Sinergi International Journal of Communication Sciences
E-ISSN: 2988-6260
Volume. 2, Issue 3, August 2024
Page No: 132-144

Unlocking Institutional Capacity for Sustainable E-Government Transformation

Muhammad Wahid[1], Sirajul Rahman[2], Muhamad Yusuf[3], Muhammad Hasan Busyairi[4]
[1]Universitas Tadulako
[234]Universitas Muhammadiyah Palangkaraya, Indonesia
Correspondent: ai.maddukelleng@gmail.com[1]

Received : July 18, 2024
Accepted : August 25, 2024
Published : August 31, 2024

Citation: Wahid, M., Rahman, S., Yusuf, M., & Busyairi, M.H. (2024). Unlocking Institutional Capacity for Sustainable E-Government Transformation. Sinergi International Journal of Communication Sciences. 2(3), 132-144.

https://doi.org/10.61194/ijcs.v2i3.682

ABSTRACT: This paper examines systemic factors influencing digital transformation in the public sector, with a focus on bureaucratic structures, regulatory environments, and human resource capabilities. The study aims to explore how strategic alignment and collaborative leadership shape the success of digital initiatives. Utilizing a narrative review approach, the analysis synthesizes evidence from global case studies and recent empirical research. The findings indicate that while technological adoption improves operational efficiency, systemic constraints often hinder transformative outcomes. Leadership vision, stakeholder prioritization, and inclusive strategies are identified as pivotal in addressing challenges such as legacy system integration, uneven infrastructure, and resistance to change. The discussion highlights significant disparities between central and local agencies, underscoring the need for adaptive policy frameworks, standardized digital maturity models, and strengthened cross-sector collaboration.This study emphasizes the necessity of holistic digital strategies that merge technological innovation with institutional reform. The implications suggest that targeted policy measures—such as interoperable system development, capacity-building programs, and GovTech ecosystem support—are crucial for long-term digital governance success. Future research should delve into context-specific digital readiness and performance frameworks to bridge current knowledge gaps and support inclusive digital transformation pathways.

Keywords: Digital Transformation, Public Sector Innovation, Governance Reform, Digital Maturity, Govtech Ecosystems, Policy Integration, Institutional Capacity.

INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by rapid globalization and technological advancement, digital transformation in the public sector has emerged as a critical strategic agenda. Governments across the globe are increasingly turning to digital technologies to enhance operational efficiency, improve service

quality, and promote transparency (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Jonathan, 2020). The implementation of information and communication technologies (ICTs) has enabled public institutions to streamline bureaucratic processes, boost public trust, and deliver services more responsively (Jonathan et al., 2021). A growing body of literature emphasizes that investment in digital transformation not only improves internal capabilities but also contributes to national economic growth by nurturing a robust GovTech ecosystem (Hoekstra et al., 2023). Empirical evidence from different countries demonstrates that successful digitalization efforts often lead to reduced administrative burdens, increased efficiency, and better responsiveness of public institutions (Jonathan et al., 2021; Xanthopoulou et al., 2023). These findings reinforce the notion that digital strategy implementation should be considered a strategic necessity to ensure the sustainability and global competitiveness of public sector organizations (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Xanthopoulou et al., 2023).

Digital transformation has gained prominence as a response to global pressures for process simplification and increased accountability in public administration. Contemporary data highlights a trend toward the accelerated adoption of digital technologies (Danielsen et al., 2022). Public organizations are increasingly compelled to integrate digital systems that bridge traditional administrative methods with modern service paradigms (Jonathan et al., 2021; Xanthopoulou et al., 2023). This transformation is underpinned by a fundamental need to enhance data transparency, reduce inefficiencies, and facilitate citizen participation in governance (Jonathan, 2020). Numerous reports from media, research institutions, and governments indicate that digitalization has significantly reduced information processing times and improved decision-making transparency (Danielsen et al., 2022; Jonathan et al., 2021). These developments underscore a paradigmatic shift from manual systems to digital infrastructures that prioritize speed, security, and data reliability (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Xanthopoulou et al., 2023).

