Journal of Health Literacy and Qualitative Research
E-ISSN: 2775-7005
Volume. 3, Issue 2, September 2023
Page No: 112-122

Disparities in Healthcare Access: Addressing Systemic Barriers in Urban and Rural Communities

Nur Afni
Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu, Indonesia
Correspondent: nurafni@unismuhpalu.ac.id

Received : August 22, 2023
Accepted : September 24, 2023
Published : September 30, 2023

Citation: Afni, N., (2023). Disparities in Healthcare Access: Addressing Systemic Barriers in Urban and Rural Communities. Journal of Health Literacy and Qualitative Research, 3(2), 112-122.

ABSTRACT: Healthcare disparities between urban and rural areas pose significant public health challenges, affecting healthcare accessibility, quality, and outcomes. This study systematically reviews the factors contributing to these disparities, including socioeconomic status, geographic barriers, healthcare infrastructure, and workforce distribution. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across major academic databases, focusing on peer-reviewed studies examining urban-rural healthcare inequalities and potential interventions. Findings indicate that rural populations experience limited access to healthcare due to inadequate medical facilities, long travel distances, and a shortage of healthcare professionals. Socioeconomic determinants, such as lower income and education levels, further exacerbate these disparities. Telemedicine has emerged as a potential solution, improving healthcare access in remote areas. However, its effectiveness is hindered by digital literacy gaps, infrastructure limitations, and privacy concerns. Policy interventions, including equitable healthcare funding, rural physician incentive programs, and enhanced digital health literacy initiatives, are crucial to addressing these challenges. Future research should explore scalable solutions for telemedicine implementation, assess the long-term impact of healthcare policy reforms, and develop integrated strategies to enhance healthcare accessibility in underserved communities. Ensuring equitable healthcare access remains a critical goal for global health systems, necessitating collaborative efforts from policymakers, healthcare providers, and technology developers.

Keywords: Healthcare Disparities, Urban-Rural Divide, Healthcare Access, Telemedicine, Healthcare Policy, Digital Health, Rural Health Infrastructure.

INTRODUCTION

Disparities in healthcare services between urban and rural areas have become a persistent and critical challenge in global health. While access to quality healthcare is recognized as a fundamental right, numerous populations particularly those in rural and remote regions continue to experience significant inequities in healthcare accessibility, infrastructure, and service delivery (Aryal et al., 2024; McMaughan et al., 2020). These disparities not only hinder timely medical interventions but

also contribute to widening gaps in health outcomes, particularly in chronic disease management and preventive care.

Numerous studies have explored the multifactorial nature of urban rural healthcare disparities, identifying barriers such as geographic remoteness, transportation challenges, shortages of medical personnel, and financial constraints (Huang et al., 2024; Kamangar et al., 2020; Price et al., 2024). Socioeconomic determinants including income inequality, educational attainment, and employment instability further compound these barriers, disproportionately affecting marginalized rural communities (Zeba et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019). In response to these challenges, telemedicine has been widely promoted as a solution to enhance healthcare delivery in rural settings, especially during the COVID 19 pandemic (Bhatia, 2019; Mahmoud et al., 2022). However, its implementation faces practical limitations, including digital literacy gaps, inadequate infrastructure, and concerns over data security (Kim et al., 2018; V et al., 2022).

Although the body of literature on urban rural healthcare disparities is growing, there remains a significant gap in comparative and integrative analyses that examine not only the root causes of these disparities but also assess the effectiveness of policy interventions and telemedicine innovations across different health systems. Much of the existing research tends to be either narrowly contextual or descriptive, without synthesizing cross country evidence or evaluating the scalability of proposed solutions. Furthermore, limited attention has been given to the intersection of socioeconomic inequity, digital exclusion, and workforce distribution in shaping healthcare access outcomes in both high and low income settings.

This study addresses this research gap by conducting a systematic review of empirical and theoretical studies on urban rural healthcare disparities, with a focus on four dimensions: (1) healthcare infrastructure and geographic access, (2) socioeconomic factors influencing service utilization, (3) the role and limitations of telemedicine, and (4) the impact of policy interventions across diverse health systems. By integrating evidence from both developed and developing countries, this review aims to provide a comprehensive and comparative understanding of systemic barriers to equitable healthcare access and to propose evidence based recommendations for improving health equity in underserved communities.

