

Health Literacy Disparities: Systemic Barriers and Community Based Interventions for Equitable Healthcare Access

Herlina Yusuf

Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu, Indonesia

Correspondent: herlinayusuf@unismuhpalu.ac.id

Received : August 10, 2021

Accepted : September 21, 2021

Published : September 30, 2021

Citation: Yusuf, H. (2021). Health Literacy Disparities: Systemic Barriers and Community Based Interventions for Equitable Healthcare Access. Journal of Health Literacy and Qualitative Research, 1(2), 42-56.

ABSTRACT: Health literacy plays a vital role in addressing health disparities and improving healthcare access among marginalized communities. This study explores the relationship between education, economic factors, digital accessibility, and community-based interventions in shaping health literacy levels. A systematic narrative review was conducted, utilizing multiple databases to identify and synthesize relevant literature. The results highlight that higher education levels significantly enhance health knowledge and healthcare engagement, while financial constraints and technological disparities act as barriers to health literacy. Community-based health programs and digital health interventions have shown promise in improving health literacy outcomes, yet systemic challenges persist. This study emphasizes the need for integrated policy approaches that incorporate health education into formal curricula, expand financial support for underserved populations, and improve digital health accessibility. Training healthcare providers in culturally responsive communication strategies is also essential for improving patient-provider interactions. Future research should focus on evaluating long-term intervention outcomes, digital literacy training effectiveness, and interdisciplinary approaches integrating healthcare, education, and technology. Addressing these gaps will contribute to a more inclusive healthcare system, empowering individuals to make informed health decisions and promoting health equity globally.

Keywords: Health Literacy, Marginalized Communities, Health Disparities, Digital Health, Community-Based Interventions, Health Policy, Health Education.



This is an open access article under the
CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Health literacy is a fundamental determinant of health outcomes, as it influences individuals' ability to access, comprehend, and apply health-related information in making informed decisions about their well-being. Within marginalized communities, health literacy is shaped by a complex interplay of socioeconomic, educational, and cultural factors that affect individuals' capacity to navigate healthcare systems and adhere to medical recommendations (1–3). Although health literacy encompasses basic reading and comprehension skills, it extends beyond these abilities to include knowledge of healthcare rights, the ability to evaluate health information critically, and the skills

required to engage effectively with healthcare providers (4,5). Addressing the barriers to health literacy is imperative, as inadequate health literacy has been consistently associated with poorer health outcomes, higher rates of hospitalization, and increased healthcare costs (6,7).

Education is one of the most significant determinants of health literacy. Individuals with lower levels of formal education often experience difficulties in understanding medical information, leading to suboptimal health behaviors and adverse outcomes (4,5). The association between education and health literacy is particularly pronounced in marginalized communities, where disparities in educational attainment exacerbate health inequities. Furthermore, access to reliable health information remains a persistent challenge. Many marginalized populations face significant barriers to obtaining accurate and comprehensible health information due to infrastructural limitations, digital divides, and language barriers (6). The lack of tailored and culturally sensitive health communication strategies further compounds these difficulties, contributing to misinformation and limited engagement with healthcare services (7).

Social and cultural influences also play a critical role in shaping health literacy within marginalized communities. Normative beliefs and community attitudes can affect how individuals perceive and respond to health information, particularly in the case of stigmatized conditions such as infectious diseases or mental health disorders (8,9). Stigma may discourage individuals from seeking medical assistance or discussing health concerns openly, leading to delays in diagnosis and treatment (10,11). Additionally, community-based approaches that involve local stakeholders in health education initiatives have been shown to enhance engagement and trust in healthcare interventions (12,13). By leveraging community knowledge and participation, these approaches foster a more inclusive and sustainable model for improving health literacy.

The consequences of limited health literacy are far-reaching and significantly impact healthcare access and utilization. Individuals with low health literacy may struggle to interpret prescription instructions, medical warnings, and health promotion materials, leading to decreased adherence to preventive measures and medical treatments (14,15). This knowledge gap contributes to late-stage disease diagnoses, avoidable complications, and an overall increase in the burden of disease within marginalized populations (1,16). Moreover, evidence suggests that health literacy deficits contribute to healthcare disparities, as individuals with low health literacy are less likely to engage with healthcare systems, seek preventive care, or advocate for their own medical needs (17,18). This reinforces a cycle of health disadvantage, where unmet medical needs perpetuate poor health outcomes and limit opportunities for social and economic advancement (19,20).

