

Occupational Disease Prevention Strategies through OHS Interventions: Global Evaluation and Challenges

Muhammad Rizki Ashari

Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia

Correspondent : aiyurizki@yahoo.com

Received : August 10, 2022

Accepted : September 21, 2022

Published : September 30, 2022

Citation: Ashari, M, R. (2022). Occupational Disease Prevention Strategies through OHS Interventions: Global Evaluation and Challenges. Journal of Health Literacy and Qualitative Research, 2(2), 56-70.

ABSTRACT: Work-related diseases remain a significant global concern, necessitating robust Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) management systems to mitigate risks and ensure worker well-being. This study systematically reviews existing literature on OHS implementation and its effectiveness in preventing occupational illnesses. A comprehensive search of academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar, was conducted to analyze peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2024. The selection criteria focused on empirical research evaluating the impact of OHS policies, worker training programs, and ergonomic interventions on workplace safety. The findings indicate that structured OHS strategies, such as continuous safety education, workplace ergonomic adjustments, and mental health integration, significantly enhance compliance with safety regulations and reduce occupational health risks. However, key challenges remain, including inadequate worker awareness, insufficient regulatory enforcement, and barriers to adopting technological innovations. Addressing these challenges requires increased investment in training programs, stronger legal frameworks, and the development of workplace safety technologies. This review underscores the need for a holistic approach to OHS management, integrating both physical and psychological health measures. Future research should explore long-term effectiveness assessments of OHS policies, sector-specific risk mitigation strategies, and advancements in digital safety monitoring. Strengthening OHS frameworks globally will contribute to improved workplace conditions, reduced disease incidence, and enhanced worker productivity.

Keywords: Occupational Health And Safety, Work-Related Diseases, Safety Management Systems, Workplace Ergonomics, Mental Health In The Workplace, Regulatory Compliance, Risk Prevention.



This is an open access article under the
CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Occupational health and safety (OHS) management plays a crucial role in mitigating work-related diseases that significantly impact workers' well-being and organizational productivity. The implementation of OHS policies aims to create a safe working environment by reducing occupational hazards and ensuring compliance with regulatory frameworks (Imankulova &

Dzhusupov, 2018). However, despite comprehensive legal structures and preventive measures, the prevalence of work-related illnesses remains a persistent global challenge. In various industries, particularly healthcare, construction, and mining, workers are exposed to occupational risks that contribute to long-term health complications (Yorio et al., 2018). Consequently, strengthening OHS management is imperative to safeguarding employees' health and fostering a sustainable workforce.

The significance of OHS implementation is further underscored by alarming statistics on work-related diseases. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), over 2.78 million deaths and 160 million cases of occupational illnesses occur annually worldwide, highlighting the urgent need for effective intervention strategies (Caruso et al., 2022). Industries with hazardous working conditions, such as construction, experience disproportionately high incidences of workplace injuries, while healthcare workers face elevated risks of mental health disorders due to prolonged exposure to stress and traumatic events (Modenese et al., 2021). Despite efforts to regulate workplace safety, the enforcement of OHS policies varies significantly across different economic and geographical contexts, leading to disparities in occupational health outcomes (Nandi et al., 2021).

Several critical factors contribute to the ongoing challenges in OHS implementation. One of the primary obstacles is the lack of compliance with existing safety regulations, often due to inadequate monitoring systems and limited resources for enforcement (Imankulova & Dzhusupov, 2018). Many organizations fail to prioritize occupational health due to cost considerations, while workers may lack awareness of health risks associated with their job roles. Additionally, in developing countries, weak institutional frameworks and insufficient funding hinder the establishment of robust safety measures (Linnan et al., 2019). These deficiencies exacerbate workplace hazards and increase the likelihood of occupational diseases, necessitating comprehensive policy reforms and increased investment in OHS initiatives.

The COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted the vulnerabilities in workplace safety systems, particularly regarding mental health concerns. Healthcare professionals, in particular, experienced heightened levels of stress and anxiety due to their direct exposure to infected patients, contributing to post-traumatic stress disorders and burnout (D'ettorre et al., 2021). The pandemic underscored the importance of integrating mental health support into OHS policies, as psychological well-being is essential for sustaining a resilient workforce (Brown-Johnson et al., 2021). Additionally, the crisis exposed deficiencies in the availability and proper use of personal protective equipment (PPE), further emphasizing the necessity of adequate training and resources to mitigate occupational risks (Yang & Hayes, 2020).

