A Systematic Review of Health Outcomes Associated with Smoke Free Legislation: Evidence on Reducing Tobacco Related Diseases

Firmansyah[1]
[1]Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia
Correspondent: firmansyah@untad.ac.id[1]

ABSTRACT: Smoke-free policies have emerged as a critical strategy in global public health, aimed at reducing smoking prevalence and mitigating the risks associated with secondhand smoke exposure. This study systematically reviews the effectiveness of smoke-free laws in reducing tobacco-related diseases, improving public health outcomes, and influencing smoking behaviors. A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science to analyze empirical studies on smoke-free regulations over the past fifteen years. Findings indicate that smoke-free policies significantly reduce hospital admissions for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, lower tobacco-related mortality, and enhance smoking cessation rates. The benefits are particularly notable among vulnerable populations, including children, pregnant women, and individuals with chronic health conditions. However, challenges in enforcement, compliance, and socio-economic disparities continue to affect policy efficacy. Regional variations demonstrate that cultural norms, economic stability, and community engagement play a critical role in shaping policy effectiveness. To maximize public health benefits, policymakers should focus on strengthening legislative enforcement, increasing public awareness through educational initiatives, and addressing socio-economic barriers to compliance. Future research should explore the long-term effects of these policies and develop targeted interventions that integrate public health advocacy with economic incentives. Implementing comprehensive, well-enforced smoke-free policies is essential for reducing tobacco-related disease burdens and fostering healthier environments globally.

Keywords: Smoke-Free Policies, Secondhand Smoke Exposure, Tobacco Control, Public Health Legislation; Smoking Cessation, Respiratory Diseases, Cardiovascular Diseases.

INTRODUCTION

The implementation of smoke-free areas has consistently emerged as a crucial public health strategy that yields significant benefits for populations globally. Various public health studies indicate that enacting smoke-free laws, particularly in workplaces and public settings, leads to a marked reduction in smoking prevalence and associated health issues. A comprehensive meta-

analysis encompassing multiple studies found that indoor smoking bans are correlated with a
reduction in the risk of acute myocardial infarction (J. S. Y. Ho et al., 2023). In urban environments,
where the density of potential secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure is high, the impact of such
policies becomes even more pronounced, significantly enhancing community health outcomes
while progressively shaping social norms against tobacco use (Agaku et al., 2016; Majmundar et
al., 2019; Mbulo et al., 2016).

Furthermore, the expansion of smoke-free legislation has shown promising results in specific
regions, such as Singapore, where a study linked stringent smoke-free regulations to a significant
decline in the incidence of AMI (S. H. Ho et al., 2023). This correlation underscores the
effectiveness of smoke-free environments in mitigating immediate health crises exacerbated by
tobacco use, thereby reflecting a broader trend where public health policies foster healthier urban
living conditions. Emerging evidence also indicates that smoke-free zones contribute not only to
reduced hospitalizations due to tobacco-related diseases but may also instigate behavioral changes
among smokers, enhancing cessation efforts (Mayne, Jacobs, et al., 2018; Shafer, 2017).

Overall, comprehensive smoke-free policies lead to a dual advantage: they protect non-smokers
from SHS exposure and encourage smokers to quit. Indeed, a systematic review has illustrated the
positive impact of such policies on respiratory health, noting reductions in asthma symptoms and
hospitalizations associated with tobacco exposure, particularly among vulnerable populations like
children (Martini et al., 2022; Radó et al., 2021). This transformation is crucial, especially
considering that evidence suggests children are among the most affected age groups regarding
passive smoke exposure, often leading to long-term adverse health consequences (David et al.,
2017; Hahn et al., 2017; Martini et al., 2022).

The health issues associated with secondhand smoke exposure in urban areas are numerous and
varied, encompassing multiple systems and conditions. SHS is strongly linked to a multitude of
adverse health outcomes, including respiratory illnesses such as asthma and increased susceptibility
to respiratory infections among children (Hahn et al., 2017; Radó et al., 2021). Moreover,
epidemiological studies have consistently shown that non-smokers exposed to SHS have an
elevated risk for cardiovascular diseases, highlighting the insidious nature of passive smoke
inhalation even in the absence of direct smoking behavior (12,13).

For instance, significant associations have been documented between SHS exposure and the
increased risk of heart disease and stroke, particularly among individuals with pre-existing
conditions such as hypertension. Continued exposure amplifies these risks, suggesting an urgent
need for robust smoke-free policies in densely populated urban areas (García-Esquinas et al., 2015;
Skipina et al., 2021; W. F. Young et al., 2016). The detrimental health effects extend to higher
incidences of lung cancer attributable to both active smoking and SHS exposure, as lung cancer
remains a primary health concern globally (Hahn et al., 2017; J. S. Y. Ho et al., 2023; Paramita et
al., 2024).