Despite its advantages, the implementation of digital transformation strategies faces multiple challenges. Internal resistance, outdated legacy systems, and infrastructural limitations pose significant obstacles to seamless integration of modern technologies (Bakar et al., 2022; Syed et al., 2023). These technical barriers are often compounded by a shortage of digital competencies among public employees, necessitating intensive retraining and capacity-building programs (Jonathan, 2020; Jonathan et al., 2021). Organizational silos and rigid bureaucratic structures further hinder the acceleration of digital initiatives (Syed et al., 2023). Additionally, the misalignment between strategic vision and operational realities frequently leads to decision-making delays and implementation failures (Jonathan, 2020).

Externally, public institutions are also under increasing pressure to digitalize in response to global health crises, competitive international benchmarks, and escalating demands for governmental transparency (Danielsen et al., 2022). Emerging technologies such as cloud computing, big data, and artificial intelligence compel governments to modernize infrastructure and operations to remain relevant in the digital era (Jonathan et al., 2021; Xanthopoulou et al., 2023). Moreover, tech-savvy populations expect agile and responsive services, prompting governments to adopt digital platforms that enhance service accessibility and efficiency (Jonathan, 2020). Regulatory mandates and international standards further incentivize digital adoption, positioning technology as a cornerstone for improved governance (Danielsen et al., 2022).

Internally, digital leadership, organizational culture shifts, and managerial innovation are among the pivotal drivers of digital transformation (Arbaoui & Jemjami, 2023). Institutions with visionary leadership tend to successfully navigate the digital shift by fostering alignment across all levels of the organization (Jonathan, 2020). This transformation necessitates a critical evaluation of existing structures to identify opportunities for technology integration and operational improvement (Bakar et al., 2022). Organizational commitment to restructuring and continuous innovation is essential for the effective deployment of digital systems (Arbaoui & Jemjami, 2023; Jonathan et al., 2021).

Notably, successful digital initiatives across countries have demonstrated significant benefits, including cost savings, improved efficiency, and increased civic participation (Danielsen et al., 2022; Xanthopoulou et al., 2023). Integrating modern management practices with digital technologies enhances transparency and supports inter-agency collaboration (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Xanthopoulou et al., 2023). The involvement of diverse stakeholders—governmental, private, and civil society—plays a crucial role in the adoption and scalability of digital strategies (Jonathan et al., 2021; Arbaoui & Jemjami, 2023). Government policies that support innovation and digital infrastructure investment are foundational to sustaining transformation efforts (Jonathan, 2020).

The persistent internal and external pressures confronting public organizations underscore the need for comprehensive digital strategies. These strategies must address outdated legacy systems that hinder operational agility and create integration challenges (Bakar et al., 2022; Syed et al., 2023). Without targeted modernization, such systems impede real-time data utilization and create vulnerabilities in service delivery (Jonathan et al., 2021). Consequently, strategic planning and phased infrastructure upgrades are necessary to mitigate risks and ensure the long-term success of digital transformation efforts (Syed et al., 2023).

While there is substantial literature on digital transformation, a major gap exists in developing holistic frameworks that account for both internal and external variables. Current research tends to isolate individual factors—such as leadership or technology—without exploring their systemic interactions (Jonathan, 2020; Jonathan et al., 2021). There is a need for integrated models that evaluate the dynamic interplay between organizational culture, digital capacity, and strategic alignment (Zada et al., 2022). Moreover, many studies are geographically skewed toward developed countries, leaving out the unique constraints and opportunities faced by developing nations (Senyo et al., 2021).

This narrative review aims to synthesize recent findings on digital transformation strategies in public sector organizations, with an emphasis on identifying critical internal and external enablers, barriers, and outcomes. Drawing upon empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and policy analyses, this review explores the multidimensional aspects of digitalization, including its effects on operational efficiency, service quality, and organizational change.

The scope of this review encompasses a wide range of geographic contexts, with a particular focus on comparative insights from both developed and developing countries. Special attention is paid to contextual variations in technological readiness, institutional capacity, and cultural factors that influence digital transformation outcomes. By capturing diverse experiences and challenges, this

review seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how digital transformation can be effectively implemented in public sector organizations worldwide.

