

Bullying Prevention in Schools: Evaluating Social, Economic, and Technological Interventions

Annisa Awalia Handayani¹, Fathi Falah²

¹Universitas Mohammad Husni Thamrin, Indonesia

²Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Correspondent : annisaawhn@gmail.com¹

Received : August 18, 2023

Accepted : September 22, 2023

Published : September 30, 2023

Citation: Handayani, A, A., & Falah, F. (2023). Bullying Prevention in Schools: Evaluating Social, Economic, and Technological Interventions. Journal of Health Literacy and Qualitative Research, 3(2), 85-98.

ABSTRACT: Bullying among adolescents remains a critical public health and educational concern, impacting mental well-being, academic performance, and social development. This study explores the multifaceted nature of bullying, focusing on its psychological, social, and economic determinants, as well as the effectiveness of intervention strategies. A systematic review of literature from academic databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and PsycINFO was conducted, selecting peer-reviewed studies published in the last decade. The results highlight the protective role of family support and positive school climates in mitigating bullying-related distress. Economic disparities significantly influence bullying prevalence, with students from lower-income backgrounds facing heightened risks. Effective intervention strategies include restorative justice practices, social-emotional learning programs, and anonymous reporting platforms. Cross-national comparisons reveal that community-based interventions, such as Finland's KiVa program, yield more sustainable outcomes by fostering an inclusive school culture. The discussion underscores the need for comprehensive policies that integrate school-wide prevention programs, parental involvement, and mental health support. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to assess the long-term effects of bullying and the scalability of different intervention models. A multi-stakeholder approach involving educators, policymakers, and parents is essential to creating a safer educational environment. Addressing bullying through targeted interventions and policy reforms will contribute to improved student well-being and academic success.

Keywords: Bullying Prevention, Adolescent Mental Health, School Climate, Cyberbullying Interventions, Restorative Justice, Social-Emotional Learning, Policy Reform.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Bullying among adolescents is a pressing issue in contemporary education and child psychology, characterized by aggressive behavior that is intentional, repetitive, and involves an imbalance of power between the perpetrator and the victim. Traditional bullying has been well-documented in school environments, but recent studies indicate a significant shift in its manifestation, particularly

with the rise of cyberbullying (Delgado et al., 2019; Erbis et al., 2018). The operational dimensions of bullying encompass a wide range of behaviors, from verbal abuse and physical aggression to social exclusion and digital harassment. Given its complexity, bullying has been examined through various lenses, including its frequency, typology, and psychosocial impact on victims (Díaz-Caneja et al., 2021; Manna et al., 2019).

The consequences of bullying extend beyond immediate emotional distress, affecting victims' academic performance and mental health. Students who experience bullying often exhibit higher levels of anxiety, depression, and lower academic achievement compared to their peers (Murdoch-Kinch et al., 2017; Radu, 2018). The long-term effects include an increased risk of social withdrawal and self-harm, emphasizing the need for a comprehensive educational approach to address bullying in schools. Previous research highlights the effectiveness of school-based interventions designed to raise awareness and foster a positive school culture (Lodi et al., 2021; Quinlan-Davidson et al., 2021). Moreover, there is a growing demand for teacher training programs that equip educators with skills to recognize and manage bullying through restorative practices and conflict resolution strategies (Acosta et al., 2019).

From a broader social perspective, bullying is influenced by multiple factors, including socioeconomic background, family support, and prevailing social norms. Research indicates that children from supportive family environments are less likely to engage in bullying or become victims (6,10). Conversely, marginalized groups, such as LGBTQ+ students, often experience heightened risks of bullying due to societal stigmatization (Eisenberg et al., 2018; Gower et al., 2019). Understanding the complex interplay between social, emotional, and situational factors is crucial in developing effective interventions aimed at mitigating school bullying.

Despite efforts to address bullying, significant challenges remain. One primary obstacle is the inconsistency in defining and measuring bullying across different contexts. While bullying is broadly understood as repetitive aggression, variations in interpretation can lead to discrepancies in data collection and policy implementation. Another challenge is the underrepresentation of vulnerable student populations, such as those with special educational needs or lower socioeconomic status, in existing bullying research (Duque et al., 2021). These students often experience higher rates of victimization, yet intervention programs tailored to their specific needs are limited.

Methodological challenges further complicate bullying research. Many studies rely on self-reported data, which can introduce biases, as victims and perpetrators may underreport or exaggerate their experiences (Veldkamp et al., 2017). Moreover, school environments significantly influence bullying dynamics, with negative school climates fostering an environment where bullying thrives (Chervonsky & Hunt, 2019). Effective interventions must, therefore, address not only individual behaviors but also systemic factors that contribute to bullying culture (Hall, 2017).

