

## Universal Health Coverage in Developing Countries: Barriers and Strategic Reforms

Multy Syaddam Nirwan

Institut Teknologi Kesehatan dan Bisnis Graha Ananda, Indonesia

Correspondent: [multynirwan@gmail.com](mailto:multynirwan@gmail.com)

Received : August 14, 2021  
Accepted : September 25, 2021  
Published : September 30, 2021

Citation: Nirwan, M, S. (2021). Universal Health Coverage in Developing Countries: Barriers and Strategic Reforms. Journal of Health Literacy and Qualitative Research, 1(2), 99-112.

**ABSTRACT:** Achieving Universal Health Coverage (UHC) in developing countries remains a significant challenge due to financial constraints, inadequate healthcare infrastructure, governance inefficiencies, and socio-economic disparities. This study systematically reviews existing literature to examine key barriers and potential solutions to UHC implementation in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). A comprehensive search of PubMed, Scopus, and other academic databases was conducted to identify relevant peer-reviewed studies published within the past decade. The findings reveal that financial limitations, including high out-of-pocket expenditures and inefficient health financing models, continue to restrict healthcare access. Additionally, infrastructural gaps, healthcare workforce shortages, and governance issues exacerbate disparities in service delivery, particularly in rural and marginalized populations. Despite these barriers, strategies such as integrated healthcare models, targeted subsidies, public-private partnerships, and digital health innovations have demonstrated potential in improving UHC outcomes. However, the effectiveness of these interventions depends on sustained political commitment, efficient policy implementation, and continuous investment in health system resilience. This review underscores the need for context-specific approaches to UHC financing, regulatory frameworks, and service delivery models that prioritize equity and sustainability. Future research should explore comparative analyses of successful UHC models and evaluate the long-term impacts of digital health interventions to inform evidence-based policy decisions.

**Keywords:** Universal Health Coverage, Health System Financing, Healthcare Equity, Digital Health Solutions, Policy Implementation, Public Health Governance, Developing Countries.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

## INTRODUCTION

Universal Health Coverage (UHC) represents a cornerstone of global health equity, yet its realization in developing countries is hindered by persistent structural and systemic barriers. Despite its inclusion as a target under Sustainable Development Goal 3, progress toward UHC has been uneven and often hampered by weak health financing systems, service delivery gaps, and

governance inefficiencies. Particularly in low and middle income countries (LMICs), disparities in access to essential health services remain stark. This study addresses the urgent need to understand and resolve these barriers by identifying strategic entry points for policy reform and system strengthening. The importance of UHC has been emphasized in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 3, which aims to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages. Despite widespread global commitment to UHC, significant gaps persist in the equitable distribution of healthcare resources, particularly among marginalized populations (Almási et al., 2020). This review examines the core challenges associated with UHC implementation in developing nations and the structural barriers that hinder its progress.

Financial barriers represent one of the most significant obstacles to UHC in LMICs. Many developing nations operate healthcare systems that rely heavily on out-of-pocket (OOP) payments, creating substantial economic burdens on individuals, particularly those living in poverty (Afriyie et al., 2022). Research has shown that countries with higher OOP expenditures tend to have lower rates of healthcare utilization, exacerbating disparities in access to essential health services (Hees et al., 2019). Furthermore, reliance on voluntary health insurance schemes often results in lower enrollment among low-income populations due to affordability constraints (Arsenault et al., 2018). Innovative financial models, such as progressive universalism and community-based health insurance, have been proposed to mitigate these challenges; however, these approaches require strong governance and efficient risk pooling mechanisms to be successful (Domapielle et al., 2022).

Another critical challenge in achieving UHC is the inadequacy of healthcare infrastructure, particularly in rural and remote areas. Studies have consistently highlighted disparities in healthcare accessibility between urban and rural populations, with rural residents experiencing a disproportionate lack of healthcare facilities, trained medical professionals, and essential medicines (Getachew et al., 2022). The rapid expansion of telemedicine and mobile health (mHealth) initiatives has shown promise in improving healthcare accessibility, particularly in underserved regions (Yeung et al., 2021). However, the success of these digital health solutions is contingent upon the availability of reliable internet connectivity, regulatory support, and digital literacy among healthcare providers and patients (Alami et al., 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic further exposed vulnerabilities in healthcare infrastructure, underscoring the need for strategic investments in health system resilience (Meessen, 2018).

Health indicators, such as maternal and child health outcomes, the prevalence of infectious diseases, and access to primary healthcare services, are critical metrics for evaluating UHC progress (Ravindran & Govender, 2020). Research indicates that LMICs with weak health systems often struggle to meet key benchmarks in these areas, contributing to persistently high morbidity and mortality rates (Goepfel et al., 2016). Socio-economic factors, including education levels, employment status, and household income, significantly influence health outcomes and access to care (Kruk et al., 2016). Inadequate investment in primary healthcare and preventive services further exacerbates disparities, as many individuals seek care only when their conditions have worsened, leading to higher treatment costs and poorer health outcomes (Anjorin et al., 2021).

