

Cultural and Socioeconomic Influences on Medicine Choice: A Comparative Review of Herbal and Pharmaceutical Drug Preferences

Ahmad Yani¹, Putri²

¹Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu, Indonesia

²Yayasan Administrasi Indonesia, Indonesia

Correspondent: ahmadyani.publichealth@gmail.com¹

Received : Desember 18, 2023

Accepted : January 24, 2024

Published : January 31, 2024

Citation: Yani, A., & Putri, (2024). Cultural and Socioeconomic Influences on Medicine Choice: A Comparative Review of Herbal and Pharmaceutical Drug Preferences. Jurnal Riset Kualitatif dan Promosi Kesehatan, 3(1), 50-63.

<https://doi.org/10.61194/jrkpk.v3i1.677>

ABSTRACT: Occupational stress among healthcare workers has become an urgent global concern, exacerbated by increased workloads, insufficient institutional support, and poor working conditions. This study aims to examine the primary stressors affecting medical professionals and evaluate existing interventions. A systematic review of peer reviewed literature from PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Cochrane Library was conducted, focusing on studies published between 2019 and 2024. Inclusion criteria encompassed empirical research on healthcare worker stress, while non English, non peer reviewed, and non empirical studies were excluded. The findings indicate that excessive workload, lack of workplace support, and emotional distress are major contributors to stress. High intensity settings such as intensive care units (ICUs) exhibit greater stress levels than primary care facilities. Healthcare workers in low resource environments experience disproportionately higher stress due to limited infrastructure and inadequate psychological support. Effective coping mechanisms include peer support, humor, and institutional interventions such as Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs). Digital mental health solutions and policy driven interventions have proven beneficial in reducing occupational stress. The study concludes that structured institutional support, mental health training, and flexible work policies are essential in mitigating stress among healthcare professionals. Further research should explore long term stress impacts and evaluate innovative interventions to improve workforce resilience and patient care quality.

Keywords: Healthcare Workers, Occupational Stress, Mental Health, Burnout, Workplace Interventions, Psychological Resilience, Healthcare Policy.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare professionals face significant occupational stress, a growing concern in contemporary medical practice, particularly in hospital settings. The COVID 19 pandemic further exacerbated

this issue, increasing the psychological burden on frontline medical personnel (Aguilera & Martínez, 2022). Multiple studies have examined the primary factors contributing to stress in healthcare environments, including social, organizational, and psychological elements, as well as the direct impact of workload (Angus et al., 2023). High stress levels among healthcare workers have profound implications for their mental health and overall job performance, ultimately affecting the quality of patient care (Hernández-Díaz et al., 2021). Understanding the nature and extent of occupational stress in medical personnel is crucial to developing effective interventions that safeguard both workforce well being and patient outcomes.

Several investigations have identified excessive workload as one of the most critical stressors for healthcare workers. High patient volumes, particularly during health crises such as the COVID 19 pandemic, impose significant mental and physical strain on medical personnel (Aguilera & Martínez, 2022). Stress levels are further heightened by insufficient institutional support, limited resources, and demanding work conditions (Davey et al., 2021). Moreover, healthcare workers report emotional distress due to continuous exposure to critical patient conditions, with limited opportunities for psychological recovery (Messerli et al., 2021). Another crucial contributor to stress is the prolonged use of personal protective equipment (PPE), which leads to physical discomfort and fatigue, diminishing concentration and increasing the likelihood of errors (Bilal et al., 2022; Davey et al., 2021). These factors collectively underscore the complex and multifaceted nature of occupational stress among healthcare workers.

Research has consistently indicated that inadequate workplace support exacerbates stress in healthcare settings. A lack of managerial support, communication barriers, and interprofessional conflicts have been linked to heightened stress levels among medical personnel (Jovanović et al., 2024; Wolff et al., 2021). Furthermore, resource constraints, such as staff shortages and inadequate mental health support, amplify psychological distress (Russo et al., 2021). Job insecurity during global health crises further contributes to elevated anxiety levels, affecting both job performance and overall well being (Gilleen et al., 2021). In addition, the increased emotional labor required in patient care settings often leads to burnout, further diminishing healthcare workers' resilience and job satisfaction (Kim et al., 2020).