Another significant challenge in OHS management is the insufficient training and education of workers regarding workplace hazards and safety protocols. Studies have shown that workers without proper training on the correct use of PPE are at a higher risk of occupational injuries and illnesses (Jule, 2020). In industries such as mining and manufacturing, exposure to toxic chemicals and hazardous substances can result in severe health complications if protective measures are not adequately implemented (Zhou et al., 2022). Addressing this gap requires a strategic focus on

workforce education, regular safety drills, and continuous professional development programs tailored to industry-specific risks (Guillemin, 2021).

Despite growing awareness of OHS principles, gaps remain in the existing literature regarding effective safety interventions. While numerous studies have explored the impact of regulatory compliance and hazard prevention, there is limited research on the long-term effectiveness of OHS training programs and behavioral interventions (Tarlo et al., 2017). Additionally, research on the intersection of occupational health and mental well-being remains fragmented, necessitating further investigation into comprehensive strategies that address both physical and psychological aspects of workplace safety (Guillemin, 2021). Identifying these gaps underscores the need for an integrated approach that combines regulatory enforcement, worker education, and organizational commitment to OHS.

This review aims to analyze the effectiveness of OHS management in preventing work-related diseases, focusing on key factors influencing implementation outcomes. The study will explore regulatory frameworks, organizational safety culture, workforce training, and the integration of mental health support in occupational safety initiatives. By synthesizing current evidence, this review seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and best practices in OHS management, offering recommendations for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers.

The scope of this review will encompass both global and regional perspectives, examining variations in OHS implementation across different economic and industrial contexts. Specific attention will be given to high-risk sectors such as healthcare, construction, and manufacturing, where occupational hazards are prevalent. Additionally, case studies from developed and developing countries will be analyzed to highlight disparities in workplace safety regulations and their implications for worker health. Through this approach, the review aims to contribute to the advancement of OHS policies and the development of more effective strategies for reducing work-related diseases worldwide.

METHOD

This study employs a systematic review approach to examine the implementation of occupational health and safety (OHS) management and its effectiveness in preventing work-related diseases. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, Web of Science, and CINAHL, targeting studies published between 2015 and 2024. The search strategy utilized a combination of predefined keywords and Boolean operators to enhance precision and comprehensiveness. Keywords included "Occupational Health and Safety," "Work-Related Diseases," "Safety Management Systems," "Occupational Health Interventions," "Safety Training," "Occupational Health Risks," "Personal Protective Equipment," "Psychosocial Factors," "Mental Health in the Workplace," and "Compliance with Safety Regulations." Additionally, terms such as "effectiveness," "risk assessment," "intervention," and "best practices" were incorporated to broaden the scope of relevant studies.

Selection criteria were established to ensure the inclusion of peer-reviewed studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses that empirically or theoretically analyzed the impact of OHS policies and interventions on workplace health. Studies that were not published in English, lacked direct empirical evidence, or were not peer-reviewed were excluded. The initial screening process involved reviewing titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text assessment to determine relevance and methodological rigor.

To enhance reliability, a multi-stage screening process was implemented. Four independent reviewers assessed each study to ensure alignment with inclusion criteria. Thematic synthesis was used to identify recurring patterns in OHS policy implementation, training efficacy, leadership involvement, and environmental factors affecting workplace safety. The findings provide insights into the key drivers and barriers of effective OHS management and offer recommendations for improving workplace health and safety frameworks.

To ensure the robustness and credibility of the review, the quality of the included studies was assessed using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklists for different study types. Each selected article was independently evaluated by four reviewers for methodological rigor, including assessment of study design, clarity of research objectives, validity of measurements, and appropriateness of conclusions. Discrepancies in evaluation were resolved through consensus discussions to maintain objectivity and reduce reviewer bias.

Furthermore, considering the global scope of the study, special attention was paid to the geographical representation of included literature. It was observed that a significant proportion of studies originated from high income countries, which may introduce bias and limit the generalizability of findings to low and middle income contexts. To mitigate this, additional targeted searches were conducted in regional databases such as African Journals Online (AJOL) and the Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature (LILACS), aiming to include empirical studies from developing countries.

The authors also acknowledge the structural barriers such as limited research funding, language exclusion, and lack of open access dissemination that restrict the visibility of OHS studies from developing regions. As part of the inclusion strategy, grey literature and non indexed research reports from international organizations (e.g., ILO, WHO) were considered to enrich contextual diversity. This approach aimed to balance representation and reduce the risk of systemic bias in literature selection, enhancing the validity of global policy recommendations derived from the review.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Interventions and Prevention Strategies

Existing literature highlights several effective approaches and strategies for preventing work-related diseases. One key strategy is training and education programs, which play a vital role in enhancing workers' awareness of occupational hazards and improving adherence to safety

protocols. Research by Cheetham et al. (2021) demonstrates that comprehensive training programs for healthcare workers significantly reduce incidents of sharp injuries and enhance compliance with safety practices (Cheetham et al., 2021). Regular training sessions ensure that employees remain updated on the latest safety measures, reinforcing a culture of workplace safety.