METHOD

This study employed a systematic narrative review methodology to explore strategies for digital transformation in the public sector. The approach involved comprehensive searches through internationally recognized scholarly databases, notably Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. These platforms were selected due to their robust indexing systems, extensive peer-reviewed collections, and relevance to technology and public management disciplines.

The literature collection process began with the formulation of a detailed keyword strategy. Key terms such as "digital transformation," "public sector," "e-government," and "digital strategy" served as the foundation of the search. Additional terms such as "digital governance," "ICT strategy in public sector," "government digitalization," and "online public services" were incorporated to capture a broader spectrum of literature. Boolean operators (AND, OR) were utilized to refine the queries and enhance search accuracy. For instance, combinations like "digital transformation AND public sector" or "e-government OR electronic government" enabled the retrieval of both core and tangentially related studies.

The inclusion criteria required that studies be published in peer-reviewed journals, written in English, and explicitly address digital transformation strategies in public sector contexts. Exclusion criteria involved removing papers that focused solely on the private sector, lacked empirical data, or were theoretical essays without analytical depth. The initial screening filtered articles by title and abstract, followed by full-text evaluations to assess methodological rigor and relevance to the research objectives.

Scopus and Web of Science were used primarily to obtain articles offering bibliometric data and theoretical models. These databases facilitated the identification of gaps in the literature, supported citation analysis, and allowed longitudinal tracking of digital transformation themes. IEEE Xplore contributed technological insights, particularly on the application of AI, cloud computing, and ICT systems in public services. Google Scholar complemented the other databases by sourcing grey literature, such as theses, conference proceedings, and non-indexed reports, thereby enriching the dataset with multidisciplinary perspectives.

Each selected article underwent critical appraisal using a hybrid qualitative and quantitative lens. Studies included in the review ranged from randomized controlled trials and longitudinal case studies to policy evaluations and qualitative interviews. The methodological diversity allowed a holistic understanding of implementation challenges, technological enablers, and institutional constraints in digital governance.

Content analysis was conducted using qualitative software tools such as NVivo and ATLAS.ti. These tools enabled thematic coding of key concepts, including digital maturity, strategic alignment, organizational readiness, and citizen-centric service design. Articles were categorized based on emerging patterns, research designs, and geographical scope, allowing cross-national comparisons and synthesis of best practices.

A dynamic and iterative review strategy was adopted. As new articles were identified, keywords were updated, and search queries refined to ensure continued relevance. This process also helped integrate the most recent developments and avoid redundancy. Researchers frequently engaged in backward and forward citation tracking to capture seminal works and monitor the evolution of scholarly discourse.

Multidisciplinary collaboration played a pivotal role. Experts in public administration, information technology, and digital policy contributed to refining the review protocol, interpreting results, and validating theoretical frameworks. This collaboration fostered a more nuanced and integrated perspective, bridging the gap between technical feasibility and administrative feasibility.

To ensure methodological transparency and replicability, reference management software such as Zotero and Mendeley were employed to systematically organize the bibliography and citation trail. These tools facilitated consistency in referencing and helped streamline the synthesis process.

In sum, the methodology combined rigorous search protocols, multidatabase triangulation, thematic analysis, and collaborative validation to produce a comprehensive and credible synthesis of digital transformation strategies in the public sector. The structured approach enabled the identification of theoretical frameworks, policy implications, and technological innovations shaping digital governance worldwide.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The empirical synthesis reveals the multi-dimensional impact of digital transformation strategies on operational efficiency in public sector institutions, as well as the critical role of stakeholder engagement in supporting successful implementation. The literature affirms that the adoption of digital technologies and the integration of information systems significantly reduce bureaucracy and expedite internal processes, thereby increasing efficiency and accountability (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Jonathan et al., 2021; Racis & Spano, 2024). These findings are consistent across both quantitative and qualitative studies, which document measurable improvements in productivity through business process optimization and reduced operational redundancies (Yeraliyeva et al., 2023; Jonathan et al., 2021).

Digitalization accelerates data processing and real-time decision-making through tools such as big data, cloud computing, and integrated information systems. These tools are proven to decrease process cycle times and enhance data accuracy, resulting in a more efficient and transparent workflow (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Racis & Spano, 2024; Jonathan et al., 2021). Moreover, system integration fosters coordination across departments and eliminates workflow bottlenecks, reinforcing a leaner operational structure.