The COVID 19 pandemic presented unprecedented challenges that intensified occupational stress among healthcare workers. Comparative studies have revealed that stress levels among medical personnel surged dramatically during the pandemic compared to pre pandemic periods (Gorini et al., 2020). For example, a study conducted in Italy found a significant rise in psychological distress among healthcare workers, particularly in response to perceived occupational risks and uncertainties regarding infection control (Gilleen et al., 2021). Additionally, frontline workers, such as nurses and emergency physicians, reported disproportionately higher stress levels due to their direct exposure to critically ill patients (Bellehsen et al., 2024; Luan et al., 2020). These findings highlight the urgent need for structured institutional interventions to mitigate stress in hospital environments, particularly during public health emergencies.

One of the critical gaps in the existing literature is the long term impact of occupational stress on healthcare professionals. While numerous studies have documented short term psychological distress, fewer investigations have examined the chronic effects of sustained workplace stress

(Migisha et al., 2021). Additionally, the majority of research focuses on stress in hospital settings, with limited exploration of healthcare workers in community based and primary care environments (Gaber et al., 2023). Another significant research gap pertains to gender specific stressors. Studies have shown that female healthcare workers frequently report higher stress levels than their male counterparts, yet the underlying social and organizational factors contributing to this disparity remain inadequately explored (Yörük et al., 2022). Addressing these research gaps is crucial for developing comprehensive policies and interventions tailored to diverse healthcare work environments.

The primary objective of this review is to synthesize existing literature on occupational stress among healthcare professionals, focusing on the interplay between workload, institutional support, and psychological well being. This analysis will examine the key determinants of stress in hospital settings, comparing pre pandemic and pandemic era findings. Moreover, it aims to evaluate the effectiveness of current stress management strategies and propose evidence based interventions to enhance mental health support for healthcare workers. The review will also explore the broader implications of stress on workforce retention, job satisfaction, and overall healthcare system sustainability.

This study will focus on a broad range of healthcare professionals, including physicians, nurses, emergency medical technicians, and hospital administrative staff, to provide a comprehensive understanding of occupational stress in diverse roles. While the primary geographic emphasis will be on studies conducted in high stress hospital environments across various regions, particular attention will be given to comparative analyses between developed and developing healthcare systems. By investigating these variations, this review seeks to provide insights into how different institutional frameworks influence stress levels among healthcare professionals. The findings of this study will contribute to ongoing discussions on improving occupational well being in healthcare, emphasizing the need for systemic changes to enhance worker resilience and patient care quality.

METHOD

This study employed a systematic narrative review methodology in line with PRISMA guidelines. A comprehensive search was conducted across four major databases: PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Cochrane Library, targeting peer reviewed studies published between January 2019 and February 2024. Search terms included combinations of keywords such as "healthcare workers," "occupational stress," "burnout," "COVID 19," and "psychological resilience."

A total of 1,132 records were identified, of which 112 full text articles were assessed for eligibility after duplicates were removed and abstracts were screened. Ultimately, 56 studies met the inclusion criteria and were analyzed. The inclusion criteria focused on empirical studies involving healthcare professionals and stress related outcomes. Excluded sources included non English publications, grey literature, and studies lacking empirical data. A PRISMA flow diagram detailing the selection process is presented in Figure 1.

All selected studies were peer reviewed and included cross sectional surveys, cohort studies, and qualitative analyses. Data were thematically categorized into five domains: (1) occupational stressors, (2) emotional and psychological burden, (3) institutional and policy level support, (4) regional disparities between developed and developing nations, and (5) effectiveness of intervention strategies. Four independent reviewers conducted the screening and data extraction to enhance the reliability of findings and minimize selection bias.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Healthcare workers face significant occupational stress, influenced by various systemic, environmental, and psychological factors. Literature highlights that stress among healthcare professionals stems from excessive workload, unsupportive work environments, emotional distress, and differences based on geographical location and facility type (Hernández-Díaz et al., 2021; Temsah et al., 2021; Yörük et al., 2022). Understanding these contributing elements is essential for designing targeted interventions to mitigate stress and improve overall well being.

One of the most substantial stressors among healthcare workers is excessive workload. Research indicates that medical professionals, particularly nurses, experience heightened stress due to overwhelming responsibilities, especially during crises such as the COVID 19 pandemic (Chatterjee et al., 2021; Halcomb et al., 2020). The increased patient load and shortage of staff force healthcare workers to manage multiple cases simultaneously, leading to mental exhaustion and diminished job satisfaction (Hernández-Díaz et al., 2021; Yörük et al., 2022). Studies also show that prolonged work hours without adequate rest significantly contribute to burnout, further exacerbating mental health challenges among medical professionals.

