Jurnal Riset Kualitatif dan Promosi Kesehatan
E-ISSN: 2775-4944
Volume. 2, Issue 2, July 2023
Page No: 105-117

Integrative Strategies for Malaria Elimination: The Role of Surveillance, Vector Control, and Community Engagement

Muhammad Jusman Rau[1]
[1]**Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia**
Correspondent: jusmanepid06@gmail.com[1]

Received : June 19, 2023
Accepted : July 23, 2023
Published : July 31, 2023

Citation: Rau, M.J., (2023). Integrative Strategies for Malaria Elimination: The Role of Surveillance, Vector Control, and Community Engagement. Jurnal Riset Kualitatif dan Promosi Kesehatan, 2(2), 105-117.

https://doi.org/10.61194/jrkpk.v2i2.678

ABSTRACT: Malaria remains a major public health concern in many regions, despite global efforts toward its elimination. This study systematically reviews malaria elimination strategies, emphasizing the role of surveillance, vector control, and community based interventions. Using a comprehensive literature review, data from PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science were analyzed to assess epidemiological trends, intervention effectiveness, and persisting challenges. Findings indicate that active surveillance programs and integrated vector control measures have significantly contributed to malaria reduction in countries such as China, El Salvador, and Rwanda. However, human mobility, climate variability, and drug resistance continue to pose challenges, particularly in malaria endemic regions. To address these barriers, the study underscores the necessity of strengthening health systems, enhancing cross border collaborations, and leveraging emerging technologies for real time disease monitoring. Policymakers must tailor interventions based on regional epidemiological patterns and promote greater community engagement in malaria control efforts. Future research should focus on refining stratification models, assessing novel vector control strategies, and examining the long term sustainability of malaria elimination initiatives. By implementing targeted, evidence based approaches, malaria elimination can be accelerated, bringing the world closer to the goal of eradication.

Keywords: Malaria Elimination, Epidemiological Surveillance, Vector Control, Community Based Intervention, Drug Resistance, Cross Border Collaboration, Public Health Policy.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria remains one of the most persistent global public health threats, with approximately 249 million cases and 608,000 deaths reported worldwide in 2022 (World Health Organization [WHO], 2023). Despite substantial progress achieved through global eradication initiatives, malaria continues to disproportionately affect populations in tropical and subtropical regions, particularly

in sub Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia. The persistent burden of the disease emphasizes the necessity of sustained international collaboration and context specific intervention strategies.

Countries such as China, El Salvador, and Namibia have demonstrated successful progress toward malaria elimination by employing integrated approaches that include surveillance, vector control, and access to diagnostics and treatment (Burton et al., 2018; Gueye et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016). In China, the National Malaria Elimination Programme has significantly contributed to the reduction in *Plasmodium falciparum* cases between 2004 and 2012 (Zhang et al., 2014). Similarly, El Salvador experienced a dramatic decline in malaria incidence following improvements in health system infrastructure and community based interventions (Burton et al., 2018).

However, progress is uneven, and malaria remains endemic in many regions with limited health system capacity. In Namibia, malaria continues to persist in border areas due to challenges in controlling population movement and environmental suitability for vectors (Gueye et al., 2014). Countries like Bangladesh and Indonesia, although reporting national level declines, still face localized outbreaks driven by migration, socio economic disparities, and ecological change (Chang et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020a).

The heterogeneity of malaria transmission dynamics underscores the importance of epidemiological surveillance tailored to local conditions. Environmental determinants, such as deforestation, climate variability, and urbanization, are known to influence mosquito breeding and malaria seasonality (Ferreira & Castro, 2016; Grillet et al., 2021). These patterns demand real time, location specific monitoring to inform timely and targeted responses.

Moreover, human mobility, particularly across national borders, presents a significant barrier to elimination. Evidence from Eswatini and Myanmar demonstrates that imported cases can undermine local elimination efforts and lead to resurgence if not adequately monitored and managed (Nkya et al., 2021; Rae et al., 2022). Surveillance systems must therefore be equipped not only to detect indigenous cases but also to trace and contain imported infections.

