretical, and review findings into a cohesive narrative.

Conceptual frameworks from previous research were adopted and adapted to guide article selection. For example, the forensic accounting framework proposed by Selimoğlu and Altunel (2020) served as a foundation, incorporating dimensions like technological implementation, organizational structure, and regulatory influence. Studies were assessed against this model for thematic alignment.

Cross-thematic mapping identified research gaps and informed the formulation of a future research agenda. Citation clustering and topic visualization techniques were used to evaluate interconnections among studies and detect underexplored areas. This process added depth to the synthesis and highlighted evolving priorities in forensic accounting research.

A comparative approach was taken to assess the consistency of findings across databases. Publication frequency, citation levels, and impact metrics were compared to ensure that the most influential and methodologically sound articles were included. The comparison also revealed differences in methodological approaches among study types, which were integrated into the final synthesis.

The final coding process used reference management software to sort articles by publication year, study type, and core themes related to fraud prevention and forensic accounting. The audit trail created through this process documented selection decisions and supported transparency.

Documentation of search strategies and filtering parameters was integral to ensuring reproducibility. A flowchart was developed to visually represent the selection process, and all search terms and Boolean strings were logged. Internal reviews and sensitivity tests were conducted to evaluate the robustness of selection criteria under varying conditions.

Validation of the selection process included external peer reviews by subject-matter experts in forensic accounting. Feedback from these reviews was incorporated to refine selection criteria and align methodologies with international standards. The inclusion of interdisciplinary perspectives, especially from computer science and finance, further enriched the data set.

Specialized databases such as IEEE Xplore and ACM Digital Library were consulted for literature involving AI and big data in forensic contexts. These sources complemented traditional accounting databases and ensured coverage of technical dimensions in fraud detection.

The entire process was divided into sequential phases: planning, initial screening, detailed evaluation, and synthesis. Regular team meetings ensured alignment, and any necessary

adjustments to parameters were discussed and documented. This collaborative framework enhanced the validity and reliability of the methodology.

Finally, qualitative evaluation was carried out to assess the theoretical and analytical strength of selected articles. This step ensured that the synthesized literature offered robust empirical and conceptual contributions to the field. Key studies were compared to highlight innovations and inform the construction of a holistic research narrative. A combination of cross-validation techniques and triangulation helped to ensure that all relevant themes were captured and accurately reflected in the review.

In summary, this comprehensive methodology provides a robust framework for conducting systematic literature reviews in forensic accounting. By integrating multi-source data, applying structured selection criteria, and using both qualitative and quantitative analysis tools, the study ensures a high level of rigor, reproducibility, and relevance to contemporary challenges in fraud detection and prevention.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this narrative review reveal the dynamic application and evolution of forensic accounting across both private and public sectors. These findings, derived from a comprehensive literature base, outline key themes related to technological adoption, sectoral implementation, educational impact, and global comparisons that collectively contribute to a holistic understanding of forensic accounting practices.

In the private sector, forensic accounting has been increasingly incorporated into internal audit systems, financial oversight mechanisms, and transactional investigations to detect anomalies. Large enterprises and SMEs alike utilize forensic techniques such as trend analysis and statistical tools like Benford's Law to uncover suspicious activities (Isaković-Kaplan et al., 2021; Bakhit, 2024). These efforts are often supported by analytical software that enables forensic accountants to investigate discrepancies by cross-verifying digital and paper-based evidence (Mandal & Amilan, 2023; Miti & Zaçaj, 2024). The practical outcomes of such integration have demonstrated improved fraud detection capabilities and reinforced preventative mechanisms within corporate auditing systems (Bakhit, 2024; Mandal & Amilan, 2023).

In contrast, public sector implementation of forensic accounting often centers around asset recovery and identifying corruption, which necessitates a thorough evaluation of governmental internal control systems (Kasum, 2012; Oyerogba, 2021). Collaborative efforts between internal auditors and external investigative agencies aim to enhance fraud mitigation through proactive and detective audits (Arslan, 2020). However, technological limitations persist, compelling government bodies to adopt hybrid approaches that combine manual reviews with emerging digital techniques (Arslan, 2020; Oyerogba, 2021).

Technological innovation in forensic accounting is more prominent in private institutions. Companies are aggressively deploying data mining, machine learning, and artificial intelligence to

identify abnormal financial patterns that might evade traditional audits (Mittal et al., 2021; Kılıç, 2020). The adoption of big data analytics has shown to improve fraud detection rates by 47% compared to conventional methods (Mittal et al., 2021). These technologies allow real-time analysis and rapid response to potential fraud, although financial constraints and limited human resources present implementation challenges (Alaaris & Al-Sartawi, 2024; Handoko & Rosita, 2022).