Community-based health interventions have demonstrated effectiveness in improving health literacy and empowering marginalized populations to take an active role in managing their health (21,22). Programs that integrate peer educators and community health workers have proven particularly beneficial, as they offer culturally relevant and linguistically appropriate health education (23,24). These initiatives help bridge the communication gap between healthcare providers and patients, ensuring that health messages are conveyed in ways that resonate with the target audience (25,26). Cultural competence in healthcare communication is essential for overcoming mistrust and ensuring that health literacy interventions achieve their intended impact.

Despite the growing body of research on health literacy, several gaps remain in the literature. While numerous studies have examined the relationship between education and health literacy, fewer have explored how digital health resources and emerging technologies can be harnessed to improve health literacy among marginalized populations (27,28). Additionally, while some studies have documented the effectiveness of community-based health programs, there is limited research on the long-term sustainability of these interventions and their scalability to broader populations (29,30). Moreover, policy-level interventions aimed at integrating health literacy into national education curricula and healthcare systems require further exploration to determine their effectiveness in reducing health disparities (4,31).

This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the factors influencing health literacy in marginalized communities and evaluate the effectiveness of various intervention strategies. Specifically, it will assess the role of education, socioeconomic status, cultural influences, and access to healthcare in shaping health literacy outcomes. Additionally, the review will explore the impact of digital health technologies and policy-driven initiatives in addressing health literacy disparities. By synthesizing existing research and identifying key knowledge gaps, this study seeks to inform the development of more effective and sustainable health literacy interventions.

The scope of this review will encompass studies conducted across diverse geographic regions, with a focus on low-income and historically marginalized populations. It will include research from both high-income and low- and middle-income countries to provide a comparative perspective on health literacy interventions and outcomes. Special attention will be given to community-based programs, digital health initiatives, and policy frameworks that have demonstrated potential in improving health literacy. By examining the successes and limitations of these approaches, this review will contribute to the growing discourse on health equity and inform strategies for fostering inclusive and accessible healthcare systems.

METHOD

This narrative review adopted a structured methodology to systematically collect, evaluate, and synthesize literature on health literacy interventions in marginalized communities. The selection of studies was conducted through a rigorous multi-step process to ensure the inclusion of relevant, high-quality research. The methodology encompassed database selection, keyword formulation, eligibility criteria definition, study selection, and quality assessment. These steps were designed to provide a comprehensive and reliable synthesis of existing research.

The literature search was conducted using multiple academic databases, including PubMed, CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature), Scopus, Google Scholar, Web of Science, and PLOS ONE. These databases were selected for their extensive coverage of peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, and empirical studies on public health, community health, and health literacy interventions. PubMed and CINAHL provided access to clinical and nursing research on health literacy (7,8), while Scopus and Web of Science offered multidisciplinary perspectives and citation tracking for prior studies on literacy and health outcomes (13,32). Google Scholar was used to retrieve grey literature, conference papers, and

policy documents (1), and PLOS ONE contributed open-access research on community-based health programs (14).

A comprehensive keyword strategy was employed to refine the literature search and maximize the retrieval of relevant studies. The search utilized Boolean operators and multiple keyword combinations, including "Health Literacy" AND "Marginalized Communities", which identified studies focusing on disparities in health information access and comprehension (10). The query "Community-Based Intervention" AND "Health Literacy" retrieved research on education programs designed to enhance health knowledge in underserved populations (33). Further, "Digital Health Literacy" AND "Low-Income Communities" targeted literature on technology-driven health interventions (34). Additional keyword combinations such as "Health Promotion" AND "Social Determinants" (35) and "Cultural Competence" AND "Health Literacy" (2) facilitated the inclusion of research examining broader social and cultural influences on health literacy.

To ensure the rigor of the review, predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. The inclusion criteria encompassed peer-reviewed journal articles, empirical research, and systematic reviews focused on health literacy interventions within marginalized communities. Studies published within the last ten years (2016–2021) were prioritized to capture recent advancements in health literacy interventions. Only studies published in English were considered to ensure consistency in analysis. Eligible studies included research on adolescent and adult populations in underserved communities and those reporting quantitative and qualitative data on intervention effectiveness.

Studies that lacked empirical data or focused solely on theoretical perspectives were excluded. Research conducted in high-income settings without addressing disparities was omitted to maintain relevance to marginalized communities. Additionally, studies with insufficient methodological rigor, such as those lacking control groups, relying on anecdotal evidence, or using small sample sizes, were excluded to maintain the validity of findings.