Despite growing recognition of these issues, significant gaps remain in the literature. While existing studies have documented disparities in healthcare access and quality, there is limited research on the long term impact of policy interventions designed to mitigate these inequalities. Furthermore, comparative analyses across different healthcare systems are needed to identify best practices and scalable solutions. Addressing these gaps is essential to formulating evidence based strategies that promote healthcare equity across diverse geographical and socio economic contexts.

The primary objective of this review is to critically analyze the factors contributing to healthcare disparities between urban and rural areas. This includes an examination of healthcare infrastructure, workforce distribution, socio-economic determinants, technological advancements, and policy interventions. By synthesizing findings from recent studies, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the systemic barriers to equitable healthcare access and propose actionable recommendations for policymakers and healthcare practitioners.

The scope of this review encompasses both developed and developing countries, with a focus on regions exhibiting significant urban-rural healthcare disparities. Particular attention is given to case studies from North America, Europe, South Asia, and Sub-Saharan Africa, as these areas provide valuable insights into the diverse challenges and potential solutions associated with healthcare accessibility. By adopting a global perspective, this review seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on healthcare equity and inform future policy and research initiatives.

METHOD

This study employs a systematic review approach to examine disparities in healthcare services between urban and rural areas. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar, targeting studies published within the last ten years. The search strategy incorporated a combination of predefined keywords and Boolean operators to ensure precision and comprehensiveness. Keywords included "healthcare disparities," "healthcare access," "urban-rural differences," "socioeconomic factors in health," "healthcare infrastructure," "health services in remote areas," and "public health equity."

Selection criteria were established to include peer-reviewed studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses that empirically or theoretically analyzed the impact of healthcare disparities between urban and rural populations. Eligible studies focused on socioeconomic variables, demographic factors, or healthcare infrastructure that influence access to medical services. Research conducted in both developed and developing countries was included to provide a broad perspective. Articles discussing health policies aimed at addressing disparities in access and healthcare quality were also considered. Conversely, studies that lacked empirical data, such as opinion pieces or editorials, were excluded. Research that did not explicitly examine urban-rural healthcare disparities, studies conducted in socioeconomically dissimilar settings, and articles published in languages other than English were also omitted to maintain consistency in analysis.

To enhance reliability, a multi-stage screening process was employed. Four independent reviewers assessed studies to ensure alignment with the inclusion criteria. Initial screening involved a review of titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text assessment to determine relevance and methodological rigor. Key themes were synthesized to identify recurring patterns in how geographic, economic, and policy-related factors influence healthcare access. The findings provide insights into the systemic barriers affecting rural healthcare services and highlight potential policy interventions to mitigate these disparities.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Geographic and Infrastructure Factors

Recent studies highlight significant disparities in the distribution of healthcare facilities between urban and rural areas. Price et al. (2024) found that healthcare access in Ghana varies considerably, with urban areas having better availability of medical services, while some rural regions lack healthcare facilities entirely. This underscores the need for targeted investments in rural healthcare infrastructure, which has often been neglected in policy planning.

Further, Kamangar et al. (2020) emphasize that geographic location plays a crucial role in healthcare accessibility. The distance from a patient's residence to a healthcare facility significantly affects service utilization, with longer travel times reducing the likelihood of seeking medical care. Their research indicates that individuals who must travel over an hour for medical services are far less likely to access necessary treatments. These findings align with those of Huang et al. (2024), who confirmed that greater travel distances correspond to decreased healthcare visits, particularly in rural areas with limited transportation options.

Other geographical barriers also impact access to healthcare. Aryal et al. (2024) revealed that poor road conditions and inadequate transportation services exacerbate delays in receiving essential medical care in rural communities. Their study highlights the necessity for infrastructure improvements, such as better road networks and transportation subsidies, to bridge the urban-rural healthcare divide.

Additionally, (B. M. Lee et al., 2018) found that uneven distribution of healthcare facilities further amplifies healthcare inequality. Urban residents have significantly higher chances of receiving high-quality medical care compared to those in rural areas, leading to fragmented healthcare access. This aligns with the conclusions of McMaughan et al. (2020), who identified a strong correlation between socioeconomic status and healthcare accessibility, demonstrating that financial constraints also contribute to disparities in healthcare utilization.

