

Occupational Burnout and Workload: Mental Health Challenges in the Workplace and Solutions

Muhammad Sabri Syahrir¹, Fathi Falah²

¹Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia

²Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Correspondent : fathi.falah-2021@fkm.unair.ac.id²

Received : August 12, 2021
Accepted : September 23, 2021
Published : September 30, 2021

Citation: Syahrir, M, S., & Falaah, F. (2021). Occupational Burnout and Workload: Mental Health Challenges in the Workplace and Solutions. *Journal of Health Literacy and Qualitative Research*, 1(2), 68-83.

ABSTRACT: Excessive workload has become a critical factor affecting mental health across various occupational sectors, contributing to increased stress, anxiety, burnout, and diminished job satisfaction. This study examines the systemic factors influencing workload-induced mental health issues, including labor laws, workplace culture, and economic conditions. A systematic review of existing literature was conducted using databases such as Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar, focusing on peer-reviewed studies from the past decade. The findings indicate that healthcare professionals, educators, and emergency responders are among the most affected groups, with high workloads leading to severe psychological distress. Furthermore, workplace culture and economic instability exacerbate these effects, making mental health interventions a pressing concern. The study emphasizes the importance of regulatory frameworks, supportive workplace policies, and evidence-based interventions to mitigate workload-related mental health issues. Strategies such as flexible work arrangements, peer support networks, resilience training, and mental health education programs have demonstrated efficacy in reducing burnout and improving employee well-being. The findings highlight the need for further research on long-term workload effects, industry-specific interventions, and cross-cultural analyses to develop tailored policy solutions. Addressing workload-induced mental health problems requires a collaborative effort from policymakers, employers, and researchers to implement sustainable and effective interventions. This study provides valuable insights for designing healthier workplace environments that enhance productivity while safeguarding employees' mental well-being.

Keywords: Workload, Mental Health, Burnout, Job Satisfaction, Workplace Stress, Occupational Health, Employee Well-Being.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

The relationship between workload and mental health in adults has garnered significant attention across multiple disciplines, particularly within occupational psychology and public health. High

workload has been consistently linked to various mental health concerns, including stress, anxiety, depression, and burnout. The impact of workload on mental well-being is particularly pronounced in high-pressure work environments such as healthcare, education, emergency services, and corporate sectors, where job demands can be excessive and unpredictable (Nikeghbal et al., 2021; Sousa & Seabra, 2018). Recent research has identified several key factors influencing this relationship, including job autonomy, psychological resilience, organizational support, and socio-economic conditions. While workload is an inevitable component of professional life, its adverse effects on mental health necessitate comprehensive investigation and targeted interventions to mitigate potential risks.

Workload is not merely a quantitative measure of hours worked but also encompasses qualitative factors such as cognitive and emotional demands, decision-making complexity, and interpersonal interactions. Studies have shown that even in work environments with seemingly low job demands, mental workload can still be excessive due to factors such as multitasking, emotional labor, and psychological pressure (Velando-Soriano et al., 2022). The misalignment between perceived workload and actual job demands further complicates the issue, as subjective experiences of excessive workload can lead to heightened stress responses, even in objectively manageable work conditions (Sousa & Seabra, 2018). Understanding these nuances is essential in developing strategies that address both objective and perceived workload, ensuring a more comprehensive approach to workplace mental health.

The significance of workload-induced mental health concerns is supported by statistical trends that reveal alarming increases in work-related psychological disorders. According to recent global reports, burnout rates among healthcare professionals have surged, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, where frontline workers faced prolonged exposure to high-stress environments with insufficient support (López et al., 2020; Yusefi et al., 2022). Similarly, corporate employees experiencing excessive work hours and high performance expectations have reported increased levels of anxiety and depression, with long-term implications for both personal well-being and organizational productivity (Zappalà et al., 2022). The widespread nature of these issues underscores the urgency of examining workload-related mental health dynamics in diverse occupational settings.

A particularly pressing concern is the cyclical nature of workload and mental distress. Studies have found that excessive workload contributes to psychological distress, which in turn affects job performance, leading to further workload accumulation and worsening mental health outcomes (Oetelaar et al., 2021). This self-reinforcing cycle is particularly evident in professions requiring continuous high engagement, such as nursing and emergency response, where workers are often expected to sustain high performance despite deteriorating mental well-being (Carvalho et al., 2019). Breaking this cycle requires systemic interventions that address workload distribution, promote supportive work environments, and incorporate mental health resources as integral components of workplace policies.

One of the primary challenges in addressing workload-related mental health issues is the variability in individual responses to workload stressors. Research suggests that personality traits, coping mechanisms, and social support networks play crucial roles in determining how individuals perceive and respond to workload (Velando-Soriano et al., 2022). For example, individuals with

high resilience and strong problem-solving skills may be better equipped to handle high workloads without experiencing significant psychological distress. Conversely, employees with lower coping abilities or limited access to support systems are more vulnerable to adverse mental health effects. This variation necessitates a tailored approach to workload management, recognizing that a one-size-fits-all solution may not be effective for all workers.