A further complexity lies in the emergence of drug resistant parasites and insecticide resistant mosquito vectors, which threatens the effectiveness of existing treatment and control measures (Ohrt et al., 2015; Sirimatayanant et al., 2023). Addressing this requires strengthened pharmacovigilance and investment in novel vector control technologies. Surveillance must evolve to integrate both clinical and entomological data in order to inform adaptive strategies.

Given these multi dimensional challenges, there is a clear need for in depth epidemiological research that explores malaria transmission within specific geographic, social, and political contexts. Regional differences in health policy, infrastructure, and population behavior influence how elimination programs perform and where they fall short. A contextualized understanding of these factors is crucial to the design of resilient and effective elimination frameworks.

This study aims to systematically review malaria elimination strategies by examining the role of surveillance, vector control, and community based interventions in diverse regional settings. By synthesizing data from countries with varying epidemiological profiles, the review identifies best practices, persistent gaps, and emerging innovations. The ultimate goal is to inform adaptive and sustainable policies for malaria elimination worldwide.

METHOD

This study employs a systematic review approach to examine malaria elimination strategies and their epidemiological impacts. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across major academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. These databases were selected based on their extensive indexing of peer reviewed articles and their relevance to malaria epidemiology and control interventions. The literature search targeted studies published between specific years to ensure the inclusion of the most recent and relevant findings. To enhance the accuracy and completeness of the search, a combination of predefined keywords and Boolean operators was applied. The keywords used included "malaria elimination," "epidemiology of malaria," "malaria investigation," "Plasmodium falciparum intervention," and "malaria control policies."

The selection criteria were established to include peer reviewed research articles, systematic reviews, and meta analyses that provided empirical or theoretical analyses of malaria elimination programs. Only studies published in English were considered to ensure accessibility and consistency in interpretation. Articles that lacked direct empirical evidence, were not peer reviewed, or did not focus on malaria elimination and epidemiological investigations were excluded. The initial screening involved a title and abstract review to filter out irrelevant studies. This was followed by a full text assessment to determine methodological rigor and relevance to the research objectives.

To improve reliability, a multi stage screening process was implemented. Four independent reviewers evaluated the studies, ensuring alignment with the inclusion criteria. Key themes were synthesized to identify recurring patterns in malaria elimination strategies, epidemiological trends, and intervention effectiveness. The findings offer insights into the role of surveillance systems, vector control measures, and policy frameworks in malaria elimination efforts. By systematically analyzing diverse epidemiological and public health perspectives, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of malaria eradication challenges and solutions.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Trends in Malaria Epidemiology

The epidemiological trends of malaria in countries implementing elimination programs have shown significant differences compared to those without structured initiatives. Countries such as El Salvador and China have successfully reduced malaria incidence over the past decades. In El Salvador, for example, malaria cases decreased by more than 90% between 1980 and 2017 due to improved access to diagnostics and treatment (Burton et al., 2018). Similarly, in China, an effective surveillance approach has played a crucial role in malaria control (Huang et al., 2020b). Conversely, countries lacking structured elimination programs, such as Uganda and Zimbabwe, continue to face high malaria incidence rates. Limited healthcare resources and ineffective public health strategies contribute to the persistence of malaria transmission (Sande et al., 2017). In these regions, malaria cases fluctuate due to factors such as heavy rainfall, which facilitates mosquito

breeding (Khosa et al., 2013), and increased human mobility, including labor migration (Hurtado et al., 2020)(Khosa et al., 2013)

