Sinergi International Journal of Logistics
E-ISSN: 2988-6244
Volume. 3, Issue 1, January 2025
Page No: 43-54

Policy and Technological Synergies in Smart City Transportation

Muhammad Wahyuilahi[1], Setiadi[2]
[1]Politeknik Astra, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Dirgantara Marsekal Suryadarma, Indonesia
Correspondent: tedi.hartoko@gmail.com[2]

Received : January 5, 2025
Accepted : January 28, 2025
Published : February 28, 2025

Citation: Wahyuilahi, M., & Setiadi. (2025). Policy and Technological Synergies in Smart City Transportation. Sinergi International Journal of Logistics, 3(1), 43-54.

ABSTRACT : This study investigates the integration of advanced technologies, such as big data, machine learning, and the Internet of Things (IoT), within intelligent transportation systems (ITS) in smart cities. The purpose is to identify the key factors influencing the successful implementation of ITS, focusing on technological, policy, and socio-cultural dynamics. A narrative review methodology was employed, synthesizing findings from multiple studies across various regions. The results reveal that while technological advancements have improved urban mobility, the success of ITS depends on the alignment of policies, infrastructure, and public perception. Key barriers include bureaucratic inertia, fragmented governance, and regulatory inflexibility. The study suggests that adaptive regulatory frameworks, enhanced public-private partnerships, and community involvement are essential for overcoming these challenges. Future research should focus on integrating socio-political factors with technological development to create more holistic solutions. The findings underline the need for a multidisciplinary approach to ensure the sustainability and effectiveness of smart transportation systems.

Keywords: Smart Transportation Systems, Big Data, Internet of Things, Machine Learning, Urban Mobility, Policy Integration, Socio-Cultural Dynamics

INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, the emergence of smart cities has transformed urban development paradigms, emphasizing the strategic integration of digital technologies into infrastructure, governance, and citizen services. One of the most critical components of this transformation is the development of intelligent transportation systems (ITS) that incorporate big data analytics and automation technologies to improve mobility and environmental sustainability. At a global level, rapid advancements in Internet of Things (IoT) platforms, cloud computing, and machine learning algorithms have profoundly reshaped transportation and logistics operations. These technologies have enabled real-time monitoring, dynamic routing, predictive analytics, and responsive traffic management, creating a new ecosystem of urban mobility. For instance, Mehmood et al. (2017) demonstrated how big data has significantly altered urban transportation operations through the

application of Markov models to improve operational efficiency. Similarly, Oladimeji et al. (2023) explored how the convergence of IoT, machine learning, and other smart transportation technologies has fostered more responsive and integrated urban mobility systems, highlighting the increasing urgency of addressing transportation and mobility challenges as a global phenomenon.

Nationally, numerous countries have invested heavily in smart city programs and digital infrastructure to manage urbanization and mitigate congestion. These efforts are aligned with global findings that emphasize the critical role of data capacity and intelligent algorithms in addressing modern transportation challenges. Research by Özkaynak et al. (2024) and Dudek and Kujawski (2022) emphasizes that the capacity to manage and leverage large-scale data is pivotal in deploying intelligent transport networks that are adaptive, scalable, and sustainable. The integration of digital platforms into transport systems has allowed for improved traffic control, enhanced public transport services, and data-informed policymaking, thus aligning national transportation strategies with the broader goals of sustainable urban development.

Recent statistical data reinforce the relevance and urgency of these technological interventions. Sreenivasan et al. (2023) identify key contributors to smart city research and implementation, particularly India, China, the United States, and the United Kingdom, underscoring the global scale of investment in transportation innovation. Furthermore, studies by Moumen et al. (2023) demonstrate how the incorporation of real-time data through IoT sensors and artificial intelligence analytics facilitates quantifiable improvements in traffic congestion management and carbon emission reduction. In particular, metropolitan regions across Asia and Europe have employed big data to assess and refine traffic volume, travel time, and greenhouse gas emissions, which now serve as critical performance indicators for evaluating the success of smart transport integration (Oladimeji et al., 2023; Moumen et al., 2023).