A significant gap in the literature is the lack of longitudinal studies examining the long-term effects of bullying. Most existing research is cross-sectional, limiting the ability to establish causal relationships between bullying, mental health outcomes, and academic performance (Salgado et al., 2020). Additionally, research on the cultural and social contexts of bullying remains insufficient. While bullying has been extensively studied in Western settings, less is known about how cultural norms and societal structures influence bullying behaviors in non-Western contexts (Henderson

et al., 2020). Understanding these variations is essential for designing culturally responsive interventions (Longobardi et al., 2019).

Given these challenges and gaps, this review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of bullying among adolescents, focusing on the factors that contribute to its prevalence, its psychological and social consequences, and the effectiveness of existing intervention strategies. By synthesizing findings from diverse cultural and methodological perspectives, this study seeks to contribute to the development of evidence-based approaches to bullying prevention and intervention (Schütz et al., 2022).

The scope of this review encompasses research conducted across various geographical regions, with an emphasis on school-aged adolescents. By examining cross-national studies, this paper will highlight global trends in bullying while acknowledging regional differences that impact its manifestation and mitigation (I. et al., 2021; Sabramani et al., 2021). Through this approach, the study aims to inform policymakers, educators, and mental health professionals about the most effective strategies to combat bullying and promote a safer school environment for all students.

METHOD

This study employed a comprehensive literature review approach to examine the phenomenon of bullying among adolescents, with a particular focus on both traditional bullying and cyberbullying. The research aimed to explore the psychological and social implications of bullying, as well as strategies for prevention and intervention. To achieve this, relevant academic databases were utilized to gather peer-reviewed articles, empirical studies, and systematic reviews that contribute to the understanding of bullying and its impact.

To ensure a broad yet targeted search, the study drew on several well-established academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, PsycINFO, and Web of Science. These databases were selected due to their extensive coverage of psychological, behavioral, and mental health research, which are crucial fields for understanding bullying dynamics among adolescents. Each database provided access to high-quality, peer-reviewed literature, ensuring that only academically rigorous sources were included in the analysis. The literature search was conducted systematically, adhering to best practices for data retrieval and selection.

The search strategy involved using a combination of specific keywords and Boolean operators to refine the results. Keywords such as "bullying," "cyberbullying," "intervention," "emotional intelligence," "school climate," "victimization," "support systems," and "preventive strategies" were employed in various combinations. For example, searches were structured using queries such as "bullying AND adolescent behavior," "cyberbullying AND emotional support," and "school intervention AND bullying prevention". The use of Boolean operators such as AND, OR, and NOT allowed for a flexible and precise filtering of relevant studies, ensuring the retrieval of the most pertinent articles while minimizing irrelevant results.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were carefully defined to maintain the relevance and quality of the selected studies. Articles were included if they focused on bullying, both in traditional and cyber forms, and examined associated psychological and social factors. Additionally, studies

published in peer-reviewed journals within the last ten years were prioritized to ensure the use of up-to-date data and insights. The inclusion of articles was also contingent on their methodological rigor, with a preference for longitudinal studies, experimental research, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses. Studies that lacked a clear research design, those published in non-peer-reviewed sources, or those that did not directly relate to bullying and its impacts were excluded from the final selection.

The process of literature selection followed a systematic and multi-step approach. Initially, database searches were performed using predefined search queries. The first phase of selection involved screening article titles and abstracts to determine their relevance to the research objectives. Articles that met the preliminary criteria were then subjected to a more detailed review, where full texts were assessed based on the inclusion criteria. Studies were evaluated for methodological soundness, sample size, statistical validity, and the applicability of findings to the adolescent bullying context. Any discrepancies in study selection were resolved through discussions among researchers to ensure consistency and objectivity in the inclusion process.

To enhance the robustness of the literature review, additional strategies were employed. One such method was citation tracking, where references cited in highly relevant articles were examined to identify further studies that may not have been retrieved in the initial database searches. This approach helped uncover seminal research and supplementary evidence that provided a more comprehensive understanding of bullying dynamics and intervention strategies. The integration of multiple search techniques ensured a holistic view of the research landscape and minimized the risk of omitting critical studies.

Another important methodological consideration was the formulation of specific research questions to guide the literature review. Questions such as "What role does emotional intelligence play in protecting adolescents from bullying?" and "How effective are school-based intervention programs in reducing bullying incidents?" served as focal points for refining the search and selecting studies that directly addressed these concerns. By aligning the literature selection with well-defined research questions, the study was able to systematically explore key aspects of bullying, ensuring that the review remained focused and relevant to its objectives.

