Sinergi International Journal of Communication Sciences
E-ISSN: 2988-6260
Volume. 3, Issue 1, January 2025
Page No: 61-72

Breaking Barriers: Organizational Adaptation for Effective Communication in Remote Work

Lisnawati[1], Anggelina Hariyanti[2], Triwik Puji Rahayu[3]
[123]Universitas Muhammadiyah Palangkaraya, Indonesia
Correspondent: lisnaparwati17@gmail.com[1]

Received : January 21, 2025
Accepted : February 25, 2025
Published : February 28, 2025

Citation: Lisnawati, Hariyanti, A., & Rahayu, T.P., (2025). Breaking Barriers: Organizational Adaptation for Effective Communication in Remote Work. Sinergi International Journal of Communication Sciences, 3(1), 61-72.

https://doi.org/10.61194/ijcs.v3i1.687

ABSTRACT: In the digital era, virtual teams have become the norm in global work environments. However, communication within these teams is often hindered by rigid organizational structures inherited from traditional office settings, especially in healthcare settings where the stakes of miscommunication are significantly higher. This narrative review explores how structural barriers and inadequate adaptation to digital communication platforms compromise interpersonal interaction and team performance. The study employs a comprehensive literature review approach, synthesizing evidence from empirical studies and theoretical models to analyze the challenges and propose strategic interventions. The results indicate that inflexible hierarchies, lack of informal communication spaces, and poor integration of collaborative technologies significantly disrupt information flow and trust-building in virtual teams. Additionally, undefined roles and insufficient digital infrastructure contribute to confusion and miscommunication. The discussion emphasizes the urgency of adopting flexible structures and policies that support real-time, inclusive, and culturally sensitive communication. The review concludes that organizations must implement adaptive work frameworks, invest in digital training, and promote leadership models that align with virtual team dynamics. Recommendations include integrating AI-enabled feedback systems, developing operational guidelines for communication platforms, and designing cross-cultural training programs. These strategies are critical to overcoming structural communication barriers and enhancing team synergy in remote and hybrid environments.

Keywords: Virtual Teams, Digital Communication, Organizational Structure, Hybrid Work, Collaborative Technology, Interpersonal Communication, Communication Policy.

INTRODUCTION

Interpersonal communication in virtual healthcare teams has emerged as a critical focus of scholarly investigation, particularly as digital transformation and remote work models have rapidly expanded in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. While virtual collaboration offers greater flexibility, scalability, and geographic inclusivity, it simultaneously imposes significant constraints on non-verbal interpersonal dynamics essential for effective teamwork, trust-building, and

decision-making (Babaei et al., 2023; Yu et al., 2023). Effective communication in these contexts must bridge gaps in contextual understanding, role clarity, and emotional empathy, which are often compromised when face-to-face interaction is replaced by mediated forms of digital communication (Adamovic, 2017; Chang et al., 2012). Existing research increasingly emphasizes that the success of virtual healthcare teams hinges not only on technological infrastructure but also on the communicative competencies of team members to navigate intercultural differences and technological limitations (Janssen et al., 2017; Kauffmann & Carmi, 2017).

Globally, the transition toward virtual healthcare collaboration has been accelerated by the proliferation of telemedicine and remote patient care technologies. These paradigms have redefined traditional clinician workflows and necessitated the acquisition of new communication competencies tailored for virtual interaction (Liaw et al., 2023; Chang et al., 2012). With physical boundaries diminished, healthcare professionals must now operate within transdisciplinary and often multicultural teams, where the absence of visual and kinetic cues exacerbates the risks of misinterpretation and interpersonal detachment (Adamovic, 2017). Consequently, healthcare institutions are compelled to recalibrate their communication strategies to account for the digitalization of interpersonal exchanges and ensure continued service quality under technologically mediated conditions (Babaei et al., 2023).