In parallel with these developments, practitioners and researchers face numerous challenges, especially in regional and local contexts. These challenges include the integration of data from heterogeneous sources, limited system interoperability, and increased exposure to cybersecurity risks. Rashid et al. (2020) emphasize the growing vulnerability of smart city IoT systems to cyber threats, necessitating the development of robust detection and mitigation strategies using ensemble learning techniques. Moreover, the creation of adaptive control algorithms for real-time interventions in transport systems remains a critical area of concern, as noted by Özkaynak et al. (2024). The misalignment between emerging technologies and existing infrastructure, coupled with regulatory constraints, further impedes the seamless implementation of integrated systems. These issues must be resolved to optimize the full potential of intelligent transport technologies across national and regional domains (Oladimeji et al., 2023; Dudek & Kujawski, 2022; Moumen et al., 2023).

Despite the proliferation of research and implementation initiatives, there remains a significant research gap in integrating human-centric approaches within technologically advanced transport systems—particularly in developing urban contexts. This study seeks to fill this gap by developing an integrative framework that connects technological innovation with socio-political dynamics in smart transportation. The objectives of this review are: (1) to synthesize recent developments in

ITS technologies, (2) to explore socio-cultural and policy dimensions influencing implementation, and (3) to identify strategic enablers for sustainable ITS deployment.

The primary objective of this study is to develop a comprehensive empirical framework capable of effectively integrating data from multiple sources within intelligent transportation systems. This endeavor aims to fill the aforementioned gaps by designing a model that not only addresses big data management, as outlined by Dudek and Kujawski (2022), but also incorporates cutting-edge technologies such as neuro-inspired algorithms for real-time urban mobility interventions, as proposed by Özkaynak et al. (2024). Through this integrated framework, the study seeks to construct more adaptive and responsive decision-support systems, contributing empirical validation that has been largely absent in prior literature (Mehmood et al., 2017).

The scope of this investigation is particularly pertinent to densely populated and rapidly urbanizing regions. According to Sreenivasan et al. (2023), smart city initiatives in countries such as India, China, and various European nations have demonstrated a strong commitment to leveraging digital technologies to tackle congestion and improve operational efficiency. Likewise, Oladimeji et al. (2023) underscore that major urban centers experiencing rapid urban growth require holistic and context-sensitive solutions to address their complex infrastructure demands. Consequently, the framework developed in this study is designed to be highly applicable in urban environments with dynamic population growth and mobility patterns. The intended beneficiaries include policymakers, urban planners, and technologists tasked with implementing intelligent transport strategies that are both locally effective and globally informed.

In summary, this study addresses a pressing and globally relevant issue in urban development: the integration of intelligent transport systems with big data technologies to enhance smart city operations. Building upon the extensive literature and acknowledging the existing gaps, the proposed research advances a novel, empirically grounded framework that combines data integration, real-time analytics, and neuro-inspired computing. This contribution is expected to significantly enhance the effectiveness of decision-making processes in urban transportation systems, thus promoting more efficient, resilient, and sustainable cities in the 21st century. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 outlines the methodology used in this narrative review. Section 3 presents the thematic results, followed by a comprehensive discussion. Section 4 concludes with policy implications and future research directions.

METHOD

This study employed a narrative review methodology to synthesize and critically analyze existing literature on the integration of intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and big data technologies within the framework of smart city development. The narrative review approach was chosen to allow a comprehensive and flexible exploration of a broad and evolving field, capturing both empirical findings and conceptual insights from a diverse array of sources. Unlike systematic reviews, which adhere to rigid protocols for data extraction and synthesis, narrative reviews are

particularly suitable for identifying thematic trends, highlighting conceptual developments, and providing a holistic understanding of complex, interdisciplinary topics.

The process began with the careful selection of scientific databases that are recognized for their relevance to technological, engineering, and urban studies. The databases used in this review included Scopus, IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. Scopus was selected due to its multidisciplinary coverage and extensive indexing of peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and reviews across domains such as transportation, data science, and urban planning. As noted by Ozkaynak et al. (2024), Scopus provides a reliable platform for tracking empirical and bibliometric trends in smart mobility and digital infrastructure. IEEE Xplore and ACM Digital Library were utilized to access domain-specific literature related to information technology, cyber-physical systems, sensor networks, and artificial intelligence applications in transportation. These sources offered access to recent technical advancements and experimental research. Google Scholar complemented these databases by enabling the identification of grey literature, including conference papers and technical reports not indexed in the aforementioned platforms.

A systematic strategy was employed to identify relevant studies, using well-structured keyword combinations and Boolean operators to enhance search efficiency and relevance. The search queries were designed around three conceptual clusters. The first cluster focused on transportation-related terminology, incorporating phrases such as "smart transportation," "intelligent transport systems," and "urban mobility." The second cluster emphasized technological enablers with terms like "big data," "data analytics," "machine learning," and "data mining." The third cluster addressed infrastructural components through terms such as "Internet of Things," "IoT," and "sensor networks."