The review incorporated diverse research methodologies, including randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cohort studies, case studies, and qualitative research. RCTs were prioritized for their strong experimental designs in assessing intervention effectiveness (1). Cohort studies provided longitudinal insights into the impact of health literacy programs (34), while case studies illustrated the applicability of interventions across various community settings (2). Qualitative research was also included to explore participant perspectives, barriers, and facilitators of health literacy interventions (36).

The literature selection process was conducted in multiple stages. An initial database search yielded 2,500 articles, which were systematically filtered to remove duplicates ($n = 720$). The remaining 1,780 articles underwent title and abstract screening, eliminating those that did not meet inclusion criteria, reducing the dataset to 650 studies. A full-text review was then performed to assess the methodological rigor, population focus, and intervention effectiveness, leading to a final selection of 125 studies for inclusion in the synthesis.

The selected studies underwent quality appraisal using standardized evaluation frameworks. Quantitative studies were assessed based on sample size, study design, intervention details, statistical analysis, and outcome measures. For qualitative studies, thematic analysis frameworks

were applied to ensure rigor in data interpretation. Bias assessment was conducted to identify potential conflicts of interest and ascertain the objectivity of the included research. Only studies that met these criteria were incorporated into the review to ensure a reliable synthesis of evidence.

This systematic review followed a structured methodological approach to ensure a high level of rigor and validity in the synthesis of health literacy research. By employing a multi-database search, strategically formulated keyword combinations, and strict inclusion criteria, the study ensured the selection of high-quality and relevant literature. The findings contribute to the growing discourse on effective interventions for improving health literacy in marginalized communities and inform future policy recommendations and program development to reduce health disparities.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Relationship Between Education Level and Health Literacy in Underserved Communities

Research has consistently demonstrated a significant relationship between education level and health literacy in underserved communities. Higher levels of education are often associated with a better understanding of health concepts, improved access to health-related information, and more effective use of healthcare resources (37). A study by Apuleni et al. found that women with higher education levels were more proactive in seeking health information compared to those with lower educational attainment, suggesting that education serves as a key driver in raising awareness and knowledge about health in marginalized populations (37).

Health literacy is closely linked to an individual's ability to make informed decisions regarding their health. Sharma et al. (2020) highlighted that community-based interventions incorporating educational components significantly enhance participation rates and health outcomes (1). This suggests that education not only facilitates the comprehension of health information but also contributes to the adoption of healthier behaviors. The ability to navigate healthcare services, understand medication instructions, and participate in preventive health programs is markedly improved among individuals with higher education levels (38).

Educational disparities contribute significantly to health inequities. Nair et al. (2021) found that lower education levels among women in marginalized communities were associated with a higher prevalence of mental health disorders, perpetuating a cycle of instability and limited access to healthcare resources (39). Addressing these disparities requires targeted educational policies that incorporate culturally and socially relevant strategies to enhance health literacy in underserved populations.

The Impact of Economic Factors on Low Health Literacy Levels

Economic factors play a crucial role in determining health literacy levels within underserved communities. Poverty and financial constraints frequently hinder access to educational opportunities, thereby limiting individuals' ability to acquire essential health literacy skills (40). Yeshaw et al. (2021) noted that financial limitations often restrict individuals' access to accurate

health information, which in turn influences their healthcare decision-making (34). Furthermore, the affordability of healthcare services remains a significant barrier for economically disadvantaged groups, resulting in lower health literacy levels and poorer health outcomes (41).

Economic stability is closely linked to access to health education resources and healthcare services. Dean et al. (2019) observed that individuals with limited financial support often struggle with mental health issues, further impeding their ability to engage with health literacy initiatives (41). The availability of financial aid and social support systems can significantly improve health literacy by reducing stress and enabling individuals to seek and comprehend health-related information more effectively.

Interventions aimed at economic empowerment have shown positive impacts on health literacy. Programs providing financial literacy training, job skill development, and community-based support systems have been associated with increased confidence in navigating healthcare systems and making informed health (1,2). Economic empowerment, therefore, serves as an essential component in bridging health literacy gaps, particularly in low-income and marginalized populations.

The Effectiveness of Community-Based Health Education Programs

Community-based health education programs have been widely recognized as effective strategies for improving health literacy in underserved communities. Several key elements contribute to the success of these programs, including community engagement, evidence-based approaches, interactive learning methods, accessibility of educational materials, and sustained support.

Programs that actively involve community members in the design and implementation process tend to be more successful. Brewer et al. (2016) documented the effectiveness of the FAITH! initiative, which was implemented in African American communities to improve health literacy through locally led health promotion activities (7). By engaging community leaders and members, such programs foster trust and encourage participation, leading to better health outcomes.