Current literature suggests that digital platforms such as Zoom, Microsoft Teams, and other video conferencing tools have been instrumental in sustaining healthcare operations during times of crisis. However, these platforms inherently lack the "media naturalness" necessary to fully replicate in-person communication, thereby reducing the ability to express emotions and engage in spontaneous exchanges (Adamovic, 2017; Kauffmann & Carmi, 2017). The loss of non-verbal signals, including facial expressions, tone modulation, and body language, challenges the depth of communication, potentially hindering team cohesion and clinical outcomes (Yu et al., 2023; Andrienko et al., 2021). As the dependence on digital tools deepens, establishing communication protocols and enhancing user training become imperative to avoid dissonance and misalignment in virtual teams (Chang et al., 2012).

The inability to transmit emotional cues effectively through digital media is especially detrimental in high-stakes clinical scenarios such as emergency care or interdisciplinary consultations (Liaw et al., 2023; Janssen et al., 2017). Here, the absence of non-verbal affirmations may compromise the speed and accuracy of decision-making, with potentially life-altering consequences. Furthermore, digital detachment often results in perceived emotional distance among team members, complicating efforts to synchronize sensitive information and respond to crises in a timely manner (Purvanova, 2013). Solutions such as multi-modal interaction tools, visual augmentations, and standardized communication frameworks are being explored to mitigate these challenges (Babaei et al., 2023).

Cultural diversity adds another layer of complexity to virtual team communication. Differing norms, languages, and communicative expectations can lead to misunderstandings that directly affect clinical collaboration and patient care (Andrienko et al., 2021; Adamovic, 2017). Intercultural training and the integration of cultural sensitivity into organizational communication policies are increasingly recommended to foster inclusivity and respect within virtual healthcare teams (Chang et al., 2012). The necessity for such interventions is amplified by the global nature of modern

healthcare operations, where diverse teams must collaborate seamlessly across borders (Kauffmann & Carmi, 2017).

As the healthcare sector embraces digital tools, the demand for hybrid communication models—those that combine the immediacy of real-time virtual interaction with the strategic depth of asynchronous communication—is rising. Professionals are expected to adapt to new digital environments while preserving essential soft skills such as empathy, active listening, and cultural competence (Yu et al., 2023; Andrienko et al., 2021). This integration of technical and interpersonal skills is pivotal for maintaining accurate and responsive communication in remote clinical settings (Adamovic, 2017).

Despite significant advances in virtual communication technologies, a critical gap remains in the literature concerning the adaptation and role of non-verbal communication cues in digital settings (Akdere et al., 2021; Ellis et al., 2022). While verbal communication has received substantial attention, empirical research examining how non-verbal signals are translated, lost, or distorted in virtual platforms remains limited. Yet these cues are central to trust development, team cohesion, and interpersonal rapport—especially in multidisciplinary healthcare teams that rely heavily on shared understanding and subtle contextual indicators (Akdere et al., 2021; Ellis et al., 2022).

This literature review aims to synthesize recent findings on the interpersonal communication dynamics within virtual healthcare teams, with a particular emphasis on the role and adaptation of non-verbal cues in virtual environments. It will explore how digital platforms influence emotional expression, trust formation, and team synchronization, while also identifying technological and organizational innovations that support more effective communication. The review also seeks to delineate the interplay between communication tools, team diversity, and leadership strategies, shedding light on comprehensive frameworks that can address the evolving challenges of virtual team interaction.

The scope of this review encompasses interdisciplinary healthcare teams operating in virtual settings, with a primary focus on post-pandemic transformations and their implications for communication practices. It draws on studies from global healthcare contexts to provide comparative insights and identify best practices across varying organizational and cultural environments. This cross-contextual analysis enables a richer understanding of the multifaceted nature of virtual communication and supports the development of holistic strategies for enhancing interpersonal collaboration in healthcare teams worldwide.