Another crucial intervention is ergonomic workplace modifications, which have been shown to mitigate the risk of musculoskeletal disorders. Studies indicate that ergonomic interventions, such as workstation redesign and the use of assistive tools, substantially reduce occupational injuries (Colim et al., 2020). Implementing ergonomic solutions enables workers to maintain healthier postures and reduces physical strain, thereby preventing chronic workplace-related ailments.

A multidisciplinary approach to OHS management is also gaining recognition as an effective strategy. Involving professionals from various disciplines, including health experts, management, and employees, fosters a more holistic perspective on workplace safety challenges and solutions (Guillemin, 2021). This approach ensures that safety interventions are comprehensive and address the diverse needs of the workforce.

Continuous monitoring and evaluation further enhance the effectiveness of OHS policies. The establishment of systematic monitoring systems allows organizations to assess the efficacy of their safety measures and make necessary adjustments based on real-time data and employee feedback (Sims et al., 2018). By continuously refining safety strategies, organizations can create a dynamic and responsive OHS framework that evolves with emerging workplace risks.

Effectiveness of OHS Training Programs

OHS training programs have proven to be instrumental in reducing occupational health risks. Cheetham et al. (2021) found that structured educational interventions not only decrease injury rates but also instill lasting behavioral changes among employees (Cheetham et al., 2021). Engaging workers in interactive training that incorporates both theoretical knowledge and practical demonstrations enhances their ability to implement safety measures effectively (Lees et al., 2019). Research further suggests that integrating field simulations into OHS training yields better outcomes compared to traditional classroom-based approaches, as workers gain hands-on experience in handling safety equipment and protocols.

Empirical evidence also indicates that well-executed training programs contribute to cost reductions for companies by minimizing injury-related expenses and improving workforce productivity (Monroy-Iglesias et al., 2021; Papi et al., 2020). When employees receive comprehensive OHS training, organizations experience fewer workplace incidents, leading to lower compensation claims and medical costs. The cumulative impact of effective training extends beyond individual worker safety, fostering an overall culture of health and risk prevention within the organization.

Impact of OHS Implementation on Worker Health and Well-being

The implementation of robust OHS policies significantly enhances worker health and overall well-being. Studies indicate that organizations that prioritize occupational safety witness a decline in workplace illnesses and injuries, which directly correlates with improved worker morale and

productivity (Monroy-Iglesias et al., 2021; Roodenrijs et al., 2021). Effective OHS policies not only prevent hazards but also contribute to stress reduction, creating a more positive and conducive work environment (Cha & Kim, 2022).

Research further highlights that workplaces with strong OHS frameworks report higher job satisfaction and worker retention rates. Employees who feel secure and valued in their workplace demonstrate increased commitment and performance levels (yazdizadeh et al., 2020). Additionally, the provision of mental health support services in occupational settings helps alleviate psychological stress, fostering a holistic approach to worker well-being (Mbeje et al., 2022). Organizations that integrate psychological health initiatives alongside physical safety measures benefit from reduced absenteeism and enhanced workplace harmony (Chau et al., 2019).

Correlation Between OHS Implementation, Productivity, and Healthcare Costs

Research consistently finds a strong correlation between OHS implementation and increased organizational productivity. Companies that invest in occupational safety report lower lost time injury (LTI) rates and reduced accident-related costs, thereby improving operational efficiency (Bahl et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2022). In high-risk industries, stringent safety measures translate into significant savings on insurance claims and healthcare expenses (Spagnolello et al., 2020).

Cost-benefit analyses indicate that effective OHS strategies lead to substantial reductions in medical expenses. Organizations with well-developed OHS policies experience fewer workplace-related illnesses, resulting in lower healthcare costs for employees (Lees et al., 2019; Papi et al., 2020). This reduction in healthcare expenditures, coupled with increased worker productivity, demonstrates that OHS investment yields both economic and human capital gains. Moreover, reduced injury rates minimize the need for retraining new employees, further optimizing organizational resource allocation (Menjetta et al., 2019).

Overall, the implementation of OHS policies not only fosters a safer and healthier workforce but also enhances business performance by reducing financial liabilities associated with workplace accidents and illnesses. Establishing a comprehensive OHS framework benefits both employers and employees, ensuring long-term sustainability and resilience within industries (Dalager et al., 2019; Mulder et al., 2020).