Evidence-based learning strategies have also been instrumental in increasing health literacy levels. Latif et al. (2019) demonstrated that educational programs integrating validated health information and local relevance resulted in significant improvements in health knowledge and behavioral change (4). Interactive teaching methods, including group discussions, role-playing, and simulations, have been shown to enhance engagement and retention of health-related information (12).

The availability of culturally appropriate and accessible health education materials further enhances the effectiveness of community-based programs. Sharma et al. (2020) emphasized that educational materials presented in a community's native language and aligned with cultural norms significantly improve comprehension and application of health knowledge (1). Additionally, sustained support from healthcare professionals and community health workers has been linked to better long-term health literacy outcomes (2).

The Role of Digital Technology in Health Literacy

The growing adoption of digital health solutions has significantly influenced health literacy outcomes in marginalized communities. Mobile health (mHealth) applications, telehealth services, and online educational platforms have expanded access to health information, particularly in regions with limited healthcare infrastructure (1).

Studies have shown that mobile health applications can improve knowledge about disease management and preventive care among underserved populations. Sharma et al. (2020) reported that digital interventions increased awareness and self-management of chronic diseases such as diabetes and hypertension (38). Latif et al. (2019) further demonstrated that healthcare professionals who utilized digital platforms experienced improvements in their ability to communicate health information to patients from diverse backgrounds (4).

Despite its advantages, digital health interventions face several challenges. The digital divide—stemming from disparities in internet access, device availability, and digital literacy—remains a significant barrier in marginalized communities (37). Brewer et al. (2016) noted that individuals with lower socioeconomic status were less likely to engage with digital health tools due to limited technological proficiency (7). Concerns regarding data privacy and security also discourage participation in digital health literacy initiatives (41).

To optimize the benefits of digital health interventions, comprehensive strategies that address technological disparities and enhance digital literacy are required. Programs that integrate digital health literacy training with traditional community-based education initiatives have demonstrated greater success in improving overall health literacy (34).

Global Comparisons in Health Literacy Interventions

Health literacy interventions vary significantly across different regions, reflecting differences in policy frameworks, healthcare infrastructure, and sociocultural dynamics. In high-income countries such as the United States and the United Kingdom, health literacy initiatives often leverage technology-driven approaches, including digital education platforms and telehealth services (37). Government-funded programs support the development of national health literacy strategies, ensuring widespread access to educational resources and preventive healthcare services.

In contrast, low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) primarily rely on community-based interventions to improve health literacy. In India, community health workers play a crucial role in delivering health education, particularly in rural areas where formal healthcare services are scarce (1). Similarly, Zambia has implemented participatory health education programs targeting maternal and child health outcomes, demonstrating the effectiveness of localized, culturally sensitive approaches (37).

Successful case studies highlight best practices for improving health literacy. Australia's Men's Sheds initiative has been effective in promoting health awareness among older men through community-based support groups (13). Nepal's microfinance-integrated health education programs have improved maternal health literacy and prenatal care engagement (42). In South Africa, the KaziBantu Study demonstrated the success of school-based interventions in enhancing health literacy among children in underserved communities (2). The FAITH! initiative in the

United States serves as a model for faith-based health education programs that engage minority communities in preventive health measures (7).

These global comparisons emphasize the need for tailored health literacy interventions that address the specific challenges faced by different populations. While technology-driven approaches are effective in high-resource settings, community-led and culturally embedded programs remain crucial in LMICs. Integrating best practices from diverse settings can inform the development of more comprehensive and adaptable health literacy strategies worldwide.

1. Integration of Policy Strategies and Clinical Practice

The integration of inclusive health policies and responsive clinical practices is essential for fostering a more equitable healthcare system, particularly in marginalized communities. Latif et al. (2019) emphasized the necessity of interventions at multiple levels, from individual behaviors to structural policies, to enhance public health outcomes (4). Effective strategies involve bridging policy directives with clinical training programs that enable healthcare providers to address patients' social determinants of health. When healthcare policies actively engage communities in designing health programs, clinical practices become more adaptable to local contexts. Incorporating community voices into health policy discussions fosters innovation tailored to specific needs, thus enhancing health literacy and public health outcomes (12).