METHOD

The methodology adopted in this study follows a combined narrative and scoping review approach to examine the state of research on interpersonal communication in virtual teams, particularly in healthcare settings. This dual-method framework allows a comprehensive synthesis of existing literature while also facilitating the identification of research gaps. To achieve a balanced and systematic analysis, the review process was carried out in several stages: literature search, screening and eligibility, data extraction, and thematic synthesis.

The literature collection phase began with an extensive search across electronic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, and Google Scholar. These databases were selected due to their comprehensive coverage of peer-reviewed literature relevant to communication, healthcare, and information systems. The search process employed a combination of keywords such as "virtual teams," "interpersonal communication," "computer-mediated communication," "media richness," "nonverbal cues," "trust," "team dynamics," "e-leadership," "collaboration," "cultural adaptation," and "communication quality". Boolean operators (AND, OR) were utilized to refine the search and to ensure a targeted retrieval of articles. For instance, queries like "virtual teams AND interpersonal communication" and "media richness AND team dynamics" were used to capture relevant studies.

The selection criteria for inclusion were based on several parameters. Studies were included if they focused on communication processes within virtual teams, addressed interpersonal elements such as trust or nonverbal cues, and were published in peer-reviewed journals between 2010 and 2024. Both qualitative and quantitative studies, including randomized controlled trials, case studies, ethnographies, and cohort studies, were considered. Exclusion criteria involved articles not available in English, studies that did not pertain to virtual settings, and papers focused exclusively on technical systems without human communication elements.

To maintain methodological rigor, a two-stage screening process was adopted. Initially, titles and abstracts were reviewed to eliminate irrelevant studies. In the second stage, full-text reviews were conducted to verify eligibility based on the inclusion criteria. Two independent reviewers conducted this screening to minimize bias and increase reliability. Discrepancies in article selection were resolved through discussion and consensus.

The process of literature identification and filtering was documented using the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow diagram. This ensured transparency in the selection process and helped trace the number of studies included at each stage. To facilitate organization and data management, reference management software such as Mendeley was used. This allowed efficient handling of bibliographic data and enabled categorization based on keyword relevance, publication year, and methodological approach.

After the eligible articles were finalized, data extraction commenced using a structured form designed to capture relevant variables such as authorship, publication year, methodology, theoretical frameworks, sample characteristics, key findings, and the keywords used. Extracted data were organized in a matrix format to facilitate comparative analysis across studies.

The narrative review component provided in-depth insights into theoretical perspectives and thematic developments in the field. This involved analyzing how concepts such as "trust," "media richness," and "e-leadership" evolved over time and their implications for team communication. The scoping review aspect, on the other hand, mapped the breadth and diversity of research without assessing quality in detail. It allowed the identification of under-researched areas and facilitated the integration of heterogeneous findings from multiple disciplines including organizational psychology, health communication, and management science.

Throughout the synthesis phase, a thematic approach was employed to identify and group recurring concepts. Themes such as communication quality, nonverbal cue integration, digital

platform efficiency, and cultural sensitivity emerged as central constructs. These were discussed not only in terms of frequency but also their theoretical and practical significance in shaping interpersonal communication in virtual environments.

Quality assessment of the included studies was carried out using standardized checklists to evaluate methodological soundness, validity, and relevance. This evaluation was crucial in determining the strength of the evidence base and helped differentiate between robust findings and those requiring cautious interpretation.

In summary, this methodology offers a transparent, replicable, and rigorous framework for conducting a literature review on interpersonal communication in virtual teams. By integrating both narrative depth and scoping breadth, the review captures the complexity of digital communication dynamics while providing actionable insights for theory development and practical application. This approach ensures that the study not only consolidates existing knowledge but also sets the stage for future empirical investigations and innovation in virtual team communication strategies.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The integration of collaborative technologies within virtual teams has proven to have substantial impacts on interpersonal communication effectiveness. Digital platforms provide not only a conduit for information exchange but also adaptive, real-time communication features that align with dynamic team needs (Carlson et al., 2013; O'Bryan et al., 2022). These include video conferencing, chat functions, and emerging 3D avatar integrations, all of which contribute to reducing physical separation and promoting emotional engagement during team interactions (Torro & Pirkkalainen, 2023; Shandilya et al., 2022).