Impact of Geographic Distance on Healthcare Utilization

Huang et al. (2024) studied the effects of geographic distance on children with specific medical conditions, showing that those living in rural areas were less likely to access Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) services than their urban counterparts due to distance and accessibility challenges. Similarly, Kamangar et al. (2020) concluded that individuals residing over five kilometers from a healthcare facility faced substantial difficulties in obtaining medical care, reducing their engagement with preventive and therapeutic services.

Rehman et al., (2021) noted that long travel distances to healthcare facilities impose psychological and physical burdens, further discouraging individuals from seeking care. This finding aligns with Zaheer et al., (2024), who indicated that proximity to medical technology services significantly affects telemedicine adoption, with those living closer to urban centers utilizing such services more frequently than those in remote locations. Despite the potential of telemedicine to mitigate geographic barriers, the fundamental issue of distance remains a primary obstacle to effective healthcare access(Omaduvie & Adisa, 2015).

These studies collectively demonstrate that geographic distance profoundly influences healthcare utilization patterns, particularly in rural populations. Addressing these challenges requires holistic policy approaches, including strategic investments in transportation and decentralized healthcare infrastructure.

Socioeconomic Factors

Income levels and education significantly impact healthcare access, with lower-income rural populations often experiencing limited availability of quality medical services. Zeba et al. (2017) found that individuals in Burkina Faso with lower incomes faced higher risks of cardiometabolic conditions due to restricted access to healthcare resources. This indicates a direct relationship between economic conditions and healthcare accessibility.

Similarly, Zhang et al. (2019) highlighted that lower educational attainment in rural populations contributes to decreased awareness of available healthcare services, leading to lower rates of medical service utilization. Improving educational access is therefore crucial to enhancing healthcare awareness and engagement.

Employment status and health insurance coverage also play pivotal roles in determining healthcare access. Akinyemiju et al. (2015) found that individuals employed in the formal sector had greater access to healthcare compared to those in informal or precarious employment. This is consistent with findings from Grady et al., (2015), which highlight that employer-provided health benefits significantly reduce financial barriers to healthcare access in urban settings.

Lalani & Cai,(2022) emphasized that health insurance is a key determinant of healthcare utilization, with uninsured individuals being significantly less likely to seek medical services. Without insurance, many rural residents face considerable financial constraints in accessing necessary medical care. Lee et al. (2020) further supported this by demonstrating that women in rural areas without stable employment faced more barriers in accessing reproductive and preventive healthcare services compared to urban-employed women(M. K. Lee & Oh, 2020).

Technology and Telemedicine

Telemedicine has emerged as a critical tool in reducing healthcare disparities between urban and rural areas. Bhatia (2021) found that during the COVID-19 pandemic, telehealth services enabled rural patients to access medical consultations without the need for extensive travel. Mahmoud et al. (2022) reported that 60% of rural patients utilizing telemedicine services experienced improved healthcare access, particularly in specialist care and treatment planning.

Gallegos-Rejas et al. (2022) demonstrated that telemedicine adoption has increased in middle- and low-income countries as a means of addressing healthcare shortages. By reducing the financial burden associated with transportation costs, telemedicine offers a viable solution for expanding healthcare reach. However, barriers such as limited internet connectivity and digital literacy remain significant challenges.

Despite these advancements, digital divide issues persist. Many rural communities lack access to the necessary technological infrastructure to fully utilize telemedicine services (Gallegos-Rejas et al., 2022). Additionally, Kim et al. (2018) identified a lack of digital skills among rural populations as a key limitation in telemedicine adoption, emphasizing the need for targeted training programs.

Concerns over data privacy and security further hinder telemedicine adoption, particularly in regions with lower digital awareness. Strengthening cybersecurity measures and improving public trust in telemedicine platforms are essential steps to ensuring the long-term success of digital healthcare solutions (Gallegos-Rejas et al., 2022).

Government Policies and Interventions

Several government initiatives have been successful in enhancing healthcare services in rural areas. The Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes (ECHO) Program in the U.S. has improved rural healthcare by connecting local physicians with specialists via virtual training sessions, significantly increasing the availability of specialized care (Mattox et al., 2023).

In Brazil, the Family Health Program (Programa Saúde da Família) has strengthened primary healthcare by embedding community health teams within rural communities (Alves et al., 2023). This approach has facilitated consistent medical engagement and improved health outcomes among underserved populations.

Indonesia's National Health Insurance (JKN) Program has expanded healthcare coverage, particularly for low-income and rural populations, demonstrating positive results in increasing healthcare utilization (Alves et al., 2026).