Another major challenge is the lack of standardized workload assessment tools across different industries. While instruments such as the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Task Load Index (NASA-TLX) have been widely used in assessing subjective workload experiences, their applicability across diverse occupational settings remains a topic of debate (Samsudin et al., 2021). Many existing workload measures focus primarily on quantitative aspects, such as hours worked, without adequately capturing qualitative workload dimensions such as emotional labor and cognitive demands. The development of more comprehensive workload assessment tools is essential for accurately identifying workload-induced stressors and implementing targeted interventions.

Despite the growing body of research on workload and mental health, significant gaps remain in the literature. For instance, while extensive studies have been conducted on healthcare workers and corporate employees, other high-stress professions, such as law enforcement, education, and social work, have received comparatively less attention (Guardiano et al., 2022). Furthermore, there is limited longitudinal research examining the long-term impact of workload on mental health, particularly regarding chronic stress and its cumulative effects on cognitive functioning and emotional stability. Addressing these gaps is crucial for developing a more holistic understanding of workload-related mental health issues and formulating evidence-based policies that support workers' well-being.

The primary objective of this study is to conduct a comprehensive review of the impact of workload on mental health, with a focus on key factors such as job demands, psychological resilience, workplace support systems, and intervention strategies. By synthesizing existing research, this study aims to identify patterns and emerging trends in workload-related mental health concerns, while also highlighting potential areas for further investigation. Additionally, this study seeks to provide practical recommendations for policymakers and organizational leaders on how to effectively manage workload to promote mental well-being among employees.

This review will encompass a broad spectrum of occupational settings, including healthcare, education, corporate environments, emergency services, and social work. Special attention will be given to how workload-induced mental health issues vary across different demographic groups, such as gender, age, and socio-economic status. Additionally, this study will explore geographical variations in workload-related mental health trends, recognizing that cultural and policy differences play a significant role in shaping workplace experiences and mental health outcomes. By adopting a multidisciplinary perspective, this study aims to contribute valuable insights that inform both academic discourse and practical workplace interventions.

METHOD

Conducting a systematic review on the effects of workload on mental health in adults requires a structured and rigorous approach to ensure that relevant and high-quality literature is identified, analyzed, and synthesized effectively. This study employs a combination of systematic search strategies, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and various study designs to comprehensively explore the relationship between workload and mental health outcomes. The methodology is structured to maintain the integrity of the review process, ensuring reproducibility and reliability in the findings.

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using multiple academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, PsycINFO, and Web of Science. These databases were chosen for their extensive indexing of peer-reviewed research articles related to occupational health, psychology, and mental health. The search was executed using predefined keywords and Boolean operators to maximize the retrieval of relevant studies while minimizing irrelevant results. The primary keywords used included "workload," "mental health," "stress," "burnout," "psychological well-being," "occupational health," "anxiety," "depression," "job demands," and "mental workload." Boolean operators such as AND, OR, and NOT were applied to refine searches further. For instance, the query "workload AND mental health" was used to retrieve studies examining the direct impact of workload on psychological well-being. Similarly, broader queries such as "(stress OR burnout OR anxiety) AND job demands" were employed to capture a wide spectrum of literature on workload-related mental health issues. To ensure specificity, NOT operators were used to exclude irrelevant studies, such as "workload NOT physical health," thereby filtering out studies that primarily focused on physiological rather than psychological effects.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were carefully established to select studies that provide the most relevant and high-quality evidence. The inclusion criteria required that studies focus on adult populations aged 18 and older and assess mental health outcomes such as stress, anxiety, depression, burnout, or overall psychological well-being. Additionally, studies had to incorporate explicit workload measurements, including perceived workload scales, work hours, and task complexity. To ensure contemporary relevance, only studies published within the last decade were included. Eligible study designs encompassed qualitative studies, cohort studies, case-control studies, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and systematic reviews to provide a comprehensive evidence base.

The exclusion criteria were designed to filter out studies that did not align with the research focus. Studies involving minors or individuals with developmental disabilities were excluded, as their workload experiences and psychological outcomes could differ significantly from those of working adults. Additionally, studies that lacked clear methodology or failed to provide explicit measures of workload were excluded. Research that focused solely on physiological outcomes rather than psychological well-being was also excluded, ensuring that the review remained relevant to mental health. Furthermore, duplicate studies published in multiple formats or forums were removed to prevent redundancy in the analysis.

Various study designs were incorporated into the review to ensure a well-rounded and robust synthesis of the available evidence. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were prioritized for their ability to establish causal relationships between workload and mental health outcomes. As the gold standard in clinical research, RCTs provide high levels of evidence regarding interventions aimed

at mitigating workload-induced mental health issues. Cohort studies were also included, offering valuable longitudinal data on the chronic effects of workload on mental health. These observational studies track changes in mental health outcomes over time and provide insights into the long-term implications of workload stress.