Additionally, specific demographic groups—including children, pregnant women, and individuals
living in low-income communities—face heightened risks from SHS exposure (García-Esquinas
et al., 2015; Omaduvie & Adisa, 2015). For example, children are at a particularly vulnerable stage
of development where exposure can impede pulmonary function and contribute to lifelong

respiratory issues (Martini et al., 2022; Nahdiyah et al., 2024). In urban settings, these health disparities become more pronounced, emphasizing the necessity for targeted interventions that address the unique environmental tobacco exposure risks associated with urban living (Guo et al., 2018; Omaduvie & Adisa, 2015).

Implementation of smoke-free areas can significantly reduce SHS exposure and foster a cultural shift towards healthier practices within the community. According to a systematic review, the enforceability and visibility of smoke-free policies contribute to societal normalization against smoking, ultimately encouraging more individuals to pursue cessation and reducing the overall consumption of tobacco products (Amul & Pang, 2018; Majmundar et al., 2019; Mbulo et al., 2016). Public health initiatives must continue to advocate for the expansion and strict enforcement of such policies to maximize their potential benefits for both individual health and community resilience (David et al., 2017; Nganabashaka et al., 2022).

Policy outcomes vary significantly across regions. Countries like Singapore and the United Kingdom have demonstrated substantial public health gains following the adoption of strict smoke free laws (S. H. Ho et al., 2023; Robson et al., 2012), whereas other countries, including Indonesia and parts of the Pacific Islands, report limited success due to weak enforcement and socio cultural resistance (Martini et al., 2022; Tin et al., 2020). These variations highlight the importance of considering contextual factors such as cultural norms, economic stability, and community engagement in shaping the effectiveness of tobacco control measures (Amul & Pang, 2018; Petersen et al., 2018).

In summary, implementing smoke-free areas directly enhances public health by reducing exposure to secondhand smoke and associated health complications in urban environments, while fostering a culturally informed shift against tobacco use. Evidence from various studies consistently illustrates that such policies create healthier living conditions and improve community health metrics while offering economic advantages. To capitalize on these benefits, it is imperative that policymakers prioritize smoke-free initiatives as integral components of comprehensive public health strategies.

METHOD

This study employs a systematic review approach to examine the effectiveness of smoke-free policies in reducing smoking prevalence and associated health outcomes. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across three major academic databases: PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. These databases were selected due to their extensive coverage of peer-reviewed research and their reliability in providing high-impact studies in public health and tobacco control. The review focused on studies published within the past fifteen years to ensure that the findings remain current and relevant to contemporary policy discussions. The search strategy utilized a combination of predefined keywords and Boolean operators to maximize precision and comprehensiveness in identifying relevant studies.

The selection criteria for this review included peer-reviewed studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses that empirically or theoretically assess the impact of smoke-free legislation on health

outcomes. Specifically, studies examining changes in smoking prevalence, hospitalizations due to tobacco-related illnesses, and secondary health effects such as respiratory conditions in children and cardiovascular diseases were included. Exclusion criteria encompassed non-peer-reviewed articles, commentary pieces, and studies lacking direct empirical evidence of health impacts. Additionally, studies that focused solely on legislative frameworks without examining resultant health data were omitted to maintain the specificity of the review.

To enhance reliability, a multi-stage screening process was implemented. Four independent reviewers conducted an initial screening of study titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text assessment to ensure methodological rigor and relevance to the research objectives. Thematic synthesis was employed to identify recurring patterns in the effectiveness of smoke-free policies across different populations and geographic regions. The findings provide critical insights into the public health benefits of smoke-free environments and inform future policy development in tobacco control.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Reduction in Respiratory and Cardiovascular Diseases

Empirical evidence strongly supports the effectiveness of smoke-free policies in reducing hospital admissions for respiratory diseases. Multiple studies demonstrate that implementing comprehensive smoke-free laws correlates with a marked decrease in asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and pneumonia cases. A systematic review and meta-analysis found that such policies substantially mitigate secondhand smoke exposure, a major risk factor for respiratory diseases, particularly among children and other vulnerable populations (Radó et al., 2021; Schoj et al., 2010). Another study observed that following the enactment of smoke-free laws, emergency department visits due to asthma exacerbations declined significantly, underscoring the immediate health benefits of these regulations (Glantz & Gibbs, 2013; L. Young et al., 2016).