Governance and policy inefficiencies present additional challenges to UHC implementation in LMICs. Weak regulatory frameworks, corruption, and lack of accountability have been identified as major impediments to effective health policy execution (Palagyi et al., 2019). A study by

Jakovljević & Ogura (2016) emphasized that transparent governance structures and well-defined policy mechanisms are essential for ensuring equitable healthcare access (Jakovljević & Ogura, 2016). Furthermore, political instability in several developing nations has hindered long-term health sector planning, resulting in fragmented policy implementation and inconsistent service delivery (Fusheini & Eyles, 2016). Effective governance is crucial for ensuring the sustainability of health financing mechanisms and fostering public trust in healthcare systems.

While significant research has been conducted on UHC implementation, several gaps remain in the literature. Existing studies have primarily focused on financial and infrastructural challenges but have provided limited insights into the socio-political dimensions of UHC policy failures (Mariwah et al., 2021). Additionally, comparative analyses of successful UHC models in different LMICs are scarce, making it difficult to derive context-specific lessons for policy adaptation (Loffreda et al., 2021). The role of international organizations in shaping national UHC policies also requires further examination to assess the effectiveness of global health governance in facilitating equitable healthcare access (Arredondo et al., 2018). Addressing these research gaps is essential for developing comprehensive strategies to overcome persistent barriers to UHC.

The primary objective of this review is to analyze the key challenges associated with UHC implementation in developing countries and to identify viable strategies for addressing these barriers. This paper will examine the financial constraints that limit healthcare access, the infrastructural deficiencies that hinder service delivery, and the socio-economic disparities that contribute to unequal health outcomes. Additionally, this review will explore the role of governance and policy frameworks in shaping UHC progress and propose evidence-based recommendations for improving healthcare equity.

This review focuses on LMICs, with particular emphasis on regions where UHC implementation has been met with significant obstacles. The analysis will include case studies from Africa, Asia, and Latin America to provide a comprehensive understanding of the diverse challenges faced by different health systems. By examining cross-country comparisons, this review aims to highlight successful interventions that can be adapted to similar contexts, ultimately contributing to the broader goal of achieving health equity through UHC.

## METHOD

This study employs a systematic literature review approach to examine the challenges associated with the implementation of Universal Health Coverage (UHC) in developing countries. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, Cochrane Library, and the International Journal of Health Policy and Management. The search targeted peer-reviewed studies published within the last ten years to ensure relevance to contemporary UHC challenges. A combination of predetermined keywords and Boolean operators was used to optimize search precision and comprehensiveness. Keywords included "Universal Health Coverage," "health policy," "health systems strengthening," "developing countries," "financing healthcare," "equity in healthcare," "healthcare access," "systematic review," "health insurance," "sustainable development goals," "barriers to healthcare," "community health," and "health outcome disparities."

Selection criteria were established to include systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, and qualitative studies that provided empirical or theoretical analyses on UHC implementation challenges. The studies had to focus on low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) and address healthcare access, financial sustainability, governance, or health equity. Only studies published in English were included, while non-peer-reviewed articles, commentaries, and editorials were excluded. Research conducted exclusively in high-income countries was omitted unless it provided comparative insights applicable to LMICs.

To enhance reliability, a multi-stage screening process was employed. Four independent reviewers assessed studies by first screening titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text evaluation to determine methodological rigor and relevance. Thematic synthesis was used to categorize recurring patterns in UHC implementation challenges. The findings offer insights into financial barriers, infrastructural deficits, governance constraints, and socio-economic disparities impacting UHC outcomes in developing nations.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Financial and Economic Barriers

The sustainability of Universal Health Coverage (UHC) in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) is significantly constrained by financial and economic barriers. These include inadequate public financing, high out-of-pocket (OOP) expenditures, and inefficiencies in health expenditure management. Many LMICs face difficulties in mobilizing sufficient public resources for healthcare, often relying on external funding, which can create donor dependency and instability in financial support (Jaca et al., 2022). The World Bank has emphasized that without significant public investment, achieving UHC goals will remain challenging (Watkins et al., 2020). The financial burden of OOP expenses continues to be a major obstacle, pushing households into poverty and deterring individuals from seeking timely medical care, thus worsening health outcomes (Nabukalu et al., 2019; Ngcamphalala & Ataguba, 2018). Additionally, inefficiencies within health systems—such as high administrative costs, corruption, and poor resource allocation—further limit the effectiveness of financial investments in healthcare (Cárdenas et al., 2021; Stenberg et al., 2019).