Comparative analyses of healthcare policies across countries highlight distinct approaches to addressing rural healthcare disparities. Scandinavian countries, such as Sweden and Norway, have implemented tax-funded universal healthcare systems that minimize urban-rural healthcare gaps (Zachreson et al., 2021). In contrast, countries such as India and Nigeria continue to face challenges related to insufficient healthcare infrastructure and financial constraints.

Aryal et al. (2024) identified unequal healthcare funding allocation as a primary obstacle in improving rural healthcare access in countries like Laos. Policy reforms must focus on equitable resource distribution and localized healthcare strategies to effectively address urban-rural healthcare disparities.

This review of recent literature underscores that geographic distance, socioeconomic factors, technological advancements, and government interventions collectively shape healthcare disparities between urban and rural areas. Geographic barriers, including distance and infrastructure limitations, remain significant impediments to equitable healthcare access. Socioeconomic conditions further exacerbate these disparities, with income, education, and insurance coverage playing critical roles in determining healthcare utilization.

Telemedicine presents promising solutions but faces substantial challenges related to digital literacy and infrastructure. Successful policy interventions, such as Brazil's Family Health Program and Indonesia's JKN, offer valuable models for improving rural healthcare. However, cross-country disparities highlight the need for tailored strategies based on local healthcare challenges.

Addressing healthcare disparities requires a multifaceted approach, combining infrastructure improvements, digital health initiatives, and equitable healthcare policies to ensure comprehensive and sustainable access to medical services in underserved regions.

The systemic disparities in healthcare access between urban and rural areas, as highlighted in this review, reveal the urgency for integrated reforms. The relationship between socioeconomic status (SES) and healthcare utilization reinforces the relevance of the Social Determinants of Health (SDH) framework, where income, education, and occupation shape an individual's ability to access healthcare. Lower SES not only limits affordability but also restricts health seeking behavior due to reduced health literacy and mistrust in health systems especially in marginalized rural communities.

While government programs like Brazil's Programa Saúde da Família and Indonesia's JKN have shown promise in expanding access, disparities persist due to uneven distribution of resources and structural bottlenecks. These findings support the argument made by (Massuda et al., 2018) that universal healthcare coverage alone is insufficient without equitable allocation mechanisms. In contrast, Scandinavian countries such as Norway and Sweden have succeeded in minimizing rural health inequities by centralizing funding but decentralizing service delivery, ensuring that rural populations benefit from the same quality standards as urban areas. This contrast suggests that structural equity not just fiscal investment is the distinguishing factor in policy effectiveness.

Telemedicine has emerged as a transformative innovation, particularly during the COVID 19 pandemic, but its role must be critically examined in terms of scalability and inclusiveness. In high income settings like Canada and Australia, telemedicine implementation benefited from pre existing digital infrastructure and policy support. However, in low and middle income countries (LMICs), digital divides hinder its effectiveness. Studies from Sub Saharan Africa and parts of Southeast Asia show that telemedicine adoption is uneven, favoring semi urban areas with better connectivity, leaving the poorest and most remote communities excluded.

Moreover, comparative data suggest that telemedicine alone cannot resolve workforce shortages. For instance, the U.S. based ECHO Program bridges knowledge gaps among rural providers but still relies on local human resources to be effective. Hence, technology should be viewed as a complementary mechanism, not a substitute, for healthcare workforce development. Effective models like task shifting strategies in Rwanda and Ethiopia, where community health workers are digitally supported, demonstrate that telemedicine must be embedded within localized, human centered systems.

Another implication is the influence of systemic migration patterns both of patients and professionals on healthcare disparities. The "brain drain" of healthcare workers from rural to urban regions undermines long term service continuity. Comparative examples from Thailand and India suggest that medical education reforms, such as rural placements and bonded scholarships, can improve retention if paired with non financial incentives such as housing, career development, and community support (Tripathi & Preetha, 2024).

Ultimately, addressing healthcare disparities requires multi pronged strategies that go beyond healthcare delivery. Integrated social policies targeting education, employment, and infrastructure must be synchronized with health reforms. This also calls for monitoring and accountability systems, including geospatial data and community based assessments, to ensure that interventions are contextually responsive and sustainable(Zhao et al., 2022).