The combined search string used in all databases was: ("smart transportation" OR "intelligent transport systems" OR "urban mobility") AND ("big data" OR "data analytics" OR "machine learning") AND ("Internet of Things" OR "IoT"). This formulation ensured comprehensive retrieval of literature that addressed all three thematic domains. The structured search strategy, following approaches such as those used by Oladimeji et al. (2023), helped maintain a balance between specificity and breadth, capturing both core and peripheral discussions relevant to the topic.

To ensure that only pertinent literature was reviewed, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. Included studies had to meet the following conditions: they must have addressed smart city transportation or mobility, incorporated big data technologies, included IoT or sensor-based infrastructure, and been published in English-language, peer-reviewed journals or reputable conferences between 2015 and 2024. The date range was chosen to reflect the most current and relevant technological advancements. Excluded studies were those that focused solely on non-urban or rural transportation systems without applicability to smart city contexts, lacked any analytical or methodological focus, were duplicate records, or consisted of conceptual papers without empirical or applied analysis.

The review process involved a two-stage screening. In the initial phase, article titles and abstracts were assessed for relevance, and irrelevant works were excluded. Articles that passed the first stage underwent full-text screening to evaluate their methodological rigor, scope of analysis, and alignment with the research objectives. Each selected article was examined for its treatment of data integration, system design, real-time analytics, or policy implications in the context of intelligent transport systems.

Given the narrative nature of this review, a broad range of study designs was considered. This included empirical studies using case studies, simulations, field experiments, pilot implementations, and data-driven assessments. Some studies employed mixed-method approaches, integrating quantitative data analysis with qualitative assessments of stakeholder perspectives. These diverse methodologies enriched the review by offering both technical insights and socio-institutional perspectives.

Throughout the analysis, a thematic synthesis approach was employed. Relevant studies were categorized based on emergent themes such as data integration architectures, predictive analytics in mobility, interoperability of smart systems, cybersecurity challenges, and urban policy frameworks. This categorization provided a structure for the Results and Discussion sections, enabling a coherent narrative that links technological innovation to real-world challenges and policy contexts. The narrative review methodology thus ensured a flexible yet rigorous synthesis of interdisciplinary literature, facilitating the identification of gaps, opportunities, and directions for future research in the field of smart transportation and big data integration.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of findings from the selected literature revealed three major thematic areas influencing the integration of intelligent transportation systems and big data technologies in smart cities: technological factors, socio-cultural dynamics, and economic-policy drivers. These themes emerged consistently across studies conducted in various regions, demonstrating a shared global interest in optimizing urban mobility through advanced digital interventions.

The technological dimension of smart transportation systems has undergone substantial innovation in recent years, driven primarily by advancements in Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence (AI). These technologies have been identified as critical enablers of intelligent transport operations. Mehmood et al. (2017) highlighted the application of Markovian big data analytics to optimize traffic flow and reduce congestion in urban centers, offering quantifiable improvements in transportation efficiency. This method provided a statistical model for predicting and adapting to dynamic transport conditions. Meanwhile, Oladimeji et al. (2023) demonstrated the vital role of IoT in enabling system responsiveness by interconnecting smart devices across the urban transport infrastructure, facilitating real-time data exchange and automation.

Moumen et al. (2023) further emphasized the importance of combining AI-based analytics with IoT sensors for adaptive traffic signal control and predictive maintenance of transportation assets.

Their research underscored the varied outcomes of such integration depending on regional policy support and technological adoption levels. Another notable advancement was presented by Özkaynak et al. (2024), who explored neuro-inspired algorithms for real-time traffic management, introducing human-centric computational approaches that emulate neural systems for more nuanced, adaptive responses in traffic control.

In parallel, emerging technologies such as autonomous delivery drones and electric vehicle (EV)-enabled crowdshipping platforms have shown transformative effects on last-mile logistics and urban distribution networks. Deja et al. (2024) examined the deployment of these technologies within smart manufacturing hubs and found that they significantly reduced delivery times and environmental impacts when implemented within well-coordinated logistical ecosystems. These multi-technology innovations, spanning AI, IoT, drone systems, and EV logistics, mark a systemic transformation in smart transportation paradigms, bridging the mobility and sustainability agendas.