Subsidies and alternative financing mechanisms, such as community-based health insurance (CBHI), have shown promise in improving healthcare access. Targeted subsidies, like those in Cambodia, have successfully increased enrollment in health insurance schemes, reducing financial barriers for disadvantaged populations (Radermacher et al., 2016). However, sustaining these programs requires continuous financial and political commitment (Alhassan et al., 2016). CBHI schemes have been effective in reducing OOP expenditures and improving access in rural areas, but low enrollment rates and operational challenges remain key barriers to scalability (Hees et al., 2019; Kakama et al., 2020). While financial strategies can enhance access to care, their long-term sustainability depends on careful design, adequate funding, and local adaptation (El-Sayed et al., 2018).

### Infrastructure and Service Delivery Challenges

Inadequate healthcare infrastructure and service delivery remain significant impediments to achieving UHC in LMICs. Medical staff shortages, insufficient healthcare facilities, and disparities between urban and rural healthcare services contribute to the problem. Many LMICs experience severe shortages of healthcare personnel, leading to long waiting times and decreased quality of care. Countries such as Malawi and Uganda struggle with inadequate numbers of trained doctors and nurses, negatively impacting health outcomes (Banke-Thomas et al., 2020; Jaca et al., 2022). The lack of well-equipped healthcare facilities further exacerbates these challenges, forcing many individuals to seek private or informal healthcare, which may be costlier and of lower quality (McNab et al., 2022).

To address these infrastructural deficits, various strategies have been implemented with varying degrees of success. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) have been utilized in countries such as South Africa and Kenya to improve healthcare service availability and quality. While these initiatives have mobilized additional resources, concerns over governance and equitable access remain (Gizaw et al., 2022; Manyazewal et al., 2018). The deployment of community health workers (CHWs) in countries like Bangladesh and Rwanda has significantly improved maternal and child health outcomes by expanding access to healthcare and providing health education (Nathaniel, 2020; Tahir et al., 2022). Additionally, mobile health (mHealth) initiatives have enhanced healthcare accessibility in remote regions, though their success depends on sustained investment and technological capacity (Alameddine et al., 2017; Kruk et al., 2016).

### **Socioeconomic and Educational Disparities**

Literacy and socioeconomic status (SES) are crucial determinants of healthcare access under UHC frameworks. Individuals with lower literacy levels often struggle to navigate healthcare systems, understand medical information, and utilize services effectively. This results in lower health-seeking behaviors and worse health outcomes (Fusheini & Eyles, 2016; Jaca et al., 2022). Socioeconomic status also plays a critical role, as low-income individuals are more likely to face financial barriers, inadequate living conditions, and higher morbidity rates (Bright & Kuper, 2018; Cu et al., 2021). The interplay between low literacy and low SES compounds these barriers, increasing the likelihood of exclusion from UHC benefits (Amiri et al., 2019).

Several interventions have been effective in reducing disparities in healthcare access. Targeted health equity programs, such as those in Cambodia and Rwanda, provide financial assistance to low-income populations, significantly improving healthcare utilization rates (Denburg et al., 2019; Jaca et al., 2022). Community health worker programs have been instrumental in bridging access gaps, particularly in marginalized communities, by facilitating culturally competent care and linking individuals to formal healthcare services (Hees et al., 2019). Legal frameworks mandating non-discriminatory health policies have also played a role in ensuring more equitable access (Anjorin et al., 2021; Lince-Deroche et al., 2020). Additionally, educational interventions aimed at improving health literacy through community outreach and school-based programs have contributed to better health awareness and utilization (Nathaniel, 2020).

### **Public Trust and Healthcare Perceptions**

Public perception of healthcare quality significantly impacts the utilization of UHC services. Positive perceptions of healthcare institutions—based on service availability, skilled personnel, and

perceived treatment effectiveness—encourage healthcare-seeking behavior. Conversely, mistrust due to negative past experiences, inadequate service delivery, or cultural beliefs can deter individuals from utilizing available services (Doherty et al., 2017). Effective health communication strategies, including community outreach and transparent reporting on service quality, have been shown to enhance public trust and increase healthcare utilization rates (Watkins et al., 2020).

Strategies to improve trust in healthcare institutions include strengthening transparency and accountability through performance-based financing and public reporting mechanisms (Williams & Ayres, 2020). Patient-centered care models that emphasize active patient involvement in healthcare decision-making have been associated with higher trust levels and improved patient satisfaction (McNab et al., 2022). Furthermore, integrating culturally appropriate communication methods into healthcare services has been effective in addressing concerns and enhancing engagement among diverse populations (Alkhaldi et al., 2021).