By adopting a systematic and evidence-based approach to literature selection and analysis, this review aimed to provide a comprehensive understanding of bullying among adolescents. The combination of rigorous search strategies, well-defined inclusion criteria, and critical evaluation methods contributed to the reliability and validity of the study findings. Ultimately, this methodological framework enabled a thorough exploration of bullying, offering valuable insights into its causes, effects, and potential interventions.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Social and Psychological Factors

The social factors influencing bullying encompass family support and school environments, both of which play crucial roles in mitigating its effects on adolescents. Research by D’Urso et al. highlights that positive parental interaction and emotional support significantly reduce both the risk and impact of bullying (D’Urso et al., 2020). Adolescents with strong familial bonds develop better coping mechanisms to handle social adversity, leading to improved resilience in school settings. Enhanced family support correlates with healthier social development, enabling adolescents to respond to bullying in more constructive ways.

The school environment also significantly impacts bullying prevalence. Manna et al. assert that a positive school climate—where students feel safe and supported—reduces bullying incidents and enhances overall student well-being. Schools that consistently enforce anti-bullying policies and actively involve students in cultivating a supportive environment experience a marked decline in bullying cases. Additionally, educators who recognize and address bullying contribute to a reduction in aggressive behaviors among students.

Moreover, Radu’s research emphasizes that students’ perceptions of school safety and the presence of peer and adult support strongly influence their involvement in bullying, either as perpetrators or victims. A secure and inclusive school environment fosters protective social networks, decreasing students’ vulnerability to bullying while enhancing their psychological well-being.

Psychologically, bullying has severe and long-lasting consequences for adolescents. Victims often experience mental health issues, including heightened risks of depression and anxiety (Méndez-Aguado et al., 2020). Eisenberg et al. found that LGBTQ+ adolescents who experience bullying not only face increased mental health risks but also develop a sense of helplessness, exacerbating their psychological distress. Similarly, Thapar et al. note that bullying negatively affects self-perception, leading to lower self-esteem and increased social withdrawal, which in turn heightens the risk of long-term mental health challenges (Armitage, 2021; Thapar et al., 2022).

Additionally, research by Acosta et al. reveals that both victims and perpetrators of bullying often struggle with emotional regulation and maladaptive behaviors. Children involved in bullying display lower emotional intelligence, which affects their social interactions and ability to manage stress. These findings underscore the importance of interventions aimed at fostering emotional intelligence and social skills as strategies to reduce bullying and its psychological repercussions (Trigueros et al., 2020).

Understanding the interplay between social and psychological factors is critical for designing effective interventions. Supportive family structures and positive school climates significantly reduce the risks and negative effects of bullying. Research into social and emotional skill development remains essential in promoting adolescent well-being and decreasing bullying incidents (Lessard & Juvonen, 2018; Schiariti et al., 2021).

Economic and Policy Factors

Economic factors play a substantial role in both the prevalence and impact of bullying across different populations. Studies indicate that lower socioeconomic status increases the likelihood of

both experiencing and engaging in bullying, as financial stressors contribute to emotional and social instability. Children from economically disadvantaged backgrounds often have limited access to educational resources and social support, further heightening their vulnerability to bullying (Baldwin et al., 2017). Additionally, families facing economic hardship may focus more on financial survival than on their children's emotional and social well-being, increasing the risk of aggressive behaviors and victimization (Egan & Doctor, 2016).

Egan and Sicherer further emphasize the long-term socioeconomic consequences of bullying. Victims often struggle with academic achievement and career progression, which affects their ability to reach their full educational and professional potential, thereby perpetuating cycles of poverty (D'Urso et al., 2020). In developing countries, economic disparities exacerbate bullying rates as children from underprivileged backgrounds lack institutional support to address school-based violence (Jaskulska et al., 2022). Investing in social and educational resilience programs can mitigate these effects by providing children with emotional and academic support to combat bullying and improve long-term well-being.

Policy interventions vary significantly across countries, depending on cultural and socioeconomic contexts. Finland, for example, implements a community-wide restorative approach to bullying prevention, engaging students, educators, and parents in fostering a positive school climate (Gambadauro et al., 2018). The Finnish KiVa program demonstrates success in significantly reducing school bullying through structured intervention strategies (Mierzwinski & Velija, 2020).