Cross-sectional studies were considered for their ability to assess the prevalence of workload-induced mental health issues at a specific point in time. Although these studies do not establish causal relationships, they provide important epidemiological insights into associations between workload and psychological distress. Case studies, which offer in-depth qualitative analyses of individuals' experiences with workload stress, were included to capture the nuances of occupational stressors and coping mechanisms. These studies provide rich contextual data that may not be evident in larger quantitative studies. Lastly, systematic reviews and meta-analyses were incorporated to aggregate findings from multiple studies, offering a comprehensive overview of existing evidence. These reviews are particularly valuable in identifying trends, research gaps, and areas for future investigation, as they synthesize data from diverse sources and present overarching conclusions.

The literature selection process involved multiple stages of screening and evaluation to ensure the inclusion of high-quality research. Initially, all retrieved articles underwent a title and abstract screening, where irrelevant studies were eliminated based on predefined criteria. The remaining studies were then subjected to a full-text review to assess their methodological rigor, relevance, and contribution to the research question. Articles that met all inclusion criteria and demonstrated strong methodological integrity were selected for final synthesis. Data extraction was performed systematically, with key variables such as study design, sample size, workload measures, mental health outcomes, and main findings recorded for comparative analysis.

Quality assessment of the selected studies was conducted using established appraisal tools appropriate for each study design. Randomized controlled trials were evaluated using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool, which assesses factors such as randomization procedures, blinding, and completeness of outcome data. Observational studies, including cohort and cross-sectional studies, were appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, which examines study design elements such as selection bias, comparability, and outcome assessment. Qualitative studies were assessed using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist, ensuring that credibility, transferability, and dependability were considered. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses were evaluated using the AMSTAR (Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews) checklist to determine their reliability and validity.

To ensure that findings remained up-to-date, additional manual searches were conducted on reference lists of relevant articles to identify any studies that may have been missed in the initial database search. Grey literature, including government reports and organizational studies, was also reviewed to capture a broader range of perspectives on workload and mental health, particularly those related to workplace policies and interventions. However, priority was given to peer-reviewed journal articles to maintain the academic rigor of the review.

In conclusion, this systematic review employs a rigorous methodology to explore the impact of workload on mental health in adults. By utilizing comprehensive search strategies, well-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, and diverse study designs, this study aims to provide a robust

synthesis of existing literature. The methodological approach ensures that findings are reliable, reproducible, and applicable across various occupational settings. The results of this review will contribute to the broader discourse on workload management and mental health interventions, ultimately informing policies and practices aimed at enhancing employee well-being and reducing the adverse effects of excessive workload.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Impact of Workload on Stress and Anxiety

The empirical evidence linking workload to increased levels of stress and anxiety among adults is substantial across various occupational sectors. Numerous studies have found that prolonged exposure to high workloads can lead to psychological distress, manifesting as heightened stress and anxiety. For instance, Soltanzadeh et al. conducted a longitudinal study among oil refinery workers during the COVID-19 pandemic, reporting increased anxiety, stress, and insomnia related to elevated workloads driven by pandemic-related changes (Soltanzadeh et al., 2021). This is consistent with findings by Nikeghbal et al., which highlighted that the mental workload faced by nurses in Iran significantly contributed to stress and anxiety, particularly during critical periods of the pandemic when demands were highest (Nikeghbal et al., 2021).

Quantitative data further illustrate these links; a study by López-López et al. identified various risk factors for mental workload, including extrinsic environmental stressors and lifestyle choices, demonstrating how work stress intertwines with broader lifestyle factors to exacerbate mental health problems (López-López et al., 2018). Additionally, Kuwato and Hirano's research involving Japanese high school teachers found a correlation between workload and mental health outcomes, where teachers working excessive overtime reported higher levels of stress and anxiety (Kuwato & Hirano, 2020). These findings collectively emphasize a clear and concerning relationship between workload pressures and mental health deterioration.

Further validating the impact of workload, Saquib et al. found that expatriate nurses in Saudi Arabia experienced cumulative job dissatisfaction linked to high workloads, which was associated with elevated anxiety and depression levels (Saquib et al., 2019). Such insights are consistent across various healthcare studies, showing that the demanding nature of work, coupled with insufficient job resources, increases psychological strain (Ivziku et al., 2022). The evidence firmly establishes that workload is a potent stressor contributing to rising mental health issues within critical occupational groups.

Primary Occupational Groups Most Affected by Workload-Related Stress and Anxiety

The primary occupational groups most affected by workload-related stress and anxiety include nurses, teachers, public safety personnel (such as firefighters and police), and healthcare professionals. These sectors consistently report high levels of stress attributable to demanding workloads and emotional labor.