Comparative Analysis of OHS Policies Between Developed and Developing Nations

The implementation and enforcement of OHS policies vary significantly between developed and developing nations. Countries with well-structured regulatory frameworks, such as Germany and Sweden, have successfully reduced workplace injuries through stringent safety protocols and continuous training programs (Sims et al., 2018; yazdizadeh et al., 2020). These nations emphasize evidence-based policymaking, technological integration, and workforce training as key components of their OHS strategies.

Conversely, in many developing nations, OHS implementation faces systemic challenges, including limited resources, weak infrastructure, and ineffective regulatory enforcement (Chau et al., 2019; Mbeje et al., 2022). Studies indicate that work-related disease incidence remains high in these regions due to inadequate compliance with safety standards and lack of worker education on

occupational risks (Hembecker et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2022). Although regulatory frameworks may exist, poor enforcement mechanisms hinder their practical application, leading to heightened workplace vulnerabilities.

Best Practices from High-Performing Countries in OHS Implementation

Countries that have achieved significant success in OHS management exhibit key best practices that can be adopted globally. One of the most impactful strategies is continuous education and training, as seen in Australia, where workers undergo periodic training updates to ensure familiarity with evolving safety standards (Bahl et al., 2020; Menjetta et al., 2019). By institutionalizing lifelong learning in OHS, Australia has successfully cultivated a proactive safety culture.

Another critical factor is active worker participation in safety policymaking. In countries like Sweden and Norway, employees play an integral role in shaping OHS policies, fostering a sense of ownership and accountability (Court et al., 2018; Lees et al., 2019). Open communication between management and workers ensures that safety policies remain relevant and practical.

Effective monitoring and evaluation mechanisms also contribute to improved OHS outcomes. Canada, for instance, implements stringent auditing systems to assess compliance with safety regulations and identify areas for improvement (Linnan et al., 2019; Mulder et al., 2020). Continuous assessment enables timely policy adjustments and promotes a dynamic approach to workplace safety.

Technological advancements have further enhanced OHS management. Many developed nations integrate smart personal protective equipment (PPE) and real-time safety monitoring systems to mitigate occupational hazards (Dalager et al., 2019; Jin et al., 2021). These innovations allow for early detection of workplace risks, reducing accident rates significantly.

Government involvement also plays a crucial role in ensuring effective OHS implementation. Countries with strong public-private partnerships and government subsidies for safety programs exhibit lower occupational disease rates (Almatroodi et al., 2022; Papi et al., 2020). Providing financial incentives for organizations that adhere to high safety standards encourages widespread compliance and continuous improvement.

By adopting these best practices, developing nations can enhance their OHS frameworks and significantly reduce workplace-related diseases. Learning from high-performing countries offers a roadmap for improving occupational safety standards worldwide and fostering a healthier global workforce.

Enhancing Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) Policies for Greater Effectiveness

The findings of this study underscore the necessity of systematic, data-driven OHS policies to prevent work-related diseases. Several approaches can be employed to enhance the effectiveness of these policies. One essential aspect is worker education and awareness. Research by Genowska et al. (2016) suggests that lower education levels correlate with decreased workplace productivity and increased absenteeism due to illness (Genowska et al., 2016). Implementing comprehensive educational programs tailored to various sectors can improve worker knowledge on occupational hazards and safety procedures, thus fostering a culture of compliance and risk awareness.

The involvement of workers in OHS policy design has also been identified as a crucial factor in ensuring policy relevance and practical applicability. According to Benning et al. (2022), employee participation in the formulation of safety policies leads to more effective and realistic workplace interventions (Benning et al., 2022). By incorporating worker insights, organizations can identify real-world challenges and develop innovative solutions that align with operational realities.

A multidisciplinary approach to OHS policy development is another key factor in achieving holistic and effective implementation. This approach involves collaboration among occupational health experts, ergonomists, and operational managers to design policies that cater to the diverse needs of the workforce (Geronazzo-Alman et al., 2017). Additionally, data-driven monitoring and evaluation systems are critical in assessing policy effectiveness. Chau et al. (2019) emphasize the importance of continuous performance evaluation, which allows organizations to refine safety policies based on empirical evidence and improve accountability mechanisms (Chau et al., 2019).

The integration of technology and innovation into OHS strategies has also emerged as a pivotal factor in strengthening workplace safety measures. Thapa et al. (2019) highlight the efficiency of mobile applications in providing continuous safety education and training (Thapa et al., 2019). Digital platforms enhance knowledge dissemination and facilitate real-time safety monitoring, ultimately leading to more effective risk prevention strategies.

