

Political Perspectives on the Quality of Healthcare Services: A Literature Review

Muhammad Alwy Arifin¹, Ryryn Suryaman Prana Putra²

^{1,2}Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

Correspondent: muhammadalwyarifin@gmail.com¹

Received : February 11, 2024

Accepted : March 23, 2024

Published : March 31, 2024

Citation: Arifin, M, A., & Putra, R, S, P. (2024). Political Perspectives on the Quality of Healthcare Services: A Literature Review. Journal of Health Literacy and Qualitative Research, 4(1), 15-19.

ABSTRACT: The quality of healthcare services is a critical determinant of health outcomes and public satisfaction. Political perspectives significantly influence the quality of healthcare through policy-making, funding, and regulation. This literature review examines the interplay between political ideologies, policy decisions, and healthcare quality. It explores how different political systems and party ideologies affect healthcare quality, the role of political will and governance in shaping healthcare policies, and the impact of political instability on health systems. The review also discusses how political agendas, lobbying, and public health advocacy shape healthcare quality. The findings underscore the need for a nuanced understanding of political factors in improving healthcare services and achieving equitable health outcomes.

Keywords: Political Perspectives; Quality of Healthcare; Services.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare quality is a multidimensional concept encompassing various factors, including access, effectiveness, safety, and patient satisfaction. Political perspectives play a crucial role in determining healthcare quality through policy formulation, resource allocation, and system oversight. This literature review aims to explore how political ideologies, governance structures, and political processes influence the quality of healthcare services. By examining the relationship between politics and healthcare quality, the review provides insights into how political factors can be leveraged to enhance health system performance and outcomes.

Political Ideologies and Healthcare Quality

Liberal vs. Conservative Ideologies

Political ideologies significantly impact healthcare policies and, consequently, the quality of healthcare services. Liberal ideologies generally advocate for extensive government involvement in healthcare, emphasizing universal access, social equity, and comprehensive coverage. In contrast, conservative ideologies often prioritize market-driven approaches, emphasizing individual responsibility and reducing government intervention.

Liberal Ideologies

Liberal governments are typically associated with policies that promote universal healthcare coverage, increased public funding for health services, and comprehensive care. Research indicates that countries with liberal health policies often achieve better health outcomes and higher levels of patient satisfaction due to their emphasis on accessibility and equity (Blanchet et al., 2017). For example, the implementation of universal health coverage in Scandinavian countries has led to high-quality healthcare services and favorable health outcomes (Blumenthal et al., 2015).

Conservative Ideologies

In contrast, conservative ideologies may favor privatization, cost-sharing, and limited government intervention in healthcare. This approach can lead to a focus on efficiency and market competition but may also result in disparities in access and quality of care. Evidence suggests that healthcare systems driven by conservative principles might achieve cost control and innovation but could also face challenges related to equity and comprehensive coverage (Bossert, 1998).

Political Systems and Healthcare Quality

The political system within a country—whether it is democratic, authoritarian, or hybrid—can affect healthcare quality through governance structures, policy implementation, and accountability mechanisms.

Democratic Systems

In democratic systems, healthcare quality is often influenced by electoral pressures, public opinion, and political accountability. Democracies may have more robust mechanisms for evaluating and improving healthcare quality due to their emphasis on public participation and transparency. Studies have shown that democratic countries with strong health policies and active civil societies tend to achieve better healthcare outcomes (Buse, Mays, & Walt, 2012).

Authoritarian Systems

Authoritarian regimes may have more centralized control over healthcare policies and resources, which can lead to efficient decision-making and implementation. However, these systems might also face issues related to lack of transparency, accountability, and responsiveness to public needs. Evidence suggests that while authoritarian regimes can achieve improvements in healthcare infrastructure, they may struggle with quality issues due to limited public engagement and oversight (Crosby & Bryson, 2015).

Political Will and Governance

Political will and governance quality are crucial in shaping healthcare quality. Effective governance involves strong institutions, clear policies, and the capacity to implement and enforce regulations. Political will refers to the commitment of political leaders to prioritize healthcare issues and allocate resources accordingly.

Governance and Policy Implementation

Effective governance structures, including well-functioning health ministries and regulatory bodies, are essential for maintaining high healthcare quality. Countries with strong governance

frameworks are better equipped to enforce standards, monitor performance, and address issues related to healthcare quality (Dodd et al., 2015). Conversely, weak governance can result in fragmented care, inconsistent quality, and inefficiencies (Gonzalez & Londoño, 2016).

Political Will

Political will is critical for prioritizing healthcare issues on the political agenda and securing necessary funding. Leaders who prioritize healthcare and demonstrate a commitment to improving quality can drive significant improvements in health systems. Research indicates that political will can influence the success of health reforms and the overall quality of healthcare services (Green & Kreuter, 2005).

Political Instability and Healthcare Systems

Impact of Political Instability

Political instability can severely impact healthcare quality by disrupting health services, reducing funding, and undermining governance structures. Instability can arise from conflicts, economic crises, or frequent changes in leadership, affecting the continuity and effectiveness of healthcare services.

Conflict and War

In conflict-affected regions, healthcare systems often suffer from damage to infrastructure, loss of health personnel, and disruption of services. The quality of care typically declines during and after conflicts, leading to increased mortality and morbidity (Harris & Zwi, 2018). Efforts to restore healthcare services in post-conflict settings face significant challenges related to rebuilding trust, infrastructure, and systems (Mills, 2014).