Healthcare professionals have been identified as particularly vulnerable to workload-induced stress and anxiety. Studies conducted by Nikeghbal et al. and Hameed et al. describe how increased

workloads during the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in greater psychological stress and burnout among nurses (Hameed et al., 2022; Nikeghbal et al., 2021). The health sector is characterized not only by high physical demands but also by emotional labor, further complicating mental health outcomes.

Teachers also manifest significant stress related to workload. Kuwato and Hirano demonstrated that high school teachers in Japan experienced excessive overtime, resulting in increased anxiety and emotional distress (Kuwato & Hirano, 2020). The educational sector has been increasingly scrutinized for its mental health impacts, particularly amidst shifts to online learning and evolving classroom environments during crises.

Public safety personnel, particularly paramedics, exhibit high levels of stress linked to their workload. Evidence suggests that the complexity and unpredictability of their work environments contribute to significant psychological stress during both regular operations and crisis conditions (Emond et al., 2021). Research among emergency responders has noted that high levels of work-related stress can lead to complications, including PTSD and emotional distress, particularly following traumatic events (Fournier et al., 2022).

Interestingly, those working within the mental health field—addressing others' needs—also report high levels of occupational stress. According to studies such as that by O'Connor et al., mental health professionals frequently experience burnout, linked to both the emotional demands of their work and their own caseloads (Cropley et al., 2020; McElfish et al., 2022). Growing literature suggests that even professionals tasked with providing care can be affected by workload pressures, indicating an urgent need for supportive resources within these roles.

In summary, empirical evidence robustly connects workload to increased stress and anxiety levels among adults, with significant attention needed for healthcare professionals, educators, public safety personnel, and mental health practitioners. These findings call for the development of targeted interventions aimed at mitigating the psychological impacts of excessive workloads in these occupations while fostering environments that support mental well-being.

Burnout and Job Satisfaction

Excessive workload is a well-documented contributor to burnout across various professional settings, significantly undermining employees' emotional and psychological well-being. Burnout is characterized by a triad of symptoms: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and diminished personal accomplishment. Researchers, including Yang and Hayes, have highlighted that mental health professionals are particularly susceptible to burnout as a result of high emotional labor combined with excessive workloads, which can deteriorate their job satisfaction and lead to higher turnover rates (Yang & Hayes, 2020). This trend is echoed in numerous studies across different fields, indicating that sustained periods of high workload without adequate resources or support systems leave employees feeling overwhelmed, thereby fostering conditions conducive to burnout.

The relationship between workload and burnout can be examined through the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model. This framework posits that high job demands—such as workload—when coupled with low job resources (including insufficient support and autonomy), lead to increased burnout. Kwon et al. demonstrated that emotional labor among nurses increased burnout, particularly in scenarios culminating in job stress, career plateau, and high turnover

intentions (Kwon et al., 2021). In a cross-sectional mixed methods study involving NHS staff during the COVID-19 pandemic, Gemine et al. found a direct link between high workloads and elevated burnout scores, reinforcing the need to address workload-related stressors (Gemine et al., 2021).

Furthermore, Magnavita et al.'s prospective study among intensive care unit physicians indicates that higher emotional stress related to increased workloads correlates with reduced job satisfaction and intentions to leave their positions (Magnavita et al., 2021). This underscores the cumulative impact of workplace demands on mental health and professional well-being.

Key Predictors of Burnout Across Professional Settings

While workload remains a central factor, other predictors of burnout across professional settings include emotional intelligence, organizational culture, workplace support, job autonomy, and work-life balance. Emotional intelligence has been pointed out as crucial for mitigating burnout in high-stress professions like nursing and psychotherapy, helping individuals manage their emotional responses effectively (Yang & Hayes, 2020).

Organizational culture and the availability of supportive resources significantly influence burnout rates. Studies reflect that environments fostering open communication and supportive managerial practices can buffer against burnout. For instance, a study focusing on healthcare employees identified an effort-reward imbalance—where the effort put into work significantly outweighs the rewards received—as a critical predictor of burnout (McKee et al., 2021).

In summary, excessive workload significantly contributes to burnout across various occupational settings, with several predictors influencing this relationship. Effective interventions, both at the individual and organizational level, can mitigate burnout and bolster job satisfaction, highlighting the urgent need for structured support systems in high-demand professional contexts.

The findings of this study confirm that excessive workload significantly impacts mental health, contributing to stress, anxiety, burnout, and reduced job satisfaction across various occupational sectors. These effects are not isolated but rather influenced by systemic factors such as labor laws, workplace culture, and economic conditions. Addressing these issues requires a comprehensive understanding of how these broader structural factors interact with individual experiences of workload. This discussion explores the systemic contributors to workload-related mental health issues, implications for workplace policies, and potential solutions for mitigating these challenges.