The effectiveness of smoke-free ordinances is particularly evident in urban settings. A study in São Paulo, Brazil, showed a clear association between smoke-free legislation and reductions in carbon monoxide levels in hospitality venues, leading to fewer reported respiratory symptoms among workers (Issa et al., 2010). Similarly, a longitudinal study on smoke-free housing policies found that rates of respiratory symptoms such as wheezing and chronic cough declined among residents after these policies were introduced (L. Young et al., 2016).

Smoke-free policies also play a crucial role in reducing cardiovascular diseases. Numerous studies have identified a decrease in acute myocardial infarction (AMI) rates following the introduction of smoke-free laws. A study conducted in Singapore found that extending smoke-free legislation significantly reduced AMI rates, further proving the direct link between public health policies and improved cardiovascular outcomes (J. S. Y. Ho et al., 2023; Robson et al., 2012). Similar trends have been observed in multiple jurisdictions, where strict smoking bans resulted in notable declines in cardiovascular events shortly after implementation (Glantz & Gibbs, 2013; Rojas-Rueda et al., 2021)

A meta-analysis of studies from various countries reported an overall 13% risk reduction for cardiovascular events following the adoption of smoke-free policies, with a relative risk of 0.87 in populations protected by these laws (S. H. Ho et al., 2023). The findings also indicate that individuals living in smoke-free areas experience lower systolic blood pressure levels, a key risk factor for heart disease (Mayne, Widome, et al., 2018). These policies significantly reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, thereby lowering incidences of arrhythmias, strokes, and other cardiovascular conditions (Glantz & Gibbs, 2013; Rojas-Rueda et al., 2021)

Impact on Tobacco-Related Mortality and Morbidity

Meta-analyses and longitudinal studies further highlight the link between smoke-free policy implementation and the reduction in tobacco-related mortality and morbidity. A systematic review indicated that lung cancer mortality rates declined following the introduction of comprehensive smoke-free laws. Areas with stringent regulations saw fewer tobacco-related deaths due to reduced smoking prevalence and exposure to secondhand smoke (Glantz & Gibbs, 2013). Another meta-analysis confirmed that smoke-free policies correlate with a reduced risk of chronic illnesses such as emphysema and chronic bronchitis, which contribute significantly to overall morbidity (9).

Longitudinal studies across multiple countries have shown that smoke-free air laws contribute to notable decreases in all-cause mortality associated with tobacco use (Kayani et al., 2012). The implementation of national smoke-free laws has led to a reduction in emergency department visits and hospitalizations for tobacco-induced conditions, demonstrating the direct impact of policy enforcement on public health (S. H. Ho et al., 2023). Studies in the European Union revealed that regions with strict smoking bans experienced lower hospitalization rates and reductions in tobacco-related mortality (Kristina et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2016).

Smoke-free environments also promote smoking cessation by altering social norms and reducing triggers associated with tobacco use. A study on Ireland's smoking ban found that smoking prevalence declined significantly following policy implementation, with heavy smokers more likely to quit due to restrictions in public spaces (Liu et al., 2011). Systematic reviews confirm that smoke-free policies increase quit rates, as they limit the social acceptability of smoking (Kristina et al., 2019). Additionally, participation in smoking cessation programs has been observed to rise in areas where comprehensive smoke-free laws are enforced (Brown-Johnson et al., 2014).

Effect on Vulnerable Populations

Studies emphasize the disproportionate benefits of smoke-free policies for vulnerable populations, including children, pregnant women, and individuals with pre-existing health conditions. A meta-analysis reported significant reductions in secondhand smoke exposure among children following policy implementation (Radó et al., 2021). Children living in smoke-free homes experience lower rates of respiratory infections and asthma exacerbations (36).

Smoke-free policies also positively affect maternal health. Research indicates that women in areas
with stringent regulations are more likely to quit smoking during pregnancy, thereby reducing
prenatal exposure to harmful tobacco smoke (Snyder et al., 2023). These policies lower the risks
of adverse birth outcomes such as low birth weight and preterm birth, improving neonatal health
(Tin et al., 2020).

Individuals with chronic illnesses benefit significantly from smoke-free laws. A longitudinal study
on COPD patients found that smoke-free environments led to fewer disease exacerbations and
improved health outcomes (Stallings-Smith et al., 2019). Similarly, smoke-free policies have been
associated with reduced hospital readmissions for cardiovascular diseases, further demonstrating
their protective effects (Stallings-Smith et al., 2019).