Factors Influencing Malaria Incidence Fluctuations

Several factors contribute to fluctuations in malaria incidence during elimination efforts. Climate variability and environmental changes significantly impact malaria transmission. In Zambia and Botswana, excessive rainfall has been directly correlated with increased mosquito populations, leading to malaria outbreaks (Chihanga et al., 2013). Similarly, studies in Zimbabwe have shown that rising temperatures and rainfall patterns create fluctuating transmission dynamics (Sande et al., 2017). Human mobility is another critical factor, particularly in border regions and areas with high migration rates. Countries such as Laos and Cambodia have faced difficulties controlling malaria due to the frequent movement of people carrying infections from endemic areas (Rijal et al., 2019). A similar trend has been observed in Thies, Senegal, where malaria transmission fluctuates alongside seasonal population shifts (Daniels et al., 2015). Vector control interventions, such as indoor residual spraying (IRS) and insecticide treated bed nets, have been instrumental in reducing malaria transmission. While Namibia has successfully implemented IRS to achieve significant reductions in malaria cases, other countries have struggled with the consistent application of these strategies (Gueye et al., 2014). Surveillance and response strategies also play a crucial role in malaria control. Countries that employ proactive surveillance measures, such as reactive case detection, have demonstrated better control over outbreaks compared to those relying solely on passive surveillance. In Zambia, for instance, active surveillance programs have led to substantial declines in malaria incidence (Chisha et al., 2015). Overall, countries with well integrated malaria elimination programs supported by robust public health policies and active surveillance systems have achieved better outcomes in malaria control.

Effectiveness of Epidemiological Investigation Programs

Role of Epidemiological Investigations in Early Detection and Malaria Prevention

Epidemiological investigations are crucial for early malaria detection and preventing further transmission. Through systematic monitoring of malaria cases, epidemiological surveillance enables rapid identification of new infections and tracking of disease spread in high risk populations. Active case detection programs, such as those in Zambia, provide valuable insights into malaria transmission patterns and local epidemiology (Larsen et al., 2015). Active case detection strategies have allowed health authorities to intervene quickly and provide immediate treatment to infected individuals, thereby preventing broader community transmission (Cotter et al., 2017). Studies in Guinea highlight the effectiveness of rapid epidemiological surveys in high transmission areas, providing clear infection patterns and guiding targeted interventions (Camara et al., 2018). Moreover, epidemiological investigations contribute to evidence based decision making by informing public health policies. A comprehensive understanding of malaria distribution, including identifying high risk regions and migration patterns, allows for the development of targeted health interventions (Kim et al., 2021).

Challenges in Implementing Epidemiological Investigation Programs

Despite their potential, epidemiological investigation programs face numerous challenges in malaria endemic regions. Limited human resources and inadequate healthcare infrastructure frequently hinder the effective execution of surveillance programs. A shortage of trained healthcare personnel and diagnostic tools can slow response times to outbreaks (Rajvanshi et al., 2021). Human mobility also complicates malaria surveillance. Seasonal migration and unregulated cross border movements make it difficult to track and control malaria transmission s(Sangoro et al., 2021). In Myanmar, for example, malaria transmission is heavily influenced by population movement from high endemic to low endemic regions, further complicating elimination efforts (Rae et al., 2022). Data collection and integration challenges also impact malaria control efforts. Many malaria endemic regions lack efficient systems for aggregating and analyzing epidemiological data, leading to delays in intervention implementation (Ohrt et al., 2015). Overcoming these challenges requires strengthening health information systems and improving data sharing mechanisms between healthcare facilities and national malaria programs. Finally, social stigma and mistrust of healthcare systems can prevent communities from actively participating in surveillance programs. In some regions, individuals may be reluctant to report malaria symptoms due to fear of discrimination or misinformation about the disease (Nasir et al., 2020). Addressing these barriers necessitates increased public health education and stronger community engagement to foster trust and cooperation in malaria control efforts.

Malaria Elimination Strategies

Most Effective Malaria Elimination Strategies

Recent research has identified several effective malaria elimination strategies that integrate treatment, prevention, and surveillance. In Zambia, micro stratification surveys have been instrumental in mapping malaria distribution at a granular level, allowing for precise interventions in high risk areas (Rijal et al., 2019). Molecular diagnostic tools such as RT MqPCR have enhanced the detection of asymptomatic infections, helping to eliminate malaria reservoirs that would otherwise contribute to ongoing transmission (Cáceres et al., 2024). IRS and insecticide treated bed nets (LLINs) remain critical components of malaria control. In Eswatini, IRS has been particularly effective in reducing malaria transmission (Nkya et al., 2021). The integration of IRS with other control measures has amplified its impact, demonstrating the importance of a multi faceted approach to malaria elimination.