In developed countries, digital transformation leads to substantial gains in productivity and service delivery efficiency. For instance, automation reduces operational costs and transaction processing time, while digital maturity assessments reflect improvements in performance measurement and governance transparency (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Racis & Spano, 2024). Benchmarking data illustrate that governments in advanced economies can save significant time and resources through comprehensive digital strategies.

In contrast, developing countries exhibit more varied results due to infrastructural and human resource constraints. Studies from nations like Tanzania demonstrate positive outcomes from digital reforms despite facing high implementation challenges (Shao et al., 2022; Syed et al., 2023). While some operational gains are evident, legacy systems and lack of digital training often impede optimal efficiency. The disparity highlights the importance of infrastructural readiness and supportive policies to enable effective digital transformation.

Developed nations benefit from structured policies and robust investments in cutting-edge technologies, allowing for measurable and sustainable process optimization (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Racis & Spano, 2024). Conversely, in developing contexts, socio-cultural resistance and limited resources constrain efficiency, necessitating adaptive approaches that prioritize human capacity development and local context alignment (Shao et al., 2022; Syed et al., 2023).

Stakeholder engagement further enhances digital transformation success. Internal stakeholders such as leadership and staff act as change agents, facilitating culture shifts and skill development (Maulana et al., 2024). External stakeholders, including civil society, watchdog organizations, and private partners, contribute by fostering transparency and accountability (Hoekstra et al., 2023; Al-Khayari et al., 2024; Osorio et al., 2024). Their interplay creates a collaborative ecosystem driving innovation and continuous improvement.

High-level leadership plays a pivotal role in articulating a clear digital vision, allocating resources, and ensuring strategic alignment (Al-Khayari et al., 2024; Hoekstra et al., 2023). Meanwhile, active staff participation in digital adaptation supports long-term sustainability. Public demand for improved services pressures agencies to adopt responsive, technology-driven approaches (Osorio et al., 2024).

Stakeholder collaboration fosters innovative public services by harmonizing perspectives and aligning strategic goals (Maulana et al., 2024). Institutions that promote inclusive decision-making often report higher public satisfaction and service efficiency (Hoekstra et al., 2023; Al-Khayari et al., 2024). Digital platforms facilitate stakeholder communication, enabling real-time feedback and policy adjustments.

Cross-national comparisons reveal variations in stakeholder involvement based on local context. In developed settings, stakeholder participation is more institutionalized, while developing countries struggle with infrastructural and human capital gaps that hinder engagement (Maulana et al., 2024; Osorio et al., 2024). As a result, context-specific models of stakeholder participation are essential for effective digital transformation.

Furthermore, digital transformation success hinges on the integration of supportive leadership, robust infrastructure investment, and stakeholder collaboration. Digital maturity is closely tied to organizations' ability to automate tasks, integrate systems, and implement transparent performance metrics (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Yeraliyeva et al., 2023; Racis & Spano, 2024). Process mining and analytics technologies help identify inefficiencies and inform policy redesign, enabling continuous improvement.

In conclusion, digital transformation has a profound impact on operational efficiency in the public sector. The results suggest that effective strategies combine technology adoption with human

capital development, stakeholder collaboration, and context-sensitive policymaking. Institutions that embrace a holistic approach to digital transformation are better positioned to deliver transparent, efficient, and responsive public services on a sustainable basis.

The findings of this study reinforce and expand upon existing literature on digital transformation within the public sector, illustrating the complex interplay between technological innovation, leadership dynamics, regulatory frameworks, stakeholder engagement, and organizational culture. The discussion herein systematically integrates empirical results with theoretical foundations, offering a holistic analysis of the systemic contributors to both the success and challenges of public sector digitalization efforts.