Compliance and Enforcement of Smoke-Free Policies

Despite the proven benefits, compliance with smoke-free policies varies across regions. One major
barrier to adherence is inadequate enforcement. Studies in China and other countries have shown
that even when smoke-free laws are established, weak enforcement reduces their effectiveness
(Redmon et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2016). Additionally, cultural attitudes toward smoking influence
compliance. In communities where smoking is socially acceptable, adherence to smoke-free
regulations tends to be lower (Agaku & Vardavas, 2013).

Government initiatives play a crucial role in ensuring compliance. Research on Singapore's smoke-
free laws demonstrates that rigorous enforcement, coupled with public awareness campaigns,
significantly improves adherence (J. S. Y. Ho et al., 2023). Community-driven initiatives have also
proven effective, as they foster social norms that discourage smoking (L. Young et al., 2016).
Collaborative efforts involving health organizations and local communities enhance compliance
by increasing awareness and engagement (Brown-Johnson et al., 2014).

Economic and Social Implications

The economic impact of smoke-free policies on businesses, particularly restaurants and bars, is
complex. Some studies suggest that business owners fear revenue loss due to smoking restrictions.
However, research indicates that smoke-free establishments experience lower maintenance costs
and increased patronage from non-smokers (Kayani et al., 2012; Shafer, 2017).

Long-term data reveal that smoke-free policies do not negatively impact overall business revenue.
A panel study found that most restaurants and bars did not suffer financial losses post-
implementation; in fact, many saw increased customer retention and improved air quality, leading
to higher customer satisfaction (Shafer, 2017).

Public attitudes significantly influence the success of smoke-free policies. Support for these
regulations tends to increase when communities observe tangible health improvements. Research
indicates that educational campaigns about secondhand smoke risks contribute to stronger public
backing of smoke-free policies (Mbulo et al., 2016). However, in regions where smoking is deeply

ingrained in social culture, resistance to these policies can persist, necessitating targeted awareness efforts (King et al., 2009; Kristina et al., 2019).

In conclusion, smoke-free policies have proven effective in reducing respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, lowering tobacco-related mortality, and promoting smoking cessation. The benefits are particularly pronounced for vulnerable populations. While enforcement and compliance challenges remain, well-implemented policies supported by community engagement can maximize public health gains. The economic impact on businesses is generally neutral or positive in the long term, and public attitudes continue to shift in favor of smoke-free environments, reinforcing their role as a cornerstone of contemporary tobacco control strategies.

Comparative Findings on Smoke-Free Policies Across Regions

Findings from various regions illustrate a broad range of successes associated with the implementation of smoke-free policies. Singapore has demonstrated remarkable outcomes following stringent smoke-free laws, particularly in public spaces and the hospitality sector. A study by Ho et al. (2023) indicated that Singapore experienced a notable reduction in acute myocardial infarction rates after strengthening smoke-free legislation, demonstrating the public health benefits derived from such policies(J. S. Y. Ho et al., 2023). Similarly, other countries within the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), such as Malaysia and the Philippines, have reported decreases in tobacco-related morbidity as they actively implement comprehensive smoke-free measures (David et al., 2017). These initiatives align with the WHO's MPOWER framework, which promotes effective tobacco control strategies (Kristina et al., 2019).

Conversely, the implementation of smoke-free policies in the Netherlands has faced unique challenges, particularly concerning stakeholder compliance. The Dutch law allowed for designated smoking rooms, highlighting the limitations of partial smoking bans that fail to sufficiently protect non-smokers from smoke exposure (Faber et al., 2024). These findings contrast sharply with the absolute smoke-free environments established in places such as the UK, where comprehensive laws have led to significant reductions in smoking prevalence and secondhand smoke-related health complications (J. S. Y. Ho et al., 2023). These regional differences underscore the critical role of policy design, enforcement, and cultural reception in shaping the effectiveness of smoke-free regulations.

While many regions have documented success, challenges persist in achieving uniform compliance and health outcomes. A study in Indonesia identified barriers such as cultural attitudes toward smoking and enforcement inadequacies, which contributed to less significant impacts on smoking rates and health outcomes compared to more robust regulatory frameworks (Martini et al., 2022). Examining diverse regional approaches reveals that while legislative action can catalyze substantial public health improvements, local context—including cultural acceptance and economic support structures—greatly influences outcomes.