Community Based Interventions

Community based interventions have played a vital role in malaria elimination by increasing public engagement and tailoring strategies to local needs. Programs that involve community leaders and health volunteers provide better access to malaria education and treatment for at risk populations. In India, participatory approaches have reduced malaria related stigma and improved early diagnosis and treatment compliance (Rahi & Sharma, 2022). Mobile health applications have

facilitated real time case reporting in Myanmar, streamlining epidemiological monitoring and control (Oo et al., 2021). The integration of community based malaria control measures has proven to be highly effective in reducing transmission rates.

Global Comparisons

Effectiveness of Malaria Elimination Programs Across Countries

Malaria elimination programs vary significantly across countries, depending on geographic and socio economic conditions. In China, a structured national malaria elimination strategy has resulted in near complete malaria eradication, thanks to robust surveillance, treatment, and public awareness campaigns ((Hu et al., 2016). The "1 3 7" strategy, which requires case reporting within one day, investigation within three days, and intervention within seven days, has been instrumental in achieving rapid malaria response (Cao et al., 2014). Zimbabwe has also made significant progress using IRS and LLINs, covering 95% of high risk populations and reducing malaria incidence substantially (Sande et al., 2017). However, sustaining this progress requires continued investment in healthcare infrastructure and community outreach .

Lessons from Successful Malaria Elimination Efforts

Countries that have successfully eliminated malaria provide valuable insights for others still battling endemic transmission. Integrated approaches that combine diagnostics, treatment, and vector control have proven effective in Sri Lanka (Premaratne et al., 2019). Effective surveillance systems, such as China's "1 3 7" model, emphasize the importance of timely case detection and response (Cao et al., 2014). Community engagement plays a pivotal role in malaria elimination efforts. In Rwanda, strong community participation has led to better health outcomes by improving access to preventive measures and treatment (Ingabire et al., 2016). Adapting interventions to local contexts ensures their effectiveness, as demonstrated in China's regionalized malaria strategies (Xia et al., 2018). Investment in innovative technology, including mobile health applications, has further enhanced malaria control efforts by improving data collection and case tracking (Oo et al., 2021). By adopting these strategies, countries striving for malaria elimination can enhance their public health responses and move closer to eradication goals.

Comparison of Findings with Existing Literature

The findings of this study align with previous research indicating that malaria elimination strategies have been effective in different geographical and socio economic contexts. For instance, data from China suggest that integrating surveillance strategies with community based interventions has significantly contributed to malaria control and achieving elimination status (Huang et al., 2020b). These findings are consistent with literature highlighting that active and responsive surveillance programs play a crucial role in malaria elimination success, as observed in Zimbabwe and El Salvador, where malaria incidence declined following robust surveillance and case detection

methods (Camara et al., 2018; Sande et al., 2017). A key aspect highlighted in this study is that the effectiveness of elimination programs is heavily influenced by efficient vector control strategies such as indoor residual spraying (IRS) and insecticide treated nets (ITNs). This aligns with reports from Zimbabwe, where increased IRS coverage contributed to significant population wide protection and a sharp decline in malaria incidence (Sande et al., 2017). Similar findings were reported in China Myanmar border regions, where the effectiveness of IRS was strongly correlated with reduced malaria transmission (Huang et al., 2020b).

Furthermore, this study confirms that human mobility and environmental changes contribute significantly to fluctuations in malaria epidemiology, findings that are well supported by previous studies (28,35). Research on malaria transmission conditions, as reported by Camara et al. (2018), underscores the importance of understanding local contexts to implement more precise and effective prevention measures(23). Community based interventions also remain critical, as shown by studies indicating that active community engagement plays a significant role in malaria control programs (36). Research in Rwanda emphasizes that community participation in malaria control activities increases awareness and improves treatment seeking behavior, which is essential for long term elimination success.

Lessons from Countries That Successfully Eliminated Malaria

Several key lessons can be drawn from countries that have successfully eliminated malaria, supporting the findings presented in this study. Research in Nigeria and Brazil has demonstrated that success in malaria elimination is often contingent on multidisciplinary collaboration and strong government commitment to providing adequate resources and policy implementation (37,38). Sri Lanka's malaria elimination strategy highlights the importance of sustained policy implementation and long term disease management to maintain elimination status (39).