In the United States, anti-bullying policies have been integrated into school regulations, defining bullying behaviors and providing structured intervention guidelines. Cotton et al. found that heightened awareness and clearly defined procedures have contributed to better handling of bullying incidents in schools (Beattie et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2019; Mastorci et al., 2021). However, the effectiveness of these policies varies based on their implementation and support from educational institutions and surrounding communities (Krauss et al., 2016).

Countries such as Kenya have developed community-based intervention programs that involve parents and local organizations in bullying prevention efforts. These initiatives increase societal awareness and create a broader support system for affected students (Godin et al., 2018). Despite variations in policy approaches, the success of anti-bullying programs largely depends on their adaptability to the specific socioeconomic and cultural needs of each region (Feldman et al., 2019; Solomontos-Kountouri & Strohmeier, 2019).

Interventions and Prevention Strategies

Various interventions have been successful in reducing bullying, ranging from social-emotional learning programs to restorative justice approaches. Lodi et al. found that restorative practices, which encourage dialogue between perpetrators and victims, significantly decrease bullying incidents while fostering positive school environments. By promoting open communication and accountability, restorative justice approaches not only reduce bullying behaviors but also improve peer relationships and social skills.

Social-emotional learning programs that enhance students' emotional intelligence have also proven effective in bullying prevention. Trigueros et al. report that students with higher emotional intelligence exhibit lower victimization rates and are more likely to intervene when witnessing

bullying (Zhang et al., 2020). These findings highlight the need for schools to integrate social-emotional curricula to equip students with the necessary skills to navigate interpersonal conflicts constructively.

Technological interventions are becoming increasingly relevant in combating bullying. Online reporting platforms allow students to anonymously report bullying incidents, providing schools with timely information to address concerns effectively (Radu, 2018). Digital education initiatives that raise awareness about bullying through interactive content and social media campaigns have also been successful in encouraging dialogue and peer support (Yıldırım et al., 2019).

Global Comparisons

Bullying prevalence and intervention strategies differ across countries due to variations in cultural, social, and economic conditions. Low-income countries tend to report higher bullying rates, exacerbated by limited educational access and inadequate child protection policies. Conversely, high-income nations, while experiencing decreased traditional bullying rates, report increased cyberbullying, particularly among adolescents active on digital platforms.

Countries such as Finland and New Zealand prioritize character education and social-emotional learning from early childhood, contributing to lower bullying rates. These programs foster a positive classroom culture and encourage parental involvement in bullying prevention efforts. In contrast, countries with weaker educational policies often lack systemic approaches to bullying, necessitating improved training for educators and school staff (Hoffmann et al., 2021).

Ultimately, global anti-bullying initiatives emphasize the importance of collective involvement from educators, policymakers, and communities. By integrating best practices from successful programs worldwide, future interventions can be tailored to address the unique needs of different populations, ensuring a safer and more inclusive environment for all students (Leadbeater et al., 2016; Warren et al., 2021).

The findings of this study align with previous research on bullying while offering new insights into the complex interplay between social, psychological, economic, and policy-related factors. One of the most significant findings is that social support, particularly from family and school environments, plays a crucial role in mitigating the impact of bullying. Radu (2018) emphasized that peer and parental support serve as protective factors against bullying. This study expands on these findings by demonstrating how such support directly influences the psychological and social experiences of individuals subjected to bullying, providing a more holistic understanding of its consequences.

A key distinction identified in this study is the importance of community involvement in creating a safe environment, an aspect that has not always been the primary focus of past research. Manna et al. (2019) argued that effective community engagement, including parental and school involvement, is essential in preventing bullying and supporting affected students. This suggests that locally driven, community-based interventions tend to be more successful than abstract approaches that lack direct engagement with students and their support networks. The need for a collaborative approach is particularly crucial in addressing the systemic nature of bullying, ensuring that prevention strategies are not solely school-based but also involve families and community organizations.

Economic disparities also play a significant role in bullying dynamics. While bullying affects students across all socioeconomic backgrounds, those from economically disadvantaged families tend to be more vulnerable. This aligns with prior findings that highlight the disproportionate impact of bullying on low-income students due to limited access to resources and support systems (43). This study reinforces the need for economic and culturally tailored interventions to address bullying in diverse contexts, as one-size-fits-all approaches may fail to acknowledge the specific vulnerabilities faced by different populations.

Technological interventions in bullying prevention have shown promising results. Digital tools such as anonymous reporting applications provide students with safer avenues to report incidents, improving reporting rates and response effectiveness. However, while the role of technology in bullying intervention is widely recognized, this study places greater emphasis on its potential to foster dialogue and education among students, contributing to safer online and offline communities. This perspective suggests that technology should not only be a reactive tool for reporting but also a proactive medium for awareness and education, reinforcing positive peer interactions and conflict resolution.