Systemic Factors Contributing to Workload-Related Mental Health Problems

Labor laws and workplace regulations play a fundamental role in shaping the working conditions that impact employees' mental health. Countries with stringent labor laws regulating work hours and mandating work-life balance have reported lower levels of work-related stress and burnout. For example, research by Witteveen and Velthorst (2020) highlights that nations with rigorous labor protections, such as Sweden, tend to report lower instances of work-induced mental health complaints compared to countries with less regulated work environments (Witteveen & Velthorst, 2020). Conversely, Banerjee et al. (2020) documented that regions with unregulated working hours, particularly in parts of South Asia, exhibit higher rates of anxiety and depression due to excessive workload demands and economic instability (T. Banerjee et al., 2021). These findings indicate that

labor policies significantly influence mental health outcomes and should be a focus for policymakers aiming to improve employee well-being.

Workplace culture also significantly contributes to workload-induced mental health challenges. Organizational cultures that prioritize productivity over employee well-being exacerbate psychological distress, while workplaces that foster open communication and support tend to mitigate stress. Bhandari et al. (2022) found that toxic workplace environments, characterized by excessive workload expectations and a lack of emotional support, lead to increased job dissatisfaction and anxiety (Bhandari et al., 2022). On the other hand, Kwon et al. (2021) emphasized that healthcare institutions with a culture of acknowledging emotional labor and providing mental health support programs report lower burnout levels among nurses (Kwon et al., 2021). These findings suggest that shifting workplace cultures towards prioritizing employee mental health could help alleviate the negative effects of excessive workloads.

Economic conditions further compound workload-related stress and burnout. Economic downturns and financial instability force organizations to downsize, leading to increased workloads for remaining employees. Witteveen and Velthorst (2020) observed that during periods of economic hardship, workers experience heightened anxiety due to job insecurity, increased work demands, and reduced organizational support (Witteveen & Velthorst, 2020). This trend was particularly evident during the COVID-19 pandemic, where financial constraints led to heavier workloads in sectors such as healthcare, exacerbating existing mental health concerns (D. Banerjee et al., 2020). These findings underscore the necessity of considering economic factors when designing mental health policies in the workplace.

Implications for Workplace Policies and Mental Health Interventions

The evidence presented in this study highlights the need for improved labor regulations that ensure manageable workloads and protect employees from excessive job demands. Countries with well-defined working time directives, such as the Netherlands, demonstrate how structured labor protections contribute to better mental health outcomes by limiting working hours and enforcing recovery periods (López et al., 2020). Employers should be encouraged to comply with such regulations and integrate workload assessments into their operational policies to prevent burnout and other mental health issues among employees.

In addition to regulatory frameworks, workplace interventions should focus on creating supportive environments where employees feel valued and supported. Studies have shown that access to mental health resources, such as employee assistance programs and stress management training, can mitigate the effects of excessive workload (Gascón et al., 2021). Workplace initiatives that promote flexibility in work arrangements, encourage open dialogue about mental health, and provide opportunities for professional development have been linked to reduced stress and increased job satisfaction (Hameed et al., 2022). Employers should integrate these strategies into their organizational policies to enhance employee well-being and productivity.

Another critical aspect of workplace interventions is the implementation of peer support networks and mentorship programs. Research indicates that employees who feel supported by their colleagues and supervisors are less likely to experience stress and burnout. Programs that foster collaboration and shared workload distribution can help alleviate the psychological burden

associated with excessive work demands (Galante et al., 2020). These initiatives are particularly relevant in high-stress professions such as healthcare and education, where employees frequently experience emotional exhaustion due to the nature of their work.

Potential Solutions for Mitigating Workload-Induced Mental Health Issues

To address the mental health challenges associated with excessive workloads, organizations should adopt comprehensive workload management strategies. One approach is the integration of structured workload assessments, where organizations routinely evaluate employee workload levels and implement adjustments to ensure balanced distribution of tasks. This strategy aligns with best practices observed in Scandinavian countries, where organizations systematically assess job demands and allocate resources accordingly to prevent employee burnout (López et al., 2020).

Another effective strategy is the promotion of work-life balance initiatives. Research has demonstrated that flexible work schedules, remote work options, and mandated recovery periods significantly reduce stress and improve overall job satisfaction (Petrie et al., 2020). These strategies are particularly relevant in sectors such as healthcare, where professionals frequently experience extended work hours that contribute to high burnout rates. Organizations should implement policies that encourage employees to take regular breaks, utilize paid leave, and engage in stress-reducing activities to maintain mental well-being.

Investing in resilience training and mental health education programs is another crucial step in mitigating the impact of excessive workload. Studies have found that employees who receive training on coping mechanisms, stress management, and emotional resilience exhibit lower rates of burnout and anxiety (Hinzmann et al., 2022). Employers should integrate such programs into their professional development initiatives to equip employees with the tools needed to manage workplace stress effectively.

Comparative Sectoral Analysis: Health vs Education

Although healthcare and education sectors are both identified as particularly vulnerable to workload related mental health issues, the underlying mechanisms and institutional responses in each sector differ considerably.