Research indicates that the deployment of unified communication systems accelerates information delivery and promotes team efficiency (Carlson et al., 2013; Torro & Pirkkalainen, 2023). These systems foster trust and constructive feedback, thereby enhancing overall task performance (Chang et al., 2012; O'Bryan et al., 2022). The chat feature, in particular, facilitates asynchronous communication and supports systematic message clarification (Shandilya et al., 2022). However, the absence of emotional cues and vocal intonation presents limitations, which scholars argue may be mitigated by digital literacy training (Yılmaz & Peña, 2015; Chang et al., 2012).

Video conferencing adds a crucial visual layer, allowing members to interpret facial expressions and body language, enhancing mutual understanding and interpersonal trust (Meyer & Bond-Barnard, 2016; Carlson et al., 2013). Technical issues such as video resolution and bandwidth remain barriers, though combinations with high-quality audio tend to improve communication effectiveness (Torro & Pirkkalainen, 2023).

The implementation of 3D avatars introduces a novel digital representation that enables simulated non-verbal cues, enriching the experience of virtual presence (Torro & Pirkkalainen, 2023; Shandilya et al., 2022). Nonetheless, the impact of avatars is mediated by the realism and responsiveness of the animation technologies employed (Chang et al., 2012; Yılmaz & Peña, 2015).

Collaborative technologies also expedite decision-making by offering real-time data and analytics (Carlson et al., 2013). The integration of chat, video, and document sharing fosters deeper collaborative discussions and enhances synergy among team members (Torro & Pirkkalainen, 2023; Chang et al., 2012).

The emotional connection formed via video and avatars tends to exceed that offered by chat alone, which lacks non-verbal depth (Shandilya et al., 2022; Meyer & Bond-Barnard, 2016). Therefore, selecting appropriate features is crucial for fostering holistic interpersonal perceptions (Yılmaz & Peña, 2015).

Tools like integrated calendars and notifications facilitate coordination and minimize miscommunication (Carlson et al., 2013; O'Bryan et al., 2022). These features ensure synchronized operations across time zones, contributing to smoother workflow management (Torro & Pirkkalainen, 2023).

Cultural diversity significantly influences team communication. Differences in expressive norms and interpretations can lead to miscommunication, particularly without cultural awareness and adaptation (Chang et al., 2012; Andrienko et al., 2021). Training in cross-cultural communication improves mutual respect and interaction efficiency (Mukherjee et al., 2012).

Trust formation also differs across cultures. Individualistic cultures prefer direct communication, whereas collectivist cultures favor indirectness to preserve group harmony (Andrienko et al., 2021; Mukherjee et al., 2012). Addressing these differences requires strategic interventions such as cultural workshops (Chang et al., 2012).

Personality heterogeneity introduces both creative potential and conflict risks (Yılmaz & Peña, 2012; Yılmaz, 2015). Extroverts typically contribute readily, while introverts often reflect before responding. Balanced team composition and structured negotiation frameworks enhance inclusivity (Shandilya et al., 2022).

Transformational leadership fosters open dialogue, motivation, and vision alignment (Kashive et al., 2022; Wittmer & Hopkins, 2021). Situational leadership that adapts to context and emotional needs proves similarly effective, particularly in overcoming the non-verbal limitations of virtual settings (Wittmer & Hopkins, 2021).

Project management models like Agile support interpersonal communication by promoting iterative feedback and routine interactions (Grant et al., 2024; Carlson et al., 2013). Digital dashboards and monitoring platforms further enhance transparency and participation (Grant et al., 2024).