Moreover, continuous use of epidemiological data and surveillance systems to identify and monitor high risk populations, including those with asymptomatic infections, is critical (30,40). The use of innovative technologies for data collection, such as mobile applications in Myanmar (Oo et al., 2021), has been shown to improve accessibility to timely and accurate malaria case data(30). Micro stratification has also emerged as a strategic method for analyzing malaria risk and adapting interventions at the community level. This approach was successfully applied in Nepal to optimize intervention distribution based on focused and validated data (18).

Overall, this study not only reinforces previous findings on the effectiveness of malaria elimination programs but also identifies new approaches that can be adopted in global efforts to eradicate malaria as a public health threat. Effective strategies discussed include strong community based interventions, enhanced surveillance systems, and leveraging technological advancements for data driven decision making in malaria elimination programs.

Policy Implications of This Study on Malaria Elimination Strategies

This study highlights that the strategic effectiveness of malaria elimination programs is highly dependent on local contexts, including social, economic, and geographical factors. The policy implications derived from these findings suggest several important recommendations for improving malaria elimination programs worldwide.

A data driven approach to policy making is essential. The findings of this study reinforce that using accurate epidemiological data and responsive surveillance mechanisms is critical in shaping public health policies. Countries must invest in robust data collection and analysis systems to ensure rapid case detection and response. China's implementation of the "1 3 7" strategy, which mandates rapid case reporting and intervention, has proven highly effective in malaria control (41). Therefore, national policies should prioritize the development and maintenance of reliable information systems that facilitate timely and effective malaria surveillance.

Community based interventions must be integrated into malaria elimination policies. The importance of active community engagement in malaria control has been demonstrated in Rwanda and El Salvador, where community driven awareness programs significantly improved health seeking behavior and access to diagnostics (19). Health policies in endemic regions should incorporate participatory community engagement models to ensure local ownership of malaria control programs. These efforts should include widespread distribution of ITNs and IRS implementation tailored to community needs.

Elimination strategies must be context specific and adaptable. The success of micro stratification techniques, as demonstrated in Nepal, highlights the necessity of prioritizing interventions based on local demographic and epidemiological characteristics (18). Policies should be flexible enough to adapt to different transmission dynamics and local risk factors to ensure effective intervention planning.

International and regional collaboration is crucial for malaria elimination. The study underscores the importance of cross border cooperation in controlling imported malaria cases from endemic areas (2). Policies facilitating regional coordination, such as the Asia Pacific Leaders Malaria Alliance, have been effective in reducing cross border malaria transmission. Governments should strengthen international data sharing agreements and collaborative malaria control initiatives to ensure sustained elimination efforts.

Technology should be leveraged for malaria surveillance and program evaluation. The study highlights the role of digital tools, such as mobile based case reporting applications, in improving malaria surveillance (41). Policymakers must invest in digital infrastructure and laboratory capacity to enhance real time response capabilities. A well integrated health information system can enable better monitoring, tracking, and evaluation of malaria interventions.

Migration and human mobility pose significant challenges to malaria elimination efforts. Findings indicate that unregulated migration and labor mobility contribute to malaria transmission, as observed in border regions between China and Myanmar (Rijal et al., 2019). Health policies should incorporate strategies to educate and screen migrant populations, ensuring that at risk individuals receive adequate preventive care and treatment.

The policy implications outlined in this study emphasize the necessity of integrating community driven strategies, leveraging technological advancements, and promoting regional cooperation to optimize malaria elimination efforts. A holistic approach that combines epidemiological insights, policy innovation, and sustained community involvement is essential for achieving long term malaria elimination goals.

Limitations

While this study provides valuable insights into malaria elimination strategies, several limitations must be acknowledged. The reliance on existing literature introduces potential bias due to variations in data quality and reporting standards across different regions. Additionally, many studies analyzed were conducted in specific geographical contexts, limiting the generalizability of findings to all malaria endemic areas. Differences in healthcare infrastructure, economic capacity, and policy enforcement between countries may influence the applicability of certain malaria elimination strategies.