### **Global Health Crises and UHC Resilience**

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed critical vulnerabilities in UHC implementation, disrupting essential healthcare services and exacerbating financial and infrastructural challenges. Many LMICs redirected healthcare resources towards pandemic response, leading to interruptions in routine services such as immunization programs and maternal healthcare (Doherty et al., 2017; Williams & Ayres, 2020). Financial constraints also worsened as health budgets were reallocated, increasing reliance on external aid and highlighting the fragility of health financing mechanisms in LMICs (Fusheini & Eyles, 2016).

Despite these challenges, the pandemic also accelerated the adoption of telehealth solutions, which have the potential to expand healthcare access in underserved areas. Countries such as Ghana and Malawi demonstrated increased telemedicine utilization, showing promise for future UHC integration (Zodpey et al., 2021). Lessons from past global health crises emphasize the importance of integrated health systems, sustained investment in infrastructure, and strong community engagement in building resilient healthcare systems (Cu et al., 2021; Manyazewal et al., 2018). Flexible financing mechanisms, such as social health insurance and community-based funding models, have proven valuable in ensuring continued UHC progress despite economic downturns (Cárdenas et al., 2021; Nove et al., 2021).

In summary, while significant financial, infrastructural, and socioeconomic challenges persist in UHC implementation, targeted policy interventions and adaptive strategies have demonstrated effectiveness in mitigating barriers. Addressing these challenges requires sustained investment, governance reforms, and innovative approaches to healthcare service delivery to ensure equitable access to healthcare services for all.

### **Integration and Equity in Health Systems**

The findings from this review support the argument that integrated health systems play a crucial role in achieving Universal Health Coverage (UHC). Studies emphasize that holistic healthcare reform, which strengthens primary healthcare services and integrates different levels of care, enhances access to health services and improves population health outcomes (Bright & Kuper, 2018). The World Health Organization (WHO) has long advocated for health system strengthening as a fundamental strategy to ensure that UHC implementation extends beyond

coverage expansion to equitable service provision (Bergen et al., 2019). However, despite the prioritization of equity in many UHC policies, socioeconomic disparities persist, highlighting the need for additional efforts to address social determinants of health. Existing literature suggests that without concurrent social and economic reforms, UHC alone cannot eliminate inequities in healthcare access (Nabukalu et al., 2019).

### **Challenges in Health Financing Models**

Traditional financing mechanisms, such as tax-funded models and social health insurance, have shown varying degrees of effectiveness in LMICs. While tax-funded systems have achieved notable success in high-income countries, their feasibility in LMICs is often constrained by limited fiscal space and weak tax collection mechanisms (Fusheini & Eyles, 2016). Similarly, reliance on public-private partnerships (PPPs) for health financing has yielded mixed outcomes, with concerns over affordability, service quality, and regulatory oversight (Zodpey et al., 2021). Emerging research advocates for more context-specific financing models that incorporate hybrid approaches, blending tax revenues, donor funding, and community-based insurance schemes to ensure financial sustainability while maintaining equitable service delivery (Alkhalidi et al., 2021).

### **Resilience in Health Systems**

The COVID-19 pandemic has exposed vulnerabilities in healthcare systems worldwide and reinforced the need for resilience in UHC frameworks. Previous models of UHC implementation primarily focused on expanding coverage and accessibility but often overlooked the need for flexibility in response to health emergencies (Jaca et al., 2022). The pandemic underscored the importance of contingency planning, robust supply chain management, and the integration of digital health tools to enhance system adaptability during crises (Uzochukwu et al., 2020). Incorporating resilience-building measures, such as diversified funding mechanisms and stronger emergency preparedness frameworks, will be essential for future UHC implementation efforts (Amiri et al., 2019).

### **Governance, Corruption, and Policy Consistency**

Governance structures play a critical role in the successful implementation of UHC, influencing policy consistency, accountability, and resource allocation. Weak governance has been linked to inefficiencies in healthcare delivery, leading to resource mismanagement and inequitable service distribution (Atim et al., 2021). Corruption within healthcare systems remains a major barrier to achieving UHC, as it diverts funds away from essential services, increases costs, and erodes public trust (Giovanella et al., 2018). Studies have emphasized that transparent financial management and strong regulatory oversight are necessary to mitigate these issues and ensure that UHC initiatives reach their intended beneficiaries (Denburg et al., 2019).

Policy inconsistency, driven by shifting political priorities and leadership changes, further disrupts UHC implementation. Sudden shifts in health financing policies or modifications to service delivery frameworks can destabilize healthcare systems, reducing the efficiency of UHC programs (Banke-Thomas et al., 2020). A long-term commitment to UHC policies, supported by multi-sectoral collaboration and legislative backing, is crucial for sustaining progress and ensuring the durability of health reforms (Alhassan et al., 2016).