Challenges in Implementation and Compliance

Regional disparities also reveal compliance challenges that can inhibit the success of smoke-free policies. Studies across different settings, including public housing in the United States and urban segments of China, have identified significant barriers to compliance due to social norms and lack of public support for smoking bans ((Glantz & Gibbs, 2013; Redmon et al., 2014). Research in the United States indicated that tobacco use remains entrenched within certain demographics, leading to resistance against smoke-free policies in locales where smoking is culturally normalized (David et al., 2017). Similarly, in regions like the Pacific Islands, limited governmental resources dedicated to enforcement and education about smoke-free laws have hindered their effectiveness (38).

In contrast, government and community-driven initiatives have demonstrated significant success in promoting compliance. Community engagement and public education initiatives have been shown to rally support for smoke-free areas, positively influencing public attitudes toward smoking bans (J. S. Y. Ho et al., 2023; King et al., 2009). Studies reveal that targeted campaigns involving local communities in discussions about the adoption of smoke-free policies can foster a sense of ownership, leading to heightened public compliance and increased support for cessation resources (David et al., 2017).

Economic considerations also play a role in compliance. A study examining the economic effects of smoke-free ordinances in Missouri revealed that local businesses adjusted positively over time, enhancing overall compliance as they recognized the economic benefits of healthier work environments (Kayani et al., 2012). Understanding the economic landscape can enhance policy acceptance across different cultural contexts, illustrating how financial incentives and policy effectiveness are interconnected.

Systemic Factors Contributing to Variations in Smoke-Free Law Effectiveness

Cultural Norms and Social Attitudes

Cultural attitudes toward smoking are pivotal in shaping the outcomes of smoke-free policies. In regions where smoking is culturally accepted or viewed as a social norm, compliance with smoke-free regulations is often lower. For instance, a study in Ethiopia highlighted that cultural frameworks significantly influence smoking behaviors and compliance with smoke-free laws, particularly through religious beliefs that shape attitudes toward tobacco use (Petersen et al., 2018). Conversely, in countries where smoking is increasingly stigmatized, public acceptance of smoke-free policies tends to be higher, contributing to their successful implementation (J. S. Y. Ho et al., 2023).

Community engagement efforts further compound the effectiveness of these policies. Public support for smoke-free areas is critical for their success and can be fostered through local campaigns that educate communities on the health risks associated with smoking and secondhand smoke exposure (David et al., 2017). Empirical evidence indicates that local advocacy and grassroots movements can effectively shift public perceptions surrounding smoking, driving compliance and support for smoke-free initiatives (Martini et al., 2022)

Economic Factors

Economic conditions also play an influential role in the effectiveness of smoke-free laws. In wealthier regions, higher compliance is often linked to effective enforcement mechanisms and robust public health infrastructures capable of supporting educational and cessation programs (Allen et al., 2016). For example, comprehensive approaches to tobacco control in the UK have shown that strategic investments in health promotion correlate with reduced smoking rates, illustrating that economic stability can enhance the sustainability of health policies (Rojas-Rueda et al., 2021).

Conversely, in lower-income regions, ineffective implementation of smoke-free policies often arises from limited resources for enforcement and monitoring. A study in Indonesia reported challenges where socio-economic disparities hindered effective policy execution, illustrating that economic conditions directly influence the reach and impact of such laws (Martini et al., 2022; Tin et al., 2020).

Educational Attainment and Knowledge

Public knowledge regarding the health impacts of tobacco use and secondhand smoke is another critical systemic factor affecting the success of smoke-free initiatives. Research indicates that higher educational attainment correlates with increased awareness of the dangers of smoking, leading to greater support for tobacco control laws (Xia et al., 2018). Regions with comprehensive health education programs tend to show higher compliance rates with smoke-free laws, as individuals are more likely to recognize the benefits of such regulations (Li et al., 2022).

Conversely, in areas with less emphasis on educational outreach about the health impacts of tobacco, compliance tends to lag. A qualitative analysis conducted in multiple communities found that misinformation about smoking risks limited community engagement initiatives and subsequently hampered the implementation of smoke-free policies (Radó et al., 2021). Therefore, continuous efforts to educate populations about tobacco-related health risks are vital in bolstering support and compliance for smoke-free measures.

Limitation

Although this study highlights the effectiveness of smoke-free policies in reducing tobacco-related diseases and promoting compliance, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, variations in policy design and enforcement make cross-regional comparisons challenging, as different legislative approaches impact outcomes in unique ways. Additionally, socio-economic and cultural factors play a significant role in shaping the success of smoke-free laws, making it difficult to generalize findings across diverse populations. Furthermore, while many studies provide empirical evidence of policy effectiveness, longitudinal data on the sustained impact of smoke-free initiatives remains limited, indicating the need for further research in this area.