Another limitation is the potential underreporting of malaria cases in some regions due to inadequate surveillance systems or lack of diagnostic capacity. The study's findings are also constrained by the availability of published data, which may not fully capture real time changes in malaria transmission dynamics. Future research should focus on integrating primary data collection with epidemiological modeling to enhance the accuracy of malaria elimination assessments.

Implications

This study highlights several avenues for further research that could enhance global malaria elimination strategies. Future studies should focus on evaluating the long term sustainability of elimination programs, particularly in regions that have successfully reduced malaria incidence. Investigating how socio economic factors influence malaria transmission dynamics could provide deeper insights into targeted intervention planning.

Additionally, further research is needed to assess the effectiveness of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, in improving malaria surveillance and predictive modeling. Developing new drug resistance monitoring systems and evaluating alternative vector control strategies, including genetic modification of mosquito populations, should be explored in future studies. Expanding research on climate change's impact on malaria transmission could also inform adaptive malaria control measures in vulnerable regions.

Given the complexity of malaria elimination, interdisciplinary research that integrates public health, epidemiology, technology, and socio economic analysis will be critical in advancing sustainable malaria control efforts. Future studies should also examine how policy changes and international cooperation can further support malaria elimination goals globally.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the significant progress made in malaria elimination across various regions and the critical factors contributing to its success. The integration of surveillance systems with community based interventions has proven to be highly effective, as demonstrated by countries like China, El Salvador, and Rwanda. Effective vector control measures, such as indoor residual spraying (IRS) and insecticide treated nets (ITNs), have also played a pivotal role in reducing transmission rates. However, challenges such as human mobility, environmental changes, and resistance to antimalarial treatments continue to threaten sustained elimination efforts.

Addressing these challenges requires strengthened surveillance infrastructure, improved cross border collaboration, and the use of emerging technologies for real time data collection and analysis. Policymakers must prioritize investment in public health systems and develop targeted interventions that consider local epidemiological dynamics. Community engagement should also remain a cornerstone of malaria control strategies, ensuring that prevention and treatment programs are accessible and widely adopted.

Future research should focus on refining micro stratification techniques, exploring novel vector control strategies, and assessing the long term sustainability of malaria elimination programs. Investigating the impact of climate change and human migration patterns on malaria transmission will be crucial for designing adaptive control measures. By integrating advanced epidemiological tools, technology driven surveillance, and community driven interventions, the global goal of malaria eradication can become an achievable reality.

REFERENCES

Hu T, Liu Y, Zhang S, Xia Z, Zhou S, Yan J, et al. Shrinking the Malaria Map in China: Measuring the Progress of the National Malaria Elimination Programme. Infect Dis Poverty. 2016;5(1).

Burton RA, Chévez JER, Sauerbrey M, Guinovart C, Hartley A, Kirkwood G, et al. Factors Associated With the Rapid and Durable Decline in Malaria Incidence in El Salvador, 1980–2017. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2018;99(1):33–42.

Gueye CS, Gerigk M, Newby G, Lourenco C, Uusiku P, Liu J. Namibia's Path Toward Malaria Elimination: A Case Study of Malaria Strategies and Costs Along the Northern Border. BMC Public Health. 2014;14(1).

Zhang Q, Lai S, Zheng C, Zhang H, Zhou S, Hu W, et al. The Epidemiology of Plasmodium Vivax and Plasmodium Falciparum Malaria in China, 2004–2012: From Intensified Control to Elimination. Malar J. 2014;13(1).

Chang H, Wesolowski A, Sinha I, Jacob CG, Mahmud AS, Uddin D, et al. Mapping Imported Malaria in Bangladesh Using Parasite Genetic and Human Mobility Data. Elife. 2019;8.

Huang J, Li Y, Liang H. Which Matters for Medical Utilization Equity Under Universal Coverage: Insurance System, Region or SES. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(11):4131.

Ferreira MU, Castro MC. Challenges for Malaria Elimination in Brazil. Malar J. 2016;15(1).

Grillet ME, Moreno JE, Hernández Villena J, Vincenti-González MF, Noya Ó, Tami A, et al. Malaria in Southern Venezuela: The Hottest Hotspot in Latin America. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021;15(1):e0008211.