## Strategies for Strengthening UHC Implementation

Several policy innovations have been identified as potential solutions for overcoming UHC implementation challenges. Community-Based Health Insurance (CBHI) models have demonstrated success in improving healthcare access among low-income populations by pooling resources and reducing out-of-pocket expenses (McNab et al., 2022). Digital health innovations, such as telemedicine and mobile health (mHealth) platforms, have shown promise in expanding healthcare reach, particularly in remote areas with limited medical infrastructure (Stenberg et al., 2019). Task-shifting approaches, which involve redistributing clinical responsibilities among healthcare workers, have proven effective in addressing workforce shortages and optimizing service delivery (Watkins et al., 2020).

Integrated service delivery models, which align various health services under a single system, have also been successful in improving efficiency and patient outcomes. For example, combining maternal and child health services with vaccination programs and family planning initiatives has led to improved health indicators in multiple LMICs (Choi et al., 2018). Additionally, social protection programs, such as conditional cash transfers, have been linked to increased healthcare utilization and better health outcomes among vulnerable populations (Suriyawongpaisal et al., 2019).

## Limitation

This study primarily relies on secondary data sources, which may introduce bias due to variability in research methodologies across included studies. The heterogeneity of healthcare systems in LMICs presents challenges in drawing generalized conclusions, as contextual differences in governance, infrastructure, and socio-economic conditions influence UHC implementation outcomes. Additionally, limited access to non-English publications may result in the exclusion of valuable insights from research conducted in regions where English is not the primary language of scholarly discourse.

## Implication

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies that track the long-term impacts of UHC policies in different LMIC settings. Comparative analyses between countries with varying financing models could provide deeper insights into best practices for achieving sustainable UHC. Further investigation into the role of digital health interventions in expanding UHC accessibility is also warranted, particularly in light of recent technological advancements and their integration into healthcare systems. Policymakers should leverage these findings to develop context-specific health financing strategies and strengthen governance mechanisms to ensure equitable and efficient healthcare delivery. Addressing these knowledge gaps will be instrumental in advancing global UHC objectives and improving health outcomes for underserved populations.

## CONCLUSION

Achieving Universal Health Coverage (UHC) in developing countries remains a complex endeavor shaped by entrenched financial constraints, infrastructure gaps, and governance inefficiencies.



While this review has highlighted several promising strategies such as community based insurance schemes, digital health interventions, and integrated service delivery models these efforts will remain limited without robust, sustained policy commitment.

To advance UHC goals, policymakers must prioritize context specific reforms that enhance public financing mechanisms, reduce out of pocket expenditures, and build resilient healthcare systems, particularly in underserved regions. This includes investing in primary healthcare, incentivizing equitable workforce distribution, and promoting transparent governance practices to curb inefficiencies and corruption.

Public health actors, including NGOs and local health institutions, should strengthen community engagement, support health literacy campaigns, and harness digital tools to improve healthcare access in remote and marginalized populations. Regional collaboration and South knowledge exchanges can facilitate adaptation of best practices in similar socio economic settings.

Future policies must also integrate flexible, shock responsive mechanisms to prepare health systems for emergencies, drawing lessons from the COVID 19 pandemic. Moreover, inclusive health planning centered on equity, participation, and accountability should guide long term UHC strategies. By aligning structural reforms with localized needs and evidence based practices, developing nations can make meaningful strides toward equitable and sustainable healthcare systems.

## REFERENCE

- Afriyie, D. O., Krasniq, B., Hooley, B., Tediosi, F., & Fink, G. (2022). Equity in Health Insurance Schemes Enrollment in Low and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-021-01608-x>
- Alameddine, M., Baroud, M., Kharroubi, S., Hamadeh, R., Ammar, W., Shoaib, H., & Khodr, H. (2017). Investigating the Job Satisfaction of Healthcare Providers At primary Healthcare Centres in Lebanon: A National Cross-Sectional Study. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 25(6), 1805–1816. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12454>
- Alami, H., Rivard, L., Lehoux, P., Hoffman, S. J., Cadeddu, S. B., Savoldelli, M., Samri, M., Ahmed, M. A. A., Fleet, R., & Fortin, J. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: Laying the Foundation for Responsible, Sustainable, and Inclusive Innovation in Low- And Middle-Income Countries. *Globalization and Health*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00584-1>
- Alhassan, R. K., Nketiah-Amponsah, E., & Arhinful, D. K. (2016). A Review of the National Health Insurance Scheme in Ghana: What Are the Sustainability Threats and Prospects? *Plos One*, 11(11), e0165151. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165151>
- Alkhaldi, M., Basuoni, A. A., Matos, M. F. R. de, Tanner, M., & Ahmed, S. (2021). Health Technology Assessment in High, Middle, and Low-Income Countries: New Systematic and