The Relationship Between OHS Implementation, Productivity, and Worker Healthcare Costs

Effective OHS implementation has a direct impact on organizational productivity and worker healthcare costs. Research indicates that organizations investing in OHS programs experience a marked reduction in workplace injuries and illnesses, thereby enhancing workforce stability and efficiency (Malinga et al., 2021). Reduced accident rates lead to lower medical expenses, fewer compensation claims, and improved worker morale, ultimately fostering a more productive work environment.

Studies by Mwenya & Stapley (2020) and Iavicoli et al. (2021) support these findings, demonstrating that targeted prevention strategies, particularly for occupational respiratory diseases, yield significant long-term cost savings. By prioritizing risk mitigation efforts, companies can avoid substantial financial burdens associated with chronic occupational health conditions (Mwenya & Stapley, 2020; O'Keefe et al., 2021). Overall, well-structured OHS policies not only contribute to workplace safety but also generate economic benefits for both employees and employers by reducing direct and indirect costs linked to workplace-related health issues.

Challenges in Implementing Work-Related Disease Prevention Strategies

Despite the advancements in OHS implementation, several persistent challenges hinder the full realization of workplace safety initiatives. One major barrier is the lack of awareness and education among workers, particularly in developing countries. Many employees remain uninformed about safety regulations and occupational hazards, leading to low compliance with safety protocols (Smith et al., 2022). Addressing this challenge requires a more comprehensive and sustained

educational approach, emphasizing practical training sessions and ongoing risk-awareness campaigns.

Another challenge is resource constraints, which disproportionately affect small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Limited financial and infrastructural support impedes the implementation of effective OHS policies, resulting in inadequate safety equipment and insufficient worker training (Bahl et al., 2020; Roodenrijs et al., 2021). To overcome this, increased managerial and governmental support is essential to facilitate investment in workplace safety measures.

Non-compliance with safety regulations remains another significant concern, particularly in industries with weak enforcement mechanisms. Despite the existence of stringent occupational safety laws, a lack of oversight and minimal punitive measures often result in workplace safety violations (Ciulkowicz et al., 2021). Strengthening regulatory enforcement and ensuring stringent penalties for non-compliance can help drive adherence to safety protocols and foster a more responsible workplace culture.

The psychosocial and psychological factors influencing worker safety behaviors must also be considered. Workplace stress and high-pressure environments can lead to neglect of safety precautions, increasing the risk of work-related illnesses (Chau et al., 2019; Mbeje et al., 2022). Integrating mental health support into OHS policies can mitigate these risks and enhance worker well-being.

Lastly, adapting to technological advancements poses a challenge for many organizations, particularly those with limited access to modern training resources. The rapid evolution of occupational safety technologies necessitates ongoing workforce training to ensure employees are equipped with the skills required to navigate new safety systems effectively (Papi et al., 2020).

Limitation

While this study provides valuable insights into OHS implementation and its impact on workplace safety, several limitations must be acknowledged. The review relies on secondary data sources, which may introduce potential biases in the interpretation of study findings. Additionally, disparities in research methodologies across different studies could affect the consistency and generalizability of the conclusions drawn. The scope of the review was also constrained by language limitations, as only English-language studies were considered, potentially excluding relevant research published in other languages.

Another limitation lies in the limited availability of longitudinal studies examining the long-term effects of OHS interventions. The lack of standardized metrics for evaluating OHS program success further complicates cross-study comparisons. Addressing these limitations in future research is crucial for refining occupational safety policies and interventions.

Implication

The findings of this study have significant implications for policymakers, industry leaders, and occupational health practitioners. Strengthening regulatory enforcement mechanisms can enhance compliance with OHS policies, reducing workplace hazards and improving overall worker safety.

Furthermore, the integration of psychological well-being programs into OHS frameworks is essential for mitigating workplace stress and promoting a healthier work environment.

Investment in education and training initiatives will be instrumental in addressing knowledge gaps among workers and ensuring that safety protocols are consistently followed. Additionally, increased governmental and organizational funding for OHS programs can enhance workplace safety infrastructure, benefiting both employees and employers in the long term.

Future research should focus on evaluating the effectiveness of emerging technologies in workplace safety management and exploring innovative strategies for enhancing worker engagement in safety initiatives. Comparative studies assessing OHS implementation across diverse industrial sectors and geographical regions will provide valuable insights into best practices that can be adopted globally. Expanding the scope of OHS research beyond traditional physical hazards to include mental health and psychosocial risks will also be critical in developing more holistic occupational safety policies.