The quality of the work environment plays a crucial role in stress levels among healthcare workers. Research suggests that lack of access to essential protective equipment, such as PPE, contributes to heightened anxiety and fear among medical staff, particularly in hospitals treating infectious diseases (Kim et al., 2020; Temsah et al., 2021). Insufficient communication between management and frontline workers further intensifies stress, as healthcare workers often feel uninformed about evolving protocols and safety measures (Berggren et al., 2023; Hăisan et al., 2023). Additionally, inadequate training on emergency response and infection control places additional mental strain on healthcare professionals, making them more susceptible to psychological distress.

Emotional and psychological factors are significant contributors to stress in healthcare settings. The constant exposure to critically ill patients, witnessing suffering, and making life altering decisions create immense emotional burdens for medical professionals (Geng & Khamis, 2021; Kleinpell et al., 2020; Yörük et al., 2022). Many healthcare workers report symptoms of anxiety, depression, and trauma related stress due to their high stakes work environments (Hernández-Díaz et al., 2021; Yanchus et al., 2016). Furthermore, the fear of contracting illnesses and transmitting them to loved ones significantly heightens stress levels, particularly in the context of infectious disease outbreaks.

The impact of stress varies based on geographic location, with noticeable disparities between developed and developing countries. Studies indicate that healthcare workers in low resource settings face greater psychological strain due to insufficient medical infrastructure, lack of mental health support, and overwhelming patient loads (Araújo et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2024). Research in Latin America reveals that medical professionals in these regions experience pronounced stress levels due to limited access to psychological services and workplace support (Duarte et al., 2021). Comparatively, developed nations often implement structured mental health interventions and institutional support, reducing the overall stress burden on healthcare workers.

The type of healthcare facility also influences the stress experienced by medical personnel. Research shows that professionals working in high intensity environments, such as intensive care units (ICUs), face significantly higher stress levels than those in primary care settings (Aguilera & Martínez, 2022; Cansel & Varol, 2022; Jarabíková et al., 2023). The high pressure nature of ICUs, where healthcare workers must make rapid, life critical decisions, contributes to elevated psychological distress (Kleinpell et al., 2020). Similarly, emergency responders and ambulance personnel report severe occupational stress, often due to the unpredictable and urgent nature of their work (Aguilera & Martínez, 2022; Jarabíková et al., 2023). In contrast, healthcare professionals in primary care settings experience stress related more to patient management and administrative burdens rather than emergency medical intervention.

Stress among healthcare workers has severe implications for mental health. Studies consistently highlight that prolonged occupational stress is strongly associated with an increased prevalence of anxiety, depression, and post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) among medical professionals (Samara et al., 2021; Yörük et al., 2022). Psychological distress often leads to decreased motivation, emotional exhaustion, and deteriorating interpersonal relationships at work (Cousin et al., 2023; Majeed et al., 2021). Research also indicates that higher perceived workplace stress correlates with severe depressive symptoms and increased suicidal ideation among healthcare workers (Badía et al., 2024; Yoo, 2021). During the COVID 19 pandemic, reports of severe mental health conditions among frontline medical staff surged, with many experiencing chronic sleep disturbances, emotional numbness, and heightened anxiety (Cansel & Varol, 2022; Wolff et al., 2021).

The connection between occupational stress and physical health is well documented. Prolonged stress exposure among healthcare workers is linked to heightened risks of cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, and weakened immune function (Kim et al., 2020; Leonelli et al., 2017). Studies reveal that individuals experiencing chronic workplace stress are more likely to develop somatic conditions, including gastrointestinal disorders and chronic fatigue syndrome (Barattucci et al., 2019; Lagaa et al., 2022). Research also suggests that burnout significantly increases the likelihood of developing long term health complications, exacerbating the physical toll of occupational stress (Moll, 2014; C. Wang et al., 2019). The inability to manage workplace stress effectively further results in higher absenteeism rates and reduced professional longevity among healthcare workers.