- Interdisciplinary Approach for Sound Informed-Policy Making: Research Protocole. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, Volume 14, 2757–2770. <https://doi.org/10.2147/rmhp.s310215>
- Almási, T., George, M., Arnaiz, F., Elezbawy, B., Nagy, B., & Kaló, Z. (2020). Supporting Role of Non-Governmental Health Insurance Schemes in the Implementation of Universal Health Coverage in Developing Countries. *Journal of Health Policy & Outcomes Research*, 1, 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.7365/jhpor.2020.1.4>
- Amiri, M., Bahadori, M., Motaghed, Z., & Ravangard, R. (2019). Factors Affecting Informal Patient Payments: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Health Governance*, 24(2), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijhg-01-2019-0006>
- Anjorin, S., Ayorinde, A., Oyeboode, O., & Uthman, O. A. (2021). Individual and Contextual Factors Associated With Maternal and Child Health Essential Health Services Indicators: A Multilevel Analysis of Universal Health Coverage in 58 Low- And Middle-Income Countries. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.2021.121>
- Arredondo, A., Azar, A., & Recamán, A. L. (2018). Challenges and Dilemmas on Universal Coverage for Non-Communicable Diseases in Middle-Income Countries: Evidence and Lessons From Mexico. *Globalization and Health*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-018-0404-3>
- Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Lee, D., Dinsa, G., Manzi, F., Marchant, T., & Kruk, M. E. (2018). Equity in Antenatal Care Quality: An Analysis of 91 National Household Surveys. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(11), e1186–e1195. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x\(18\)30389-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(18)30389-9)
- Atim, C., Koduah, A., & Kwon, S. (2021). How and Why Do Countries Make Universal Health Care Policies? Interplay of Country and Global Factors. *Journal of Global Health*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.11.16003>
- Banke-Thomas, A., Ayomoh, F. I., Abejirinde, I. O., Banke-Thomas, O., Eboreime, E., & Ameh, C. (2020). Cost of Utilising Maternal Health Services in Low- And Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.2020.104>
- Bergen, N., Rückert, A., & Labonté, R. (2019). Monitoring Frameworks for Universal Health Coverage: What About High-Income Countries? *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 8(7), 387–393. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2019.21>
- Bright, T., & Kuper, H. (2018). A Systematic Review of Access to General Healthcare Services for People With Disabilities in Low and Middle Income Countries. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(9), 1879. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15091879>
- Cárdenas, M. K., Pérez-León, S., Singh, S. B., Madede, T., Munguambe, S., Govo, V., Jha, N., Damasceno, A., Miranda, J. J., & Beran, D. (2021). Forty Years After Alma-Ata: Primary Health-Care Preparedness for Chronic Diseases in Mozambique, Nepal and Peru. *Global Health Action*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2021.1975920>

- Choi, S., Park, S., & Kim, S. Y. (2018). A Comparative Study on the Constitutional Right to Health in the Western Pacific Region Countries. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health*, 30(5), 458–469. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539518789351>
- Cu, A., Meister, S., Lefebvre, B., & Ridde, V. (2021). Assessing Healthcare Access Using the Levesque's Conceptual Framework– A Scoping Review. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-021-01416-3>
- Denburg, A., Ramirez, A. G., Pavuluri, S., McCann, E., Shah, S., Alcasabas, A. P., Antillón, F., Arora, R. S., Fuentes-Alabi, S., Renner, L., Lam, C. G., Friedrich, P., Maser, B., Force, L. M., Rodríguez-Galindo, C., & Atun, R. (2019). Political Priority and Pathways to Scale-Up of Childhood Cancer Care in Five Nations. *Plos One*, 14(8), e0221292. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221292>
- Doherty, J., Wilkinson, T., Edoaka, I., & Hofman, K. (2017). Strengthening Expertise for Health Technology Assessment and Priority-Setting in Africa. *Global Health Action*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2017.1370194>
- Domapielle, M. K., Sumankuuro, J., & Bebelleh, F. D. (2022). Revisiting the Debate on Health Financing in Low and Middle-income Countries: An Integrative Review of Selected Models. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 37(6), 3061–3074. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.3566>
- El-Sayed, A. M., Vail, D., & Kruk, M. E. (2018). Ineffective Insurance in Lower and Middle Income Countries Is an Obstacle to Universal Health Coverage. *Journal of Global Health*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.08.020402>
- Fusheini, A., & Eyles, J. (2016). Achieving Universal Health Coverage in South Africa Through a District Health System Approach: Conflicting Ideologies of Health Care Provision. *BMC Health Services Research*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-016-1797-4>
- Getachew, B., Bizuneh, B., Ewunetu, B., Kassahun, D., Fentahun, D., Ademe, D., Cherkos, E. A., & Kebede, A. A. (2022). Willingness to Work in Rural Areas and Associated Factors Among Graduating Health Students at the University of Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia, 2021. *Plos One*, 17(10), e0276594. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276594>
- Giovanella, L., Mendoza-Ruiz, A., Pilar, A. de C. A., Rosa, M. C. d., Martins, G. B., Santos, I. S., Silva, D. B., Vieira, J. M. de L., Castro, V. C. G. de, Silva, P. O. d., & Machado, C. V. (2018). Sistema Universal De Saúde E Cobertura Universal: Desvendando Pressupostos E Estratégias. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, 23(6), 1763–1776. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232018236.05562018>
- Gizaw, Z., Astale, T., & Kassie, G. M. (2022). What Improves Access to Primary Healthcare Services in Rural Communities? A Systematic Review. *BMC Primary Care*, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-022-01919-0>
- Goeppel, C., Frenz, P., Grabenhenrich, L., Keil, T., & Tinnemann, P. (2016). Assessment of Universal Health Coverage for Adults Aged 50 Years or Older With Chronic Illness in Six