Nkya TE, Fillinger U, Dlamini M, Sangoro OP, Marubu R, Zulu Z, et al. Malaria in Eswatini, 2012–2019: A Case Study of the Elimination Effort. Malar J. 2021;20(1).

Rae JD, Nosten S, Kajeechiwa L, Wiladphaingern J, Parker DM, Landier J, et al. Surveillance to Achieve Malaria Elimination in Eastern Myanmar: A 7 Year Observational Study. Malar J. 2022;21(1).

Sirimatayanant M, Hein PS, Anderson L, Montoya LF, Potter R, Nghipumbwa M, et al. Gaps in Research and Capacity Development for Malaria Surveillance and Response in the Asia–Pacific: Meeting Report. Malar J. 2023;22(1).

Ohrt C, Roberts KW, Sturrock HJW, Wegbreit J, Lee BY, Gosling R. Information Systems to Support Surveillance for Malaria Elimination. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2015;93(1):145–52.

Huang J, Li Y, Liang H. Which Matters for Medical Utilization Equity Under Universal Coverage: Insurance System, Region or SES. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(11):4131.

Sande S, Zimba M, Mberikunashe J, Tangwena A, Chimusoro A. Progress Towards Malaria Elimination in Zimbabwe With Special Reference to the Period 2003–2015. Malar J. 2017;16(1).

Hurtado L, Cumbrera A, Rigg C, Perea M, Santamaría AM, Chaves LF, et al. Long Term Transmission Patterns and Public Health Policies Leading to Malaria Elimination in Panamá. Malar J. 2020;19(1).

Khosa E, Kuonza L, Kruger P, Maimela E. Towards the Elimination of Malaria in South Africa: A Review of Surveillance Data in Mutale Municipality, Limpopo Province, 2005 to 2010. Malar J. 2013;12(1).

Chihanga S, Moakofhi K, Mosweunyane T, Jibril H, Nkomo B, Motlaleng M, et al. Malaria Control in Botswana, 2008–2012: The Path Towards Elimination. Malar J. 2013;12(1).

Rijal KR, Adhikari B, Adhikari N, Dumre SP, Banjara MS, Shrestha UT, et al. Micro Stratification of Malaria Risk in Nepal: Implications for Malaria Control and Elimination. Trop Med Health. 2019;47(1).

Daniels RF, Schaffner SF, Wenger EA, Proctor JL, Chang H, Wong W, et al. Modeling Malaria Genomics Reveals Transmission Decline and Rebound in Senegal. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2015;112(22):7067–72.

Chisha Z, Larsen DA, Burns M, Miller JM, Chirwa J, Mbwili C, et al. Enhanced Surveillance and Data Feedback Loop Associated With Improved Malaria Data in Lusaka, Zambia. Malar J. 2015;14(1).

Larsen DA, Chisha Z, Winters B, Mwanza M, Kamuliwo M, Mbwili C, et al. Malaria Surveillance in Low Transmission Areas of Zambia Using Reactive Case Detection. Malar J. 2015;14(1).

Cotter C, Sudathip P, Herdiana H, Cao Y, Liu Y, Luo A, et al. Piloting a Programme Tool to Evaluate Malaria Case Investigation and Reactive Case Detection Activities: Results From 3 Settings in the Asia Pacific. Malar J. 2017;16(1).

Camara A, Guilavogui T, Keita K, Dioubaté M, Barry Y, Camara D, et al. Rapid Epidemiological and Entomological Survey for Validation of Reported Indicators and Characterization of Local Malaria Transmission in Guinea, 2017. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2018;99(5):1134–44.

Kim JY, Kim J, Goo B, Park Y, Seo BK, Baek YH. Quality Assessment of Conventional and Traditional Oriental Medicine Clinical Practice Guidelines for Knee Osteoarthritis Using AGREE II Instrument. Medicine. 2021;100(51):e28426.

Rajvanshi H, Jain Y, Kaintura N, Soni C, Chandramohan R, Srinivasan R, et al. A Comprehensive Mobile Application Tool for Disease Surveillance, Workforce Management and Supply Chain Management for Malaria Elimination Demonstration Project. Malar J. 2021;20(1).