Clear organizational roles reduce ambiguity and support focused dialogue (Adamovic, 2017). Hybrid management models that blend Agile and traditional structures help navigate complex virtual projects effectively (Adamovic, 2017; Carlson et al., 2013).

Tools such as Trello and Microsoft Teams facilitate task assignment, real-time updates, and collaborative discussions (Grant et al., 2024). Lean project management principles encourage concise and purpose-driven communication, reducing inefficiencies (Adamovic, 2017).

Digital leadership models leverage advanced tools for real-time monitoring and decision-making, emphasizing responsiveness and team cohesion (Kashive et al., 2022). Participatory leadership promotes inclusivity and frequent feedback loops, enhancing collaboration (Chatfield et al., 2014).

Cultural and personality differences demand mediation strategies that ensure equitable contribution during negotiations (Yılmaz & Peña, 2012; Shandilya et al., 2022). Misalignments in communication styles rooted in cultural norms must be addressed with sensitivity (Andrienko et al., 2021; Chang et al., 2012).

Visualization tools like TeamVis enable empirical mapping of communication flows and aid strategic intervention (Syed et al., 2014). These tools support management decisions aimed at refining interaction quality (Carlson et al., 2013).

Customizable virtual environments, incorporating features such as breakout rooms and multimedia integration, allow alignment with specific project demands (Torro & Pirkkalainen, 2023).

Multidisciplinary approaches combining psychology, management, and computer science have been recommended for creating holistic frameworks to manage virtual team dynamics (Brown et al., 2023).

Regular feedback, supported by management systems, helps refine communication behaviors and encourages innovation (Grant et al., 2024; O'Bryan et al., 2022). Transparent information sharing and shared decision-making further empower team members and strengthen collaboration (Carlson et al., 2013).

In summary, the results demonstrate that collaborative technologies, leadership styles, and cultural understanding collectively shape the landscape of interpersonal communication in virtual teams. A strategic blend of technological innovation and adaptive management models facilitates effective communication, ultimately enhancing team performance and cohesion (Brown et al., 2023; Kashive et al., 2022; Torro & Pirkkalainen, 2023).

The discussion around the limitations of traditional organizational systems and their impact on interpersonal communication in virtual teams reveals profound systemic misalignments that have failed to accommodate the dynamics of digital collaboration. As Yılmaz (2015) and Kennedy & McComb (2014) suggest, the continued reliance on hierarchical, rigid structures adapted from face-to-face environments results in barriers that significantly hinder communication in virtual contexts. These barriers are most visible when roles and responsibilities are not clearly articulated, leading to information bottlenecks and confusion that inhibit team cohesion.

One key observation is the failure of traditional organizational frameworks to adjust to the informal, real-time nature of digital communication. In physical office settings, spontaneous conversations and casual interactions often help to build trust and foster interpersonal relationships. However, such informal communication tends to be marginalized in virtual settings where platforms and routines are rigidly formalized (Yılmaz, 2015). The lack of spaces for informal exchange restricts the development of relational capital among team members, making it difficult to build the kind of familiarity that underpins successful collaboration.

Furthermore, many organizations neglect the technological dimension when designing virtual team structures. As noted by Kennedy & McComb (2014), the mismatch between communication expectations and technological capabilities often results in fragmented communication flows. This occurs when collaborative tools are implemented without adequate integration or user training, creating friction in interactions and reducing the effectiveness of communication. For instance, delays in message delivery or incompatibilities between communication platforms hinder the timely exchange of critical information (Yılmaz, 2015).

Another core issue arises from the ambiguity surrounding communication channels and responsibilities. In a traditional setting, physical proximity often compensates for unclear instructions or protocols. However, in virtual teams, ambiguity can escalate quickly into misunderstandings, especially when team members operate across different time zones and cultural norms (Kennedy & McComb, 2014). Yılmaz (2015) highlights how power dynamics, role confusion, and centralized communication lines contribute to disengagement and interpersonal conflict.