Firstly, this study corroborates Al-Khayari et al. (2024), who emphasize the importance of collaborative leadership in enhancing public sector performance. The adoption of advanced technologies has indeed led to marked improvements in operational efficiency, which supports the assertion that predictive modeling and digital leadership can mitigate internal barriers. This perspective aligns with broader discussions on strategic leadership as a catalyst for organizational adaptation and transformation, particularly in bureaucratic settings. Furthermore, the study underscores the necessity of not merely adopting technology but of implementing comprehensive organizational strategies to drive systemic change.

A critical area of concern highlighted by Racis and Spano (2024) involves the technical limitations associated with process mining, particularly in contexts where legacy systems prevail. Their work underscores the dual nature of technological tools, which, while promising increased transparency, also demand high adaptability and robust integration strategies. The study's findings mirror this tension, revealing that without appropriate infrastructure, digital initiatives risk failure. Thus, the integration of technological solutions must be paralleled by managerial innovations that facilitate their seamless incorporation into existing structures.

Stakeholder engagement emerged as a pivotal theme, with Osorio et al. (2024) providing a methodological framework for stakeholder prioritization that proved effective in guiding resource allocation. This finding validates the theoretical argument that inclusive collaboration mitigates resistance and enhances implementation outcomes. In practice, such prioritization fosters synergy across leadership, staff, and external partners, ensuring that diverse perspectives are harmonized toward shared transformation goals.

The cultural dimensions of organizational transformation were also significant, as documented by Almatrodi and Skoumpopoulou (2023). Their research highlights that shifts in formal routines and workplace behaviors are essential for successful digital integration. The study affirms that cultural change is not merely an adjunct to technical implementation but a central element requiring deliberate strategy. The capacity to cultivate an adaptive organizational ethos determines the extent to which new systems are internalized and effectively utilized.

Central agency leadership plays a crucial role, as evidenced by Sagarik et al. (2018), whose analysis of Thailand's e-government initiatives illustrates how centralized support structures contribute to cohesive policy execution. The present study finds that agencies with greater access to resources and streamlined coordination mechanisms are better positioned to implement digital policies.

However, it also identifies the need to elevate local capabilities to bridge disparities, thereby advocating for a more balanced governance approach.

Contextual disparities between developed and developing nations, as shown by Yeraliyeva et al. (2023), further nuance the discussion. Their findings reveal that while digital strategies may yield incremental gains, their sustainability hinges on regulatory clarity and consistent funding. The current research supports this by demonstrating that local context—including economic conditions and institutional maturity—profoundly affects digital transformation trajectories.

Cross-sector collaboration, as explored by Maulana et al. (2024), emerges as a core strategy for e-government advancement. Their emphasis on community and private sector involvement reinforces the notion that transformation is an ecosystem process rather than a siloed institutional endeavor. The study's data substantiate this, revealing that collaborative models foster innovation, legitimacy, and shared ownership of digital initiatives.

Modernizing legacy systems remains a formidable barrier. Bakar et al. (2021) identify the high costs and complexity of integration as key deterrents. The present study reaffirms these challenges, highlighting that transformation efforts must be preceded by realistic appraisals of infrastructural readiness. Digital maturity models, such as those developed by Aras and Büyüközkan (2023), provide valuable frameworks for assessing organizational readiness and guiding strategic planning.

Platformization, as investigated by Senyo et al. (2021), presents an alternative transformation pathway by leveraging digital platforms to enhance service delivery. This study confirms that platform-based strategies increase transparency and efficiency, aligning with theories that regard digital transformation as an interconnected socio-technical system. Such approaches necessitate not only technological shifts but also organizational realignment.

Insights from Shibambu and Ngoepe (2024) reveal that, particularly in developing contexts, infrastructural deficits and cultural resistance temper the benefits of digitalization. The study finds that despite potential gains, transformation is constrained by uneven capacity and resource distribution. Bridging this divide requires targeted investments in infrastructure and workforce development.

Legacy system modernization, revisited by Bakar et al. (2022), is again underscored as essential for enabling citizen-centric governance. Strategic planning and periodic evaluations are posited as critical components for overcoming technical inertia. This resonates with innovation theory, which highlights the importance of iterative system upgrades in fostering responsiveness and user satisfaction.