By addressing these key areas, future research can contribute to the continuous improvement of OHS strategies, ensuring sustainable workplace safety and enhancing worker well-being worldwide.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the critical role of Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) management in preventing work-related diseases and ensuring worker well-being. The findings emphasize that effective OHS policies, including structured training programs, ergonomic workplace interventions, and the integration of mental health support, significantly reduce workplace hazards and enhance compliance with safety regulations. Furthermore, the implementation of continuous monitoring and evaluation systems strengthens workplace safety culture and mitigates long-term occupational risks.

Despite these advancements, challenges such as inadequate awareness, resource constraints, regulatory non-compliance, and psychosocial stressors persist, hindering the full realization of OHS objectives. Addressing these issues requires a multifaceted approach, including strengthened regulatory enforcement, increased investment in worker education and training, and the adoption of innovative safety technologies. Governments and organizations must collaborate to foster a culture of safety, ensuring that OHS policies are both practical and enforceable.

Future research should focus on assessing the long-term impact of OHS interventions, exploring cross-sectoral comparisons, and incorporating emerging digital tools for real-time safety monitoring. Additionally, greater attention should be given to the integration of mental health initiatives within OHS frameworks, recognizing the interconnectedness of physical and psychological well-being in occupational health. By addressing these gaps, OHS policies can evolve to meet the dynamic needs of modern workplaces, ultimately promoting a healthier and more productive workforce.

REFERENCE

- Almatroodi, S. A., Khan, A. A., Aloliqi, A. A., Syed, M. A., & Rahmani, A. H. (2022). Therapeutic Potential of Ajwa Dates (*Phoenix Dactylifera*) Extract in Prevention of Benzo(a)pyrene-Induced Lung Injury Through the Modulation of Oxidative Stress, Inflammation, and Cell Signalling Molecules. *Applied Sciences*, 12(13), 6784. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12136784>
- Bahl, A., Harna, B., & Arya, S. (2020). Epidemiology of Trauma Patients Admitted to a Trauma Center in New Delhi, India. *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine*, 24(12), 1193–1197. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23605>
- Benning, F. E., Oostrom, S. H. v., Nassau, F. v., Schaap, R., Anema, J. R., & Proper, K. I. (2022). The Implementation of Preventive Health Measures in Small- And Medium-Sized Enterprises—A Combined Quantitative/Qualitative Study of Its Determinants From the Perspective of Enterprise Representatives. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(7), 3904. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19073904>
- Brown-Johnson, C., McCaa, M., Giannitrapani, S., Singer, S. J., Lorenz, K., Yano, E. M., Thanassi, W., DeShields, C., & Giannitrapani, K. F. (2021). Protecting the Healthcare Workforce During COVID-19: A Qualitative Needs Assessment of Employee Occupational Health in the US National Veterans Health Administration. *BMJ Open*, 11(10), e049134. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049134>
- Caruso, R., Toffanin, T., Folesani, F., Biancosino, B., Romagnolo, F., Riba, M. B., McFarland, D. C., Palagini, L., Murri, M. B., Zerbinati, L., & Grassi, L. (2022). Violence Against Physicians in the Workplace: Trends, Causes, Consequences, and Strategies for Intervention. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 24(12), 911–924. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-022-01398-1>
- Cha, K., & Kim, E.-M. (2022). A Topic Modeling Analysis of the Crisis Response Stage During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(14), 8331. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19148331>
- Chau, J. Y., Engelen, L., Kolbe-Alexander, T., Young, S., Olsen, H., Gilson, N. D., Burton, N. W., Bauman, A., & Brown, W. J. (2019). “In Initiative Overload”: Australian Perspectives on Promoting Physical Activity in the Workplace From Diverse Industries. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(3), 516. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16030516>
- Cheetham, S., Ngo, H. T., Liira, J., & Liira, H. (2021). Education and Training for Preventing Sharps Injuries and Splash Exposures in Healthcare Workers. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2021(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd012060.pub2>
- Ciulkowicz, M., Maciaszek, J., Misiak, B., Pałęga, A., Rymaszewska, J., & Szczeńniak, D. (2021). Coping Strategies and Psychopathological Responses Among Medical and Non-Medical Professionals – A Cross-Sectional Online Survey. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2021.663224>