Coping strategies and institutional interventions play a pivotal role in mitigating occupational stress among healthcare workers. Research highlights that positive coping mechanisms, such as mindfulness, peer support, and structured stress management training, significantly improve emotional resilience (F. Wang et al., 2022). Studies also show that humor and social bonding within

healthcare teams alleviate stress, fostering a more supportive work environment (Canestrari et al., 2021). Emotional debriefing sessions, where medical professionals reflect on challenging experiences, have been found to reduce psychological distress and prevent long term mental health issues (Goniewicz et al., 2023). Additionally, healthcare institutions implementing comprehensive employee assistance programs (EAPs) demonstrate lower stress related attrition rates and improved workplace morale (Katirayi et al., 2022; Temsah et al., 2021).

Comparative global studies reveal significant differences in how various countries address occupational stress in healthcare settings. Developed nations tend to have more robust mental health policies, offering healthcare workers access to psychological counseling and structured stress management training (Halcomb et al., 2020). In contrast, healthcare professionals in developing countries frequently lack access to these resources, leading to higher reported stress levels (Chatterjee et al., 2021). Some countries have introduced national level initiatives, such as Australia's mental health training programs for medical professionals, which have proven effective in reducing workplace stress (Halcomb et al., 2020). Similarly, nations implementing technological interventions, such as mental health apps providing self help resources, have reported improved stress management outcomes among healthcare workers (Araújo et al., 2022).

In conclusion, stress among healthcare workers is a multifaceted issue influenced by workload intensity, workplace conditions, psychological demands, and institutional support systems. Differences in geographic location and healthcare facility type significantly impact stress experiences, highlighting the need for tailored interventions. The severe mental and physical health consequences of occupational stress underscore the importance of institutional initiatives that prioritize stress management training, psychological support, and systemic workplace improvements. Addressing these concerns through policy adaptation and enhanced institutional support can mitigate stress and foster a more sustainable healthcare workforce.

The findings of this study on stress among healthcare workers align with previous research, highlighting the persistent challenges faced by medical professionals globally. High workloads, lack of institutional support, and unfavorable working conditions remain primary contributors to occupational stress (Temsah et al., 2021; Yörük et al., 2022). The correlation between stress levels and mental health outcomes observed in this study is consistent with reports of increased psychological distress among healthcare professionals, particularly during crisis periods such as the COVID 19 pandemic (Häisan et al., 2023; Halcomb et al., 2020; Katirayi et al., 2022).

Comparison with Previous Studies

The results indicate that healthcare workers in low and middle income countries experience higher levels of stress compared to their counterparts in developed nations. This discrepancy is attributed to inadequate infrastructure and insufficient resources to support the mental and physical well being of medical professionals (Gaber et al., 2023; Yörük et al., 2022). Yörük et al. (2022) emphasized that healthcare workers in resource limited settings face greater difficulties in managing stress due to weaker institutional support and less developed healthcare systems (Yörük et al., 2022).

In terms of coping strategies, the findings suggest that healthcare workers adopt a variety of approaches, including seeking social support, engaging in relaxation activities, and using humor to mitigate stress. Similar strategies were reported by Temsah et al. (2021), who noted that peer support and humor played essential roles in reducing stress among medical professionals (Temsah et al., 2021). However, despite the effectiveness of these coping mechanisms, the lack of comprehensive institutional policies to sustain mental health support for healthcare workers remains a major gap (Migisha et al., 2021; Provenzano et al., 2024).

Institutional Policies and Interventions

There is substantial empirical evidence supporting the efficacy of institution based interventions in reducing stress among healthcare workers. Comprehensive mental health policies that include training programs, routine psychological assessments, (Halcomb et al., 2020; Provenzano et al., 2024). Studies indicate that structured psychological support programs and stress management training can improve the well being of medical professionals and should be widely implemented across different healthcare settings (Hăisan et al., 2023; Halcomb et al., 2020). Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs), which have been successfully implemented in some developed nations, could be adapted in other regions to enhance access to mental health resources for healthcare workers (Migisha et al., 2021; Provenzano et al., 2024).

Furthermore, technology based interventions, such as mobile health applications designed to support healthcare workers' mental well being, have demonstrated positive outcomes and could be adopted on a broader scale (Gaber et al., 2023; Yanchus et al., 2016). Digital mental health platforms providing stress management tools and peer support forums have been particularly useful in offering healthcare workers accessible and confidential mental health resources.