2. Expanding Access Through Technology

Digital technology plays a pivotal role in improving health literacy and access to information in underserved populations. Clinical practices can leverage technology-based tools, such as mobile applications and telehealth services, to disseminate essential health information and address communication barriers between patients and healthcare providers. Additionally, digital platforms offer opportunities for interactive health education through social media and online resources, catering to younger populations and digitally connected individuals (2).

However, the success of technology-driven interventions requires robust policy support to ensure accessibility across diverse socioeconomic groups. Digital literacy training initiatives, supported by government policies, can equip individuals with the necessary skills to navigate online health resources. Brewer et al. (2016) documented the effectiveness of digital literacy programs in marginalized communities, highlighting their role in empowering individuals to utilize health technologies effectively (7). Nevertheless, technological disparities persist, with low-income populations facing challenges related to internet connectivity, device affordability, and technical skills. Addressing these barriers is crucial for realizing the full potential of digital health literacy interventions.

3. Evidence-Based Practices and Community Feedback

Integrating evidence-based approaches with community feedback mechanisms is essential for enhancing health literacy interventions. Policymakers and healthcare practitioners must draw insights from prior interventions to design programs that align with cultural and contextual factors influencing health behaviors. Studies have demonstrated that participatory approaches yield better

health outcomes by incorporating the lived experiences of community members into program development (35).

Research on group-based health education models reveals that community-driven health programs significantly enhance literacy levels when tailored to specific cultural contexts. By forming partnerships with local organizations and trusted community figures, policymakers can establish sustainable health programs that foster long-term engagement. Huang et al. (2021) emphasized the role of culturally competent health education in improving health literacy, illustrating how localized interventions can address knowledge gaps more effectively (43). Ensuring community participation in intervention design allows for continuous refinement and adaptation based on feedback, increasing the efficacy of health literacy initiatives.

4. Recognizing Health Diversity

Health policies must acknowledge the diversity of healthcare needs within marginalized populations. Many healthcare systems fail to address the unique challenges of specific demographic groups due to the lack of targeted research and policy frameworks. Ensuring equity in healthcare access requires integrating social justice principles into policy development. Dean et al. (2019) underscored the importance of equitable healthcare policies in addressing disparities in access to services and health education (41). Recognizing the intersectionality of health determinants, including socioeconomic status, ethnicity, and geographic location, enables more effective policy interventions that cater to diverse population needs.

Efforts to improve health literacy must go beyond generalized public health messaging and embrace tailored strategies that consider cultural, linguistic, and social factors. For instance, interventions that provide multilingual educational materials and employ culturally aware healthcare professionals contribute to improved engagement and comprehension among non-native speakers. Furthermore, healthcare systems should invest in training programs that equip providers with skills to recognize and address disparities in health literacy among different patient populations.

5. Systemic Barriers to Health Literacy and Potential Solutions

Several systemic barriers contribute to persistent health literacy challenges in marginalized communities. Socioeconomic disparities, healthcare infrastructure deficiencies, and limited policy support for health education initiatives hinder progress in improving health literacy levels. Addressing these barriers requires a multi-faceted approach encompassing policy reforms, educational interventions, and healthcare system adaptations.

Educational inequities remain a major determinant of health literacy disparities. Individuals with lower educational attainment often lack the necessary skills to interpret health information effectively, resulting in poorer health outcomes (1). Strengthening school-based health education programs and incorporating health literacy curricula into primary and secondary education can lay a strong foundation for lifelong health literacy. In addition, adult education programs targeting underserved populations can provide essential skills for navigating healthcare systems and understanding health-related information.

Healthcare infrastructure constraints, particularly in low-resource settings, exacerbate health literacy disparities. Limited availability of healthcare providers, inadequate patient education resources, and time constraints in clinical encounters restrict opportunities for comprehensive health education. Implementing patient-centered communication strategies, such as teach-back methods and visual aids, can enhance patient comprehension and adherence to medical recommendations. Training healthcare professionals to engage in effective communication with patients with low literacy levels is crucial for improving health outcomes.

6. Expanded Discussion on Long Term Effectiveness of Interventions

While numerous studies underscore the immediate benefits of community based and digital health literacy interventions, evidence regarding their long term effectiveness remains limited. Longitudinal data are critical to evaluate whether initial improvements in health literacy persist and translate into sustained health behavior changes and outcomes. For instance, interventions that demonstrate short term gains in medication adherence or preventive care utilization may not maintain efficacy without continued engagement or follow up strategies. Moreover, cultural shifts, evolving technological landscapes, and community dynamics can alter intervention efficacy over time. Programs that incorporate mechanisms for adaptation, continuous community involvement, and iterative evaluation are more likely to succeed in the long term. Evidence from pilot projects, such as the KaziBantu study in South Africa and integrated microfinance health education models in Nepal, indicates promising potential for scalability and sustainability when interventions are embedded within existing social structures and supported by policy frameworks. However, comprehensive studies assessing multi year outcomes, cost effectiveness, and scalability remain a research imperative. Strengthening this evidence base will support the design of robust, adaptable models capable of reducing health literacy disparities across diverse marginalized populations over extended periods.

7. Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite substantial progress in understanding health literacy interventions, several research gaps persist. There is a need for more qualitative studies exploring the lived experiences of individuals with low health literacy to identify context-specific challenges and solutions. Additionally, longitudinal studies evaluating the long-term impact of health literacy programs on health behaviors and outcomes are limited. Future research should prioritize assessing the sustainability and scalability of successful interventions across different settings.

Interdisciplinary research integrating public health, education, and social sciences can provide a more comprehensive understanding of health literacy determinants. Investigating the intersection of digital literacy and health literacy can also offer valuable insights into the role of technology in addressing health disparities. Moreover, comparative studies examining the effectiveness of policy-driven versus community-based interventions can inform best practices for improving health literacy globally.

Addressing these research gaps will contribute to the development of evidence-based strategies for enhancing health literacy in marginalized communities. By leveraging a holistic approach that combines policy innovations, community engagement, and technological advancements,

healthcare systems can work towards reducing health literacy disparities and promoting equitable health outcomes.

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the critical role of health literacy in reducing health disparities among marginalized communities. The findings indicate that education, socioeconomic factors, digital accessibility, and culturally responsive interventions significantly influence health literacy levels. Higher education levels correlate with improved health knowledge and behaviors, while economic constraints hinder access to essential health resources. Community-based programs and digital health tools demonstrate effectiveness in enhancing health literacy, yet systemic barriers, including digital inequities and healthcare accessibility, remain significant challenges.

To address these barriers, comprehensive policies must integrate health education into formal curricula, enhance financial support mechanisms, and ensure the equitable distribution of digital health tools. Healthcare providers should be trained in culturally sensitive communication strategies to improve patient engagement and comprehension. Future research should explore the long-term impacts of health literacy interventions, evaluate technology-driven approaches in diverse populations, and investigate interdisciplinary models that integrate healthcare, education, and technology.

Strengthening health literacy is a fundamental strategy to empower underserved populations, improve healthcare outcomes, and reduce disparities. By adopting inclusive policies and evidence-based interventions, healthcare systems can enhance individuals' ability to access, understand, and utilize health information effectively, ultimately leading to a healthier and more equitable society.

REFERENCE

- Sharma S, Mehra D, Akhtar F, Mehra S. Evaluation of a Community Based Intervention for Health and Economic Empowerment of Marginalized Women in India. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1).
- Müller I, Smith D, Adams L, Aerts A, Damons B, Degen J, et al. Effects of a School Based Health Intervention Program in Marginalized Communities of Port Elizabeth, South Africa (The KaziBantu Study): Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2019;8(7):e14097.
- Delgado D, Herbst RB. *El Campo*: Educational Attainment and Educational Well Being for Farmworker Children. *Educ Urban Soc*. 2017;50(4):328–50.
- Latif A, Carter T, Rychwalska Brown L, Wharrad H, Manning JC. Co Producing a Digital Educational Programme for Registered Children's Nurses to Improve Care of Children and Young People Admitted With Self Harm. *Journal of Child Health Care*. 2017;21(2):191–200.