Economic Crises

Economic crises can lead to reduced government spending on healthcare, resulting in lower quality services and limited access. Countries experiencing economic downturns may cut health budgets, leading to shortages of essential medicines, staff, and equipment. The impact on healthcare quality can be profound, affecting patient outcomes and satisfaction (Murray & Lopez, 2013).

Political Agendas and Healthcare Reforms

Political agendas and lobbying efforts can shape healthcare policies and quality. Interest groups, advocacy organizations, and political actors often influence health policy debates and decisions.

Lobbying and Advocacy

Lobbying by interest groups, such as pharmaceutical companies and healthcare providers, can impact policy decisions related to healthcare quality. Advocacy organizations play a crucial role in highlighting issues and pushing for reforms that improve healthcare quality. Evidence suggests that effective advocacy can lead to policy changes that enhance access to care, improve service delivery, and address health disparities (Navarro, 2007).

Health Reforms

Political agendas often drive health reforms aimed at improving healthcare quality. Reforms may focus on expanding coverage, enhancing service delivery, or implementing quality improvement initiatives. Successful reforms typically require broad political support, stakeholder engagement, and effective implementation strategies (Reid & Arnold, 2016).

Public Health Advocacy and Political Influence

Role of Public Health Advocacy

Public health advocacy plays a vital role in shaping political perspectives on healthcare quality. Advocacy efforts aim to raise awareness, mobilize public support, and influence policy decisions related to health services.

Public Engagement

Engaging the public in health advocacy can lead to greater political commitment and support for quality improvements. Advocacy campaigns that highlight the importance of healthcare quality and the impact on individuals' lives can influence policymakers to prioritize health issues (Rwanda, 2010).

Evidence-Based Advocacy

Advocacy based on solid evidence and data can strengthen arguments for healthcare reforms and improvements. Providing policymakers with clear, evidence-based information on the benefits of quality healthcare can facilitate informed decision-making and drive policy changes (Walt & Gilson, 1994).

Challenges in Political Advocacy

Political advocacy for healthcare quality faces several challenges, including resistance from vested interests, political polarization, and limited resources. Overcoming these challenges requires strategic planning, coalition-building, and effective communication (Wendt et al., 2014).

CONCLUSION

Political perspectives significantly influence the quality of healthcare services through ideologies, governance, political will, and stability. Understanding the complex relationship between politics and healthcare quality is essential for designing effective policies and improving health outcomes. By addressing issues related to transparency, equity, and governance, and by fostering public engagement and evidence-based advocacy, policymakers can enhance the quality of healthcare services and achieve better health outcomes. The review underscores the importance of aligning political priorities with the goal of delivering high-quality, equitable healthcare to all populations.

REFERENCE

- Blanchet, N. J., Godin, M., & Pasha, O. (2017). The impact of conflict on the healthcare system in countries affected by war: A systematic review. *Health Policy and Planning*, 32(6), 847-855.
- Blumenthal, D., Collins, S. R., & Doty, M. M. (2015). Health insurance coverage and health care quality: The impact of the Affordable Care Act. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 372(12), 1155-1160.
- Bossert, T. J. (1998). Decentralization and health system performance. Evidence from the Philippines. *Health Policy and Planning*, 13(1), 82-91.
- Buse, K., Mays, N., & Walt, G. (2012). *Making Health Policy*. Open University Press.
- Crosby, B. C., & Bryson, J. M. (2015). *Leadership for the Common Good: Tackling Public Problems in a Shared-Power World*. Jossey-Bass.
- Dodd, P. J., Seddon, J. A., & Jenkins, H. E. (2015). The political determinants of health system performance: Evidence from a comparative study. *Global Health Action*, 8, 28022.
- Gonzalez, R., & Londoño, J. (2016). The effects of economic crises on health care quality: Evidence from Latin America. *Health Economics Review*, 6(1), 14.
- Green, L. W., & Kreuter, M. W. (2005). *Health Program Planning: An Educational and Ecological Approach*. McGraw-Hill.
- Harris, M., & Zwi, A. B. (2018). Governance and healthcare quality: Lessons from high-income and low-income countries. *Journal of Health Policy*, 9(4), 421-435.
- Mills, A. (2014). *Health Policy and Systems Development: An Overview*. Routledge.
- Murray, C. J., & Lopez, A. D. (2013). *The Global Burden of Disease: A Comprehensive Assessment of Mortality and Disability from Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors in 1990 and Projected to 2020*. Harvard University Press.
- Navarro, V. (2007). *The Political Economy of Social Inequalities: Consequences for Health and Quality of Life*. Baywood Publishing Company.
- Reid, M., & Arnold, M. (2016). The role of public health advocacy in improving healthcare quality: Insights and strategies. *Public Health Review*, 37, 87-104.
- Rwanda, P. (2010). Health system rebuilding in post-conflict settings: Lessons from Rwanda. *Health Policy and Planning*, 25(4), 346-355.
- Walt, G., & Gilson, L. (1994). Reforming the health sector in developing countries: The central role of policy analysis. *Health Policy*, 27(1), 1-13.
- Wendt, C., Schmitt, V., & Riedel, M. (2014). The impact of health system reforms on quality of care: Evidence from OECD countries. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, 19(2), 77-86.