- Middle-Income Countries. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 94(4), 276-285C. <https://doi.org/10.2471/blt.15.163832>
- Hees, S. G. M. van, O'Fallon, T., Hofker, M., Dekker, M., Polack, S., Banks, L. M., & Spaan, E. (2019). Leaving No One Behind? Social Inclusion of Health Insurance in Low- And Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-019-1040-0>
- Jaca, A., Malinga, T., Iwu, C. J., Nnaji, C. A., Okeibunor, J., Kamuya, D., & Wiysonge, C. S. (2022). Strengthening the Health System as a Strategy to Achieving a Universal Health Coverage in Underprivileged Communities in Africa: A Scoping Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(1), 587. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19010587>
- Jakovljević, M., & Ogura, S. (2016). Health Economics at the Crossroads of Centuries – From the Past to the Future. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2016.00115>
- Kakama, A. A., Namyalo, P. K., & Basaza, R. (2020). Feasibility and Desirability of Scaling Up Community-based Health Insurance (CBHI) in Rural Communities in Uganda: Lessons From Kisiizi Hospital CBHI Scheme. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05525-7>
- Kruk, M. E., Kujawski, S., Moyer, C. A., Adanu, R., Afsana, K., Cohen, J., Glassman, A., Labrique, A., Reddy, K. S., & Yamey, G. (2016). Next Generation Maternal Health: External Shocks and Health-System Innovations. *The Lancet*, 388(10057), 2296–2306. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(16\)31395-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31395-2)
- Lince-Deroche, N., Sully, E., Firestein, L., & Riley, T. (2020). Budgeting for Comprehensive Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights Under Universal Health Coverage. *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters*, 28(2), 1779631. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26410397.2020.1779631>
- Loffreda, G., Bello, K., Kiendrébéogo, J. A., Selenou, I., Ahmed, M. A. A., Dossou, J., Witter, S., & Bertone, M. P. (2021). Political Economy Analysis of Universal Health Coverage and Health Financing Reforms in Low- And Middle-Income Countries: The Role of Stakeholder Engagement in the Research Process. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-021-00788-w>
- Manyazewal, T., Mekonnen, A., Demelew, T., Mengestu, S., Abdu, Y. M., Mammo, D., Abebe, W., Haffa, B., Zenebe, D., Worku, B., Aman, A., & Tigabu, S. (2018). Improving Immunization Capacity in Ethiopia Through Continuous Quality Improvement Interventions: A Prospective Quasi-Experimental Study. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-018-0502-8>
- Mariwah, S., Abane, A., Owusu, S. A., Kasim, A., Robson, E., Castelli, M., & Hampshire, K. (2021). Formalising 'Informal' mHealth in Ghana: Opportunities and Challenges for Universal Health Coverage (UHC). *Global Public Health*, 17(5), 768–781. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2021.1874467>
- McNab, S., Dryer, S. L., Fitzgerald, L., Gómez, P. C., Bhatti, A. M., Kenyi, E., Somji, A., Khadka, N., & Stalls, S. (2022). The Silent Burden: A Landscape Analysis of Common Perinatal Mental