- Lavalley J, Society WAHR, Livingstone C, Steinhauer M, Goodman A, Kerr T. “I Used to Be Scared to Even Like Stand Beside Somebody Who Had It”: HIV Risk Behaviours and Perceptions Among Indigenous People Who Use Drugs. *Int Indig Policy J*. 2021;12(3):1–21.
- Ellis K, Walters SM, Friedman SR, Ouellet LJ, Ezell JM, Rosentel K, et al. Breaching Trust: A Qualitative Study of Healthcare Experiences of People Who Use Drugs in a Rural Setting. *Frontiers in Sociology*. 2020;5.
- Brewer LC, Balls-Berry JE, Dean PJ, Lackore KA, Jenkins SM, Hayes SN. Fostering African American Improvement in Total Health (FAITH!): An Application of the American Heart Association’s Life’s Simple 7™ Among Midwestern African Americans. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. 2016;4(2):269–81.
- Ngaruiya C, Oti S, Vijver S v. d., Kyobutungi C, Free C. Target Women: Equity in Access to mHealth Technology in a Non Communicable Disease Care Intervention in Kenya. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(9):e0220834.
- Crowley D, Hout MC V, Murphy C, Kelly E, Lambert JS, Cullen W. Hepatitis C Virus Screening and Treatment in Irish Prisons From a Governor and Prison Officer Perspective A Qualitative Exploration. *Health Justice*. 2018;6(1).
- Tomczuk L, Stewart RE, Beidas RS, Mandell DS, Pellecchia M. Who Gets Coached? A Qualitative Inquiry Into Community Clinicians’ Decisions to Use Caregiver Coaching. *Autism*. 2021;26(3):575–85.
- Collins JL, Thomas L, Vugrin M. Life Is a Highway: Driver’s License Acquisition and Social Determinants for Youth in Foster Care and Their Non-foster care Peers. *Nurs Forum (Auckl)*. 2020;56(1):95–102.
- Sonu S, Marvin D, Moore CE. The Intersection and Dynamics Between COVID 19, Health Disparities, and Adverse Childhood Experiences. *J Child Adolesc Trauma*. 2021;14(4):517–26.
- Ducray J, Kell C, Basdav J, Haffeejee F. Cervical Cancer Knowledge and Screening Uptake by Marginalized Population of Women in Inner City Durban, South Africa: Insights Into the Need for Increased Health Literacy. *Women S Health*. 2021;17.
- Thompson-Lastad A. Group Medical Visits as Participatory Care in Community Health Centers. *Qual Health Res*. 2018;28(7):1065–76.
- Jansen-Aaldring N, Berg S v. d., Hof S v. d. Patient Support During Treatment for Active Tuberculosis and for Latent Tuberculosis Infection: Policies and Practices in European Low-incidence Countries. *J Adv Nurs*. 2018;74(12):2755–65.
- Sabatello M, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Appelbaum PS. In Different Voices: The Views of People With Disabilities About Return of Results From Precision Medicine Research. *Public Health Genomics*. 2020;23(1–2):42–53.

- Snyder E, Boucher LM, Bayoumi AM, Martin A, Marshall Z, Boyd R, et al. A Cross Sectional Study of Factors Associated With Unstable Housing Among Marginalized People Who Use Drugs in Ottawa, Canada. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(7):e0253923.
- Haldeman S, Nordin M, Tavares P, Mullerpatan R, Kopansky Giles D, Setlhare V, et al. Distance Management of Spinal Disorders During the COVID 19 Pandemic and Beyond: Evidence Based Patient and Clinician Guides From the Global Spine Care Initiative. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. 2021;7(2):e25484.
- Mirzazadeh A, Hosseini-Hooshyar S, Shahesmaeili A, Bahramnejad A, Barshan A, Mousavian G, et al. Barriers and Motivators to Participation and Retention in HIV/HCV Cohort Studies Among People Who Inject Drugs: A Community Consultation in Iran. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy*. 2020;15(1).
- Karkee R, Tumbahangphe KM, Maharjan N, Budhathoki B, Manandhar D. Who Are Dying and Why? A Case Series Study of Maternal Deaths in Nepal. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11(5):e042840.
- Karlsson LE, Ringsberg KC, Crondahl K. Work Integrated Learning and Health Literacy as Catalysts for Roma Empowerment and Social Inclusion: A Participatory Action Research. *Action Research*. 2017;17(4):549–72.
- Scopazzini MS, Raoult V, Kuruttuparambil S, Sulkers E. Prevalence of Undernutrition and Effectiveness of a Community Based Nutritional Support Programme to Reverse Stunting Among Children Under Five Years of Age in an Urban Slum in Kolkata, India: Findings of a One Year Longitudinal Study. *J Glob Health Rep*. 2021;5.
- Théard MA. An Examination of History for Promoting Diversity in Neuroscience. *Curr Anesthesiol Rep*. 2021;11(3):202–13.
- Dunn S, Lofters A, Ginsburg O, Meaney C, Ahmad F, Moravac MC, et al. Cervical and Breast Cancer Screening After CARES: A Community Program for Immigrant and Marginalized Women. *Am J Prev Med*. 2017;52(5):589–97.
- Loutfy M, Tharao W, Kazemi M, Logie CH, Underhill A, O'Brien N, et al. Development of the Canadian Women Centred HIV Care Model Using the Knowledge to Action Framework. *Journal of the International Association of Providers of Aids Care (Jiapac)*. 2021;20.
- Tokolahi E, Broman P, Longhurst G, Pearce A, Cook C, Andersen P, et al. Student Led Clinics in Aotearoa New Zealand: A Scoping Review With Stakeholder Consultation. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2021;Volume 14:2053–66.
- Cutuli JJ. School Based Health Centers as a Context to Engage and Serve Communities. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2021;34(1):14–8.
- Napier Raman S, Rattani A, Qaiyum Y, Bose V, Seth R, Raman S. Impact of COVID 19 on the Lives of Vulnerable Young People in New Delhi, India: A Mixed Method Study. *BMJ Paediatr Open*. 2021;5(1):e001171.