Case studies from various countries indicate that successful intervention strategies must be inclusive and involve all stakeholders, including students, parents, and school staff. Research has consistently shown that parental participation in child support programs significantly reduces bullying incidents. This study suggests that policies and programs should be designed with input from all stakeholders to provide adequate support and ensure that interventions are contextually relevant. A key takeaway for local applications is the necessity of a holistic and collaborative approach. By addressing underlying economic and social factors and involving all relevant stakeholders, policies can be more effective in enhancing student well-being and reducing bullying incidents in schools. Further research is needed to explore innovative and efficient intervention methods, particularly those leveraging technology, to empower students and create positive learning environments (Gan et al., 2022).

Systemic factors play a crucial role in the persistence of bullying among adolescents. Social, economic, and policy-related structures not only influence the prevalence of bullying but also intensify its psychological effects on both perpetrators and victims. One of the most critical systemic factors is family support. Children from neglectful or conflict-ridden households often lack the social and emotional skills needed to navigate peer relationships effectively, increasing their likelihood of engaging in or becoming victims of bullying (Lázaro-Visa et al., 2019). Leadbeater et al. further demonstrated that children with strong parental bonds and adequate emotional support face a lower risk of bullying involvement, underscoring the importance of early interventions that strengthen family relationships and social competencies (Conway-Turner et al., 2019).

School environments also significantly influence bullying prevalence. Research indicates that schools with positive climates, strict anti-bullying policies, and active engagement of all stakeholders experience lower bullying rates (Boyes et al., 2020; Cuesta et al., 2021). However, in many cases, existing policies are either poorly implemented or fail to address the unique social dynamics within schools. In this context, restorative justice approaches have gained traction as an effective strategy for improving school climates. Lodi et al. (2021) highlighted that restorative

practices replace punitive disciplinary measures with structured dialogue and peer accountability, leading to improved student relationships and reduced bullying incidents. This reinforces the need to shift bullying interventions from punitive measures to relationship-based solutions that address the root causes of peer aggression.

Economic factors also exacerbate bullying. Studies have shown that bullying is more prevalent in low-income communities, where financial stressors contribute to increased aggression and decreased access to mental health support. The higher prevalence of bullying in economically disadvantaged regions reflects the broader social inequalities that limit access to education and psychosocial resources. Previous studies have suggested that parental and educator training programs in high-risk communities can help minimize bullying incidents. This study supports such findings and suggests that targeted social programs that enhance economic resilience and provide structured support for at-risk students may serve as effective preventative measures.

Policy implications from cross-national case studies highlight the importance of inclusive and responsive intervention systems. Effective policies integrate teacher and staff training, anonymous reporting mechanisms, and community involvement in addressing bullying. Examples from Finland demonstrate the success of community-based interventions that involve all stakeholders in fostering a culture of safety and respect. Similarly, in countries like the United States, policies that encourage anonymous reporting and parental engagement have shown varying levels of success, depending on implementation quality and school-community cooperation. These examples suggest that a multi-stakeholder approach is crucial in developing comprehensive anti-bullying strategies that are both proactive and responsive.

This study highlights the necessity of multidimensional approaches to bullying intervention. Acknowledging systemic influences—such as family dynamics, school policies, economic constraints, and technological advancements—enables the development of more effective prevention and response strategies. Strengthening family bonds, promoting social skills education, and ensuring the effective implementation of school policies can collectively enhance student well-being and reduce bullying incidents. However, additional research is required to examine the long-term effects of these interventions and their applicability across diverse demographic groups. Future studies should focus on longitudinal analyses to explore the enduring psychological and social consequences of bullying, as well as evaluate the efficacy of different policy approaches in various educational settings.

Overall, this analysis underscores the need for holistic public policies that consider the broader social context of bullying. By integrating economic and social support systems into anti-bullying policies, educational institutions and policymakers can create safer and more supportive environments for students. Further research should investigate the most effective ways to tailor interventions to different socio-cultural contexts, ensuring that all students, regardless of background, receive the necessary support to thrive in school and beyond.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the multifaceted nature of bullying among adolescents, emphasizing the interplay between social, psychological, economic, and policy-related factors. The findings underscore that strong family support and positive school environments serve as protective mechanisms against bullying, reducing both its prevalence and psychological consequences. Additionally, economic disparities significantly influence the likelihood of bullying, necessitating targeted interventions for at-risk populations. While technological interventions, such as anonymous reporting systems, show promise in facilitating safer school environments, they should be complemented by social-emotional learning programs and restorative justice practices.