Limitation

This review has several limitations. First, while the findings highlight disparities in healthcare access, the variability in healthcare policies and economic conditions across different countries means that broad generalizations may not fully capture the complexities of each region. Additionally, the reliance on secondary data from existing studies may limit the ability to assess real-time changes in healthcare access patterns. Future research should consider conducting primary studies to evaluate the effectiveness of emerging policy interventions. Finally, while telemedicine is presented as a solution to healthcare inequities, its long-term impact on reducing disparities remains uncertain, necessitating further investigation into its scalability and effectiveness across diverse healthcare settings.

Implication

The findings of this study have several implications for future research and policy-making. Policymakers must prioritize equitable healthcare funding allocation to ensure that rural populations receive adequate medical services. Expanding healthcare infrastructure in rural areas should be a long-term goal, supported by sustainable investment in healthcare facilities and workforce retention programs. Additionally, integrating digital health literacy initiatives into national health strategies will be essential for maximizing the benefits of telemedicine.

Further research is needed to explore the intersection between socioeconomic factors and healthcare utilization in rural settings. Longitudinal studies assessing the impact of targeted healthcare policies on reducing disparities will provide valuable insights for improving healthcare accessibility. Future investigations should also evaluate the effectiveness of telemedicine in bridging rural-urban healthcare gaps, focusing on real-world implementation challenges and patient outcomes.

By addressing these areas, researchers and policymakers can work toward sustainable solutions that enhance healthcare equity, ultimately ensuring that both urban and rural populations receive the medical care they need.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the significant disparities in healthcare access between urban and rural areas, emphasizing the critical role of socioeconomic, geographic, and systemic factors in shaping these inequalities. The findings demonstrate that rural populations face substantial barriers, including inadequate healthcare infrastructure, longer travel distances, financial constraints, and shortages of medical professionals. Telemedicine emerges as a promising intervention, but its effectiveness remains contingent on digital literacy, infrastructure investment, and policy support.

Urgent intervention is required to address these disparities through strategic policy measures. Governments must prioritize equitable healthcare funding allocation, strengthen rural healthcare infrastructure, and implement incentives to encourage medical professionals to work in underserved areas. Additionally, integrating digital health literacy programs will enhance the adoption of telemedicine, bridging the urban-rural healthcare divide.

Future research should focus on assessing the long-term effectiveness of telemedicine, evaluating the impact of policy reforms on healthcare equity, and identifying scalable models for rural healthcare delivery. A multi-sectoral approach involving policymakers, healthcare providers, and technology innovators is essential to developing sustainable solutions. Ensuring equitable healthcare access for all populations, regardless of geographic location, remains a fundamental public health objective that requires continued commitment and investment.

REFERENCE

Alves, R. F. S., Boccolini, C. S., Baroni, L., & MM, B. P. (2026). Primary Health Care Coverage in Brazil: A Dataset From 1998 to 2020. *BMC Res Notes*, *16*(1).

Aryal, A., Clarke-Deelder, E., Phommalangsy, S., Kounnavong, S., & Fink, G. (2024). Health System Inequities in Lao People's Democratic Republic: Evidence From a Nationally Representative Phone Survey. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, *29*(6), 518–525.

Bhatia, R. (2019). Telehealth and COVID 19: Using Technology to Accelerate the Curve on Access and Quality Healthcare for Citizens in India. *Technol Soc*, *64*(101465).

Grady, C. D., Dehlendorf, C., Cohen, E. D., Schwarz, E. B., & Borrero, S. (2015). Racial and Ethnic Differences in Contraceptive Use Among Women Who Desire No Future Children, 2006–2010 National Survey of Family Growth. *Contraception*, *92*(1), 62–70.

Huang, L., Onge, J. M. S., & Lai, S. (2024). Urban Rural Differences on Accessing Patient Centered Medical Home Among Children With Mental/Developmental Health Conditions/Disorders. *Journal for Healthcare Quality*.

Kamangar, F., Nasrollahzadeh, D., Safiri, S., Sepanlou, S. G., Fitzmaurice, C., & Ikuta, K. S. (2020). The Global, Regional, and National Burden of Oesophageal Cancer and Its Attributable Risk Factors in 195 Countries and Territories, 1990–2017: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*, *5*(6), 582–597.

Kim, S., Kimber, M., Boyle, M. H., & Georgiades, K. (2018). Sex Differences in the Association Between Cyberbullying Victimization and Mental Health, Substance Use, and Suicidal Ideation in Adolescents. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, *64*(2), 126–135.