Systemic Factors Contributing to Stress

and professional counseling have been shown to mitigate the impact of workplace stress

Healthcare systems and institutional frameworks play a pivotal role in influencing stress levels among medical professionals. Systemic issues, such as policy inefficiencies, inadequate workforce management, and limited organizational support, exacerbate occupational stress (Hăisan et al., 2023; Hernández-Díaz et al., 2021). National healthcare policies that fail to provide sufficient protective equipment and psychological support contribute to increased stress among healthcare workers, particularly in times of crisis. A lack of clear managerial policies regarding workload distribution and safety measures further intensifies anxiety and dissatisfaction among medical staff (Temsah et al., 2021).

Institutional support structures, such as team based mental health programs and crisis response teams, have been identified as crucial factors in reducing stress among healthcare professionals (Yörük et al., 2022). Organizations with well trained personnel to recognize early signs of stress and provide emotional support demonstrate lower rates of burnout and mental exhaustion. In

contrast, institutions lacking structured support systems leave healthcare workers feeling isolated, increasing their vulnerability to psychological distress.

The organizational culture within healthcare settings also significantly influences stress levels. Work environments that promote open communication, recognize employee contributions, and encourage teamwork have been found to foster resilience and lower stress among healthcare workers (Chatterjee et al., 2021; Temsah et al., 2021). Conversely, toxic workplace cultures that neglect emotional and psychological well being contribute to high levels of stress and increased attrition rates.

Workload intensity and environmental conditions are additional systemic factors affecting stress. Research indicates that healthcare workers in understaffed facilities experience greater stress due to the pressure of managing high patient volumes with limited resources (Hernández-Díaz et al., 2021; Yanchus et al., 2016). Unsafe working conditions, unclear job expectations, and inadequate training for emergency response scenarios further exacerbate stress among medical professionals.

Effective Global Interventions and Policies

Several international interventions have been identified as effective in reducing stress among healthcare workers and could be adopted more widely. Canada and Australia, for instance, have implemented Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs) that provide easy access to mental health services for medical professionals (Geng & Khamis, 2021; Provenzano et al., 2024). Adapting similar programs in other countries could ensure that healthcare workers receive the necessary psychological support to manage stress.

Training and continuing education programs focusing on stress management and self care have also proven beneficial. These programs equip healthcare professionals with skills to handle workplace challenges, enhance resilience, and improve job satisfaction (Duarte et al., 2021; Halcomb et al., 2020). Investing in such training initiatives has shown positive outcomes in reducing occupational stress and improving healthcare workers' overall mental well being.

Flexible work policies have also been effective in managing occupational stress. Organizations that implement flexible scheduling, remote work options where applicable, and structured time off policies report lower stress levels among employees (Angus et al., 2023; Wozniak et al., 2022). Adjusting workload expectations and allowing healthcare workers to maintain a work life balance can significantly reduce stress and prevent burnout.

Technology driven interventions, such as mobile applications providing guided stress management exercises and virtual peer support networks, have shown promise in improving mental health outcomes among healthcare workers (Kim et al., 2020; Wolff et al., 2021). Institutions leveraging digital platforms to facilitate mental health support report higher engagement and better stress coping mechanisms among their staff.

Limitations

This study has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting its findings. First, variations in study methodologies across different research articles may have introduced inconsistencies in reported stress levels. The reliance on self-reported data in many studies could also introduce bias, as healthcare workers may underreport or exaggerate their stress experiences. Additionally, differences in healthcare systems and policies across countries make it challenging to generalize findings universally. While this study provides insights into global trends, region specific analyses are necessary to understand localized stress dynamics more effectively.

Implications

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to assess the long term impact of occupational stress on healthcare professionals. Investigating how stress levels fluctuate over time, particularly in response to major health crises, could provide valuable insights into effective intervention strategies. Additionally, exploring gender specific stressors and their implications on mental health could contribute to more tailored support programs for healthcare workers. More research is also needed to assess the effectiveness of emerging technological interventions, such as artificial intelligence based mental health support systems, in reducing occupational stress. Examining workplace policies and their direct impact on stress reduction could inform the development of evidence based guidelines to improve healthcare workers' well being globally.

By addressing these gaps, future studies can contribute to the refinement of healthcare policies and institutional frameworks, ensuring that medical professionals receive the support they need to thrive in high stress environments.