However, the comparative effectiveness of these technologies varied widely across regions and sectors. Mehmood et al. (2017) noted that data-driven transport optimization had a higher impact in technologically mature cities with strong digital infrastructure. Similarly, Deja et al. (2024) contrasted the integration of electric vehicle-based logistics in diverse industrial zones, highlighting that industrial readiness and regulatory support greatly influenced implementation outcomes. Moumen et al. (2023) corroborated these findings, stating that IoT and AI-based monitoring systems performed best in regions with proactive digital governance. These studies collectively suggest that context-specific variables such as regulatory frameworks, organizational culture, and physical infrastructure play pivotal roles in determining the success of smart transportation technologies.

Beyond the technological layer, the literature revealed significant socio-cultural influences on the public perception and acceptance of smart transportation systems. Public responses to technological innovations ranged from enthusiastic adoption due to improved efficiency and accessibility to apprehension regarding data privacy, surveillance, and potential job displacement. Oladimeji et al. (2023) and Özkaynak et al. (2024) emphasized that these concerns could undermine system effectiveness if not addressed through transparent communication and ethical data governance.

The incorporation of human-centric design principles in neuro-inspired transportation control models, as proposed by Özkaynak et al. (2024), highlighted the importance of aligning technical functionalities with user expectations and societal values. This perspective was further supported by Bresciani et al. (2016), who found that integrated reward systems and behavior-based incentives increased public engagement and positively influenced modal shifts in urban transport. Such strategies enabled alignment between technological capabilities and social motivations, enhancing the legitimacy and acceptance of smart transportation initiatives.

Cultural norms and local value systems were also critical in shaping implementation outcomes. Bresciani et al. (2016) and Alam et al. (2024) identified variations in community trust and institutional engagement across regions, noting that collective-oriented cultures with high levels of institutional trust were more receptive to centralized smart mobility planning. In contrast, communities with strong preferences for individual autonomy expressed greater resistance to

intrusive digital interventions. This divergence underscores the importance of culturally responsive policy design and the need for participatory frameworks in technology deployment.

In urban regions with high population densities and advanced urbanization, studies have shown that community-based participation and localized regulatory frameworks significantly enhance the adaptability of smart transport solutions. For instance, Bresciani et al. (2016) demonstrated that in European pilot cities, community involvement in planning and implementation led to greater acceptance and usage of smart mobility services. These findings suggest that social legitimacy, in tandem with regulatory clarity, is a prerequisite for sustainable technology adoption.

Economic and policy factors were also found to be decisive in determining the scale and pace of smart transportation integration. Literature across diverse geographical settings consistently identified economic incentives and state-backed regulatory frameworks as key enablers. Gürel and Serdarasan (2024) provided a compelling analysis of how financial incentives, such as pollution-reduction subsidies and grants for drone delivery systems, catalyzed private sector involvement in sustainable logistics. These initiatives aligned commercial innovation with public environmental goals, fostering collaboration and accelerating adoption.

Osetrin (2024) presented evidence on the effectiveness of dynamic parking pricing policies as tools to manage vehicular density and promote sustainable transport modes. The study found that strategic tariff adjustments significantly reduced traffic congestion and improved urban air quality. The synergy between regulatory instruments and market mechanisms thus emerged as a crucial lever for transport system reform.

International comparisons provided further insight into the differentiated effectiveness of policy models. Mitteregger et al. (2023) illustrated that nations with integrated policy architectures and well-funded innovation ecosystems, such as those in Western Europe, achieved higher rates of automation and digital infrastructure deployment in transport systems. Their regulatory clarity, fiscal incentives, and collaborative public-private innovation platforms were instrumental in ensuring systemic uptake of intelligent mobility technologies.

Conversely, Alam et al. (2024) documented the unique constraints faced by developing countries, including bureaucratic inertia and fragmented infrastructure. In these settings, effective policies often relied on simpler fiscal interventions, such as direct subsidies for public transport or reduced tariffs for eco-friendly vehicle usage. The findings indicate that policy efficacy is context-dependent, requiring a calibrated blend of institutional readiness, economic feasibility, and stakeholder coordination.

Taken together, the reviewed literature reveals a highly interdependent ecosystem in which technology, society, and policy co-evolve. The success of smart transportation initiatives is not determined solely by technical excellence but by the alignment of multiple systemic elements: digital infrastructure, community engagement, regulatory frameworks, and financial mechanisms. This triangulation of findings underscores the necessity for a multidisciplinary approach in designing and implementing intelligent transport systems that are adaptive to local contexts while informed by global best practices.