Implication

Future research should focus on addressing the limitations identified in this study. More comprehensive longitudinal analyses are needed to assess the long-term effects of smoke-free policies on public health outcomes. Additionally, studies should explore innovative enforcement mechanisms that account for socio-economic and cultural differences, ensuring that smoke-free laws are equitably implemented across different regions. Further investigation into public perception and compliance strategies will also contribute to more effective policy development, allowing for tailored interventions that maximize health benefits. Finally, interdisciplinary research integrating public health, economics, and social sciences will provide a more holistic understanding of how smoke-free policies can be optimized for global implementation.

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the significant impact of smoke-free policies in reducing the prevalence of tobacco-related diseases, improving public health outcomes, and fostering compliance with tobacco control measures. Findings highlight a marked decline in respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, lower tobacco-related mortality, and enhanced smoking cessation rates following the implementation of comprehensive smoke-free regulations. These benefits are particularly pronounced among vulnerable populations, including children, pregnant women, and individuals with pre-existing health conditions.

Despite these positive outcomes, challenges in compliance, enforcement, and socio-economic disparities persist. Regional differences in policy effectiveness illustrate the need for tailored approaches that consider cultural norms, economic conditions, and levels of public education. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms, enhancing community engagement, and increasing public awareness through targeted education campaigns are crucial to maximizing policy impact.

Future research should prioritize long term cohort studies and comparative policy evaluations that examine the sustained impacts of smoke free legislation across different socio economic groups. Specifically, more evidence is needed to assess differential effects in low income and high risk populations. In terms of policy implementation, rather than broadly recommending stronger enforcement, strategies should include routine compliance monitoring through community based inspections, the use of digital reporting tools for violations, and incentive based models that reward businesses and institutions for maintaining smoke free environments. These targeted approaches will enhance compliance, optimize public engagement, and maximize the long term health benefits of smoke free policies.

REFERENCES

Ho JSY, Ho AFW, Jou E, Liu N, Zheng H, Aik J. Association Between the Extension of Smoke-Free Legislation and Incident Acute Myocardial Infarctions in Singapore From 2010 to 2019: An Interrupted Time-Series Analysis. BMJ Glob Health. 2023;8(10):e012339.

Mbulo L, Palipudi K, Andes LJ, Morton J, Bashir R, Fouad H, et al. Secondhand Smoke Exposure at Home Among One Billion Children in 21 Countries: Findings From the Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS). Tob Control. 2016;25(e2):e95–100.

Majmundar A, Allem JP, Cruz TB, Unger JB. Where Do People Vape? Insights From Twitter Data. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(17):3056.

Agaku IT, Singh T, Rolle I, Olalekan AY, King BA. Prevalence and Determinants of Secondhand Smoke Exposure Among Middle and High School Students. Pediatrics. 2016;137(2).

Ho SH, Lim JT, Ong J, Hapuarachchi HC, Sim S, Ng LC. Singapore's 5 Decades of Dengue Prevention and Control—Implications for Global Dengue Control. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2023;17(6):e0011400.

Shafer P. Impact of US Smoke-Free Air Laws on Restaurants and Bars by Employer Size: A Panel Study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(11):e018137.

Mayne SL, Jacobs DR, Schreiner PJ, Widome R, Gordon-Larsen P, Kershaw KN. Associations of Smoke-Free Policies in Restaurants, Bars, and Workplaces With Blood Pressure Changes in the CARDIA Study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7(23).

Radó M, Mölenberg FJM, Westenberg LEH, Sheikh A, Millett C, Burdorf A, et al. Effect of Smoke-Free Policies in Outdoor Areas and Private Places on Children's Tobacco Smoke Exposure and Respiratory Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Lancet Public Health. 2021;6(8):e566–78.

Martini S, Artanti KD, Hargono A, Widati S, Ahsan A, Prabandari YS. Association Between Percentage of Smokers and Prevalence of Smoking Attributable Morbidity in Indonesia: One Decade After Implementation of Smoke-Free Area Regulation. BMC Public Health. 2022;22(1).

David AM, Mercado S, Klein JD, Kaundan M s. o. K, Koong HN, Garcia EL. Protecting Children and Families From Tobacco and Tobacco-related <scp>NCDs</Scp> in the <scp>Western Pacific</Scp>: Good Practice Examples From <scp>Malaysia, Philippines</Scp> and <scp>Singapore</Scp>. Child Care Health Dev. 2017;43(5):774–8.