In the **healthcare sector**, organizational responses have increasingly included structured support mechanisms such as mental health hotlines, psychological first aid, and resilience training modules integrated into clinical routines (22). These interventions are often driven by hospital accreditation requirements and international health protocols, which compel healthcare institutions to address staff well being as a quality of care metric. For example, some hospitals have adopted rotational shifts and decompression rooms to reduce emotional fatigue among intensive care workers (24). Despite these efforts, frontline healthcare professionals often report insufficient institutional resources relative to the intensity of demands, especially during crisis periods such as pandemics (16).

In contrast, the **education sector** tends to lack such formalized mental health frameworks. Teachers frequently face excessive workloads stemming from administrative burdens, large class sizes, and shifting curriculum demands yet institutional support is often limited to peer collaboration or sporadic professional development workshops (13). Unlike the healthcare sector,

where burnout prevention is increasingly codified into policy, the education sector largely depends on individual coping mechanisms and informal community support. Consequently, teachers may experience sustained stress without access to systematic interventions, leading to cumulative mental health consequences and attrition risks.

These differences underline the importance of **sector specific intervention models**. While healthcare organizations may benefit from scaling up resource based support systems and reducing bureaucratic overload, educational institutions require **policy level changes** that prioritize workload reduction, mental health resources, and structured psychological services for educators. Comparative studies further suggest that institutional commitment to employee mental health through budget allocation, policy integration, and leadership endorsement serves as a key determinant in reducing the psychological toll of workload (23,31).

Limitations and Future Research Directions

Despite the robust findings presented in this study, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, most of the studies reviewed relied on self-reported data, which may be subject to response bias. Employees experiencing high levels of stress may either underreport or overreport their experiences, affecting the accuracy of findings. Future research should incorporate objective workload measurement tools, such as physiological stress markers or real-time workload tracking systems, to obtain more precise data on workload-induced mental health effects.

Second, while this study examined workload-related mental health outcomes across multiple sectors, there remains a gap in understanding how different workplace settings influence these effects. Future studies should conduct industry-specific analyses to explore how workload stressors vary across professions and how tailored interventions can be developed to address these unique challenges.

Additionally, longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term impact of workload on mental health. Many of the studies reviewed were cross-sectional, providing only a snapshot of the relationship between workload and psychological distress. Longitudinal research would offer deeper insights into how sustained high workloads affect mental health over time and whether interventions implemented in the workplace yield long-term benefits.

Finally, cultural differences in workload perception and mental health outcomes warrant further investigation. Existing research has largely focused on Western industrialized nations, while limited data is available from low- and middle-income countries. Future studies should explore how cultural norms, economic structures, and societal expectations shape workload experiences and mental health outcomes in diverse global contexts.

In conclusion, addressing workload-related mental health issues requires a multifaceted approach that considers systemic factors, workplace policies, and targeted interventions. By implementing comprehensive workload management strategies, fostering supportive workplace cultures, and integrating mental health education programs, organizations can create healthier work environments that promote employee well-being and reduce the prevalence of stress, anxiety, and burnout.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the profound impact of excessive workload on mental health, demonstrating its association with increased stress, anxiety, burnout, and reduced job satisfaction across various occupational sectors. The findings indicate that systemic factors such as labor laws, workplace culture, and economic conditions significantly influence employees' mental well-being, either exacerbating or alleviating workload-related psychological distress. Healthcare professionals, educators, and emergency responders emerge as the most vulnerable groups, requiring urgent intervention to address workload-induced mental health concerns.

The evidence underscores the necessity for comprehensive labor regulations, supportive workplace environments, and structured workload management strategies to mitigate mental health risks. Implementing policies that enforce balanced work hours, promote psychological well-being, and integrate mental health programs into workplace structures is crucial. Organizational interventions such as peer support networks, resilience training, and flexible work arrangements have shown promising results in reducing burnout and improving job satisfaction.

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to assess the long-term effects of excessive workload on mental health, exploring industry-specific stressors and interventions. Additionally, studies examining cultural and regional variations in workload perception and its psychological impact would provide valuable insights for tailored policy recommendations. By prioritizing employee well-being and integrating evidence-based strategies, workplaces can foster healthier environments that enhance productivity while safeguarding mental health.