The findings presented in this review affirm the growing convergence between advanced technologies and socio-political frameworks as central to the success of intelligent transportation

systems (ITS) in smart cities. The integration of big data analytics, Internet of Things (IoT) architectures, and machine learning algorithms has undoubtedly reshaped urban mobility infrastructures. However, a deeper analysis of the literature suggests that technical efficacy alone is insufficient to ensure the operational sustainability of smart transport solutions. The absence of coherent economic policies and inadequate public participation often undermines the transformative potential of these technologies. This analysis resonates with Mehmood et al. (2017), who highlighted the importance of data analytics in optimizing transport operations, while also illustrating a contrast with the technology-centric emphasis of Oladimeji et al. (2023), which overlooks the broader systemic context. Similarly, the interpretive model offered by Sreenivasan et al. (2023) indicates that sustainable mobility must evolve through systemic and collaborative strategies that incorporate governance, policy, and community-level dynamics. By juxtaposing these perspectives, this review aims to address the prevailing gaps by offering an integrative framework that aligns technological innovation with socio-political and economic dimensions.

The success of ITS implementation is significantly affected by systemic constraints embedded in institutional structures. One of the most pressing challenges, as noted in the literature, is bureaucratic rigidity. Regulatory frameworks that are slow to adapt to technological innovation pose a significant hurdle to the deployment of smart mobility systems. For example, Özkaynak et al. (2024) reported that while AI and neuro-inspired algorithms can enhance system responsiveness, their implementation is frequently hindered by the lack of institutional capacity to absorb and operationalize such technologies. In parallel, Sreenivasan et al. (2023) emphasized that decentralized administrative models and fragmented governance often disrupt cross-sectoral coordination, making it difficult to align transport reforms with broader urban development goals. These institutional bottlenecks are not merely procedural; they reflect deeper structural issues in governance that inhibit real-time data integration, inter-agency collaboration, and strategic investment in smart infrastructure.

Moreover, there is a persistent gap in regulatory agility. The literature points to a mismatch between the pace of technological advancement and the evolution of regulatory systems. Rapid developments in AI, IoT, and autonomous logistics require regulatory frameworks that are both anticipatory and adaptive. However, existing policy instruments are frequently reactive and overly rigid, failing to account for the dynamic nature of smart mobility innovations. The lack of regulatory foresight becomes particularly problematic in contexts where legal ambiguity inhibits experimentation with new mobility models, such as shared autonomous transport or crowdshipping networks. As reported by several studies, including those by Deja et al. (2024), the absence of supportive policy environments often deters private-sector participation, which is crucial for scaling up innovations in urban mobility.

Beyond governance and regulation, the fragmentation of institutional responsibilities creates further challenges for integration. Transportation systems in many countries are managed by a complex matrix of local, regional, and national agencies, often with overlapping mandates and conflicting priorities. This disjointed approach leads to inconsistent data standards, duplicated efforts, and inefficient resource allocation. According to Moumen et al. (2023), such fragmentation impairs the seamless exchange of information between entities, limiting the effectiveness of data-driven traffic management systems. Furthermore, the lack of shared platforms and interoperable

protocols exacerbates the problem, making it difficult to harmonize data across various stakeholders in real time.

In light of these challenges, a number of policy and community-driven solutions have been proposed in the literature. One of the most prominent recommendations involves the deployment of fiscal incentives and economic subsidies to promote the adoption of green mobility technologies. Deja et al. (2024) illustrated how subsidies for electric vehicles and financial support for drone-based logistics have spurred private investment in clean transportation. These strategies not only lower the cost barriers to adoption but also signal government commitment to sustainable innovation, thereby fostering investor confidence. Similarly, Osetrin (2024) emphasized the role of pricing strategies, such as dynamic parking tariffs, in shaping commuter behavior and reducing urban congestion.

At the community level, participatory planning models have gained increasing recognition for their potential to bridge the gap between technological interventions and public acceptance. Oladimeji et al. (2023) and Bresciani et al. (2016) both underscored the value of involving local stakeholders in the design and monitoring of transportation systems. These approaches help tailor mobility solutions to local needs and preferences while enhancing the transparency and accountability of urban transport governance. In practice, participatory models can include public consultations, citizen advisory boards, and real-time feedback platforms that allow users to contribute to system design and refinement.