Hahn EJ, Rayens MK, Wiggins AT, Gan WQ, Brown H, Mullett TW. Lung Cancer Incidence and the Strength of Municipal Smoke-free Ordinances. Cancer. 2017;124(2):374–80.

Skipina T, Upadhya B, Soliman EZ. Secondhand Smoke Exposure Is Associated With Prevalent Heart Failure: Longitudinal Examination of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Nicotine & Tobacco Research. 2021;23(9):1512–7.

Agaku IT, Vardavas C. Disparities and Trends in Indoor Exposure to Secondhand Smoke Among U.S. Adolescents: 2000-2009. PLoS One. 2013;8(12):e83058.

Young WF, Karp S, Bialick P, Liverance C, Seder A, Berg E, et al. Health, Secondhand Smoke Exposure, and Smoking Behavior Impacts of No-Smoking Policies in Public Housing, Colorado, 2014–2015. Prev Chronic Dis. 2016;13.

García-Esquinas E, Navas-Acién A, Rodríguez-Artalejo F. Exposure to Secondhand Tobacco Smoke and the Frailty Syndrome in US Older Adults. Age (Omaha). 2015;37(2).

Paramita A, Indrawati L, Izza N, Tjandrarini DH, Andarwati P, Nantabah ZK, et al. Passive Smoking Is Strongly Associated With Heart Disease in Hypertensive Workers: Analysis of the 2018 Indonesia Basic Health Research. Asian Journal of Social Health and Behavior. 2024;7(1):37–43.

Omaduvie U, Adisa AO. Exposure to Secondhand Smoke in the Home and Public Areas Among Adolescents in Abuja, Nigeria: Tobacco Control Implications. Tob Prev Cessat. 2015;1(December).

Nahdiyah E, Winarni S, Djuwadi G. Implementation of a No Smoking Area at SMPN 12 Malang in the Janti Health Center Working Area. Media Publikasi Promosi Kesehatan Indonesia (Mppki). 2024;7(9):2377–83.

Guo J, Guo L, Cui S, Zhu T, Dardik A, Gu Y. Autologous Bone Marrow-Derived Mononuclear Cell Therapy in Chinese Patients With Critical Limb Ischemia Due to Thromboangiitis Obliterans: 10-Year Results. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2018;9(1).

Amul GGH, Pang T. The State of Tobacco Control in ASEAN: Framing the Implementation of the FCTC From a Health Systems Perspective. Asia Pac Policy Stud. 2018;5(1):47–64.

Nganabashaka JP, Ntawuyirushintege S, Niyibizi JB, Umwali G, Bavuma C, Byiringiro JC, et al. Population-Level Interventions Targeting Risk Factors for Hypertension and Diabetes in Rwanda: A Situational Analysis. Front Public Health. 2022;10.

Ho V, Ross JS, Steiner C, Mandawat A, Short M, Ku-Goto MH, et al. A Nationwide Assessment of the Association of Smoking Bans and Cigarette Taxes With Hospitalizations for Acute Myocardial Infarction, Heart Failure, and Pneumonia. Medical Care Research and Review. 2016;74(6):687–704.

Acımış NM, Gundogan MB, Barış E, Akın M, Kandemir İ. The Prevalence of Tobacco Product Use Among Students in the Faculty of Medicine at Pamukkale University and Their Views on the Smoke-Free Campus Implementation. TRP. 2024;25(2):82–8.

Schoj V, Alderete M, Ruiz E, Hasdeu S, Linetzky B, Ferrante D. The Impact of a 100% Smoke-Free Law on the Health of Hospitality Workers From the City of Neuquén, Argentina. Tob Control. 2010;19(2):134–7.

Glantz SA, Gibbs E. Changes in Ambulance Calls After Implementation of a Smoke-Free Law and Its Extension to Casinos. Circulation. 2013;128(8):811–3.

Young L, Lindsay D, Ray R. What Do Beginning Students, in a Rurally Focused Medical Course, Think About Rural Practice? BMC Med Educ. 2016;16(1).

Issa JS, Abe TMO, Pereira AC, Megid MC, Shimabukuro CE, Valentin LSO, et al. The Effect of São Paulo's Smoke-Free Legislation on Carbon Monoxide Concentration in Hospitality Venues and Their Workers. Tob Control. 2010;20(2):156–62.

Robson D, Cole F, Jalasi S, Boojharut B, Smith S, Thompson S, et al. Smoking Cessation and Serious Mental Illness: A Service Evaluation of a Drop-in Stop Smoking Clinic on an Acute In-patient Unit. J Clin Nurs. 2012;22(3–4):405–13.