Standardization and interoperability, emphasized by Barbu et al. (2024), are shown to be foundational for building integrated digital ecosystems. The study validates their position, demonstrating that inconsistent standards across agencies impede data sharing and system integration. The call for unified technical guidelines is thus not merely academic but a practical necessity.

GovTech ecosystems, as articulated by Hoekstra et al. (2023), offer a promising model for synergistic innovation. Their findings, echoed by this study, indicate that cross-sectoral integration

accelerates knowledge exchange and technological adoption. Nonetheless, such ecosystems must be supported by adaptive policies and robust coordination mechanisms.

Alzarooni et al. (2024) affirm that strategic investments in infrastructure and continuous training are indispensable for successful transformation. The current research supports this by showing how such investments alleviate internal resistance and build digital competencies. Organizational commitment to long-term capacity building emerges as a key determinant of transformation efficacy.

Public service delivery transformations, detailed by Larsson and Teigland (2019), are shown to benefit from digital tools that enhance speed, transparency, and citizen satisfaction. This aligns with findings that link administrative reform and technological adoption with improved service quality. However, these outcomes are contingent on parallel policy reforms that eliminate systemic inefficiencies.

Mikalef and Parmiggiani (2022) provide a conceptual lens on digital transformation as an evolutionary process requiring incremental adaptation. The present study concurs, illustrating how phased implementation accommodates organizational learning and minimizes disruption. This underscores the need for flexible, feedback-informed strategies.

Trust, as emphasized by Kożuch and Sienkiewicz-Małyjurek (2024), is a linchpin of successful transformation. The study reaffirms that transparent communication and ethical governance build trust, which in turn facilitates stakeholder cooperation and innovation uptake.

Danielsen et al. (2022) advocate for a systemic perspective that integrates policy, structure, and technology. The current research extends this by showing that rigid bureaucracies and underdeveloped human capital remain significant barriers. Reform strategies must therefore encompass both structural and personnel development components.

Finally, Mulianto et al. (2024) and Jonathan (2020) emphasize digital capability as a critical success factor. The findings of this study affirm that digital literacy and strategic alignment between business and IT units are crucial for coherent transformation efforts. Without this alignment, initiatives risk fragmentation and suboptimal outcomes.

In sum, this discussion confirms that public sector digital transformation is a multifaceted endeavor shaped by technological, organizational, cultural, and systemic dimensions. While the promise of improved efficiency and service quality is real, it is contingent upon strategic investments, inclusive governance, adaptive policies, and continuous capability development. The empirical evidence presented here affirms the value of a holistic approach to digital transformation, grounded in theory yet responsive to contextual realities.

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the systemic complexity of implementing digital transformation in the public sector, highlighting interdependencies among bureaucratic rigidity, regulatory gaps, and limitations in human resource capacity. The research findings confirm that leadership, stakeholder engagement, and adaptive governance are essential drivers of effective digital transformation.

Empirical evidence supports that technological adoption alone is insufficient; instead, a strategic, context-sensitive, and inclusive approach must be adopted to align legacy systems, governance structures, and digital competencies.

The results indicate that differences in implementation outcomes across geographic and institutional contexts stem largely from variations in infrastructure, policy harmonization, and organizational readiness. Challenges such as digital decoupling between central and local agencies, inadequate interoperability standards, and insufficient training emphasize the need for targeted policy interventions. Recommended strategies include the development of GovTech ecosystems, strategic investments in digital infrastructure, formulation of inclusive evaluation frameworks, and participatory change management models.

Further research should explore localized digital maturity assessments and the long-term impacts of cloud-based services, platformization, and AI integration in public administration. Such efforts would address literature gaps related to institutional dynamics and contextual variables. In sum, achieving sustainable digital transformation requires coordinated reforms across bureaucratic, regulatory, and human resource dimensions, backed by strong leadership and adaptable policies to maximize digital value creation and equitable public service delivery.

Theoretically, this review contributes by integrating institutional capacity into digital governance discourse, offering a multidimensional lens to analyze transformation success. Methodologically, it proposes a narrative synthesis framework focused on enabler-barrier typology. Practically, the findings support the development of context-based institutional assessment tools to guide local governments.
Future efforts should prioritize designing institutional capacity models that reflect local constraints, enabling more targeted and resilient digital reform pathways.