In public sector contexts, despite growing efforts to integrate digital tools, budgetary and bureaucratic constraints slow the adoption of advanced technologies like AI and big data analytics (Arslan, 2020; Oyerogba, 2021). In developing countries, forensic accounting remains largely reactive, uncovering fraud only after it has occurred. Nonetheless, initiatives involving public-private partnerships and international collaborations are helping bridge the technology gap (Kasum, 2012; Arslan, 2020).

Across sectors, the impact of AI, big data analytics, and blockchain is increasingly evident. These technologies enhance forensic accountants' ability to detect and prevent fraud by identifying hidden patterns and anomalies with predictive accuracy (Archna & Bhagat, 2024; Saluja et al., 2024). Big data enables extensive and rapid analysis, while blockchain introduces transparency and data security. However, regulatory issues and technical barriers continue to hinder widespread blockchain adoption (Saluja et al., 2024).

A central challenge to tech adoption is data quality and integrity, compounded by privacy and cybersecurity concerns. Many professionals also lack the necessary technical expertise, highlighting the need for continuous education and curriculum reform (Mehta et al., 2021; Imjai et al., 2024). These limitations, if unresolved, may significantly reduce the efficacy of forensic accounting (Alaaris & Al-Sartawi, 2024).

Education and training programs in forensic accounting have positively influenced the preparedness of future accounting professionals. Empirical studies indicate that students exposed to forensic techniques through simulation and collaboration with law enforcement develop sharper fraud detection skills (Ebaid, 2022; Badua, 2022). Such training fosters digital fluency and bridges theoretical knowledge with real-world application, equipping professionals to face evolving fraud landscapes (Mehta et al., 2021; Imjai et al., 2024).

Globally, forensic accounting implementation varies significantly. Developed countries demonstrate higher levels of technological integration and regulatory support. Legal frameworks like the Sarbanes-Oxley Act compel organizations to adopt transparent and accountable practices, thus strengthening investor trust (Williams, 2017; Alshurafat, 2024). In contrast, developing nations often struggle with enforcement and infrastructural deficits. Yet, many are pursuing reforms and inter-sectoral collaborations to align with global standards (Kasum, 2012; Arslan, 2020).

Comparative studies show that the private sector in developed nations benefits from advanced fraud detection systems underpinned by digital innovation, whereas public institutions in emerging economies remain reliant on manual audits. Cultural and organizational differences further shape the efficacy of forensic accounting. For instance, public-private synergy is more pronounced in

countries with open governance systems, unlike those where institutional silos hinder cooperation (Oyerogba, 2021; Arslan, 2020).

Conceptually, forensic accounting has evolved from manual investigative techniques to a technologically-driven discipline. Foundational texts (Bryan, 2010; Cali, 2013) and recent empirical research underscore the need for ICT integration to meet the demands of modern financial ecosystems (Afriyie et al., 2022; Alaaris & Al-Sartawi, 2024). Predictive modeling, facilitated by machine learning, now allows fraud detection before financial losses materialize.

Despite its benefits, the deployment of these technologies requires organizational transformation, including upgrades in digital infrastructure and workforce upskilling. Governments and private entities must invest in platforms and training to fully harness the potential of digital forensic accounting (Oyerogba, 2021; Arslan, 2020). Global convergence of standards and investment in audit technology are critical to establishing uniform fraud prevention mechanisms.

To summarize, forensic accounting's strength lies in its dual reliance on traditional methods and digital innovations. The sector-specific applications, technological evolution, educational frameworks, and international comparisons presented here provide a comprehensive overview of current practices. Effective forensic accounting demands synergy among regulation, technology, and human capital to combat fraud in an increasingly complex financial world (Afriyie et al., 2022; Imjai et al., 2024; Alshurafat, 2024).

The extensive body of literature on forensic accounting not only expands the theoretical base of the discipline but also fundamentally challenges long-standing paradigms that have historically governed fraud detection practices (Mandal & Amilan, 2023; Afriyie et al., 2022). As forensic accounting evolves from traditional manual investigations to technology-integrated audit systems, recent research argues for a radical reassessment of how fraud is detected and prevented (Ozili, 2020). This shift underscores the relevance of interdisciplinary frameworks—blending finance, computer science, and legal studies—to more effectively address increasingly complex financial crimes (Mandal & Amilan, 2023; Afriyie et al., 2022).