Lalani, N., & Cai, Y. (2022). Palliative Care for Rural Growth and Wellbeing: Identifying Perceived Barriers and Facilitators in Access to Palliative Care in Rural Indiana, USA. *BMC Palliat Care*, *21*(1).

Lee, B. M., Jeong, S., & Roh, M. (2018). Association Between Body Mass Index and Health Outcomes Among Adolescents: The Mediating Role of Traditional and Cyber Bullying Victimization. *BMC Public Health*, *18*(1).

Lee, M. K., & Oh, J. (2020). Health Related Quality of Life in Older Adults: Its Association With Health Literacy, Self Efficacy, Social Support, and Health Promoting Behavior. *Healthcare*, *8*(4).

Mahmoud, K., Jaramillo, C., & Barteit, S. (2022). Telemedicine in Low And Middle Income Countries During the COVID 19 Pandemic: A Scoping Review. *Front Public Health*, *10*.

Massuda, A., Hone, T., Leles, F. A. G., Castro, M. C., & Atun, R. (2018). The Brazilian Health System at Crossroads: Progress, Crisis and Resilience. *BMJ Glob Health*, *3*(4).

Mattox, E. A., Yantsides, K. E., Germani, M. W., & Parsons, E. C. (2023). Utilizing the RE AIM Framework for a Multispecialty Veterans Affairs Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes (VA ECHO) Program 2018–2022. *Frontiers in Health Services*, *3*.

McMaughan, D. J., Oloruntoba, O., & Smith, M. L. (2020). Socioeconomic Status and Access to Healthcare: Interrelated Drivers for Healthy Aging. *Front Public Health*, *8*.

Omaduvie, U., & Adisa, A. O. (2015). *Exposure to Secondhand Smoke in the Home and Public Areas Among Adolescents in Abuja* (Vol. 1, Issue December). Tobacco Control Implications. Tob Prev Cessat.

Price, M. D., Mali, M. E., Ernest, A., Abrahams, A. O., Goold, E. A., & Elvira, L. (2024). Availability and Geographic Access to Breast Cancer Pathology Services in Ghana. *PLoS One*, *19*(8).

Rehman, S., Rehman, E., Hussain, I., & Zhang, J. (2021). Socioeconomic Influence on Cardiac Mortality in the South Asian Region: New Perspectives From Grey Modeling and G Topsis. *J Healthc Eng*, *2021*, 1–10.

Tripathi, V., & Preetha, G. S. (2024). Public Health Infrastructure and Human Resources in Tribal Areas of India: A Decadal Assessment (2012–2022) With Rural Health Statistics Data. *International Journal of Health Governance*, *29*(2), 162–175.

V, G. R., E, T., JT, K., & AC, S. (2022). A Multi Stakeholder Approach Is Needed to Reduce the Digital Divide and Encourage Equitable Access to Telehealth. *J Telemed Telecare*, *29*(1), 73–78.

Zachreson, C., Chang, S. L., Cliff, O. M., & Prokopenko, M. (2021). *How Will Mass Vaccination Change COVID 19 Lockdown Requirements in Australia? Lancet Reg Health West Pac* (Vol. 14, Issue 100224).

Zaheer, A., Qiu, F., Manoragavan, R., Madan, M., Sud, M., & Mamas, M. A. (2024). Impact of Neighborhood Social Deprivation on Delays to Access for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement: Wait-Times and Clinical Consequences. *J Am Heart Assoc*, *13*(12).

Zeba, A. N., Yaméogo, M., Tougouma, S. J. B., Kassié, D., & Urbanization, F. F. C. (2017). Social and Spatial Disparities Help to Understand the Rise of Cardiometabolic Risk Factors in Bobo Dioulasso? A Study in a Secondary City of Burkina Faso, West Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, *14*(4).

Zhang, T., Liu, G., & Ni, Z. (2019). Association of Access to Healthcare With Self Assessed Health and Quality of Life Among Old Adults With Chronic Disease in China: Urban Versus Rural Populations. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, *16*(14).

Zhao, H., Huang, Y., Wang, H., Zhao, J., Tian, S., & Bai, H. (2022). Associations of SMAD4 Rs10502913 and NLRP3 Rs1539019 Polymorphisms With Risk of Coal Workers'

Pneumoconiosis Susceptibility in Chinese Han Population. *Pharmgenomics Pers Med*, *15*, 167–175.