REFERENCES

Alghazi, A., Li, M., Cui, T., Samuel, F., & Shen, J. (2018). Misalignment between business and IT strategic objectives in Saudi Arabia public sector organisations. https://doi.org/10.5220/0006692902120220

Al-Khayari, N., Yousefi, M., & Aigbogun, O. (2024). A predictive model for collaborative leadership in digital transformation: Does it make a difference in Oman's e-government performance? Foresight, 26(5), 775-792. https://doi.org/10.1108/fs-08-2023-0163

Al-Mahrezi, J., Bakar, N., & Sjarif, N. (2021). Digital government competency for Omani public sector managers: A conceptual framework, 1009–1020. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-70713-2_90

Almatrodi, I., & Skoumpopoulou, D. (2023). Organizational routines and digital transformation: An analysis of how organizational routines impact digital transformation transition in a Saudi university. Systems, 11(5), 239. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems11050239

Alzarooni, A., Alhashmi, S., Lataifeh, M., & Rice, J. (2024). Navigating digital transformation in the UAE: Benefits, challenges, and future directions in the public sector. Computers, 13(11), 281. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers13110281

Aras, A., & Büyüközkan, G. (2023). Digital transformation journey guidance: A holistic digital maturity model based on a systematic literature review. Systems, 11(4), 213. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems11040213

Arbaoui, S., & Jemjami, N. (2023). Les déterminants de la transformation numérique dans le secteur public: Proposition d'une échelle de mesure. Recherches en Sciences De Gestion, 155(2), 339–367. https://doi.org/10.3917/resg.155.0339

Bakar, H., Razali, R., & Jambari, D. (2021). Legacy systems modernisation for citizen-centric digital government: A conceptual model. Sustainability, 13(23), 13112. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132313112

Bakar, H., Razali, R., & Jambari, D. (2022). A qualitative study of legacy systems modernisation for citizen-centric digital government. Sustainability, 14(17), 10951. https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710951

Barbu, M., Vevera, A., & Barbu, D. (2024). Standardization and interoperability—Key elements of digital transformation, 87–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55952-5_5

Bharosa, N. (2022). The rise of GovTech: Trojan horse or blessing in disguise? A research agenda. Government Information Quarterly, 39(3), 101692. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101692

Danielsen, F., Flak, L., & Sæbø, Ø. (2022). Understanding digital transformation in government, 151–187. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92945-9_7

Edelmann, N., Schossboeck, J., & Albrecht, V. (2021). Remote work in public sector organisations: Employees' experiences in a pandemic context, 408–415. https://doi.org/10.1145/3463677.3463725

Goh, J., & Arenas, Á. (2020). IT value creation in public sector: How IT-enabled capabilities mitigate tradeoffs in public organisations. European Journal of Information Systems, 29(1), 25–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085x.2019.1708821

Gritt, E., Forsgren, E., & Pandža, K. (2024). Liminal digital transformation in public sector: The case of UK policing. The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 33(3), 101851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2024.101851

Hoekstra, M., Veenstra, A., & Bharosa, N. (2023). Success factors and barriers of GovTech ecosystems: A case study of GovTech ecosystems in the Netherlands and Lithuania. https://doi.org/10.1145/3598469.3598500

Jonathan, G. (2020). Digital transformation in the public sector: Identifying critical success factors, 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44322-1_17

Jonathan, G., Hailemariam, K., Gebremeskel, B., & Yalew, S. (2021). Public sector digital transformation: Challenges for information technology leaders, 1027–1033. https://doi.org/10.1109/iemcon53756.2021.9623161

Kitsios, F., & Ιωάννου, K. (2024). Digital strategy and change in public services and enterprises: The case of IRIDA document management information system. Journal of the Knowledge Economy. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-024-02071-z

Kożuch, B., & Sienkiewicz-Małyjurek, K. (2024). Trust and digital transformation in the public sector. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032678849

Larsson, A., & Teigland, R. (2019). Digital transformation and public services. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429319297

Matheus, R., & Janssen, M. (2016). Exploitation and exploration strategies to create data transparency in the public sector, 13–16. https://doi.org/10.1145/2910019.2910091