Moreover, integrating community feedback mechanisms into transport policy has the added benefit of mitigating resistance to change. Resistance is often rooted in fear—fear of surveillance, job displacement, or loss of autonomy. As discussed by Özkaynak et al. (2024), addressing these fears through inclusive communication and transparent governance structures is essential for ensuring long-term sustainability. These considerations are particularly relevant in culturally diverse and socioeconomically stratified urban settings, where trust in public institutions may vary widely.

A hybrid governance model that combines centralized oversight with decentralized operational flexibility appears to offer a promising pathway forward. Such a model would allow for the standardization of data protocols and system architectures while granting local authorities the autonomy to adapt solutions to specific regional contexts. This approach aligns with the policy frameworks described by Mitteregger et al. (2023), who documented successful cases of mobility innovation in regions with well-integrated regulatory systems and robust public-private partnerships. Conversely, Alam et al. (2024) showed that in developing countries where centralized governance often coexists with resource constraints, simplified policy tools such as direct subsidies or reduced tariffs have proven effective in promoting basic smart mobility solutions.

Despite the encouraging findings, the existing body of literature is not without limitations. A recurrent issue is the lack of empirical studies that evaluate the long-term outcomes of policy and technological interventions. While pilot projects and case studies provide valuable insights, they often lack scalability and contextual transferability. Additionally, there is a tendency in the literature to overemphasize technological feasibility at the expense of institutional dynamics and cultural factors. As noted by several scholars, including Sreenivasan et al. (2023) and Moumen et al. (2023),

the success of smart transportation systems hinges not only on technical excellence but also on socio-political alignment.

Another limitation pertains to data availability and quality. Many studies rely on proprietary or localized datasets that limit comparative analysis across regions. This impedes the development of generalizable models and hinders the formulation of universal policy recommendations. Furthermore, ethical concerns related to data privacy and surveillance remain underexplored in the context of ITS deployment. While these concerns are acknowledged in principle, few studies offer concrete strategies for safeguarding user rights in the era of pervasive data collection.

Finally, the review identifies a pressing need for interdisciplinary research that bridges the gap between engineering, policy, and social sciences. Future investigations should aim to develop integrative frameworks that account for the multi-layered nature of smart transportation systems. These frameworks should be capable of capturing the complex interactions between infrastructure, institutions, and individuals, thereby providing a more holistic basis for decision-making. Only through such cross-cutting approaches can the field advance from fragmented innovation to systemic transformation in urban mobility.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes the importance of integrating advanced technologies, such as big data, machine learning, and IoT, with policy and socio-cultural frameworks for the successful implementation of intelligent transportation systems (ITS) in smart cities. The findings reveal that while technological innovations have significantly enhanced operational efficiency in urban mobility, their success is contingent upon the alignment of regulatory frameworks, institutional cooperation, and public acceptance. The study identifies critical barriers such as bureaucratic rigidity, fragmented governance, and inadequate policy adaptation, which hinder the effective deployment of ITS solutions. To address these issues, the study recommends the adoption of flexible and adaptive regulatory frameworks, increased public-private collaboration, and community-driven planning processes. Additionally, further research is needed to bridge the gap between technical feasibility and socio-political dynamics, ensuring that future smart transportation systems are inclusive, sustainable, and contextually relevant. The findings highlight that addressing these systemic challenges is crucial for realizing the full potential of smart transportation technologies, particularly in regions with rapidly urbanizing populations.

REFERENCE

Alam, T., Gupta, R., Ahamed, N., Ullah, A., & Almaghthwi, A. (2024). Smart mobility adoption in sustainable smart cities to establish a growing ecosystem: challenges and opportunities. *Mrs Energy & Sustainability*, 11(2), 304–316. https://doi.org/10.1557/s43581-024-00092-4

Bresciani, C., Colorni, A., Lia, F., Luè, A., & Nocerino, R. (2016). Behavioral change and social innovation through reward: an integrated engagement system for personal mobility, urban

logistics and housing efficiency. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 14, 353–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2016.05.087

Deja, A., Ślączka, W., Kaup, M., Szołtysek, J., Dzhuguryan, L., & Dzhuguryan, T. (2024). Supply chain management in smart city manufacturing clusters: an alternative approach to urban freight mobility with electric vehicles. *Energies*, 17(21), 5284. https://doi.org/10.3390/en17215284