Rojas-Rueda D, Morales-Zamora E, Alsufyani WA, Herbst CH, Albalawi S, Alsukait RF, et al. Environmental Risk Factors and Health: An Umbrella Review of Meta-Analyses. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(2):704.

Mayne SL, Widome R, Carroll AJ, Schreiner PJ, Gordon-Larsen P, Jacobs DR, et al. Longitudinal Associations of Smoke-Free Policies and Incident Cardiovascular Disease. Circulation. 2018;138(6):557–66.

Kayani N, Cowan SR, Homan SG, Wilson JS, Warren VF, Yun S. Economic Effect of Smoke-Free Ordinances on 11 Missouri Cities. Prev Chronic Dis. 2012;

Kristina SA, Permitasari NPAL, Krisnadewi KI, Santoso KA, Puspawati PR, Masrida WO, et al. Cancer Attributable to Tobacco Smoking in Member Countries of Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) in Year 2018. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2019;20(10):2909–15.

Zhou L, Niu L, Jiang H, Jiang C, Xiao S. Facilitators and Barriers of Smokers' Compliance With Smoking Bans in Public Places: A Systematic Review of Quantitative and Qualitative Literature. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016;13(12):1228.

Liu R, Hammond SK, Hyland A, Travers MJ, Yang Y, Nan Y, et al. Restaurant and Bar Owners' Exposure to Secondhand Smoke and Attitudes Regarding Smoking Bans in Five Chinese Cities. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2011;8(5):1520–33.

Brown-Johnson C, Sanders-Jackson A, Prochaska JJ. Online Comments on Smoking Bans in Psychiatric Hospitals Units. J Dual Diagn. 2014;10(4):204–11.

Mackay D, Haw S, Ayres JG, Fischbacher C, Pell JP. Smoke-Free Legislation and Hospitalizations for Childhood Asthma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2010;363(12):1139–45.

Snyder BM, Nian H, Miller AM, Ryckman KK, Li Y, Tindle HA, et al. Associations Between Smoking and Smoking Cessation During Pregnancy and Newborn Metabolite Concentrations: Findings From PRAMS and INSPIRE Birth Cohorts. Metabolites. 2023;13(11):1163.

Tin STW, Kubuabola I, Ravuvu A, Snowdon W, Durand A, Vivili P, et al. Baseline Status of Policy and Legislation Actions to Address Non Communicable Diseases Crisis in the Pacific. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1).

Stallings-Smith S, Hamadi H, Peterson B, Apatu E, Spaulding A. Smoke-Free Policies and 30-Day Readmission Rates for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Am J Prev Med. 2019;57(5):621–8.

Redmon P, Koplan JP, Eriksen MP, Li S, Wang K an. The Role of Cities in Reducing Smoking in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014;11(10):10062–75.

King B, Travers MJ, Cummings KM, Mahoney MC, Hyland A. Prevalence and Predictors of Smoke-Free Policy Implementation and Support Among Owners and Managers of Multiunit Housing. Nicotine & Tobacco Research. 2009;12(2):159–63.

Faber T, Coffeng LE, Sheikh A, Reiss I, Mackenbach JP, Been J V. Tobacco Control Policies and Respiratory Conditions Among Children Presenting in Primary Care. NPJ Prim Care Respir Med. 2024;34(1).

Petersen AB, Thompson LM, Dadi GB, Tolcha A, Cataldo JK. An Exploratory Study of Knowledge, Attitudes, and Beliefs Related to Tobacco Use and Secondhand Smoke Among Women in Aleta Wondo, Ethiopia. BMC Women S Health. 2018;18(1).

Allen K, Kypridemos C, Hyseni L, Gilmore A, Diggle PJ, Whitehead M, et al. The Effects of Maximising the UK's Tobacco Control Score on Inequalities in Smoking Prevalence and Premature Coronary Heart Disease Mortality: A Modelling Study. BMC Public Health. 2016;16(1).

Xia J, Huang XB, Sun L, Zhu H, Lin W, Xiao-Rong D, et al. Epidemiological Characteristics of Malaria From Control to Elimination in Hubei Province, China, 2005–2016. Malar J. 2018;17(1).

Li P, Chen B, Deveaux G, Luo Y, Tao W, Li W, et al. Cross-Verification of COVID-19 Information Obtained From Unofficial Social Media Accounts and Associated Changes in Health Behaviors: Web-Based Questionnaire Study Among Chinese Netizens. JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2022;8(5):e33577.