CONCLUSION

This review emphasizes the complex and interrelated factors contributing to occupational stress among healthcare workers, including excessive workload, insufficient institutional support, and sustained emotional demands. The disparities in stress levels between developed and developing countries, as well as across different healthcare facility types, reveal the need for tailored interventions that consider local resource availability and institutional structures.

Future research should move beyond generalized recommendations and focus on evaluating the long term effectiveness of specific interventions, such as digital mental health platforms, peer support frameworks, and structured resilience training. It is also essential to investigate gender specific stress dynamics and assess how sociocultural contexts shape coping mechanisms and psychological outcomes among healthcare personnel. Longitudinal studies that track mental health trajectories over time would provide critical insight into the chronic effects of workplace stress and inform more durable policy responses.

In terms of policy implications, this study reinforces the urgency of integrating comprehensive mental health programs into national healthcare strategies. Policymakers are encouraged to

establish mandatory psychological assessments and workplace well being audits as part of institutional quality assurance. Institutional reforms should promote the routine provision of mental health services, strengthen management accountability in stress prevention, and ensure adequate staffing to balance workloads. Further, embedding mental health resilience training within medical education and professional development frameworks can equip healthcare workers with sustainable coping tools from the outset of their careers.

In the long term, these findings have the potential to inform regulatory reforms that prioritize healthcare workforce well being as a core dimension of health system sustainability. By institutionalizing support structures and embedding mental health standards into healthcare governance, it is possible to reduce burnout related turnover, enhance the quality of care, and build more resilient health systems capable of withstanding future public health emergencies.

REFERENCES

- Aguilera NE, Martínez CL. Socio Labor, Health, and Organizational Factors as Predictors of Perceived High Mental Load in Healthcare Personnel During the COVID 19 Pandemic. *An Sist Sanit Navar*. 2022;45(3).
- Angus R, Wenke R, Burrett S, Mahoney J, Roberts S. Impacts of the Early COVID 19 Response on Allied Health Staff Within an Australian Tertiary Health Service: A Qualitative Study. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2023;Volume 16:3833–46.
- Hernández-Díaz Y, Genis-Mendoza AD, Fresán A, González-Castro TB, Tovilla-Zárate CA, Juárez-Rojop IE, et al. Knowledge, Emotions and Stressors in Front Line Healthcare Workers During the COVID 19 Outbreak in Mexico. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(11):5622.
- Davey S, Lee B, Robbins T, Randeva HS, Thake D. Heat Stress and PPE During COVID 19: Impact on Healthcare Workers' Performance, Safety and Well Being in NHS Settings. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2021;108:185–8.
- Messeri A, Bonafede M, Pietrafesa E, Pinto I, de'Donato F, Crisci A, et al. A Web Survey to Evaluate the Thermal Stress Associated With Personal Protective Equipment Among Healthcare Workers During the COVID 19 Pandemic in Italy. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(8):3861.
- Bilal B, Al-Matari EM, Khan S, Senan NAM, Abbas A, Manzoor S. Impact of Fear of COVID 19 Pandemic on Job Insecurity and Subjective Well Being. *Inquiry the Journal of Health Care Organization Provision and Financing*. 2022;59.
- Wolff MB, O'Connor PJ, Wilson MG, Gay JL. Associations Between Occupational and Leisure Time Physical Activity With Employee Stress, Burnout and Well Being Among Healthcare Industry Workers. *American Journal of Health Promotion*. 2021;35(7):957–65.