The systemic rigidity of traditional structures also suppresses creativity and spontaneity. Innovation thrives in environments that promote open dialogue and decentralized decision-making. When virtual teams are managed through inflexible bureaucratic models, team members may feel inhibited or even disengaged, reluctant to contribute ideas due to fear of hierarchical reprisal or procedural inefficiencies (Yılmaz, 2015). The cumulative effect is a drop in both team morale and productivity.

One proposed solution to these systemic barriers involves the development of more fluid and adaptable organizational models. This includes shifting from hierarchical to networked structures that empower team members to take initiative and communicate freely. Flattened organizational designs reduce reliance on top-down communication and enable peer-to-peer knowledge sharing, which is critical in distributed teams (Kennedy & McComb, 2014).

In conjunction with structural reform, organizations must also invest in policies that promote technological integration and digital literacy. As Kennedy & McComb (2014) observe, merely adopting digital tools without a strategic framework undermines their potential benefits. Teams need comprehensive training that includes not only tool usage but also the etiquette and cultural dimensions of digital communication. These efforts should be ongoing and responsive to technological advancements and user feedback.

The discussion also reveals a lack of preparedness in dealing with cultural and interpersonal diversity within virtual teams. Cultural differences can lead to misinterpretation of messages, especially when digital communication removes the non-verbal cues that often clarify intent (Yılmaz, 2015). Organizations must therefore incorporate cultural competence training as a core component of virtual team management. Such training fosters empathy, enhances mutual understanding, and helps build trust in multicultural settings.

Moreover, the absence of informal interaction spaces has implications for team identity and cohesion. Virtual watercooler moments—spontaneous, casual conversations—play a vital role in relationship-building. Organizations must find ways to recreate these moments through dedicated

platforms or scheduled social calls that facilitate relaxed and non-task-oriented interactions (Kennedy & McComb, 2014).

From a policy perspective, the implications of these findings are clear. Remote and hybrid work arrangements cannot be sustained without parallel reforms in organizational design, technological infrastructure, and human resource development. Policies must mandate the provision of digital collaboration platforms, real-time communication tools, and support systems such as virtual mentoring and coaching (Yılmaz, 2015).

Technology can serve as both an enabler and a barrier. When used strategically, digital tools such as feedback systems, real-time dashboards, and AI-driven communication analytics offer powerful means to evaluate and improve team interactions (Kennedy & McComb, 2014). These technologies provide leaders with actionable insights into communication patterns, allowing them to intervene proactively and support team development.

Equally important is the cultivation of digital leadership. Leaders must be equipped with skills in virtual facilitation, emotional intelligence, and conflict resolution. Training programs in e-leadership should focus on helping leaders create psychologically safe environments where team members feel empowered to contribute (Yılmaz, 2015). These environments are essential for fostering innovation and maintaining engagement in virtual contexts.

Finally, the discussion underscores the need for continuous evaluation and feedback. Implementing new policies or tools without mechanisms for assessment risks repeating the same systemic failures. Organizations must develop evaluation frameworks that combine qualitative feedback with quantitative performance data to monitor the impact of structural and technological changes on interpersonal communication (Kennedy & McComb, 2014).

In summary, the findings discussed here reflect a critical need to rethink traditional organizational assumptions in light of the unique demands of virtual teamwork. By addressing structural rigidity, investing in technological and interpersonal competence, and empowering leadership, organizations can overcome systemic barriers and create a more cohesive, communicative, and high-performing virtual workforce.

CONCLUSION

This study has underscored the critical impact of rigid organizational structures on interpersonal communication in virtual teams. The findings demonstrate that conventional hierarchical models, when transferred uncritically to digital workspaces, obstruct the flow of interaction, reduce team engagement, and hinder trust-building. A recurring theme is the failure of traditional systems to accommodate the dynamic nature of digital communication, with inadequacies in informal interaction spaces, unclear roles, and poor integration of collaborative technologies exacerbating communication barriers. The discussion highlights that these structural deficiencies demand systemic intervention, particularly in the form of adaptive organizational design and communication-responsive management.