- Colim, A., Sousa, N., Carneiro, Paula, Costa, N., Arezes, P., & Cardoso, A. (2020). Ergonomic Intervention on a Packing Workstation With Robotic Aid –Case Study at a Furniture Manufacturing Industry. *Work*, 66(1), 229–237. <https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-203144>
- Court, J. H., Lu, L. M., Wang, N., & McGhee, C. N. J. (2018). Visual and Ocular Morbidity in Severe Open-globe Injuries Presenting to a Regional Eye Centre in New Zealand. *Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*, 47(4), 469–477. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ceo.13439>
- Dalager, T., Højmark, A., Jensen, P. T., Søgaard, K., & Andersen, L. N. (2019). Using an Intervention Mapping Approach to Develop Prevention and Rehabilitation Strategies for Musculoskeletal Pain Among Surgeons. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6625-4>
- D’ettorre, G., Ceccarelli, G., Santinelli, L., Vassalini, P., Innocenti, G. P., Alessandri, F., Koukopoulos, A. E., Russo, A., D’ettorre, G., & Tarsitani, L. (2021). Post-traumatic stress symptoms in healthcare workers dealing with the covid-19 pandemic: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(2), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020601>
- Genowska, A., Fryc, J., Pinkas, J., Jamiolkowski, J., Szafraniec, K., Szpak, A., & Bojar, I. (2016). Social Costs of Loss in Productivity-Related Absenteeism in Poland. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*. <https://doi.org/10.13075/ijomh.1896.01123>
- Geronazzo-Alman, L., Eisenberg, R., Shen, S., Duarte, C. S., Musa, G. J., Wicks, J., Fan, B., Doan, T., Guffanti, G., Bresnahan, M., & Hoven, C. W. (2017). Cumulative Exposure to Work-Related Traumatic Events and Current Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder in New York City’s First Responders. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 74, 134–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2016.12.003>
- Guillemin, M. (2021). New Avenues for Prevention of Work-Related Diseases Linked to Psychosocial Risks. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(21), 11354. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182111354>
- Hembecker, P. K., Reis, D. C. d., Konrath, A. C., Gontijo, L. A., & Merino, E. A. D. (2017). Investigation of Musculoskeletal Symptoms in a Manufacturing Company in Brazil: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, 21(3), 175–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.03.014>
- Imankulova, B. N., & Dzhusupov, K. (2018). Some Issues of Occupational Health of Health Care Workers in Central Asia (Review). *Annals of Global Health*, 84(3), 459–464. <https://doi.org/10.29024/aogh.2329>
- Jin, H., Chen, Y., Fu, Q., & Qu, Q. (2021). Occupational Risk Factors of Contracting COVID-19 Among Health Workers: A Systematic Review. *Work*, 69(3), 721–734. <https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-210477>
- Jule, J. G. (2020). Workplace Safety: A Strategy for Enterprise Risk Management. *Workplace Health & Safety*, 68(8), 360–365. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165079920916654>

- Lees, T., Elliott, J. L., Gunning, S. J., Newton, P. J., Rai, T., & S, L. (2019). A Systematic Review of the Current Evidence Regarding Interventions for Anxiety, PTSD, Sleepiness and Fatigue in the Law Enforcement Workplace. *Industrial Health*, 57(6), 655–667. <https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.2018-0088>
- Linnan, L., Cluff, L., Lang, J. E., Penne, M. A., & Leff, M. S. (2019). Results of the Workplace Health in America Survey. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 33(5), 652–665. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890117119842047>
- Malinga, T., Wiysonge, C. S., Ndwandwe, D., Okeibunor, J., & Talisuna, A. (2021). A Scoping Review of the Impact of Long-Distance Truck Drivers on the Spread of COVID-19 Infection. *Pan African Medical Journal*, 38. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2021.38.27.26691>
- Mbeje, N. P., Ginindza, T. G., & Jafta, N. (2022). Epidemiological Study of Risk Factors for Lung Cancer in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(11), 6752. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116752>
- Menjetta, T., Debalke, S., & Dana, D. (2019). <i>Schistosoma Mansoni</i> Infection and Risk Factors Among the Fishermen of Lake Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, 51(6), 817–826. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0021932019000075>
- Modenese, A., Casolari, L., Rossi, G., Vecchia, E. D., Glieca, F., D’Elia, C., Garavini, D., Righi, E., Mariani, S., Venturelli, L., Vivoli, D., & Gobba, F. (2021). Factors Associated With SARS-CoV-2 Infection Risk Among Healthcare Workers of an Italian University Hospital. *Healthcare*, 9(11), 1495. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9111495>
- Monroy-Iglesias, M. J., Dolly, S., Sarker, D., Thillai, K., Hemelrijck, M. V., & Santaolalla, A. (2021). Pancreatic Cancer Exposome Profile to Aid Early Detection and Inform Prevention Strategies. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 10(8), 1665. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10081665>
- Mulder, L., Belay, B., Mukhtar, Q., Lang, J. E., Harris, D., & Onufrak, S. (2020). Prevalence of Workplace Health Practices and Policies in Hospitals: Results From the Workplace Health in America Study. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 34(8), 867–875. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890117120905232>
- Mwenya, S., & Stapley, S. (2020). An Exploration of Health Workers Risks of Contracting Tuberculosis in the Workplace: A Qualitative Study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05877-0>
- Nandi, S. S., Lambe, U. P., Sarkar, K., Sawant, S., & Deshpande, J. M. (2021). A Rapid Point of Care CC16 Kit for Screening of Occupational Silica Dust Exposed Workers for Early Detection of Silicosis/Silico-Tuberculosis. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-02392-y>
- O’Keefe, E. L., Sturgess, J. E., O’Keefe, J. H., Gupta, S., & Lavie, C. J. (2021). Prevention and Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation via Risk Factor Modification. *The American Journal of Cardiology*, 160, 46–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2021.08.042>