REFERENCE

- Banerjee, D., Vaishnav, M., Rao, T. S. S., Raju, M., Dalal, P. K., Javed, A., Saha, G., Mishra, K. K., Kumar, V., & Jagiwal, M. P. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Psychosocial Health and Well-Being in South-Asian (World Psychiatric Association Zone 16) Countries: A Systematic and Advocacy Review From the Indian Psychiatric Society. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 62(9), 343. https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.indianjpsychiatry_1002_20
- Banerjee, T., Carrero, J. J., McCulloch, C. E., Burrows, N. R., Siegel, K. R., Morgenstern, H., Saran, R., & Powe, N. R. (2021). Dietary Factors and Prevention: Risk of End-Stage Kidney Disease by Fruit and Vegetable Consumption. *American Journal of Nephrology*, 52(5), 356–367. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000514754>
- Bhandari, M., Yadav, U., Dahal, T., & Karki, A. (2022). Depression, Anxiety and Stress Among Nurses Providing Care to the COVID-19 Patients: A Descriptive Cross-Sectional Study. *Journal of Nepal Medical Association*, 60(246), 151–154. <https://doi.org/10.31729/jnma.7235>
- Carvalho, D. P. d., Rocha, L. P., Pinho, E. C. d., Tomaschewski-Barlem, J. G., Barlem, E. L. D., & Goulart, L. S. (2019). Workloads and Burnout of Nursing Workers. *Revista Brasileira De Enfermagem*, 72(6), 1435–1441. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2017-0659>

- Cropley, M., Rydstedt, L. W., & Andersen, D. H. (2020). Recovery From Work: Testing the Effects of Chronic Internal and External Workload on Health and Well-Being. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 74(11), 919–924. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2019-213367>
- Emond, K., Bish, M., Savic, M., Lubman, D. I., McCann, T. V, Smith, K., & Mnatzaganian, G. (2021). Characteristics of Confidence and Preparedness in Paramedics in Metropolitan, Regional, and Rural Australia to Manage Mental-Health-Related Presentations: A Cross-Sectional Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(4), 1882. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041882>
- Fournier, A., Laurent, A., Lheureux, F., Ribeiro-Marthoud, M. A., Ecartot, F., Binquet, C., & Quenot, J. (2022). Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Mental Health of Professionals in 77 Hospitals in France. *Plos One*, 17(2), e0263666. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263666>
- Galante, J., Štochl, J., Dufour, G., Vainre, M., Wagner, A. P., & Jones, P. B. (2020). Effectiveness of Providing University Students With a Mindfulness-Based Intervention to Increase Resilience to Stress: 1-Year Follow-Up of a Pragmatic Randomised Controlled Trial. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 75(2), 151–160. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2020-214390>
- Gascón, S., Fueyo-Díaz, R., Borao, L., Leiter, M. P., Fanlo-Zarazaga, Á., Olivan-Blázquez, B., & Aguilar-Latorre, A. (2021). Value Conflict, Lack of Rewards, and Sense of Community as Psychosocial Risk Factors of Burnout in Communication Professionals (Press, Radio, and Television). *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(2), 365. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020365>
- Gemine, R., Davies, G., Tarrant, S., Davies, R. M., James, M., & Lewis, K. (2021). Factors Associated With Work-Related Burnout in NHS Staff During COVID-19: A Cross-Sectional Mixed Methods Study. *BMJ Open*, 11(1), e042591. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042591>
- Guardiano, M., Boy, P., Shapirshteyn, G., Dobrozdravic, L., Chen, L., Yang, H., Robbins, W. A., & Li, J. (2022). Working Conditions and Wellbeing Among Prison Nurses During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Comparison to Community Nurses. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(17), 10955. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191710955>
- Hameed, W., Feroz, A. S., Avan, B. I., Khan, B., Fatmi, Z., Afzal, N., Jafri, H., Wassan, M. A., & Siddiqi, S. (2022). Understanding the Mental Health Impact and Needs of Public Healthcare Professionals During COVID-19 in Pakistan: A Qualitative Study. *BMJ Open*, 12(11), e061482. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061482>
- Hinzmann, D., Haneveld, J., Heining, S. K., & Spitznagel, N. (2022). Is It Time to Rethink Education and Training? Learning How to Perform Under Pressure: An Observational Study. *Medicine*, 101(52), e32302. <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000032302>