- Jovanović Ž, Pešut S, Miletić B. Comparison of Perception of Stress and Consumption of Anxiolytics in Hospital and Out Hospital Conditions: A Cross Sectional Study. *Front Public Health*. 2024;12.
- Russo S, Ronchetti M, Tecco CD, Valenti A, Jain A, Mennini FS, et al. Developing a Cost Estimation Model for Work-related Stress: An Absence Based Estimation Using Data From Two Italian Case Studies. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2021;47(4):318–27.
- Gilleen J, Santaolalla A, Valdearenas L, Salice C, Fusté M. Impact of the COVID 19 Pandemic on the Mental Health and Well Being of UK Healthcare Workers. *BJPsych Open*. 2021;7(3).
- Kim JA, Hwang WJ, Jin J. An Exploration of Contextual Aspects That Influence Cardiovascular Disease Risks Perceived by Workers in a Small-Medium Sized Workplace. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(14):5155.
- Gorini A, Fiabane E, Sommaruga M, Barbieri S, Sottotetti F, Rovere MTL, et al. Mental Health and Risk Perception Among Italian Healthcare Workers During the Second Month of the Covid 19 Pandemic. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2020;34(6):537–44.
- Luan R, Pu W, Dai L, Yang R, Wang P. Comparison of Psychological Stress Levels and Associated Factors Among Healthcare Workers, Frontline Workers, and the General Public During the Novel Coronavirus Pandemic. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11.
- Bellehsen M, Cook HM, Shaam P, Burns D, D'Amico P, Goldberg A, et al. Adapting the Stress First Aid Model for Frontline Healthcare Workers During COVID 19. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2024;21(2):171.
- Migisha R, Ario AR, Kwesiga B, Bulage L, Kadobera D, Kabwama SN, et al. Risk Perception and Psychological State of Healthcare Workers in Referral Hospitals During the Early Phase of the COVID 19 Pandemic, Uganda. *BMC Psychol*. 2021;9(1).
- Gaber DM, Ahmed M, Sayed AM, Elkholy YS, Sarhan MD. Perception of COVID 19 related Stigma and Associated Psychological Challenges Among Healthcare Workers at Cairo University Hospitals. *Journal of International Medical Research*. 2023;51(1).
- Yörük S, Açıkgöz A, GÜLER DS. The Predictors of Secondary Traumatic Stress and Psychological Resilience in Healthcare Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-sectional Study in Turkey. *Stress and Health*. 2022;38(4):746–54.
- Temsah M, Huzaimi AA, Alrabiaah A, Alamro N, Alsohime F, Al-Eyadhy A, et al. Changes in Healthcare Workers' Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices, and Stress During the COVID 19 Pandemic. *Medicine*. 2021;100(18):e25825.
- Halcomb E, McInnes S, Williams A, Ashley C, James S, Fernandez R, et al. The Experiences of Primary Healthcare Nurses During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Australia. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*. 2020;52(5):553–63.

- Chatterjee SS, Chakrabarty M, Banerjee D, Grover S, Chatterjee SS, Dan U. Stress, Sleep and Psychological Impact in Healthcare Workers During the Early Phase of COVID 19 in India: A Factor Analysis. *Front Psychol.* 2021;12.
- Berggren K, Ekstedt M, Joelsson-Alm E, Swedberg L, Sackey P, Schandl A. Healthcare Workers' Experiences of Patient Safety in the Intensive Care Unit During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Multicentre Qualitative Study. *J Clin Nurs.* 2023;32(19–20):7372–81.
- Hăisan A, Hogaş S, Măirean C, Punei MO, Volovăţ SR, Hogaş M, et al. Compassion Fatigue and Compassion Satisfaction Among Romanian Emergency Medicine Personnel. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2023;10.
- Kleinpell R, Ferraro D, Maves RC, Gill SL, Branson RD, Greenberg SB, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic Measures: Reports From a National Survey of 9,120 ICU Clinicians. *Crit Care Med.* 2020;48(10):e846–55.
- Geng Y, Khamis RS. Social Media Usage in Health Communication and Its Implications on Public Health Security: A Case Study of COVID 19 in Zanzibar. *Online J Commun Media Technol.* 2021;11(1):e202101.
- Yanchus NJ, Periard DA, Osatuke K. Further Examination of Predictors of Turnover Intention Among Mental Health Professionals. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs.* 2016;24(1):41–56.
- Huang L, Onge JMS, Lai S. Urban Rural Differences on Accessing Patient Centered Medical Home Among Children With Mental/Developmental Health Conditions/Disorders. *Journal for Healthcare Quality.* 2024;
- Araújo CAR de, Ribeiro D V, Oliveira DB d., Barbieri W, Castilho GS d., Jiménez M, et al. Psychological Impact of the COVID 19 Pandemic on Dentists in Latin America's Epicenter: São Paulo, Brazil. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(22):15028.
- Duarte R, Aguiar A, Pinto MJ, Furtado I, Tiberi S, Lönnroth K, et al. Different Disease, Same Challenges: Social Determinants of Tuberculosis and COVID 19. *Pulmonology.* 2021;27(4):338–44.
- Cansel N, Varol Fİ. Burnout, Mental Health Symptoms, and Empathy in Healthcare Workers Who Care for Children Treated in a Liver Transplant Center. *Pediatr Transplant.* 2022;27(2).
- Jarabíková O, Šupínová M, Jankovičová J, Witczak I, Zemanová M, Cmorej PC, et al. Perception of Work Related Stress and Quality of Life Among Nurses During COVID 19 Pandemic—An International, Multicenter Prospective Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2023;20(4):3393.
- Samara M, Peppou LE, Giannouchos T V, Nimatoudis I, Papageorgiou C, Economou M, et al. COVID 19 Psychological Impact, Knowledge and Perceptions of Healthcare Professionals in Greece: A Nationwide Cross Sectional Study. *Psychiatriki.* 2021;