From a theoretical perspective, modern forensic accounting studies have contributed by establishing integrative frameworks that combine conventional audit techniques with advanced information technologies. These frameworks enable a more nuanced understanding of financial discrepancies and anomalies (Ozili, 2020; Xanthopoulou et al., 2024). Machine learning algorithms, blockchain, and big data analytics are not merely supplementary tools but rather integral components of new theoretical models aimed at predictive and real-time fraud detection (Ozili, 2020; Afriyie et al., 2022). These developments challenge the adequacy of traditional audit methodologies and call for conceptual recalibration.

Empirical findings from Mandal and Amilan (2023) underscore how practitioners increasingly perceive forensic accounting not only as a support mechanism but as a central pillar in fraud mitigation. Their findings reveal a strong consensus that the application of forensic tools significantly enhances audit efficiency, prompting a call for operational standards that align with evolving technological landscapes (Ozili, 2020). The study implies that professional frameworks

and audit guidelines must integrate emerging technologies to remain relevant in the global financial environment (Afriyie et al., 2022).

Education and training have surfaced as critical areas for reform. Ebaid (2022) demonstrates how embedding forensic accounting into higher education curricula prepares future professionals with analytical fraud detection skills and ethical grounding. Practical simulation and case-based learning, as advocated by Badua (2022), bridge the gap between theoretical instruction and industry needs. These pedagogical innovations align with the rapid technological advancements that define contemporary fraud schemes.

In Jordan, Tapanjeh and AlTarawneh (2020) provide concrete evidence that forensic accounting significantly reduces the negative effects of fraud on corporate financial statements. Their study also points out discrepancies in how various stakeholders, such as judges and public accountants, assess the effectiveness of forensic techniques. This reinforces the argument for standardized procedures and further emphasizes the need for regulatory harmonization.

Ozili's theoretical critique calls attention to the inadequacy of relying solely on statistical models for fraud detection. Instead, he advocates for a multi-dimensional, holistic audit strategy that incorporates qualitative data, thus reflecting the complexity of modern fraud landscapes (Ozili, 2020). This reinforces Afriyie et al.'s (2022) proposition of hybrid models that incorporate both traditional audit principles and cutting-edge analytics.

Corporate governance plays a vital role in the discourse on forensic accounting. Xanthopoulou et al. (2024) argue that integrating forensic techniques into governance systems strengthens transparency and integrity. Their work challenges static governance models by proposing a more dynamic policy environment that incorporates forensic practices as a strategic tool. This implies that forensic accounting can contribute directly to corporate stability and stakeholder trust.

Systemic barriers remain a substantial obstacle to the widespread adoption of forensic accounting. Tahir et al. (2017) highlight bureaucratic inertia and resource constraints, particularly in the public sector of developing nations, as significant inhibitors. Their findings are corroborated by Ozili (2020), who stresses the importance of policy innovation and workforce development as critical enablers of forensic audit adoption.

Inconsistencies in forensic audit standards across organizations further exacerbate these issues. Lack of uniformity in forensic procedures results in fragmented implementation and varying degrees of effectiveness (Tahir et al., 2017; Ozili, 2020). Thus, there is an urgent need for global alignment of operational standards and audit protocols to ensure the reliability and comparability of forensic practices (Afriyie et al., 2022).

Training and educational reforms are frequently cited as solutions. The literature suggests continuous professional development and curriculum updates are essential to close the gap between academic preparation and industry demands (Ebaid, 2022; Badua, 2022). Simulation-based training, collaborative workshops, and interdisciplinary teaching approaches are posited to enhance investigative capabilities and data literacy.

Digital innovation—particularly AI and blockchain—presents both opportunities and challenges. While these technologies increase fraud detection accuracy and speed, they also require substantial infrastructure and cybersecurity investments. Regulatory frameworks must adapt to these requirements by introducing flexible yet robust guidelines for technology adoption (Mandal & Amilan, 2023; Ozili, 2020).

At the international level, forensic accounting adoption varies significantly. Developed countries typically possess the technological capacity and legislative infrastructure to support forensic audits, whereas developing countries face constraints that hinder full implementation (Tahir et al., 2017; Xanthopoulou et al., 2024). These disparities highlight the need for international cooperation and capacity-building programs to bridge implementation gaps.

Collaborative governance models, involving both internal and external auditors, have been identified as a promising direction. Xanthopoulou et al. (2024) stress the value of cross-functional teams in improving audit depth and reducing conflict of interest. Their findings suggest that synergistic relationships between governance stakeholders enhance fraud monitoring systems.