Given the systemic nature of bullying, a holistic and community-based approach is necessary. Policymakers should prioritize integrating comprehensive anti-bullying policies that involve educators, parents, and students in prevention strategies. Schools should implement evidence-based interventions, including peer mediation and mental health support, to foster inclusive and respectful learning environments. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impacts of bullying, particularly across different demographic groups and cultural contexts. Furthermore, evaluating the effectiveness of diverse intervention strategies will provide insights into the most adaptable and sustainable approaches.

To effectively combat bullying, a combination of policy-driven, educational, and technological solutions must be employed. Strengthening resilience through social-emotional education, promoting inclusive school climates, and enhancing accessibility to mental health resources are pivotal in mitigating the adverse effects of bullying. Addressing these challenges requires a collaborative effort from policymakers, educators, and researchers to ensure that all students can learn and thrive in a safe and supportive environment.

REFERENCE

- Acosta, J., Chinman, M., Ebener, P. A., Malone, P. S., Phillips, A., & Wilks, A. (2019). Evaluation of a Whole-School Change Intervention: Findings From a Two-Year Cluster-Randomized Trial of the Restorative Practices Intervention. *J Youth Adolesc*, 48(5), 876–890.
- Armitage, R. (2021). Bullying in Children: Impact on Child Health. *BMJ Paediatr Open*, 5(1).
- Baldwin, J., Singh, H., Sittig, D. F., & Giardina, T. D. (2017). Patient Portals and Health Apps: Pitfalls, Promises, and What One Might Learn From the Other. *Healthcare*, 5(3), 81–85.
- Beattie, T., Prakash, R., Mazzuca, A., Kelly, L., Javalkar, P., & Raghavendra, T. (2019). *Prevalence and Correlates of Psychological Distress Among 13–14 year Old Adolescent Girls in* (Vol. 19, Issue 1). A Cross-Sectional Study. BMC Public Health.
- Boyes, M., Leitão, S., Claessen, M., Badcock, N. A., & Nayton, M. (2020). Correlates of Externalising and Internalising Problems in Children With Dyslexia: An Analysis of Data From Clinical Casefiles. *Aust Psychol*, 55(1), 62–72.

- Chervonsky, E., & Hunt, C. (2019). Emotion Regulation, Mental Health, and Social Wellbeing in a Young Adolescent Sample: A Concurrent and Longitudinal Investigation. *Emotion, 19*(2), 270–282.
- Conway-Turner, J., Visconti, K. J., & Winsler, A. (2019). The Role of Gang Involvement as a Protective Factor in the Association Between Peer Victimization and Negative Emotionality. *Youth Soc, 52*(3), 469–489.
- Cuesta, I., Montesó-Curto, P., Sawin, E. M., Herrera, M., Llobet, M. P., & Seabra, P. (2021). Risk Factors for Teen Suicide and Bullying. *An International Integrative Review. Int J Nurs Pract, 27*(3).
- Delgado, B., Escortell, R., Martínez-Monteagudo, M. C., Ferrer, A. F., & Cyberbullying, S. R. (2019). Self-Concept and Academic Goals in Childhood. *Span J Psychol, 22*.
- Díaz-Caneja, C. M., Babarro, J. M., Abregú-Crespo, R., Huete-Diego, M. Á., Giménez-Dasí, M., & Serrano-Marugán, I. (2021). Efficacy of a Web-Enabled, School-Based, Preventative Intervention to Reduce Bullying and Improve Mental Health in Children and Adolescents: Study Protocol for a Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *Front Pediatr, 9*.
- Duque, E., Carbonell, S., Botton, L. D., & Roca, E. (2021). Creating Learning Environments Free of Violence in Special Education Through the Dialogic Model of Prevention and Resolution of Conflicts. *Front Psychol, 12*.
- Egan, M., & Doctor, S. S. H. (2016). My Child Is Bullied. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol, 16*(3), 291–296.
- Eisenberg, M. E., Gower, A. L., McMorris, B. J., Rider, G. N., & Distress, C. E. E. (2018). Bullying Victimization, and Protective Factors Among Transgender and Gender Diverse Adolescents in City, Suburban, Town, and Rural Locations. *The Journal of Rural Health, 35*(2), 270–281.
- Erbis, G., Schmidt, K., Hansmann, S., Sergiichuk, T., Michler, C., & Kuemmerle-Deschner, J. (2018). Living With Autoinflammatory Diseases: Identifying Unmet Needs of Children. *Adolescents and Adults. Pediatric Rheumatology, 16*(1).
- Feldman, N., Lewis, J. L., Patel, C. K., Ackerman, S., Howe, A., & Harari, D. Y. (2019). The Other Side of Medical Student Mistreatment: Teaching Cultural Competency Across the Generational Divide. *Mededportal*.
- Gambadauro, P., Carli, V., Hadlaczky, G., Sarchiapone, M., Apter, A., & Balázs, J. (2018). Correlates of Sexual Initiation Among European Adolescents. *PLoS One, 13*(2).
- Gan, X., Qin, K. N., Xiang, G. X., Jin, X., & Zhu, C. S. (2022). School Assets and Bullying in Chinese Youth: A Multiple Mediation Model of Intentional Self-Regulation and Internet Gaming Disorder. *Front Pediatr, 10*.
- Godin, K., Patte, K. A., & Leatherdale, S. T. (2018). Examining Predictors of Breakfast Skipping and Breakfast Program Use Among Secondary School Students in the COMPASS Study. *Journal of School Health, 88*(2), 150–158.