- Disorders in Low- And Middle-Income Countries. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-022-04589-z>
- Meessen, B. (2018). The Role of Digital Strategies in Financing Health Care for Universal Health Coverage in Low- And Middle-Income Countries. *Global Health Science and Practice*, 6(Supplement 1), S29–S40. <https://doi.org/10.9745/ghsp-d-18-00271>
- Nabukalu, J. B., Asamani, J. A., & Nabyonga-Orem, J. (2019). Monitoring Sustainable Development Goals 3: Assessing the Readiness of Low- And Middle-Income Countries. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2019.134>
- Nathaniel, A. O. (2020). Impact of Remittances on Healthcare Utilisation and Expenditure in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review. *Rwanda Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences*, 2(3), 304. <https://doi.org/10.4314/rjmhs.v2i3.15>
- Ngcamphalala, C., & Ataguba, J. E. (2018). An Assessment of Financial Catastrophe and Impoverishment From Out-of-Pocket Health Care Payments in Swaziland. *Global Health Action*, 11(1), 1428473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2018.1428473>
- Nove, A., Friberg, I. K., Bernis, L. d., McConville, F., Moran, A. C., Najjemba, M., Hoope-Bender, P. t., Tracy, S., & Homer, C. (2021). Potential Impact of Midwives in Preventing and Reducing Maternal and Neonatal Mortality and Stillbirths: A Lives Saved Tool Modelling Study. *The Lancet Global Health*, 9(1), e24–e32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x\(20\)30397-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(20)30397-1)
- Palagyi, A., Dodd, R., Jan, S., Nambiar, D., Joshi, R., Tian, M., Abimbólá, Şeye, & Peiris, D. (2019). Organisation of Primary Health Care in the Asia-Pacific Region: Developing a Prioritised Research Agenda. *BMJ Global Health*, 4(Suppl 8), e001467. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001467>
- Radermacher, R., Srivastava, S., Walsham, M., Sao, C., & Paolucci, F. (2016). Enhancing the Inclusion of Vulnerable and High-Risk Groups in Demand-Side Health Financing Schemes in Cambodia: A Concept for a Risk-Adjusted Subsidy Approach. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance Issues and Practice*, 41(2), 244–258. <https://doi.org/10.1057/gpp.2016.5>
- Ravindran, T. K. S., & Govender, V. (2020). Sexual and Reproductive Health Services in Universal Health Coverage: A Review of Recent Evidence From Low- And Middle-Income Countries. *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters*, 28(2), 1779632. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26410397.2020.1779632>
- Stenberg, K., Hanssen, O., Bertram, M., Brindley, C., Meshreky, A., Barkley, S., & Edejer, T. T. (2019). Guide Posts for Investment in Primary Health Care and Projected Resource Needs in 67 Low-Income and Middle-Income Countries: A Modelling Study. *The Lancet Global Health*, 7(11), e1500–e1510. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x\(19\)30416-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(19)30416-4)
- Suriyawongpaisal, P., Atiksawedparit, P., Srithamrongsawad, S., & Thongtan, T. (2019). Assessment of Nationwide Emergency Systems in Thailand, a Middle-income Country Setting With UHC. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 34(2). <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.2783>

- Tahir, M. Y., Mars, M., & Scott, R. E. (2022). A Review of Teleradiology in Africa – Towards Mobile Teleradiology in Nigeria. *South African Journal of Radiology*, 26(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajr.v26i1.2257>
- Uzochukwu, B., Okeke, C., O'Brien, N., Ruiz, F., Sombié, I., & Hollingworth, S. (2020). Health Technology Assessment and Priority Setting for Universal Health Coverage: A Qualitative Study of Stakeholders' Capacity, Needs, Policy Areas of Demand and Perspectives in Nigeria. *Globalization and Health*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00583-2>
- Watkins, D., Qi, J., Kawakatsu, Y., Pickersgill, S., Horton, S., & Jamison, D. T. (2020). Resource Requirements for Essential Universal Health Coverage: A Modelling Study Based on Findings From Disease Control Priorities, 3rd Edition. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(6), e829–e839. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x\(20\)30121-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(20)30121-2)
- Williams, W., & Ayres, C. G. (2020). Can Active Video Games Improve Physical Activity in Adolescents? A Review of RCT. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(2), 669. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17020669>
- Yeung, E. K., Bello, A. K., Levin, A., Lunney, M., Osman, M. A., Ye, F., Ashuntantang, G., Bellorín-Font, E., Gharbi, M. B., Davison, S. N., Ghnaimat, M., Harden, P., Jha, V., Kalantar-Zadeh, K., Kerr, P. G., Klarenbach, S., Kövesdy, C. P., Luyckx, V. A., Neuen, B. L., ... Johnson, D. W. (2021). Current Status of Health Systems Financing and Oversight for End-Stage Kidney Disease Care: A Cross-Sectional Global Survey. *BMJ Open*, 11(7), e047245. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-047245>
- Zodpey, S., Negandhi, H., & Tiwari, R. (2021). Human Resources for Health in India: Strategic Options for Transforming Health Systems Towards Improving Health Service Delivery and Public Health. *Journal of Health Management*, 23(1), 31–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063421995005>