- Majeed M, Irshad M, Bartels J. The Interactive Effect of COVID 19 Risk and Hospital Measures on Turnover Intentions of Healthcare Workers: A Time Lagged Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(20):10705.
- Cousin L, Beo VD, Marcellin F, Rousset-Torrente O, Mahé V, Valderas JM, et al. Negative Representations of Night Shift Work and Mental Health of Public Hospital Healthcare Workers in the COVID 19 Era (Aladdin Survey). *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2023;23(1).
- Yoo IG. The Effects of the Type of Delivery of Cognitive-behavioral Therapy for Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review. *J Clin Psychol*. 2021;78(2):149–66.
- Badía MDC, Alarcón R, Trillo J, Páez JM. Psychological Mediators Between Risk Perception and Psychological Wellbeing in Emergency Healthcare Workers. *Front Psychol*. 2024;15.
- Leonelli LB, Andreoni S, Martins P, Kozasa EH, Salvo VL d., Sopezki D, et al. Estresse Percebido Em Profissionais Da Estratégia Saúde Da Família. *Revista Brasileira De Epidemiologia*. 2017;20(2):286–98.
- Barattucci M, Padovan AM, Vitale E, Rapisarda V, Ramaci T, Giorgio AD. Mindfulness Based IARA Model® Proves Effective to Reduce Stress and Anxiety in Health Care Professionals. A Six Month Follow Up Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(22):4421.
- Lagaa MBA, Alhoot MA, Baobaid MF. Knowledge, Attitude, Perception and Psychological Status of Healthcare Workers During COVID 19 Outbreak in Libya: A Cross Sectional Study. *J Pure Appl Microbiol*. 2022;16(2):1370–83.
- Moll S. The Web of Silence: A Qualitative Case Study of Early Intervention and Support for Healthcare Workers With Mental Ill Health. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14(1).
- Wang C, Huang L, Li J, Dai J. Relationship Between Psychosocial Working Conditions, Stress Perception, and Needle Stick Injury Among Healthcare Workers in Shanghai. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1).
- Wang F, Wu H, Li J, Liu J, Xu Q, An L. Microfiber Releasing Into Urban Rivers From Face Masks During COVID 19. *J Environ Manage*. 2022;319:115741.
- Canestrari C, Bongelli R, Fermani A, Riccioni I, Bertolazzi A, Muzi M, et al. Coronavirus Disease Stress Among Italian Healthcare Workers: The Role of Coping Humor. *Front Psychol*. 2021;11.
- Goniewicz M, Włoszczak Szubzda A, Al Wathinani AM, Goniewicz K. Resilience in Emergency Medicine During COVID 19: Evaluating Staff Expectations and Preparedness. *J Pers Med*. 2023;13(11):1545.
- Katirayi L, Shoopala N, Mitruka K, Mengistu A, Woelk G, Baughman AL, et al. Taking Care to the Patients: A Qualitative Evaluation of a Community Based ART Care Program in Northern Namibia. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2022;22(1).

Provenzano M, Cillara N, Curcio F, Pisu M, González CIA, Herrera M. Electronic Health Record Adoption and Its Effects on Healthcare Staff: A Qualitative Study of Well Being and Workplace Stress. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2024;21(11):1430.

Wozniak H, Benzakour L, Larpin C, Sgardello S, Moullec G, Corbaz S, et al. How Can We Help Healthcare Workers During a Catastrophic Event Such as the COVID 19 Pandemic? *Healthcare*. 2022;10(6):1113.