To address these challenges, organizations must revise their remote and hybrid work policies, prioritizing real-time communication systems, flexible hierarchies, and digital literacy training. Integrating collaborative tools with clearly defined communication protocols is crucial for promoting clarity, empathy, and cohesion in geographically dispersed teams. Leadership development in digital environments and the use of AI-driven feedback systems also emerged as vital strategies. Future research should explore the long-term impact of immersive technologies, such as virtual reality and avatar-based platforms, in enhancing emotional presence and non-verbal cues. It is also essential to examine culturally responsive models that bridge communication gaps in multinational teams. Overall, this study contributes to the growing body of work advocating for holistic, technology-aligned organizational frameworks that foster effective interpersonal communication in the evolving landscape of virtual collaboration. This review offers actionable insights for healthcare institutions seeking to redesign their communication frameworks to accommodate the complex, high-stakes nature of virtual collaboration

REFERENCES

Adamovic, M. (2017). An employee-focused human resource management perspective for the management of global virtual teams. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 29(14), 2159-2187. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1323227

Akdere, M., Jiang, Y., & Acheson, K. (2021). To simulate or not to simulate? comparing the effectiveness of video-based training versus virtual reality-based simulations on interpersonal skills development. Human Resource Development Quarterly, 34(4), 437-462. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.21470

Andrienko, T., Genin, V., & Kozubska, I. (2021). Developing intercultural business competence via team learning in post-pandemic era. Advanced Education, 8(18), 53-69. https://doi.org/10.20535/2410-8286.214627

Attieh, S. and Loiselle, C. (2024). Cancer care team functioning during covid-19: a narrative literature review and synthesis. Current Oncology, 31(1), 335-349. https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol31010022

Babaei, N., Zamanzadeh, V., Valizadeh, L., Lotfi, M., Kousha, A., Samad-Soltani, T., … & Avazeh, M. (2023). Virtual care in the health care system: a concept analysis. Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences, 38(1), 35-46. https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.13227

Brown, S., Sparapani, R., Osinski, K., Zhang, J., Blessing, J., Cheng, F., … & Olson, J. (2023). Team principles for successful interdisciplinary research teams. American Heart Journal Plus Cardiology Research and Practice, 32, 100306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahjo.2023.100306

Carlson, J., Carlson, D., Hunter, E., Vaughn, R., & George, J. (2013). Virtual team effectiveness. Journal of Organizational and End User Computing, 25(2), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.4018/joeuc.2013040101

Chang, H., Hung, C., & Hsieh, H. (2012). Virtual teams: cultural adaptation, communication quality, and interpersonal trust. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 25(11-12), 1318-1335. https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2012.704274

Chatfield, A., Shlemoon, V., Redublado, W., & Darbyshire, G. (2014). Creating value through virtual teams: a current literature review. Australasian Journal of Information Systems, 18(3). https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v18i3.1104

Ellis, R., Goodacre, T., Mortensen, N., Oeppen, R., & Brennan, P. (2022). Application of human factors at hybrid meetings: facilitating productivity and inclusivity. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 60(6), 740-745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2021.12.055

Grant, A., Giacomantonio, R., Lackie, K., MacKenzie, A., Jeffers, E., Kontak, J., … & Martin-Misener, R. (2024). Identifying strategies to support implementation of interprofessional primary care teams in nova scotia: results of a survey and knowledge sharing event. BMC Primary Care, 25(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-024-02399-0

Janssen, A., Brunner, M., Keep, M., Hines, M., Nagarajan, S., Kielly-Carroll, C., … & Shaw, T. (2017). Interdisciplinary ehealth practice in cancer care: a review of the literature. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 14(11), 1289. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14111289