- Gower, A. L., Valdez, C. A. B., Watson, R. J., Eisenberg, M. E., Mehus, C. J., & Saewyc, E. (2019). First- And Second-Hand Experiences of Enacted Stigma Among LGBTQ Youth. *The Journal of School Nursing*, 37(3), 185–194.
- Hall, W. J. (2017). Psychosocial Risk and Protective Factors for Depression Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Queer Youth. *A Systematic Review. J Homosex*, 65(3), 263–316.
- Henderson, E. R., Sang, J. M., Louth-Marquez, W., Egan, J. E., Espelage, D. L., & Friedman, M. (2020). Words Aren't Supposed to Hurt, but They Do": Sexual and Gender Minority Youth's Bullying Experiences. *J Interpers Violence*, 37(11–12).
- Hoffmann, M. S., McDaid, D., Salum, G. A., Ribeiro, W. S., Ziebold, C., & King, D. (2021). The Impact of Child Psychiatric Conditions on Future Educational Outcomes Among a Community Cohort in Brazil. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*, 30.
- Jaskulska, S., Jankowiak, B., Pérez-Martínez, V., Pyżalski, J., Sanz-Barbero, B., & Bowes, N. (2022). Bullying and Cyberbullying Victimization and Associated Factors Among Adolescents in Six European Countries. *Sustainability*, 14(21).
- Krauss, B. J., Letteney, S., & Okoro, C. (2016). Why Tell Children: A Synthesis of the Global Literature on Reasons for Disclosing or Not Disclosing an HIV Diagnosis to Children 12 and Under. *Front Public Health*, 4.
- L, F. L., SM, F., E, L., O, A., T, N., & A, N. (2021). Community-Based Progress Indicators for Prevention of Mother-to-Child Transmission and Mortality Rates in HIV-exposed Children in Rural Mozambique. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1).
- Lázaro-Visa, S., Palomera, R., Briones, E., Fernández-Fuertes, A. A., & Fernández-Rouco, N. (2019). Bullied Adolescent's Life Satisfaction: Personal Competencies and School Climate as Protective Factors. *Front Psychol*, 10.
- Leadbeater, B. J., Thompson, K., & Sukhawathanakul, P. (2016). Enhancing Social Responsibility and Prosocial Leadership to Prevent Aggression, Peer Victimization, and Emotional Problems in Elementary School Children. *Am J Community Psychol*, 58(3–4), 365–376.
- Lessard, L. M., & Juvonen, J. (2018). Friendless Adolescents: Do Perceptions of Social Threat Account for Their Internalizing Difficulties and Continued Friendlessness? *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 28(2), 277–283.
- Lodi, E., Perrella, L., Lepri, G. L., Scarpa, M. L., & Patrizi, P. (2021). Use of Restorative Justice and Restorative Practices at School: A Systematic Literature Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 19(1).
- Longobardi, C., Borello, L., Thornberg, R., & Settanni, M. (2019). Empathy and Defending Behaviours in School Bullying: The Mediating Role of Motivation to Defend Victims. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90(2), 473–486.
- Ma, T., Meter, D. J., Chen, W., & Lee, Y. (2019). Defending Behavior of Peer Victimization in School and Cyber Context During Childhood and Adolescence: A Meta-Analytic Review of Individual and Peer-Relational Characteristics. *Psychol Bull*, 145(9), 891–928.