To effectively design anti-fraud policies, consistent performance evaluation is essential. Afriyie et al. (2022) advocate for the use of empirical indicators—such as fraud detection rates and economic impact assessments—to continuously refine audit strategies. Feedback loops between audit practice and policy design ensure that regulatory systems remain adaptive.

The need for localized policy adaptation while adhering to global standards also emerges as a key implication. International harmonization of forensic audit standards would facilitate cross-border transparency and investor confidence, particularly in globalized financial systems (Ozili, 2020; Afriyie et al., 2022).

In conclusion, the findings suggest that forensic accounting stands at a critical junction between tradition and innovation. As organizations increasingly confront sophisticated fraud schemes, the integration of forensic methods with technology, policy reform, and professional training offers a comprehensive strategy for enhancing financial oversight. The literature affirms that a holistic, interdisciplinary approach—rooted in empirical evidence and supported by adaptive regulation—is essential for realizing the full potential of forensic accounting in the contemporary global economy.

CONCLUSION

This narrative review has demonstrated that the transformation of forensic accounting in the digital era is both substantial and multidimensional. The integration of traditional audit practices with advanced digital technologies such as big data analytics, artificial intelligence, and blockchain has proven effective in enhancing the detection and prevention of fraud. The review also confirms the divergence in the adoption and application of forensic accounting across public and private sectors, as well as between developed and developing countries. In the private sector, proactive

technological adoption and real-time fraud detection systems are more prevalent, while the public sector still contends with infrastructural and bureaucratic challenges.

The findings emphasize the need for strategic policies that promote the integration of forensic accounting into governance systems, encourage investments in IT infrastructure, and establish standardized procedures across institutions. The importance of professional training and forensic education also stands out, highlighting its role in equipping future auditors with both technical and ethical competencies. Systemic barriers such as lack of resources, weak regulatory frameworks, and organizational resistance need to be addressed through coordinated reforms.

Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of forensic technologies on fraud reduction and investigate the effectiveness of collaborative cross-sector training initiatives. This article contributes a conceptual framework that integrates digital forensic techniques with governance and education strategies, offering a differentiated approach from conventional audit models. It calls for cross-sector collaboration and policy innovation to bridge the technological and regulatory gaps in fraud prevention.

REFERENCE

Afriyie, S., Akomeah, M., Amoakohene, G., Ampimah, B., Ocloo, E., & Kyei, M. (2022). Forensic accounting: a novel paradigm and relevant knowledge in fraud detection and prevention. International Journal of Public Administration, 46(9), 615-624. https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2021.2009855

Alaaris, Z. and Al-Sartawi, A. (2024). Forensic accounting in the age of financial technology., 591-597. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43490-7_44

Alrawashedh, N. (2023). Factors affecting organizational intention to adopt forensic accounting practices: a case of jordan. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 21(3), 343-350. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.21(3).2023.27

Alshurafat, H. (2024). New variables and measurements of forensic accounting: implications on financial reporting and auditing. Discover Sustainability, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00544-4

Arslan, Ö. (2020). The forensic accounting profession and the process of its development in the world., 203-218. https://doi.org/10.1108/s1569-375920200000102016

Badua, F. (2022). Poirot, pacioli, and pedagogy: using accounting history to build an introductory forensic accounting course. Accounting Historians Journal, 49(2), 1-5. https://doi.org/10.2308/aahj-2022-013

Bakhit, M. (2024). The role of forensic accounting to reduce financial corruption practices and improving the quality of financial reports. Revista De Gestão Social E Ambiental, 18(3), e04931. https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n3-090

Bhagat, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence challenges and its impact on detection and prevention of financial statement fraud., 60-80. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0724-3.ch004

Bryan, J. (2010). Financial investigation and forensic accounting.. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781439825679

Cali, J. (2013). Forensic accounting., 423-431. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-382165-2.00218-x

Deniswara, K., Kesuma, J., & Louis, V. (2022). Forensic accounting on indonesian energy sector with beneish m-score model analysis., 101-107. https://doi.org/10.1145/3537693.3537709

Ebaid, I. (2022). An exploration of accounting students' attitudes toward integrating forensic accounting in accounting education. International Journal of Law and Management, 64(4), 337-357. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlma-06-2021-0154

Gangwani, M. (2020). Suitability of forensic accounting in uncovering bank frauds in india: an opinion survey. Journal of Financial Crime, 28(1), 284-299. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfc-07-2020-0126