- Papi, C., Spagni, G., Alexandre, A., Calabresi, P., Marca, G. D., & Broccolini, A. (2020). Unprotected Stroke Management in an Undiagnosed Case of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, 29(9), 104981. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2020.104981>
- Roodenrijs, N. M. T., Hamar, A., Kedves, M., Nagy, G., Laar, J. M. v., Heijde, D. v. d., & Welsing, P. M. J. (2021). Pharmacological and Non-Pharmacological Therapeutic Strategies in Difficult-to-Treat Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Systematic Literature Review Informing the EULAR Recommendations for the Management of Difficult-to-Treat Rheumatoid Arthritis. *RMD Open*, 7(1), e001512. <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2020-001512>
- Sims, J. N., Yedjou, C. G., Abugri, D. A., Payton, M., Turner, T., Miele, L., & Tchounwou, P. B. (2018). Racial Disparities and Preventive Measures to Renal Cell Carcinoma. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(6), 1089. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15061089>
- Smith, D. L., Graham, E. L., Douglas, J. A., Jack, K., Conner, M. J., Arena, R., & Chaudhry, S. (2022). Subclinical Cardiac Dysfunction Is Associated With Reduced Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Cardiometabolic Risk Factors in Firefighters. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 135(6), 752-760.e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2021.12.025>
- Spagnolello, O., Rota, S., Valoti, O., Cozzini, C., Parrino, P., Portella, G., & Langer, M. (2020). Bergamo Field Hospital Confronting COVID-19: Operating Instructions. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 16(3), 875–877. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.447>
- Tarlo, S. M., Malo, J., Blay, F. d., Moual, N. L., Henneberger, P. K., Heederik, D., Raulf, M., Carlsten, C., & Cartier, A. (2017). An Official American Thoracic Society Workshop Report: Presentations and Discussion of the Sixth Jack Pepys Workshop on Asthma in the Workplace. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society*, 14(9), 1361–1372. <https://doi.org/10.1513/annalsats.201706-508st>
- Thapa, N., Tomasi, S., Cox-Ganser, J. M., & Nett, R. J. (2019). Non-malignant Respiratory Disease Among Workers in the Rubber Manufacturing Industry: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 62(5), 367–384. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22959>
- Yang, Y., & Hayes, J. A. (2020). Causes and Consequences of Burnout Among Mental Health Professionals: A Practice-Oriented Review of Recent Empirical Literature. *Psychotherapy*, 57(3), 426–436. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000317>
- yazdizadeh, vahideh, Taghizadeh, Z., Farahani, M. F., Nekavand, M., & Senjedary, Z. A. (2020). Is AIDS Related to Social Determinants of Health in Iran? A Systematic Review. *Immunopathologia Persa*, 6(1), e13–e13. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ipp.2020.13>
- Yorio, P. L., Laney, A. S., Halldin, C. N., Blackley, D. J., Moore, S. M., Wizner, K., Radonovich, L. J., & Greenawald, L. A. (2018). Interstitial Lung Diseases in the U.S. Mining Industry: Using MSHA Data to Examine Trends and the Prevention Effects of Compliance With

Health Regulations, 1996–2015. *Risk Analysis*, 38(9), 1962–1971.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13000>

Zhou, L., Wei, F., Fang, X., Zhang, Y., Hu, Y., Lou, X., Xue, P., & Zou, H. (2022). Epidemiological Characteristics of Occupational Chemical Poisonings in Zhejiang, China From 2006 to 2020: A Descriptive Analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.999677>