Dudek, T., & Kujawski, A. (2022). The concept of big data management with various transportation systems sources as a key role in smart cities development. *Energies*, 15(24), 9506. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15249506

Gürel, Ö., & Serdarasan, Ş. (2024). Drone-assisted last-mile delivery under windy conditions: zero pollution solutions. *Smart Cities*, 7(6), 3437–3457. https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities7060134

Mehmood, R., Meriton, R., Graham, G., Hennelly, P., & Kumar, M. (2017). Exploring the influence of big data on city transport operations: a markovian approach. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(1), 75–104. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijopm-03-2015-0179

Mitteregger, M., Bruck, E., Soteropoulos, A., Stickler, A., Berger, M., Dangschat, J., … & Banerjee, I. (2023). *Avenue21. Planning and policy considerations for an age of automated mobility*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-67004-0

Moumen, I., Abouchabaka, J., & Rafalia, N. (2023). Enhancing urban mobility: integration of IoT road traffic data and artificial intelligence in smart city environment. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 32(2), 985–993. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v32.i2.pp985-993

Oladimeji, D., Gupta, K., Kose, N., Gundogan, K., Ge, L., & Liang, F. (2023). Smart transportation: an overview of technologies and applications. *Sensors*, 23(8), 3880. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23083880

Osetrin, M. (2024). Development of pricing policy for car parking in Ukrainian cities. *Systemy Logistyczne Wojsk*, 61(2), 37–52. https://doi.org/10.37055/slw/203435

Özkaynak, B., Aras, N., Çetinkaya, İ., Ersoy, C., İncel, Ö., Koca, M., … & Yücesoy, C. (2024). Neurochallenges in smart cities: state-of-the-art, perspectives, and research directions. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 18. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2024.1279668

Rashid, M., Kamruzzaman, J., Hassan, M., Imam, T., & Gordon, S. (2020). Cyberattacks detection in IoT-based smart city applications using machine learning techniques. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(24), 9347. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249347

Sreenivasan, A., Suresh, M., Nedungadi, P., Sreedharan, V., & Raman, R. (2023). Interpretive structural modeling: research trends, linkages to sustainable development goals, and impact of COVID-19. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 4195. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054195

Ambekar, S., Roy, D., Hiray, A., Prakash, A., & Patyal, V. (2021). Barriers to adoption of reverse logistics: a case of construction, real estate, infrastructure and project (crip) sectors. Engineering Construction & Architectural Management, 29(7), 2878-2902. https://doi.org/10.1108/ecam-02-2021-0112

Chen, X., Qiu, D., & Chen, Y. (2024). Reverse logistics in the construction industry: status quo, challenges and opportunities. Buildings, 14(6), 1850. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14061850

Charef, R., Morel, J., & Rakhshan, K. (2021). Barriers to implementing the circular economy in the construction industry: a critical review. Sustainability, 13(23), 12989. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132312989

Fofou, R., Jiang, Z., Gong, Q., & Yang, Y. (2022). A decision-making model for remanufacturing facility location in underdeveloped countries: a capacitated facility location problem approach. Sustainability, 14(22), 15204. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142215204

Franz, N. and Silva, C. (2022). Waste electrical and electronic equipment (weee): global and contemporary challenge to production chains and the urban environment. Gestão & Produção, 29. https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9649-2022v29e6621

Ambekar, S., Roy, D., Hiray, A., Prakash, A., & Patyal, V. (2021). Barriers to adoption of reverse logistics: a case of construction, real estate, infrastructure and project (crip) sectors. Engineering Construction & Architectural Management, 29(7), 2878-2902. https://doi.org/10.1108/ecam-02-2021-0112

Chen, X., Qiu, D., & Chen, Y. (2024). Reverse logistics in the construction industry: status quo, challenges and opportunities. Buildings, 14(6), 1850. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14061850

Charef, R., Morel, J., & Rakhshan, K. (2021). Barriers to implementing the circular economy in the construction industry: a critical review. Sustainability, 13(23), 12989. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132312989

Fofou, R., Jiang, Z., Gong, Q., & Yang, Y. (2022). A decision-making model for remanufacturing facility location in underdeveloped countries: a capacitated facility location problem approach. Sustainability, 14(22), 15204. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142215204

Franz, N. and Silva, C. (2022). Waste electrical and electronic equipment (weee): global and contemporary challenge to production chains and the urban environment. Gestão & Produção, 29. https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9649-2022v29e6621