Maulana, R., Durnik, M., & Dečman, M. (2024). Collaborative approach on digital government transformation: In-depth analysis from expert interview. Nispacee Journal of Public Administration and Policy, 17(1), 94–119. https://doi.org/10.2478/nispa-2024-0005

Mikalef, P., & Parmiggiani, E. (2022). Concluding remarks and final thoughts on digital transformation, 193–196. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-05276-7_12

Mulianto, H., Maarif, M., Zulbainarni, N., & Hasanah, N. (2024). Catalyzing public sector transformations with digital competencies: An empirical study of Indonesia's Ministry of Agrarian and Spatial Planning. Journal of Logistics Informatics and Service Science. https://doi.org/10.33168/jliss.2024.0617

Nanos, I. (2023). Cloud computing adoption in public sector: A literature review about issues, models and influencing factors, 243–250. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24294-6_26

Nanos, I., Manthou, V., & Androutsou, E. (2018). Cloud computing adoption decision in e-government, 125–145. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95666-4_9

Norling, K., Lindroth, T., Magnusson, J., & Torell, J. (2022). Digital decoupling: A population study of digital transformation strategies in Swedish municipalities, 356–363. https://doi.org/10.1145/3543434.3543639

Osorio, A., Úsuga, L., Restrepo-Carmona, J., Alzate, I., Sierra-Pérez, J., & Vásquez, R. (2024). Methodology for stakeholder prioritization in the context of digital transformation and Society 5.0. Sustainability, 16(13), 5317. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135317

Padwalkar, S., Whelan, A., Manning, E., O'Brien, J., Jayes, F., Murphy, J., … & Brosnan, A. (2023). Enabling digital government through transformation, 626–632. https://doi.org/10.1145/3598469.3598567

Racis, S., & Spano, A. (2024). Unlocking the promise of process mining: Shaping perceptions and impact in the public sector. European Journal of Innovation Management. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-10-2023-0887

Sagarik, D., Chansukree, P., Cho, W., & Berman, E. (2018). E-government 4.0 in Thailand: The role of central agencies. Information Polity, 23(3), 343–353. https://doi.org/10.3233/ip-180006

Sánchez, M., & Zuntini, J. (2019). Digital readiness in government: The case of Bahía Blanca municipal government. International Journal of Electronic Governance, 11(2), 155. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijeg.2019.101500

Santos, L. (2023). Dynamic capabilities and digital transformation in public sector: Evidence from Brazilian case study, 365–380. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41138-0_23

Schachtner, C. (2024). CDOs in the public sector. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54611-2

Senyo, P., Effah, J., & Osabutey, E. (2021). Digital platformisation as public sector transformation strategy: A case of Ghana's paperless port. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 162, 120387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120387

Shibambu, A., & Ngoepe, M. (2024). Enhancing service delivery through digital transformation in the public sector in South Africa. Global Knowledge Memory and Communication, 74(11), 63–76. https://doi.org/10.1108/gkmc-12-2023-0476

Syed, R., Bandara, W., & Eden, R. (2023). Public sector digital transformation barriers: A developing country experience. Information Polity, 28(1), 5–27. https://doi.org/10.3233/ip-220017

Vigoda-Gadot, E., & Mizrahi, S. (2024). The digital governance puzzle: Towards integrative theory of humans, machines, and organizations in public management. Technology in Society, 77, 102530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102530

Xanthopoulou, P., Antoniadis, I., & Triantari, S. (2023). Managing public sector in the digital reform era: Organizational factors and their impact on the digital transformation at the Greek public administration, 947–962. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22749-3_59

Yeraliyeva, A., Dauliyeva, G., Andabayeva, G., & Nurmanova, B. (2023). Effectiveness of public administration of the digital economy in Kazakhstan. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 21(3), 125–137. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.21(3).2023.10

Yuliza, Y., Muafi, M., & Wahyuningsih, S. (2024). The industrial revolution 4.0 and digital leadership in the public services sector, 83–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-7798-7_6

Zada, A., Persson, J., & Nielsen, P. (2022). Roadmapping in the digital transformation literature, 35–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-20706-8_3