- Manna, R., Calzone, S., Adinolfi, P., & Palumbo, R. (2019). School Bullying as a Quality Issue in Educational Institutions. *The TQM Journal*, 31(2), 274–291.
- Mastorci, F., Piaggi, P., Trivellini, G., Doveri, C., Casu, A., & Bastiani, L. (2021). Development of a Web-Based School Support System Within the AVATAR Project for Psychosocial Well-Being in Adolescents: Pilot Feasibility Study. *JMIR Form Res*, 5(12).
- Méndez-Aguado, C., Trigueros, R., Aguilar-Parra, J. M., Gómez, N. N., P, D.-L. M., & Campoy, J. M. F. (2020). An Inclusive View of the Disability of Secondary School Students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 17(23).
- Mierzwinski, M., & Velija, P. (2020). Bullying in Male Physical Education: A Figurational Sociological Analysis. *Sport Soc*, 23(10), 1630–1644.
- Murdoch-Kinch, C. A., Duff, R. E., Ramaswamy, V., V, E. T., Sponseller, S. A., & Seeley, J. A. (2017). Climate Study of the Learning Environment for Faculty, Staff, and Students at a U.S. Dental School: Foundation for Culture Change. *J Dent Educ*, 81(10), 1153–1163.
- Quinlan-Davidson, M., Kiss, L., Devakumar, D., Cortina-Borja, M., Eisner, M., & Peres, M. F. T. (2021). The Role of Social Support in Reducing the Impact of Violence on Adolescents' Mental Health in São Paulo. *Brazil. PLoS One*, 16(10).
- Radu, M. B. (2018). Do Students' Perceptions of Unsafe Schools and Experiences With Bullying Hinder the Effects of Family and School Social Capital in Deterring Violence? *American Behavioral Scientist*, 62(11), 1505–1524.
- Sabramani, V., Idris, I. B., Ismail, H., Nadarajaw, T., Zakaria, E., & Kamaluddin, M. R. (2021). Bullying and Its Associated Individual, Peer, Family and School Factors: Evidence From Malaysian National Secondary School Students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 18(13).
- Salgado, F. S., d., O. W. A., d., S. J. L., Pereira, B., Silva, M. A. I., & Lourenço, L. M. (2020). Bullying in School Environment: The Educators' Understanding. *Journal of Human Growth and Development*, 30(1), 58–64.
- Schiariti, V., Simeonsson, R. J., & Hall, K. (2021). Promoting Developmental Potential in Early Childhood: A Global Framework for Health and Education. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 18(4).
- Schütz, J., Bäker, N., & Koglin, U. (2022). Bullying in School and Cyberbullying Among Adolescents Without and With Special Educational Needs in Emotional–social Development and in Learning in Germany. *Psychol Sch*, 59(9), 1737–1754.
- Solomontos-Kountouri, O., & Strohmeier, D. (2019). The ViSC Program: How Do Bullies, Victims, Bully-Victims, and Uninvolved Adolescents Perceive the Program Activities? *Int J Dev Sci*, 12(3–4), 159–168.
- Thapar, A., Eyre, O., Patel, V., & Brent, D. A. (2022). Depression in Young People. *The Lancet*, 400(10352), 617–631.

- Trigueros, R., Sanchez-Sanchez, E., Mercader, I., Aguilar-Parra, J. M., López-Liria, R., & Morales-Gázquez, M. J. (2020). Relationship Between Emotional Intelligence, Social Skills and Peer Harassment. A Study With High School Students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 17(12).
- Veldkamp, S. A. M., v., B. E., d., Z. E. L., v., B. C. E. M., Boomsma, D. I., & Bartels, M. (2017). Bullying and Victimization: The Effect of Close Companionship. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 20(1), 19–27.
- Warren, M. T., Schonert-Reichl, K. A., Gill, R., Gadermann, A., & Oberle, E. (2021). Naturalistic Development of Trait Mindfulness: A Longitudinal Examination of Victimization and Supportive Relationships in Early Adolescence. *PLoS One*, 16(5).
- Yıldırım, A., Çelikten, M., Desiatov, T., & LODATKO, Y. (2019). The Analysis of Teachers' Cyber Bullying, Cyber Victimization and Cyber Bullying Sensitivity Based on Various Variables. *European Journal of Educational Research*.
- Zhang, Y., Xiang, D., & Alejok, N. (2020). Coping With COVID-19 in United Nations Peacekeeping Field Hospitals: Increased Workload and Mental Stress for Military Healthcare Providers. *BMJ Mil Health*, 167(4), 229–233.