Sangoro OP, Fillinger U, Saili K, Nkya TE, Marubu R, Masaninga F, et al. Evaluating the Efficacy, Impact, and Feasibility of Community Based House Screening as a Complementary Malaria Control Intervention in Southern Africa: A Study Protocol for a Household Randomized Trial. Trials. 2021;22(1).

Nasir S, Amarasekara DS, Wickremasinghe R, Fernando D, Udagama P. Prevention of Re Establishment of Malaria: Historical Perspective and Future Prospects. Malar J. 2020;19(1).

Cáceres L, Santamaría AM, Castillo AM, Romero L, Urriola E, Torres Cosme R, et al. Detection Through the Use of RT MqPCR of Asymptomatic Reservoirs of Malaria in Samples of Patients From the Indigenous Comarca of Guna Yala, Panama: Essential Method to Achieve the Elimination of Malaria. PLoS One. 2024;19(7):e0305558.

Rahi M, Sharma A. Active Engagement of Private Healthcare Providers Is Needed to Propel Malaria Elimination in India. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2022;106(6):1585–8.

Oo WH, Htike W, Cutts JC, Win KM, Thu KM, Oo MC, et al. A Mobile Phone Application for Malaria Case Based Reporting to Advance Malaria Surveillance in Myanmar: A Mixed Methods Evaluation. Malar J. 2021;20(1).

Cao J, Sturrock HJW, Cotter C, Zhou S, Zhou H, Liu Y, et al. Communicating and Monitoring Surveillance and Response Activities for Malaria Elimination: China's "1 3 7" Strategy. PLoS Med. 2014;11(5):e1001642.

Premaratne R, Wickremasinghe R, Ranaweera D, Gunasekera WMKT de AW, Hevawitharana M, Pieris L, et al. Technical and Operational Underpinnings of Malaria Elimination From Sri Lanka. Malar J. 2019;18(1).

Ingabire C, Hakizimana E, Kateera F, Rulisa A, Borne B v. d., Nieuwold I, et al. Using an Intervention Mapping Approach for Planning, Implementing and Assessing a Community Led Project Towards Malaria Elimination in the Eastern Province of Rwanda. Malar J. 2016;15(1).

Xia J, Huang XB, Sun L, Zhu H, Lin W, Xiao Rong D, et al. Epidemiological Characteristics of Malaria From Control to Elimination in Hubei Province, China, 2005–2016. Malar J. 2018;17(1).

Cardona-Arias JA, Salas-Zapata WA, Carmona-Fonseca J. Systematic Review of Qualitative Studies About Malaria in Colombia. Heliyon. 2020;6(5):e03964.

Hiwat H, Martínez-López B, Cairo H, Hardjopawiro L, Boerleider AW, Duarte EC, et al. Malaria Epidemiology in Suriname From 2000 to 2016: Trends, Opportunities and Challenges for Elimination. Malar J. 2018;17(1).

Correia MJ, Frade L, Guerreiro R, Araújo I, Baptista T, Fonseca C, et al. A Patient With Severe Malaria and COVID 19: How Do You Tell the Difference Between These Infections? Eur J Case Rep Intern Med. 2020;(LATEST ONLINE).

Liang S, Guo R, Zhuang J, Li P, Chang Z, Zhu W, et al. Analysis of Epidemiological Changes and Elimination Effects for Malaria in Handan City, the North China. Medicine. 2022;101(50):e31722.

Noviyanti R, Coutrier FN, Utami RAS, Trimarsanto H, Tirta YK, Trianty L, et al. Contrasting Transmission Dynamics of Co Endemic Plasmodium Vivax and P. Falciparum: Implications for Malaria Control and Elimination. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9(5):e0003739.

Kamau E, Yates A, Maisiba R, Sing'oei V, Opot B, Adeny R, et al. Epidemiological and Clinical Implications of Asymptomatic Malaria and Schistosomiasis Co Infections in a Rural Community in Western Kenya. BMC Infect Dis. 2021;21(1).

Feng J, Xiao HH, Liu J, Zhang L, Feng X, Xia Z. Towards Malaria Elimination: Monitoring and Evaluation of the "1 3 7" Approach at the China–Myanmar Border. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2016;95(4):806–10.