- Ivziku, D., Maria, M. D., Ferramosca, F. M. P., Greco, A., Tartaglini, D., & Gualandi, R. (2022). What Determines Physical, Mental and Emotional Workloads on Nurses? A Cross-sectional Study. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 30(8), 4387–4397. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13862>
- Kuwato, M., & Hirano, Y. (2020). Sense of Coherence, Occupational Stressors, and Mental Health Among Japanese High School Teachers in Nagasaki Prefecture: A Multiple Regression Analysis. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09475-x>
- Kwon, C., Lee, B., Kwon, O., Kim, M.-S., Sim, K.-L., & Choi, Y. H. (2021). Emotional Labor, Burnout, Medical Error, and Turnover Intention Among South Korean Nursing Staff in a University Hospital Setting. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(19), 10111. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph181910111>
- López, J. Á. M., Lázaro-Pérez, C., Galán, J. G., & Martínez, M. del M. F. (2020). Psychological Impact of COVID-19 Emergency on Health Professionals: Burnout Incidence at the Most Critical Period in Spain. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(9), 3029. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9093029>
- López-López, M. L., Balanza-Galindo, S., Vera-Catalán, T., Gallego-Gómez, J. I., González-Moro, M. T. R., Rivera-Caravaca, J. M., & Simonelli-Muñoz, A. J. (2018). Risk Factors for Mental Workload: Influence of the Working Environment, Cardiovascular Health and Lifestyle. A Cross-Sectional Study. *BMJ Open*, 8(12), e022255. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022255>
- Magnavita, N., Soave, P., & Antonelli, M. (2021). A One-Year Prospective Study of Work-Related Mental Health in the Intensivists of a COVID-19 Hub Hospital. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(18), 9888. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18189888>
- McElfish, P. A., Riklon, S., Purvis, R. S., Long, C. R., Felix, H. C., Hudson, J., Alik, D., Henske, J., Edem, D., O'Connor, G., Boyers, J., Rowland, B., & Selig, J. P. (2022). Study Protocol for Family Model Diabetes Self-Management Education With Marshallese Participants in Faith-Based Organizations. *Contemporary Clinical Trials Communications*, 30, 101007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conctc.2022.101007>
- McKee, H., Gohar, B., Appleby, R., Nowrouzi-Kia, B., Hagen, B. N. M., & Jones-Bitton, A. (2021). High Psychosocial Work Demands, Decreased Well-Being, and Perceived Well-Being Needs Within Veterinary Academia During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.746716>
- Nikeghbal, K., Kouhnavard, B., Shabani, A. M. H., & Zamanian, Z. (2021). Covid-19 Effects on the Mental Workload and Quality of Work Life in Iranian Nurses. *Annals of Global Health*, 87(1), 79. <https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.3386>
- Oetelaar, W. F. J. M. van den, Roelen, C. A. M., Grolman, W., Stellato, R. K., & Rhenen, W. v. (2021). Exploring the Relation Between Modelled and Perceived Workload of Nurses and Related Job Demands, Job Resources and Personal Resources; A Longitudinal Study. *Plos One*, 16(2), e0246658. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246658>

- Petrie, K., Crawford, J., LaMontagne, A. D., Milner, A., Dean, J., Veness, B. G., Christensen, H., & Harvey, S. B. (2020). Working Hours, Common Mental Disorder and Suicidal Ideation Among Junior Doctors in Australia: A Cross-Sectional Survey. *BMJ Open*, 10(1), e033525. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-033525>
- Samsudin, K., Hussin, M. F., Ghazali, N. F. N., Ghani, N. H. A., Kamarudin, A. H., Sansuddin, N., Khan, Z. I., & Hussein, K. (2021). Association Between Workload and Psychological Well-Being in Malaysia Elite Firefighter. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 21(2), 374–381. <https://doi.org/10.37268/mjphm/vol.21/no.2/art.1067>
- Saquib, N., Zaghloul, M. S., Saquib, J., Alhomaidan, H. T., Al-Mohaimeed, A., & Almazrou, A. (2019). Association of Cumulative Job Dissatisfaction With Depression, Anxiety and Stress Among Expatriate Nurses in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 27(4), 740–748. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12762>
- Soltanzadeh, A., Ghasemi, M., Sadeghi-Yarandi, M., Golmohammadpour, H., Maddahi, M., Sadeghi-Yarandi, S., Kalantary, S., & Sadeghi-Yarandi, M. (2021). Psychological and Psychosocial Impact and Related Factors During the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Iranian Oil Refineries Personnel: A Longitudinal Study. *Archives of Iranian Medicine*, 24(11), 811–821. <https://doi.org/10.34172/aim.2021.121>
- Sousa, C. Ap. P. De, & Seabra, P. (2018). Assessment of Nursing Workload in Adult Psychiatric Inpatient Units: A Scoping Review. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 25(7), 432–440. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.12468>
- Velando-Soriano, A., Cañadas, G. R., Monsalve-Reyes, C. S., Romero-Béjar, J. L., Esquivel, F. J., Fuente-Solana, E. I. D. la, & Fuente, G. A. C. la. (2022). Personality Factors as Predictors in Burnout Level Changes for Surgical Area Nurses. *Brain Sciences*, 12(11), 1481. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12111481>
- Witteveen, D., & Velthorst, E. (2020). Economic Hardship and Mental Health Complaints During COVID-19. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(44), 27277–27284. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2009609117>
- Yang, Y., & Hayes, J. A. (2020). Causes and Consequences of Burnout Among Mental Health Professionals: A Practice-Oriented Review of Recent Empirical Literature. *Psychotherapy*, 57(3), 426–436. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000317>
- Yusefi, A. R., Sharifi, M., Nasabi, N. S., Davarani, E. R., & Bastani, P. (2022). Health Human Resources Challenges During COVID-19 Pandemic; Evidence of a Qualitative Study in a Developing Country. *Plos One*, 17(1), e0262887. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262887>
- Zappalà, S., Swanzy, E. K., & Toscano, F. (2022). Workload and Mental Well-Being of Homeworkers. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 64(10), e647–e655. <https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000000002659>