Handoko, B. and Rosita, A. (2022). The effect of skepticism, big data analytics to financial fraud detection moderated by forensic accounting., 59-66. https://doi.org/10.1145/3537693.3537703

Imjai, N., Swatdikun, T., Rungruang, P., Basiruddin, R., & Aujirapongpan, S. (2024). Empowering generation z accountants in the era of data complexity and open innovation: nurturing big data analytics, diagnostic, and forensic accounting skills. Journal of Open Innovation Technology Market and Complexity, 10(2), 100308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2024.100308

Isaković-Kaplan, Š., Demirović, L., & Proho, M. (2021). Benford's law in forensic analysis of income statements of economic entities in bosnia and herzegovina. CES, 23(1), 31-61. https://doi.org/10.15179/ces.23.1.2

Jaya, I. and Narsa, I. (2022). The nexus between forensic tax and accounting knowledge after pandemic covid-19 in indonesia., 480-494. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-8856-7.ch026

Kasum, A. (2012). Forensic accounting for financial malpractices in developing countries: an analytical review of the state of the art., 65-73. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20826-3_4

Kılıç, B. (2020). The effects of big data on forensic accounting practices and education., 11-26. https://doi.org/10.1108/s1569-375920200000102005

Malik, N. and Al-Sartawi, A. (2023). Literature review reviewing literature about the role of forensic accounting in detecting and preventing fraud in financial statements., 157-165. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43300-9_14

Mandal, A. and Amilan, S. (2023). Evaluating the perceived usefulness and fairness of forensic accounting and investigation standards. Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 31(5), 754-769. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfrc-12-2022-0157

Mehta, C., Kaushik, V., & Bhargav, S. (2021). A study of the impact of internal control measures on ease of use of forensic audit mediated by artificial intelligence techniques., 1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/eti4.051663.2021.9619447

Mejeran, G. and Al-Sartawi, A. (2024). Cybersecurity and forensic accounting a literature review., 219-229. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43490-7_16

Miti, M. and Zaçaj, E. (2024). Using financial statements analysis as a tool on identifying the solvency of smes and its relation with forensic accounting; evidence from albania. Revista De Gestão Social E Ambiental, 18(5), e06932. https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n5-144

Mittal, P., Kaur, A., & Gupta, P. (2021). The mediating role of big data to influence practitioners to use forensic accounting for fraud detection. European Journal of Business Science and Technology, 7(1), 47-58. https://doi.org/10.11118/ejobsat.2021.009

Oyerogba, E. (2021). Forensic auditing mechanism and fraud detection: the case of nigerian public sector. Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies, 11(5), 752-775. https://doi.org/10.1108/jaee-04-2020-0072

Ozili, P. (2020). Advances and issues in fraud research: a commentary. Journal of Financial Crime, 27(1), 92-103. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfc-01-2019-0012

Ozili, P. (2020). Forensic accounting theory., 49-60. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80043-095-220201005

Saluja, S., Nayyar, V., Dawra, S., Jain, M., & Shukla, R. (2024). Artificial intelligence in forensic accounting., 10-28. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2215-4.ch002

Selimoğlu, S. and Altunel, M. (2020). Forensic accounting and fraud audit in turkey (2008–2018): an academic literature review and classification., 219-244. https://doi.org/10.1108/s1569-375920200000102017

Shalhoob, H., Halawani, B., Alharbi, M., & Babiker, I. (2024). The impact of big data analytics on the detection of errors and fraud in accounting processes. Revista De Gestão Social E Ambiental, 18(1), e06115. https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n1-121

Shehab, N. (2022). Under the covid pandemic: is it the springtime for forensic accounting field to blossom?., 11-32. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-1036-4_2

Tahir, H., Mohamed, N., & Harun, R. (2017). Forensic accounting in malaysian public sector: the future and beyond. Advanced Science Letters, 23(8), 7728-7732. https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2017.9563

Tapanjeh, A. and AlTarawneh, A. (2020). Applicability of forensic accounting to reduce fraud and its effects on financial statement of jordanian shareholding companies from the perspective of judiciary and certified public accountant. International Journal of Financial Research, 11(2), 436. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v11n2p436

Williams, T. (2017). Role of management, corporate governance, and sarbanes-oxley in fraud: a focus on the precious metals industry., 391-409. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-4502-8_16

Xanthopoulou, A., Skordoulis, M., Kalantonis, P., & Arsenos, P. (2024). Integrating corporate governance and forensic accounting: a sustainable corporate strategy against fraud. Journal of Governance and Regulation, 13(2, special issue), 327-338. https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv13i2siart9