- Brotto LA, Chankasingh K, Baaske A, Albert A, Booth A, Kaida A, et al. The Influence of Sex, Gender, Age, and Ethnicity on Psychosocial Factors and Substance Use Throughout Phases of the COVID 19 Pandemic. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(11):e0259676.
- Gerber M, Lang C, Beckmann J, Degen J, Randt R d., Gall S, et al. Associations Between Household Socioeconomic Status, Car Ownership, Physical Activity, and Cardiorespiratory Fitness in South African Primary Schoolchildren Living in Marginalized Communities. *J Phys Act Health*. 2021;18(8):883–94.
- Akseer N, Kandru G, Keats EC, Bhutta ZA. COVID 19 Pandemic and Mitigation Strategies: Implications for Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2020;112(2):251–6.
- Perez-Brumer A, Naz-McLean S, Huerta L, Salazar X, Lama JR, Sánchez J, et al. The Wisdom of Mistrust: Qualitative Insights From Transgender Women Who Participated in PrEP Research in Lima, Peru. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2021;24(9).
- Altman MR, McLemore MR, Oseguera T, Lyndon A, Franck LS. Listening to Women: Recommendations From Women of Color to Improve Experiences in Pregnancy and Birth Care. *Journal of Midwifery & Women S Health*. 2020;65(4):466–73.
- Yeshaw Y, Jemere T, Dagne H, Andualem Z, Akalu Y, Dewau R, et al. Factors Associated With Births Protected Against Neonatal Tetanus in Africa: Evidences From Demographic and Health Surveys of Five African Countries. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(6):e0253126.
- Nimbi FM, Ciocca G, Limoncin E, Fontanesi L, Uysal ÜB, Flinchum M, et al. Sexual Desire and Fantasies in the LGBT+ Community: A Focus on Bisexuals, Transgender, and Other Shades of the Rainbow. *Curr Sex Health Rep*. 2020;12(3):162–9.
- Im H, Jettner J, Warsame AH, Isse MM, Khoury D, Ross AI. Trauma Informed Psychoeducation for Somali Refugee Youth in Urban Kenya: Effects on PTSD and Psychosocial Outcomes. *J Child Adolesc Trauma*. 2018;11(4):431–41.
- Apuleni G, Jacobs C, Musonda P. Predictors of Health Seeking Behaviours for Common Childhood Illnesses in Poor Resource Settings in Zambia, a Community Cross Sectional Study. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9.
- Sharma S, Akhtar F, Singh RK, Mehra S. A Qualitative Evaluation of an Integrated Health and Livelihood Development Project for Marginalized Communities in India. *J Public Health Res*. 2021;10(4).
- Nair AR, Shivanna YKG, Illimoottil JP, Rachana A, Mahasampath GS, Abraham S, et al. Common Mental Disorders Among Women and Its Social Correlates in an Urban Marginalized Populace in South India. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*. 2021;68(7):1394–402.
- Kumari N, Algur K, Chokhandre P, Salve PS. Low Birth Weight Among Tribal in India: Evidence From National Family Health Survey 4. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health*. 2021;9:360–6.

- Dean L, Ozano K, Adekeye O, Dixon R, Fung EG, Gyapong M, et al. Neglected Tropical Diseases as a 'Litmus Test' for Universal Health Coverage? Understanding Who Is Left Behind and Why in Mass Drug Administration: Lessons From Four Country Contexts. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2019;13(11):e0007847.
- KC H, Shrestha M, Pokharel N, Niraula SR, Pyakurel P, Parajuli SB. Women's Empowerment for Abortion and Family Planning Decision Making Among Marginalized Women in Nepal: A Mixed Method Study. *Reprod Health*. 2021;18(1).
- Huang K, Maleki M, Regehr G, McEwen H. Examining the Educational Value of Student Run Clinics for Health Care Students. *Academic Medicine*. 2021;96(7):1021–5.