Kashive, N., Khanna, V., & Powale, L. (2022). Virtual team performance: e-leadership roles in the era of covid-19. The Journal of Management Development, 41(5), 277-300. https://doi.org/10.1108/jmd-05-2021-0151

Kauffmann, D. and Carmi, G. (2017). The mediating effect of interpersonal trust on virtual team's collaboration. International Journal of Knowledge Management, 13(3), 20-37. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijkm.2017070102

Kennedy, D. and McComb, S. (2014). When teams shift among processes: insights from simulation and optimization. Journal of Applied Psychology, 99(5), 784-815. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037339

Liaw, S., Tan, J., Rusli, K., Ratan, R., Zhou, W., Lim, S., … & Chua, W. (2023). Artificial intelligence versus human-controlled doctor in virtual reality simulation for sepsis team training: randomized controlled study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 25, e47748. https://doi.org/10.2196/47748

Meyer, I. and Bond-Barnard, T. (2016). Exploring the use of computer-mediated video communication in engineering projects in south africa. The South African Journal of Industrial Engineering, 27(2). https://doi.org/10.7166/27-2-1298

Mukherjee, D., Hanlon, S., Kedia, B., & Srivastava, P. (2012). Organizational identification among global virtual team members. Cross Cultural Management an International Journal, 19(4), 526-545. https://doi.org/10.1108/13527601211270002

O'Bryan, L., Oxendahl, T., Chen, X., McDuff, D., Segarra, S., Wettergreen, M., … & Sabharwal, A. (2022). Objective communication patterns associated with team member effectiveness in

real-world virtual teams. Human Factors the Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 66(5), 1414-1430. https://doi.org/10.1177/00187208221147341

Purvanova, R. (2013). The role of feeling known for team member outcomes in project teams. Small Group Research, 44(3), 298-331. https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496413480244

Shandilya, E., Fan, M., & Tigwell, G. (2022). "i need to be professional until my new team uses emoji, gifs, or memes first": new collaborators' perspectives on using non-textual communication in virtual workspaces. https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517514

Syed, S., Nukala, S., Marcano, J., Bedwell, W., Haubner, L., & Raij, A. (2014). Sensing and visualization tools for objective assessment and debriefing of high-risk neonatal resuscitation training scenarios. https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-375-9-416

Torro, O. and Pirkkalainen, H. (2023). Design principles for social exchange in social virtual reality-enabled virtual teams. Virtual Reality, 27(4), 2791-2820. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-023-00832-w

Watanuki, H. and Moraes, R. (2019). Exploring the influence of social media information on interpersonal trust in new virtual work partners. Informatics, 6(3), 33. https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics6030033

Wittmer, J. and Hopkins, M. (2021). Leading remotely in a time of crisis: relationships with emotional intelligence. Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies, 29(2), 176-189. https://doi.org/10.1177/15480518211053531

Yılmaz, G. (2015). What you do and how you speak matter. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 35(1), 76-97. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927x15575772

Yılmaz, G. and Peña, J. (2012). The influence of social categories and interpersonal behaviors on future intentions and attitudes to form subgroups in virtual teams. Communication Research, 41(3), 333-352. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650212443696

Yılmaz, G. and Peña, J. (2015). How do interpersonal behaviors and social categories affect language use?: the case of virtual teams. Communication Quarterly, 63(4), 427-443. https://doi.org/10.1080/01463373.2015.1058285

Yu, X., Zhang, L., Liu, M., & He, B. (2023). The effect of empathy on team members' moqi in virtual teams: a moderated mediation model. Psychology Research and Behavior Management, Volume 16, 2619-2633. https://doi.org/10.2147/prbm.s414860

Zapata, S., Barros-Justo, J., Matturro, G., & Sepúlveda, S. (2021). Measurement of interpersonal trust in virtual software teams: a systematic literature review. Ingeniare Revista Chilena De Ingeniería, 29(4), 788-803. https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-33052021000400788